

E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 www.phytojournal.com JPP 2021; 10(1): 1397-1399 Received: 01-11-2020 Accepted: 03-12-2020

#### Warkad SV

PG Student, Department of Agronomy, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

#### VB Awasarmal

Associate Professor, Department of Agronomy, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

#### SC Sallawar

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

#### SU Pawar

Assistant Professor, Department of Agronomy, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: Warkad SV PG Student, Department of Agronomy, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

# Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



# Effects of different sources and level of sulphur on growth, yield attributes and yield of *kharif* sesamum (*Sesamum indicum* L.)

# Warkad SV, VB Awasarmal, SC Sallawar and SU Pawar

#### Abstract

The field experiment was conducted at the experimental farm, Department of Agronomy, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani (MS) India during the 2015 to study the response of *kharif* Sesamum (*Sesamum indicum* L.) to different sources and level of Sulphur. The experiment consisted of eight treatment combinations of different sources and levels of Sulphur in Factorial Randomized Block Design replicated thrice. The results of study revealed that use of Bensulf as a source of sulphur recorded significantly higher growth, yield and yield attributes as compared to gypsum as source. Among the levels of Sulfur, application of 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded significantly enhanced growth, yield and yield attributes than the application of 10 and 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> but it was at par with 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> for *kharif* Sesamum.

Keywords: Bensulf, sulphur, growth, yield and yield attributes

# Introduction

Sesamum indicum L., is one of the most important oilseed crop grown extensively in India. Sesamum is having quality food, nutrition, edible oil, biomedicine and health care, all in one. Sesamum seed is rich source of linoleic acid, vitamins E, A,  $B_1$ ,  $B_2$  and niacin and minerals including calcium and phosphorus.

Lack of suitable varieties, lack of production inputs, improper management practices and inappropriate cultural operations are the main reasons for poor sesamum yield. Sulphur requirement of sesamum is more being an oilseed crop. Sulphur application significantly improves the quality of sesamum oil in terms of free fatty acids, like linolic acids and oleic acids. It plays a very vital role in the nutrition of oilseed crops particularly as it is a key element of S containing amino acids (Takkar, 1987)<sup>[13]</sup>. Sulphur as a plant nutrient can play a key role in augmenting the production and productivity of oilseeds in the country as it has a significant influence on quality and development of oilseeds.

Some reports earlier elucidated the positive effects of S application in improving the productivity and oil quality of sesame but still comprehensive study is needed to evaluate the effect of sulphur levels and efficacy of different sulphur sources in improving the yield and oil quality of sesame under rainfed conditions. Considering the above discussed factors, present investigation was undertaken with a view to study the response of *kharif* Sesamum (*Sesamum indicum* L.) to different sources and level of sulphur.

# **Material and Methods**

The field experiment was conducted during *kharif*, 2015 at Department of Agronomy, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani (MS). Parbhani. The soil of the experimental field was medium deep black and well drained. The topography of the experimental field was fairly uniform and levelled. The experiment was comprised of a total of eight treatment combinations comprising two sources of sulphur (S<sub>1</sub> Gypsum and S<sub>2</sub> Bensulf) and four levels of sulphur *viz*. L<sub>1</sub> 10 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, L<sub>2</sub> 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, L<sub>3</sub> 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> and L<sub>4</sub> 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>, to sesamum assigned in a Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replications. Sulphur application was made to the respective plots at the rate of 10 kg, 20 kg, 30 kg and 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> according to the allocation of treatments at the time of sowing. The source of the material used was gypsum and bensulf analyzing 20% and 13% sulphur respectively. The sulphur per hectare was worked out from the percentage of sulphur present in the gypsum and bensulf. A common dose of 50 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, 25 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> and 25 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> through DAP (Diammonium phosphate) and Urea were applied as basal dose and FYM was also spread uniformly and mixed immediately in the soil before sowing to the all treatment plots.

The sesamum crop was sown at a spacing of  $45 \times 15$  cm on 24 June 2015 and harvested on 20 September 2016. The various biometric observations were recorded on five randomly selected sesamum plants from net plots, which were tied tags for their easy identification.

# **Results and Discussion Growth parameters**

Plant height, number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> and dry matter accumulation plant<sup>-1</sup> differed with the different treatments. Differential effect due to different sources and levels of sulphur on height of plant was observed at all stages of crop growth. The source bensulf recorded significantly plant height over gypsum at all stages of crop growth except 45 DAS. It was probably due to successive increase in cell multiplication, elongation and expansion throughout the entire period of crop up to maturity. This might be ascribed to adequate and ready supply of sulphur that resulted in higher production of photosynthates which ultimately increased the plant growth and growth attributes. Another reason for enhancement of growth parameters might be due to increased uptake of nitrogen, sulphur and phosphorus which have resulted into larger photosynthesizing surface and accelerated the process of formation and translocation of photosynthates and hence overall development of the plant. Higher dry matter accumulation with gypsum source was also reported by Kumar et al. (2011)<sup>[4]</sup>.

