

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 www.phytojournal.com

JPP 2021; 10(1): 1615-1620 Received: 04-11-2020 Accepted: 09-12-2020

Swati H Patel

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

MB Viradiya

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

Bhavik J Prajapati

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

Corresponding Author: Swati H Patel

Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

Effect of potassium and potassium mobilizing bacteria (KMB) with and without FYM on yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)

Swati H Patel, MB Viradiya and Bhavik J Prajapati

Abstract

Potassium (K) is considered as an essential macronutrient and a major constituent within all living cells. About 98% of the potassium in the earth's crust exists in insoluble forms as rocks and silicate minerals, resulting in very low concentrations of soluble potassium in the soil for plant growth and development. Naturally, soils contain K in larger amounts than any other nutrients; however most of the K is unavailable for plant uptake. There are certain microorganisms which use a number of biological processes to make potassium available from unavailable forms. These potassium-mobilizing bacteria (KMB) can be used as a promising approach to increase K availability in soils, thus playing an important role for crop establishment under K-limited soils. The experiment comprising twelve-treatment combinations was laid out in Randomized Block Design (factorial) with three replications. The treatment consisted of two levels of FYM $viz_{..}$ 0 t ha⁻¹ (F₀) and 10 t ha⁻¹ (F₁) and two levels of Potassium Mobilizing Bacteria viz., without KMB (KMB₀) and with KMB (KMB₁) and three levels of potassium viz., 0 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (K₀), 20 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (K₁) and 40 kg K₂O ha⁻¹ (K₂). The application of FYM, Potassium Mobilizing Bacteria and potassium showed marked increased in root biomass, dry matter, spike length, total number of tillers and grain yield. Interaction effects between FYM, KMB and potassium were found to be significant. Treatment combination KMB1K2 (KMB along with potassium @ 40 kg ha⁻¹) recorded significantly the highest spike length (10.54 cm), Treatment combination F1KMB1K2 (FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹ along with KMB and potassium @ 40 kg ha⁻¹) recorded significantly higher grain yield (5640 kg ha⁻¹).

Keywords: Potassium, potassium mobilizing bacteria, FYM, plant and bacteria interaction

Introduction

Feeding a growing world population, as projected to reach 9 billion by 2050, adopting more efficient and sustainable production methods, responding to increased concerns about managing the natural resources, and adapting to climate change and drought conditions in several developing regions are some of the significant challenges that agriculture will face in the 21st century (Haub et al., 2012). In order to feed the increasing world population, agriculture must be intensive and sustainable in the future. However, it is well known that the food production by agriculture cannot be generally sustained unless the nutrients removed from soil as a result of increased crop production are replaced. Many agricultural soils lack a sufficient amount of one or more of essential plant nutrients so that plant growth is suboptimal. To obviate this problem and obtain higher plant yields, farmers have become increasingly dependent on chemical sources of fertilizers (Glick, 2012)^[11]. While the chemical fertilizers helped plant grow, they did not improve the properties of soil. It is well known that the constant use of chemical fertilizers, mainly phosphorous, nitrogenous, and potassic fertilizers have harmful effects on the environment (Adesemoye and Kloepper, 2009)^[1]. The farmers are using high analyzed inorganic fertilizers to get higher yield of wheat, but continuous and uncontrolled use of these chemical fertilizers ultimately deteriorate the soil health. Therefore, integrated nutrient management is highly essential to maintain the soil fertility, productivity and to minimize the land degradation and environmental pollution for sustainable agriculture. The integrated nutrient management thus can play a vital role in agriculture.

After nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), potassium (K) is the most important plant nutrient that has a key role in the growth, metabolism and development of plants. In addition to increasing plant resistance to diseases, pests, and abiotic stresses, K is required to activate over 80 different enzymes responsible for plant and animal processes such as energy metabolism, starch synthesis, nitrate reduction, photosynthesis, and sugar degradation (Almeida *et al.*, 2015; CecílioFilho *et al.*, 2015; Gallegos-Cedillo *et al.*, 2016; Hussain *et al.*, 2016; White and Karley, 2010; Yang *et al.*, 2015)^[3,9,10,14,29,34]. K is the seventh most abundant element in

Earth's crust. Total K content in soils ranges between 0.04 and 3% K. Although K is present as an abundant element in soil, only 1 to 2% of this element is available to plants (Sparks and Huang, 1985)^[27]. The rest are bound with other minerals and therefore are unavailable to plants.

