

## Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 www.phytojournal.com JPP 2021; 10(1): 1544-1547 Received: 14-11-2020 Accepted: 15-12-2020

Indumoori Manoj Venkatesh

Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### VM Prasad

Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### SS Saravanan

Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of agricultural sciences and technology, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

# Effect of micronutrients on growth, flower yield and flower quality of petunia (*Petunia hybrida*) Cv. purple star

### Indumoori Manoj Venkatesh, VM Prasad and SS Saravanan

#### **Abstract**

The present investigation entitled "Effect of Micronutrients on Growth, Flower Yield and Flower Quality of Petunia (*Petunia hybrida*) cv. Purple star" was carried out during 2019-2020 at research field of Department of Horticulture, Allahabad School of agriculture, SHUATS, Prayagraj. The experiment included ten treatments and three replications. Treatment details were T<sub>0</sub> - (Control), T<sub>1</sub> - (ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%), T<sub>2</sub> -(ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.4%), T<sub>3</sub>-(ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6%), T<sub>4</sub>-(FESO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%), T<sub>5</sub> - (FESO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.4%), T<sub>6</sub> - (FESO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6%), T<sub>7</sub>- (CUSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%), T<sub>8</sub>-(CUSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.4%), T<sub>9</sub>-(CUSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6%). It was concluded that application of ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @0.6% in treatment T<sub>3</sub> was found to be superior on plant height (23.95 cm), plant spread (72.41 cm), number of branches (22.13), number of leaves (561.75), number of days required for 1<sup>st</sup> bud emergence from transplanting (21.92), number of days required for 1<sup>st</sup> flower opening (30.83), and application of ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @0.2% in treatment T<sub>1</sub> found best in terms of flower stalk length (6.78 cm), and flower length (7.70 cm) and application of ZNSO<sub>4</sub>@0.6% in Treatment T<sub>3</sub> found superior in terms of fresh weight of flower (1.16 g), dry weight of flower (0.89 g), number of flowers per plant (106.47), flower yield per plant (122.35 g), flower yield per plot (489.40 g), flower yield per hectare (4.89 t) was observed as compared to T<sub>0</sub>- Control.

Keywords: Micronutrients, ZNSO4, FESO4, CUSO4, petunia (Petunia hybrida) etc.

#### Introduction

Petunia (*petunia hybrida*) is a winter annual flowering crop belongs to the family Solanaceae, and flowers vary from i.e. single to double in different colours like white, cream, pink, purple, magenta, mauve, salmon or bicoloured are available. Petunia is open pollinated winter flowering annual crop. The height of plant varies from 50-70 cm. the seedlings are transplanted in first week of November at 45 cm x 45 cm distance. Seeds are ready for harvesting from April to mid june. Harvest the pods as they began drying otherwise shattering will occur. It is native of south America and is very popular in Indian gardens due to its floriferous and hardy nature.

Petunia is commonly grown as bedding, pots, hanging baskets, window boxes, rockery and for mass planting. Plants grow about 30 to 40 cm and have spreading habit with trailing branches. Leaves are small, round and thick. The flowers have five petals which are joined and are trumpet shaped.

The single bedding petunia is one of the best and most valuable bedding ornamental flowers, as this has a long flowering season under favourable conditions. It flowers best as the hot weather approaches and can be continued till the rains in the hills. Petunias are also good for borders, mass planting edgings, rock gardens (especially the dwarf types), window boxes, and hanging basket (balcony type) and pots. Petunia is also good for vase decoration as cut flowers and when grown in mass under the shrubs they display a striking effect.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The Experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with 10 treatments of Micronutrients i.e. Zinc Sulphate (ZNSO<sub>4</sub>), Ferrous Sulphate (FESO<sub>4</sub>) and Copper Sulphate (CUSO<sub>4</sub>) with three replications in the Departmental Research field of Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj during November 2019 to March 2020. Total number of treatments were ten *viz.* T<sub>0</sub>-(Control), T<sub>1</sub> - (ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%), T<sub>2</sub>-(ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.4%), T<sub>3</sub>-(ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6%), T<sub>4</sub> - (FESO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%), T<sub>5</sub>-(FESO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.4%), T<sub>6</sub>-(FESO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6%), T<sub>7</sub>-(CUSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%), T<sub>8</sub>-(CUSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.4%), T<sub>9</sub> - (CUSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6%)

Corresponding Author: Indumoori Manoj Venkatesh Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Climatic condition in the experimental site

The area of Prayagraj district comes under subtropical belt in the South east of Uttar Pradesh, which experience extremely hot summer and fairly cold winter. The maximum temperature of the location reaches up to 46°C - 48°C and seldom falls as low as 4°C -5°C. The relative humidity ranged between 20-94 percent. The average rainfall in this area is around 1013.4 mm annually. However, occasional precipitation is also not uncommon during winter months.