The height of plant was significantly influenced by different levels of sulphur. The application of 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded significantly more plant height than 10 and 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> whereas it was at par with 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> except 60 DAS. Increase in plant height with increasing sulphur level might be resulted from synthesis of sulphur containing amino acids, proteins and activity of proteolytic enzymes. Similar results were obtained by Pavani *et al.*, (2013) <sup>[6]</sup>, Duary and Mandal (2006) <sup>[1]</sup> and Sarkar and Saha (2005) <sup>[10]</sup>.

The data revealed (Table 1) that the rate of increase in mean number of branches was observed during 30 to 60 DAS and thereafter slowly increased up to harvest. The source bensulf recorded significantly more number of branches over the gypsum at all stages of crop growth. Among the levels of sulphur application, 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> found significantly superior over 10 and 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> whereas it was at par with 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>. This might be the result of enhanced metabolic activities and photosynthetic rate resulting in improvement branches per plant. Similar results were obtained by Sarkar and Banik (2002)<sup>[9]</sup> and Subrahmaniyam et al., (1999). Number of functional leaves per plant was increased continuously up to 60 days. After 60 days the number of leaves was decreased up to harvest. The differences in the numbers of leaves in different sources were found significant. The source bensulf recorded significantly more number of leaves and leaf area over gypsum as a source, while among the levels of sulphur, Application of 40 kg S ha-1 recorded significantly more number of leaves and leaf area as compared to lower levels and it was at par with 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>. Similar was the trend in case of total dry matter accumulation per plant. The profound influence of S fertilization on these parameters could be attributed to its participation in the primary and secondary metabolism as constituent of various organic compounds that are vital for functioning of plant processes, which seems to have promoted meristematic activities causing higher apical growth and expansion of photosynthetic surface that is leaf and leaf area (Sharma, 2011) <sup>[11]</sup>. These results were corroborating the findings of Pavani *et al.*, (2013) <sup>[6]</sup> and Saren *et al.*,  $(2004)^{[8]}$ .

The application of 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded significantly superior over 10 and 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> whereas it was at par with 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>. The increase in total dry matter with application of higher dose of S was due to better crop growth which gave maximum plant height, LAI and ultimately produced more dry matter. Application of sulphur significantly increased the production of more dry matter due to availability of nutrient, absorption and utilization by the plant. These results were in conformity with the findings of Poonia (2000) <sup>[7]</sup>, and Daniela *et al.*, (2008).

### Yield attributes

Different sources and levels of sulphur under study influenced significantly the yield attributes and seed yield of sesamum crop (Table 2). Data presented in Table 2 shows that weight of seeds per plant, weight of capsules per plant as well as number of capitula per plantthe increased due to application of sulphur source bensulf as compared to gypsum. Among the levels of sulphur application, 40 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> was found significantly superior over 10 and 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> in recording yield attributes of sesamum, where as it was at par with 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>.

### **Yield studies**

The seed yield of sesamum differed significantly among the sources and levels of sulphur. Source of sulphur, bensulf was proved significantly superior over gypsum source and among the sulphur levels 40 kg S ha-1 was significantly superior over 10 and 20 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> whereas it was at par 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup>. An increase in number of capsules per plant, number of seeds per capsule and seed index as a result of sulphur application through gypsum might have resulted into higher seed yield of sesame. The sulphur fertilization played a vital role in improving the formation of vegetative structure there by photosynthesis, strong sink strength through development of reproductive structure and production of assimilates to fill economically important sink. Thus cumulative influence of S application maintained balance source-sink relationship and ultimately resulted in increased seed yield. The results were in line with the findings of Ganeshmurthy (1996)<sup>[2]</sup> and Hussain et al., (2011)<sup>[3]</sup>.

The data on stover yield and biological yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and harvest index of sesamum also followed similar trend of variation as influenced by different sources and levels of sulphur. The increased stalk yield may be the result of greater accumulation of dry matter under gypsum as a source of sulphur. Application of sulphur 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, owing to availability of more nutrients for plant growth parameters like plant height, branching and leaf area index ultimately dry matter accumulation per plant. These findings are coinciding with the findings of Singh (2001)<sup>[12]</sup>. It might be owing to beneficial effect of sulphur on crop growth and development, which affects yield attributing characters.

#### Conclusion

It is concluded from the studies on response of sesamum to the sources and different sulphur levels that, the application of Bensulf was found productive as compared to gypsum. Among the levels of sulphur, application of 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> was found optimum and productive as compared to other levels.