K is present in several forms in the soil, including mineral K, non-exchangeable K, exchangeable K, and solution K. Depending on soil type, from 90 to 98% of soil K is mineral K and most of this K is unavailable for plant uptake (Sparks and Huang, 1985)^[27]. Minerals containing K are feldspar (orthoclase and microcline) and mica (biotite and muscovite). The non-exchangeable form of K makes up approximately 1 to 10% of soil K and is trapped between the layers or sheets of certain kinds of clay minerals (Sparks, 1987)^[26]. Solution K is the form of K that directly and readily is taken up by plants and microbes in soil. In addition, this form is most subject to leaching in soils. The concentration of soil solution K varies from 2 to 5 mg L⁻¹ for normal agricultural soils (Sparks and Huang, 1985)^[27].

It is proven that microbial soil community is able to influence soil fertility through soil processes viz. decomposition, mineralization, and storage/release of nutrients (Parmar and Sindhu, 2013) ^[21]. Among these microorganisms, K mobilizing bacteria (KMB) have attracted the attention of agriculturists as soil inoculum to promote the plant growth and yield. The KMB are effective in releasing K from inorganic and insoluble pools of total soil K through solubilization (Archana et al., 2013; Gundala et al., 2013; Keshavarz Zarjani et al., 2013; Meena et al., 2014; Meena et al., 2015b; Parmar and Sindhu, 2013; Saha et al., 2016; Zeng et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2013)^[4, 12, 17, 19, 20, 21, 23, 35, 36]. It had been reported that inoculation with KSB produced beneficial effect on growth of different plants (Ahmad et al., 2016; Bakhshandeh et al., 2017; Xiao et al., 2017) [2, 8, 31]. In wheat, potassium application is not regularly practiced, but plays equally important role as nitrogen and phosphorus in plants for their growth and development. Potassium is one of the most abundantly absorbed cation in higher plants. By introduction of high yielding varieties and hybrids during green revolution and with the progressive intensification of agriculture, the soils are getting depleted in potassium reserve at a faster rate. As a consequence, potassium deficiency is becoming one of the major constraints in crop production, especially in coarse textured soils. Even in fine textured soils the available fraction is low compared to total K in them, crops do respond to K fertilization in soils with high available K. Excessive usage of fertilizers leads to the leaching of nutrients from the soil and contributes to environmental pollution, without corresponding increases in yield. Potassium fixed with in soil and not easily meet to crop. Although most agricultural soils have large amounts of K, these are immobilized and mostly become unavailable. Hence, very limited concentration of K is available to plants. Although K deficiency is not as wide spread as that of nitrogen and phosphorus, many soils which were initially rich in K become deficit in due course due to heavy utilization by crops and inadequate K application, runoff, leaching and soil erosion (Sheng and Huang, 2002).

Materials and Method Experimental details

The present experiment was carried out at Agronomy Farm, B. A. College of Agriculture, Anand Agricultural University, Anand during *rabi* season of the year 2019-20. The experimental site was alkaline in reaction with low in organic carbon and nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus and potassium. With respect to DTPA-extractable micronutrients Zn, Mn, Fe and Cu were in sufficient (Table 1.0).

The treatments were comprised of two levels of FYM (F₀ and F₁), two levels of KMB (with KMB (KMB₁) and without KMB (KMB₀) and three levels of potassium (K_0 , K_1 and K_2). Seed treatment with KMB @ 5 mL kg-1 of seed and application of @ 1.0 L ha⁻¹ at second irrigation. The treatments were tested against the control. The field experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (Factorial) with three replications. Wheat variety GW 451 was raised under recommended dose of fertilizer (120 kg N ha⁻¹ and 60 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹). Basal dose of 60:60 kg $N-P_2O_5$ ha⁻¹, common to all plot was applied in the furrows before sowing. Remaining 50% N was applied in two splits at 30 and 45 DAS. As per treatments, the crop was fertilized with potassium @ 0, 20, 40 kg ha⁻¹ in the form of MOP in soil were done. Nitrogen and Phosphorus were applied from the source of urea and DAP. Before sowing, seeds were uniformly treated with KMB @ 5 mL kg-1 of seeds and application @ 1.0 L ha⁻¹ at 2nd irrigation.