#### **Results and Discussion**

The present investigation entitled "Effect of Micronutrients on Growth, Flower Yield and Flower Quality of Petunia (Petunia hybrida) cv. Purple Star" was carried out during November 2019 to March 2020 in Departmental Research Field of Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P) India. The results of the present investigation, regarding the effect of Micronutrients on growth, flower yield and flower quality of Petunia, have been discussed and interpreted in the light of previous research work done in India and abroad. The experiment was conducted in Randomized block design with 10 treatments, and three replications.

The results of the experiment are summarized below.

#### A. Growth Parameters

Among all the treatments shown in Table -1, the maximum plant height (23.95 cm) was recorded in treatment T<sub>3</sub>- ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6% followed by T<sub>1</sub>- ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%. (22.73 cm). Minimum plant height (17.45cm) was recorded in treatment T<sub>0</sub> control. The maximum plant spread (72.41 cm) was recorded in treatment T<sub>3</sub>-ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6% followed by T<sub>1</sub>-ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2% (70.21 cm). Minimum plant spread (58.59 cm) was recorded in treatment To control. The maximum Number of branch per plant (22.13) was recorded in treatment  $T_3$ -ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6% followed by  $T_1$ - ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2% (21.42). Minimum Number of branch per plant (14.44) was recorded in treatment T0 control. The maximum Number of leaves per plant (561.75) was recorded in treatment T<sub>3</sub>-ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6% followed by T<sub>1</sub>- ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2% (542.12). Minimum Number of leaves per plant (381.63) was recorded in treatment T<sub>0</sub> control.

#### **B. Floral Parameters**

Micronutrients significantly affected the number of days required for first flower bud emergence from transplanting. However, it was seen that minimum days (21.92) were taken by treatment  $T_3$ -ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6% which was remarkably better than  $T_8$ - CUSO<sub>4</sub>@ 0.4% (34.42 days). The increase in the number of days was seen between the various treatments. Treatment  $T_4$  - FESO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%, (24.00 days),  $T_7$ - CUSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%. (26.25 days),  $T_1$  -ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2% (27.58 days),  $T_6$ - FESO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6% (29.42 days)  $T_5$  - FESO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.4% (30.67 days) were and control  $T_0$  - Control (37.58 days).

Micronutrients significantly affected the number of days required for first flower opening. However, it was seen that minimum days (30.83) were taken by treatment  $T_3$ -ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6% which was remarkably better than  $T_8$ - CUSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.4% (42.50 days). The increase in the number of days was seen between the various treatments. Treatment  $T_4$  - FESO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%, (32.25 days),  $T_7$ - CUSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%. (35.33 days),  $T_1$ - ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2% (36.25 days),  $T_6$  - FESO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6% (37.25 days)  $T_5$  - FESO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.4% (38.25 days) were and control  $T_0$  - Control (49.25 days).

It is evident from the data that flower stalk length (cm) of flower was significantly affected by Micronutrients. The highest flower stalk length (cm) was found in treatment  $T_{1-}$  ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2% (6.78 cm) followed by  $T_{3-}$  ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6% (6.72 cm),  $T_{2-}$  ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.4% (6.62 cm) and  $T_{7}-$  CUSO<sub>4</sub> (6.59 cm). Lowest flower stalk length (cm) was found in treatment T0- (4.68 cm) control.

It is evident from the data that fresh weight of flower was significantly affected by Micronutrients. The highest fresh weight was found in treatment  $T_3$ -  $ZNSO_4$  @ 0.6% (1.16 g) followed by  $T_1$ - $ZNSO_4$  @ 0.2% (1.07 g),  $T_2$ -  $ZNSO_4$  @ 0.4%(1.05 g) and  $T_7$ -  $CUSO_4$  (1.03 g). Lowest fresh weight was found in treatment TO- (0.78 g) control.