Table 1: Growth attributes of sesamu	m as influenced by different treatments
--------------------------------------	---

Treatments	Plant height at harvest (cm)	No. of branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	No. of functional leaves plant <sup>-1</sup>	Leaf area plant <sup>-1</sup> (dm <sup>2</sup> )	Total dry matter plant <sup>-1</sup> (gm)				
Sources of sulphur									
S1- Gypsum	116.00	3.45	13.67	5.98	26.00				
S <sub>2</sub> - Bensulf	129.00	3.76	14.69	6.79	30.00				
S.E. ±	2.36	0.09	0.31	0.24	0.82				
C. D. (P=0.05)	7.16	0.28	0.94	0.72	2.48				
Levels of sulphur									
L1- 10kg S ha-1	113.30	3.30	11.50	5.80	25.00				
L2- 20kg S ha-1	119.90	3.50	13.83	5.84	27.00				
L <sub>3</sub> - 30kg S ha <sup>-1</sup>	128.80	3.65	15.16	6.51	29.00				
L <sub>4</sub> - 40kg S ha <sup>-1</sup>	134.30	3.96	16.18	7.38	31.30				
S.E. ±	3.34	0.13	0.44	0.34	1.16				
C. D. (P=0.05)	10.12	0.40	1.33	1.02	3.51				
Interaction									
S.E. ±	4.72	0.18	0.62	0.48	1.64				
C. D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS				
General Mean	124.00	3.60	14.17	6.39	28.01				

Table 2: Yield attributes and yields of sesamum as influenced by different treatments

Treatments	No. of capitula plant <sup>-1</sup>	Weight of capitula plant <sup>-1</sup>	Weight of seed plant <sup>-1</sup> (g)	No. seed plant <sup>-1</sup>	Seed yield	Straw yield				
Sources of sulphur										
S1- Gypsum	34.85	14.14	4.04	1775	476	1448				
S <sub>2</sub> - Bensulf	37.98	15.74	4.43	1892	533	1604				
S.E. ±	0.83	0.41	0.11	36	15	44				
C. D. (P=0.05)	2.52	1.26	0.34	109	44	133				
Levels of sulphur										
L <sub>1</sub> - 10kg S ha <sup>-1</sup>	33.63	13.78	3.71	1746	421	1291				
L2- 20kg S ha-1	35.00	14.28	4.03	1779	490	1474				
L <sub>3</sub> - 30kg S ha <sup>-1</sup>	36.68	15.05	4.45	1836	539	1611				
L <sub>4</sub> - 40kg S ha <sup>-1</sup>	40.00	16.65	4.75	1973	567	1728				
S.E. ±	1.18	0.59	0.16	51	21	62				
C. D. (P=0.05)	3.57	1.76	0.49	154	63	188				
Interaction										
S.E. ±	1.68	0.83	0.23	72	29	88				
C. D. (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS				
General Mean	36.42	14.94	4.24	1834	504	1526				

# References

- 1. Duary B, Mandal S. Response of summer sesame, (*Sesamum indicum* L.) to varying levels of nitrogen and sulphur under irrigated condition. J of Oilseeds Research 2006;23(1):109-112.
- 2. Ganeshmurthy AN. Critical plant sulphur content and effect of S application on grain and oil yield of rainfed soybean in vertic US tochrepts. J Indian Soc. Soil Sci 1996;44(2):290-294.
- Hussain K, Islam M, Siddique MT, Hayat R, Mohsan S. Soybean growth and nitrogen fixation as affected by sulphur fertilization and inoculation under rainfed conditions in Pakistan. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology 2011;13(6):951-955.
- 4. Kumar S, Tewari SK, Singh SS. Effect of sources and levels of sulphur and spacing on the growth, yield and quality of spring sunflower (*Helianthus annus*). Indian Journal of Agronomy 2011;56(3):242-246.
- Pati BK, Patra P, Ghosh GK, Mondal S, Malik GC, Biswas PK. Efficacy of phosphogypsum and magnesium sulphate as sources of sulphur to sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) in red and lateritic soils of West Bengal. Journal of Crop and Weed 2011;7(1):133-135.
- 6. Pavani S, Bhanu Rekha K, Sudhakara Babu SN, Madhu M. Effect of nitrogen and sulphur on growth, yield and

quality of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Crop Research 2013;45(1, 2 & 3):152-153.

- 7. Poonia KL. Effect of planting geometry, nitrogen and sulfur on growth and yield of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Journal of Eco-Physiology 2000;3:59-71.
- Saren BK, Tudu S, Nandi P. Effect of irrigation and sulphur on growth and productivity of summer sesame (*Sesamum indicum*). Madras Agril. J 2004;91(1-3):56-60.
- 9. Sarkar RK, Banik P. Effect of planting geometry, direction of planting and sulphur application on growth and productivity of sesame (*Sesamum indicum*). Indian J of Agril. Sci 2002;72(2):70-73.
- Sarkar RK, Saha A. Analysis of growth and productivity of sesame in relation to nitrogen, sulphur and boron. Indian J of Plant Physi 2005;10(4):333-337.
- 11. Sharma A. Study the effect of sulphur and phosphorous with and without PSB inoculation on the yield attributes, yield and nutrient uptake of soybean. Journal of Progressive Agriculture 2011;2(2):41-43.
- 12. Singh MV. Importance of sulphur in balanced fertilizer use in India. Fertilizer News 2001;46:31-35.
- Takker N. Economics of sulphur fertilizer use in India. Proc. FADINAP-FAO-TSI- ACIAR Symposium 1987, 123-148.