Table 1: Properties of the experimental plot

Parameter	value
Clay (%)	5.10
Silt (%)	10.33
Fine sand (%)	83.50
Coarse sand (%)	0.66
Bulk density (g/cc)	1.51
Water holding capacity (%)	38.05
Soil Texture	Loamy sand
pH (1:2.5)	8.12
EC (1:2.5) (dS m ⁻¹)	0.17
Organic carbon (%)	0.39
Available N (kg ha ⁻¹)	150
Available P ₂ O ₅ (kg ha ⁻¹)	37.22
Available K ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹)	247
Available S (mg kg ⁻¹)	6.30
DTPA- Fe (mg kg ⁻¹)	7.22
DTPA-Mn(mg kg ⁻¹)	7.99
DTPA- Zn (mg kg ⁻¹)	1.33
DTPA- Cu (mg kg ⁻¹)	2.94

Growth, yield and yield attributes

Plant population was recorded at 20 DAS. plant height, spike length and total number of tillers was recorded at harvest for five randomly selected tagged plants in each net plot and average was calculated and recorded separately. Dry matter per plant, root length was recorded at 30 DAS. Five normal seedlings were used for measurement of root length, were enveloped in a paper packet and dried in an oven at $60 + 5^{\circ}$ C for 24 hours. After 24 hours paper packets were removed and weighed. The dry weight per seedling was expressed in gram. At harvest of the crop, grain, straw yield and test weight were computed and respective.

Statistical Analysis

The data were statistically analysed as per the methods by steel and torrie (1982). The value of 'F' was worked out and compared with value of 'F' at 5 per cent level of significance. The value of standard error mean (S.Em. \pm), critical difference (C. D.) and coefficient of variation (C. V. %) were also calculated and appropriately used for interpretation of data.

Results and Discussion

Effect of FYM on yield and yield attributes

Plant population at 20 DAS was not affected significantly due to different levels of FYM. Root biomass and dry matter of wheat at 30 DAS significantly altered with the application of FYM. The results revealed that the application of 10 t FYM ha⁻¹ was found significantly the highest root biomass (0.482 g plant⁻¹) and dry matter (3.638 g plant⁻¹) of wheat at 30 DAS. The similar results were supported by Jat et al., (2013)^[16] in wheat, Ibrahim et al., (2013) ^[15] in sweet corn and also Bagyalakshmi et al., (2012)^[7] in tea. The increased root biomass and dry matter with FYM might be due to mainly the positive effect of FYM on increasing the available moisture content and hence increasing the availability of nutrients in the soil solution. Whereas in case of root length; treatment effect was found to be non-significant. Plant height at harvest showed non-significant response with different levels of FYM. Spike length and total number of tillers were significantly influenced by different levels of FYM. Significantly the highest spike length (8.59 cm) and total number of tillers (334) were observed under treatment F_1 (FYM @10 t ha⁻¹) as compared to treatment F_0 (0 t ha⁻¹). The similar results were also found by Singh et al., (2019)^[25] and Arif et al., (2017)^[6] in wheat, Ram and Mir (2006)^[22] in wheat crop. It is obvious that FYM proved more useful in case of plant growth of wheat crop, it may be due to slow mineralization and availability of nutrients along with moisture holding capacity of soil by FYM.

Significantly the highest grain yield (5100 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded under treatment F_1 (FYM @ 10 t ha⁻¹) as compared to treatment F_0 (FYM @ 0 t ha⁻¹). The Similar results were also found by Singh *et al.*, (2019) ^[25] in wheat. However,

treatment effect was not found significant in case of straw yield as well as test weight of wheat.

Effect of KMB on yield and yield attributes

The yield attributes viz., plant population at 20 DAS, Root biomass, dry matter, root length of wheat at 30 days after sowing and plant height at harvest did not influenced significantly due to different levels of KMB. Significantly the highest spike length (8.97 cm) was observed under treatment KMB₁ (with KMB) as compared to treatment KMB₀ (without KMB). This may be attributed to greater mobilization of K from organic materials through composting process. Addition of KMB significantly enhanced higher available-K and increase spike length. However, treatment effect was found to be non-significant with KMB application in case of total number of tillers. Significantly the highest grain yield (5046 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded under the treatment KMB₁ (with KMB application) as compared to KMB₀ (without KMB application). Similar results were also found by Singh et al., (2000) ^[24] in wheat. This result also close conformity with Archna et al., (2008)^[5] who reported that KMB is able to solubilize inorganic source of K like muriate of potash by means of production of organic acids in order to improve yield in maize. Bagyalakshami et al. (2012)^[7] reported that application of indigenous KMB formulation with various doses of potash fertilizers and N and P enhanced green leaf yield and productivity in tea. The increase in yield might be due to the solubilization of nutrients in the soil by producing organic acids by KMB. However, treatment effect was found to be non-significant in case of straw yield as well as test weight of wheat.