It is evident from the data that Dry weight of flower was significantly affected by Micronutrients. The highest Dry weight was found in treatment  $T_3$ - ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6% (0.89 g) followed by  $T_1$ - ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2% (0.86 g),  $T_2$ - ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.4% (0.83 g) and  $T_7$  – CUSO<sub>4</sub> (0.80 g). Lowest dry weight was found in treatment  $T_0$ - (0.61 g) control.

#### C. Quality Parameters

significant use of treatment  $T_3$ -  $ZNSO_4$  @ 0.6% produced flowers of larger size i.e. Diameter of flower (9.26 cm), other treatments which produced significant results over control were  $T_1$ - $ZNSO_4$  @ 0.2% (9.05 cm),  $T_2$ - $ZNSO_4$  @ 0.4% (8.96 cm),  $T_8$ - $CUSO_4$  @ 0.4%. (8.88cm) and diameter of flower (8.42 cm) was found minimum in treatment T0 (Control). It is evident from the data that flower length (cm) of flower was significantly affected by Micronutrients. The highest flower length (cm) was found in treatment  $T_1$ -  $ZNSO_4$  @ 0.2% (7.70 cm) followed by  $T_3$ -  $ZNSO_4$  @ 0.6% (7.47 cm),  $T_2$ -  $ZNSO_4$  @ 0.4% (6.98 cm) and  $T_7$  –  $CUSO_4$  (6.79 cm). Lowest flower length (cm) was found in treatment  $T_0$ - (5.06 cm) control.



Fig 1: During the Field Visit by Advisor Dr. V.M. Prasad sir

#### **D. Yield Parameters**

Micronutrients had significant influence on total number of flower per plant. Significantly highest number of flowers plant was recorded in treatment T<sub>3</sub>-ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6% (106.47) compared to other treatments. This treatment was followed by T<sub>1</sub>-ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%. (94.63), T<sub>2</sub>-ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.4% (92.71), T<sub>7</sub>-CUS0<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2% (89.62) and T<sub>4</sub>-FESO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2% (88.40) which were superior over control. Lowest number of flowers (72.42) was observed in treatment T<sub>0</sub> Control. Significantly highest yield of flower per plant (g) was recorded in treatment T<sub>3</sub>-ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6% (122.35 g) than all other treatment. This treatment was followed by T<sub>1</sub>-ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2% (104.53 g), T<sub>2</sub>-ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.4%. (98.75 g), T<sub>7</sub>-CUSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2% (91.74 g) and minimum flower yield (57.43g) was obtained in treatment T<sub>0</sub> Control. Significantly highest yield of flower per plot (g) was recorded in treatment T<sub>3</sub>-ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6% (489.40 g) than all other treatment. This treatment was followed by T<sub>1</sub>-ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @

0.2% (418.11 g),  $T_2$ - ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.4%. (394.98 g),  $T_7$ -CUSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2% (366.97 g) and minimum flower yield (229.72 g) was obtained in treatment  $T_0$ -Control. Significantly highest yield of flower per hectare (t) was recorded in treatment  $T_3$ -ZNSO<sub>4</sub>

@ 0.6% (4.89 t) than all other treatment. This treatment was followed by  $T_1$ -ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2% (4.18 t),  $T_2$ - ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.4% (3.95 t),  $T_7$ -CUSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2% (3.67 t) and minimum flower yield (2.30 t) was obtained in treatment  $T_0$ -Control.

**Table 1:** Effect of Micronutrients on Plant height (cm), Plant spread (cm), Number of branches per plant, and Number of leaves per plant of Petunia cv. Purple Star