	Plant population	Root biomass	Dry matter	Root length	Plant height	
Treatments (per meter row length)			At 30 DAS (At harves			
	(At 20 DAS	(g plant ⁻¹)		(cm)		
	Level of FYM					
F ₀	34	0.443	3.342	9.04	74.83	
F1	35	0.482	3.638	9.48	79.43	
S. Em. ±	1.0	0.013	0.097	0.29	1.83	
CD (P=0.05)	NS	0.038	0.284	NS	NS	
Level of KMB						
KMB ₀	34	0.448	3.371	8.89	74.83	
KMB ₁	35	0.478	3.611	9.63	79.42	
S. Em. ±	1.0	0.013	0.097	0.29	1.83	
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	
Level of Potassium						
K_0	34	0.453	3.400	8.89	75.48	
K1	34	0.466	3.486	8.95	77.09	
K ₂	35	0.470	3.587	9.95	78.81	
S. Em. ±	1.0	0.016	0.119	0.36	2.24	
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	
Sig. Interaction	-	-	-	-	-	
CV %	7.78	11.73	11.78	13.28	10.5	

Table 2: Effect of FYM, KMB and potassium levels on plant population, root biomass, dry matter, root length and plant height of wheat

Effect of potassium on yield and yield attributes

The yield and yield attributing characters *viz.*, plant population at 20 DAS, root biomass, dry matter and root length of wheat at 30 days, plant height at harvest and total number of tillers as well as grain and straw yield of wheat did

not differ significantly due to different levels of potassium. but the treatment of application of potassium @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ (K₂) was registered significantly the highest spike length (8.90 cm) as compared to treatment K₁ and K₀.

Table 3: Effect of FYM, KMB and potassium levels on spike length, total number of tiller, grain yield, straw yield and test weight of wheat

Treatmonta	Spike length	Total number of tillers	Grain yield	Straw yield	Test weight
1 reatments	(cm)	(per meter row length)	(kg ha ⁻¹)		(g)
Level of FYM					
F ₀	7.67	308	4678	6258	44
F_1	8.59	334	5100	6534	46
S. Em. ±	0.23	8	102	190	0.7
CD (P=0.05)	0.67	25	300	NS	NS
Level of KMB					
KMB_0	7.28	312	4728	6251	44
KMB_1	8.97	329	5046	6540	46
S. Em. ±	0.23	8	102	190	0.7
CD (P=0.05)	0.67	NS	300	NS	NS
Level of Potassium					
\mathbf{K}_0	7.51	314	4813	6329	44
\mathbf{K}_1	7.98	321	4809	6465	45
K_2	8.90	327	5038	6393	46
S. Em. ±	0.28	10	125	233	0.9
CD (P=0.05)	0.82	NS	NS	NS	NS
Sig. Interaction	KMB×K	-	F×KMB×K	-	-
CV %	11.91	11.25	8.88	12.63	7.11

Table 4: Interaction effect of KMB and potassium levels on spike length (cm) of wheat

KMB (lit ha ⁻¹)	KMD (without KMD)	KMB ₁ (with KMB)	
Potassium (kg ha ⁻¹)	KIVID ₀ (without KIVID)		
\mathbf{K}_0	7.20	7.81	
K 1	7.24	8.55	
K ₂	7.40	10.54	
S. Em. ±	0.40		
CD (P=0.05)	1.16		
CV%	11.91		

Interaction effect

Treatment combination KMB₁K₂ (KMB along with potassium @ 40 kg ha⁻¹) recorded significantly the highest spike length (10.54 cm) as compared to other treatment combinations.

FYM (t ha ⁻¹)	Potassium (kg ha ⁻¹)	KMB _o (without KMB)	KMB ₁ (with KMB)	
Fo	\mathbf{K}_0	4111	4603	
	K 1	4366	4789	
	\mathbf{K}_2	4967	4873	
F1	\mathbf{K}_0	4690	4857	
	K 1	4757	5516	
	\mathbf{K}_2	5141	5640	
S. Em. ±	251			
CD (P=0.05)	736			
CV %	8.88			

Table 5: Interaction effect of FYM, KMB and potassium levels on grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) of wheat

The similar results were supported by Ram and Mir (2006)^[22] in wheat. And also interaction effect between FYM, KMB and potassium was found nonsignificant. It may be due to KMB Adequately and timely supply of K might have favored net assimilation and partitioning of photosynthates to various metabolic sinks, which ultimately increased growth and yield. treatment combination F1KMB1K2 (FYM @10 t ha-1 along with KMB and potassium @ 40 kg ha⁻¹) recorded significantly higher grain yield (5640 kg ha⁻¹) than treatment combination with $F_0KMB_0K_0$ but it was at par with treatment combination with F1KMB0K1, F1KMB1K0 and F0KMB0K2. However, former significant treatment combination was improved grain yield by 27.10% as compared to treatment combination with F₀KMB₀K₀. The increased yield with K fertilization might be due to increased availability, absorption and translocation of K nutrient. As the K is essential for grain development, the favorable effect of high doses of K on

growth and yield attributes was mainly responsible for higher grain and straw yields. Significant effect of K application in sesame, mustard, groundnut and wheat was also reported by Yadav *et al.* (2012)^[32].

The overall development of plant in terms of root and shoot which might have absorbed more nutrient and enhanced photosynthesis and production of assimilates, which in turn increased the yield of wheat. The results obtained in present investigation are in line with the findings of Singh *et al.*, $(2019)^{[25]}$ in wheat, also Tarafdar and Rao $(2001)^{[28]}$, Yadav *et al.*, $(2005)^{[33]}$ and Meena *et al.*, $(2007)^{[18]}$ in vegetable crops.

Conclusion

The finding of the present study suggested that application of 10 t FYM ha⁻¹ along with potassium mobilizing bacteria (KMB) was beneficial to enhance the wheat grain yield.

References

- 1. Adesemoye AO, Kloepper JW. Plant-microbes interactions in enhanced fertilizer-use efficiency. Applied microbiology and biotechnology 2009;85(1):1-12.
- Ahmad M, Nadeem SM, Naveed M, Zahir ZA. Potassium-solubilizing bacteria and their application in agriculture. In Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture Springer, New Delhi 2016, 293-313.
- Almeida HJ, Pancelli MA, Prado RM, Cavalcante VS, Cruz FJR. Effect of potassium on nutritional status and productivity of peanuts in succession with sugar cane. Journal of soil science and plant nutrition 2015;15(1):1-10.
- 4. Archana DS, Nandish MS, Savalagi VP, Alagawadi AR. Characterization of potassium solubilizing bacteria (KSB) from rhizosphere soil. Bioinfolet-A Quarterly Journal of Life Sciences 2013;10(1b):248-257.
- 5. Archana DS, Savalgi VP, Alagawadi AR. Effect of potassium solubilizing bacteria on growth and yield of maize. Soil biology and ecology 2008;28(1-2):9-18.
- Arif M, Tasneem M, Bashir F, Yaseen G, Anwar A. Evaluation of different levels of potassium and zinc fertilizer on the growth and yield of wheat. International Journal of Biosensors & Bioelectronics 2017;3(2):1-5.
- Bagyalakshami B, Ponmurugan P, Marimuthu S. Influence of potassium solubilizing bacteria on crop productivity and quality of tea (*Camellia sinensis*). African Journal of Agricultural Research 2012;7(30):4250-4259.
- 8. Bakhshandeh E, Pirdashti H, Lendeh KS. Phosphate and potassium-solubilizing bacteria effect on the growth of rice. Ecological Engineering 2017;103:164-169.
- CecílioFilho AB, Feltrim AL, Mendoza Cortez JW, Gonsalves MV, Pavani LC, Barbosa JC. Nitrogen and potassium application by fertigation at different watermelon planting densities. Journal of soil science and plant nutrition 2015;15(4):928-937.
- 10. Gallegos-Cedillo VM, Urrestarazu M, Álvaro JE. Influence of salinity on transport of Nitrates and Potassium by means of the xylem sap content between roots and shoots in young tomato plants. Journal of soil science and plant nutrition 2016;16(4):991-998.
- 11. Glick BR. Plant growth-promoting bacteria: mechanisms and applications. Scientifica 2012.
- Gundala PB, Chinthala P, Sreenivasulu B. A new facultative alkaliphilic, potassium solubilizing, Bacillus Sp. SVUNM9 isolated from mica cores of Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh, India. Research and Reviews. J Micro biolBiotechnol 2013;2(1):1-7.
- 13. Haub C, Kaneda T. World population data sheet 2013.
- 14. Hussain Z, Khattak RA, Irshad M, Mahmood Q, An P. Effect of saline irrigation water on the leachability of salts, growth and chemical composition of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in saline-sodic soil supplemented with phosphorus and potassium. Journal of soil science and plant nutrition 2016;16(3):604-620.
- Ibrahim HA, Bchairy UA, Lashine ZA, Desuki M, Bakry MO, Shorbary T. Effect of sowing date and potassium fertilizer application on growth, yield and quality of sweet corn (*Zea Mays* L.). Jornal of Applied Science and Research 2013;9(8):5126-5135.
- 16. Jat G, Majumdar SP, Jat NK, Majumdar SP. Potassium and zinc fertilization of wheat in western arid zones of India. Indian Journal of Agronomy 2013;58:67-71.