Treatment	Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Plant spread (cm)	Number of branches per plant Number of leaves per plant		
symbols	Combination	120 DAT	120 DAT	120 DAT	120 DAT	
$T_0$	Control	17.45	58.59	14.44	381.63	
$T_1$	ZNSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%	22.73	70.21	21.42	542.12	
$T_2$	ZNSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.4%	21.64	69.39	20.32	523.22	
T <sub>3</sub>	ZNSO4@ 0.6%	23.95	72.41	22.13	561.75	
$T_4$	FESO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%	20.65	66.63	19.23	516.59	
T <sub>5</sub>	FESO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.4%	18.12	61.72	15.75	434.73	
$T_6$	FESO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.6%	19.85	64.35	17.46	492.37	
T <sub>7</sub>	CUSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%	20.97	68.42	19.72	509.72	
$T_8$	CUSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.4%	20.23	65.53	18.33	513.42	
T <sub>9</sub>	CUSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.6%	19.45	62.53	16.85	462.65	
	F-test	S	S	S	S	
	S.Ed (+)	0.03	0.10	0.01	0.01	
	CD at 5%	0.06	0.22	0.03	0.02	

**Table 2:** Effect of Micronutrients on No. of days for first flower bud emergence, No. of days for 1st flower opening, fresh weight (gm), Dry weight (gm), Flower stalk length (cm), Flower length (cm), and Diameter of flower (cm) of Petunia cv. Purple Star

Treatments symbols	Treatment Combination	No. of days for first flower bud emergence	No. of days required for 1 <sup>st</sup> Flower Opening	Fresh weight (gm)	Dry weight (gm)	Flower stalk length (cm)	Flower length (cm)	Diameter of flower (cm)
$T_0$	Control	37.58	49.25	0.86	0.61	4.68	5.06	8.42
$T_1$	ZNSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%	27.58	36.25	1.07	0.86	6.78	7.70	9.05
$T_2$	ZNSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.4%	32.08	41.25	1.05	0.83	6.62	6.98	8.96
T <sub>3</sub>	ZNSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.6%	21.92	30.83	1.16	0.89	6.72	7.47	9.26
$T_4$	FESO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%	24.00	32.25	1.01	0.76	6.52	6.59	8.77
T <sub>5</sub>	FESO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.4%	30.67	38.25	0.91	0.65	6.38	5.48	8.64
T <sub>6</sub>	FESO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.6%	29.42	37.25	0.97	0.71	6.24	6.34	8.82
$T_7$	CUSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%	26.25	35.33	1.03	0.80	6.59	6.79	8.79
T <sub>8</sub>	CUSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.4%	34.42	42.50	0.99	0.73	5.44	6.41	8.88
T <sub>9</sub>	CUSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.6%	31.58	39.50	0.95	0.70	5.93	6.04	8.73
	F-test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
	S.Ed(±)	0.15	0.22	0.002	0.001	0.01	0.02	0.01
	C D 5%	0.31	0.46	0.003	0.003	0.02	0.03	0.02

**Table 3:** Effect of Micronutrients on Diameter of flower(cm), Number of flowers per plant, Flower yield per plant (g), Flower yield per plot (g), Flower yield per ha (t) and Benefit cost Ratio of Petunia cv. Purple Star

Treatments	Treatment	Number of flowers per	Flower yield Per	Flower yield Per plot	Flower yield	Benefit
symbols	combination	plant	plant (g)	(g)	Per ha (t)	Cost Ratio
$T_0$	Control	72.42	57.43	229.72	2.30	1.06
$T_1$	ZNSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%	94.63	104.53	418.11	4.18	1.89
T <sub>2</sub>	ZNSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.4%	92.71	98.75	394.98	3.95	1.76
T <sub>3</sub>	ZNSO4 @ 0.6%	106.47	122.35	489.40	4.89	2.16
T <sub>4</sub>	FESO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%	88.40	87.30	349.19	3.49	1.58
T <sub>5</sub>	FESO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.4%	80.34	69.73	278.91	2.79	1.25
T <sub>6</sub>	FESO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.6%	83.75	76.22	304.88	3.05	1.36
T <sub>7</sub>	CUSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%	89.62	91.74	366.97	3.67	1.66
T <sub>8</sub>	CUSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.4%	84.53	81.78	327.13	3.27	1.46
T <sub>9</sub>	CUSO <sub>4</sub> @ 0.6%	82.17	74.12	296.48	2.96	1.31
	F-test	S	S	S	S	
	S.Ed (±)	0.03	0.03	0.12	0.002	
	C D at 5%	0.05	0.07	0.26	0.003	

#### Conclusion

On the basis of present investigation it is concluded that the application of treatment  $T_3$  –ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.6% found best in terms of Growth, Flower Quality and Flower Yield, Economics of Treatments, Gross return, net profit and Maximum Cost Benefit ratio (1:2.16) of Petunia followed by  $T_{1}$ - ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2%. In terms of Flower stalk length (cm) and

Flower length (cm) application of treatment  $T_1$ -ZNSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.2% found best.