- KeshavarzZarjani J, Aliasgharzad N, Oustan S, Emadi M, Ahmadi A. Isolation and characterization of potassium solubilizing bacteria in some Iranian soils. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 2013;59(12):1713-1723.
- Meena RN, Singh Y, Singh SP, Singh JP, Singh K. Effect of sources and level of organic manure on yield, quality and economics of garden pea (*Pisum sativam* L.) in eastern Uttar Pradesh. Vegetable Science 2007;34(1):60-63.
- Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP. Does a rhizospheric microorganism enhance K⁺ availability in agricultural soils. Microbiological research 2014;169(5-6):337-347.
- 20. Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP, Aeron A, Kumar A, Kim K *et al.* Potassium solubilizing rhizobacteria (KSR): isolation, identification, and K-release dynamics from waste mica. Ecological Engineering 2015;81:340-347.
- 21. Parmar P, Sindhu SS. Potassium solubilization by rhizosphere bacteria: influence of nutritional and environmental conditions. J Microbiol Res 2013;3(1):25-31.
- Ram T, Mir MS. Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and yield-attributing characters of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Indian Journal of Agronomy 2006;51(3):189-192.
- 23. Saha M, Maurya BR, Meena VS, Bahadur I, Kumar A. Identification and characterization of potassium solubilizing bacteria (KSB) from Indo-Gangetic Plains of India. Biocatalysis and agricultural biotechnology 2016;7:202-209.
- 24. Singh J, Sharma HI, Singh CM. Effect of levels and phases of potassium application on growth and yield of rice and wheat. Indian Journal of Agronomy 2000;16(1/4):35-40.
- 25. Singh P, Agrawal VK, Singh YV. Effect of potassium and FYM on growth parameters, yield and mineral composition of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in *alluvial* soil. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochem 2019;8(3):24-27.
- 26. Sparks DL. Potassium dynamics in soils. In Advances in soil science. Springer, New York, NY 1987, 1-63.
- 27. Sparks DL, Huang PM. Physical chemistry of soil potassium. Potassium in agriculture 1985, 201-276.
- 28. Tarafdar JC, Rao AV. Response of cluster bean to Glomus mosseae and Rhizobium in an Arid Soil Fertilized with Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Farmyard Manure. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 2001;49(4):751-755.
- 29. White PJ, Karley AJ. Potassium. In Cell biology of metals and nutrients Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg 2010, 199-224.
- 30. Xiafang S, Weiyi H. Mechanism of potassium release from feldspar affected by the sprain Nbt of silicate bacterium. Acta Pedologica Sinica 2002;39(6):863-871.
- Xiao Y, Wang X, Chen W, Huang Q. Isolation and identification of three potassium-solubilizing bacteria from rape rhizospheric soil and their effects on ryegrass. *Geomicrobiology* Journal 2017;34(10):873-880.
- 32. Yadav SS, Tikkoo A, Singh JP. Effect of potassium on pearl millet-wheat Cropping system in coarse textured soils of Southern Haryana. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science 2012;60(2):145-149.
- 33. Yadav VS, Luthra JP. Effect of organic manures at different levels of phosphorus on yield and economics of vegetable pea. Udyanika Journal of Horticultural Sciences 2005;11(2):120-122.

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

- 34. Yang BM, Yao LX, Li GL, He ZH, Zhou CM. Dynamic changes of nutrition in litchi foliar and effects of potassium-nitrogen fertilization ratio. Journal of soil science and plant nutrition 2015;15(1):98-110.
- 35. Zeng X, Liu X, Tang J, Hu S, Jiang P, Li W, Xu L. Characterization and potassium-solubilizing ability of Bacillus Circulans Z 1-3. Advanced Science Letters 2012;10(1):173-176.
- 36. Zhang AM, Zhao GY, Gao TG, Wang W, Li J, Zhang SF *et al.* Solubilization of insoluble potassium and phosphate by Paenibacillus kribensis CX-7: A soil microorganism with biological control potential. African Journal of Microbiology Research 2013;7(1):41-47.