#### References

1. Bashir AMA, Ahmad W, Ahmad SK, Shafi I, Shehzad AM, Sarwra AM *et al.* Efficacy of foliar application of micronutrients on growth and flowering of Gerbera

- jamesonii. Journal of Agricultural Research 2013;1:145-149
- 2. Chopde N, Nehare N, Maske SR, Lokhande S, Bhut PN. Effect of foliar application of zinc and iron on growth, yield and quality of gladiolus. Plant Archives 2015;15(1):417-419.
- 3. Devi SR, Thokchom R, Singh UC. Growth, flowering and yield of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L) ev. Single as influenced by foliar application of ZnSO4, and CuSO4, International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 2017;6(10):735-743.
- 4. Kakade DK, Rajput SG, Joshi KI. Effect of foliar application of 'Fe' and 'Zn' on growth, flowering and yield of China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* L. Nees) the Asian journal of horticulture June to November 2009;4(1):138-140.
- 5. Erao KS. Influence of iron nutrition on growth, flowering and corm yield in gladiolus. J of Orna. Horti 2005;8(4):293-295.
- 6. Jauhari S, Srivastava R, Srivastava PC. Effect of zinc on growth, flowering, corm attributes, post-harvest lite and leaf and com nutrient status in gladiolus cv, Red Beauty, Progressive Horticulture 2005;37(2):423-428.
- 7. Khan FU. Effect of micronutrients on Dahlia. J Orna. Hort 2000;3(2):122-123.
- 8. Katiyar RS, Garg VK, Singh PK. Foliar spray of Zn and Cu on growth. Floral characteristics and yield of gladiolus grown in sodic soil. Indian Journal of Horticulture 2005;62(3):272-275.
- Kolukunde S, Sarmah D, Biswas A, Mandal T. Effect micronutrients on growth and flowering of gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii* L.) cv. Rosaline under poly house conditions of plains of West Bengal. Environ and Eco 2014;32(48):1702-1704.
- 10. Khosa SS, Younis A, Yameen S, Riaj A. Effect of foliar application of micro nutrients on growth and flowering of gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii*). American Eurasian J Agri. and Environ. Sci 2011;11(5):736-757.
- 11. Kumar NP, Misra RK, Dhiman RS, Cianga M, Kameswari L. Effect of micronutrient sprays on growth and flowering of chrysanthemum. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 2009;79:426-428.
- Nisha, Ajith Kumar. Effect of Micronutrients (Fe, Zn and B) on Growth and Flowering in Chrysanthemum under Tarai conditions M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis Horticulture (floriculture and landscaping), G.B Pant University of Agriculture and Technology 2018.
- 13. Pal S, Barad AV, Singh AK, Khadda BS, Kumar D. Effect of foliar application of Fe and Zn on growth, flowering and yield of. Gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii*) under protected condition. Indian J Agri. Sci 2016;3(86):394-398.
- 14. Patel H, Bhatt D, Patel GD, Chawla SL, Gurjar T. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on growth and flowering of rose cv. Top Secret under polyhouse condition. The Bioscan 2016;11(1):603-606.
- 15. Saud BK, Bju B, Talukdar MC. Response of hybrid orchid (Dendrobium spp) cv. Sonia to application of micro nutrients. Hort Flora spectrum 2016;5(1):57-60.
- 16. Saini T, Polara ND, Bajad AA. Effect of macronutrients (Fe and Zn) on growth of chrysanthernum (*Chrysanthemum morifolium* Ramat) the Asian J of Horti 2015;10(2):216-221.
- 17. Singh AK, Singh C. Effect of spacing and zinc on production of corms and cormlets in gladiolus (*Gladiolus*

- grandiflorus) cv. Sylvia. Horticulture Journal 2000;13(2):61-64.
- 18. Singh CM, Prasad VM. Effect of micronutrients sprays on growth, yield and flower quality of gladiolus cv. White Prosperity, HortFlora Research Spectrum 2014;3(4):373-376.