

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 www.phytojournal.com JPP 2021; 10(1): 2384-2389 Received: 18-11-2020 Accepted: 22-12-2020

T Lakshmi Tirupathamma

Department of Vegetable science, College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, V.R. Gudem, Andhra Pradesh. India

C Venkata Ramana

Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, HRS, Lam Farm, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India

L Naram Naidu

Department of Vegetable science, College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, V.R. Gudem, Andhra Pradesh, India

K Sasikala

Department of agronomy, College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, V.R. Gudem, Andhra Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: T Lakshmi Tirupathamma Department of Vegetable science, College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, V.R. Gudem, Andhra Pradesh, India

Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for quantitative traits in paprika (*Capsicum annuum L*.)

T Lakshmi Tirupathamma, C Venkata Ramana, L Naram Naidu and K Sasikala

Abstract

The present investigation was carried out during kharif 2016-17 at Horticultural Research Station, Lam, and Guntur with 44 genotypes of paprika (*Capsicum annuum* L.) in a randomized block design with two replications to estimate the genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for thirteen quantitative traits. Analysis of variance revealed significant differences among the genotypes for all the traits studied indicating the presence of sufficient variability in the studied material. The PCV was higher than GCV and the difference between PCV and GCV was narrow for most of the characters revealing little influence of the environment in the expression of these traits. High magnitude of PCV and GCV were observed for number of fruits per plant, fruit diameter, number of seeds per fruit, weight of seeds per fruit, 1000 seed weight and dry fruit yield per plant suggesting the existence of wide range of genetic variability in the germplasm for these traits and thus the scope for improvement of these characters through simple selection would be better. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean was observed for all the characters except plant height, plant spread, number of primary branches per plant and days to 50% flowering indicating the predominance of additive gene action making the simple selection more effective.

Keywords: Capsicum annuum, GCV, PCV, Heritability, Genetic advance

Introduction

Paprika (*Capsicum annuum* L. 2n = 24) is one of the most important commercial vegetable as well as spice crops grown all over the world. The genus Capsicum belongs to family Solanaceae comprises of about 20 to 30 species of the new world tropics and subtropics and native to tropical areas of the Western Hemisphere, including Mexico, Central America, South America, and West Indies. Paprika, a form of chilli is mainly valued for its high colour, low or no pungency and oleoresins. In chilli, three major products *viz.*, paprika, oleoresins and dried chilli (both in whole and powder form) are traded in the world market. The pungent principle of paprika is capsaicin, an acid amide of Vanillylamine. They are widely used in curry powder, paste, pickles, sauces and ketchups for its characteristic pungency, color and aroma (Shiva *et al.*, 2006) ^[31].

Globally, chilli and paprika (dry) are grown on a total area of 1.94 million hectares with global production of 3.35 million tonnes. The global productivity of chilli and paprika (dry) is 1.73 metric tonnes/ha (Kumari *et al.*, 2014) ^[16], and the value of the total chilli and paprika is estimated at 3.42 million USD. India is the world's leading producer occupying an area of 0.83 million hectares with a production of 1.8 million tonnes and productivity of 2MT/ha (NHB, 2016)^[24].

Chilli besides imparting pungency and red colour to dishes, is also rich source of vitamin C, A and E and assists in good digestion. The vitamin C content (150-200 mg/100g) of chilli is the highest among all the vegetables. It has also acquired a great importance because of the presence of 'oleoresin', which permits better distribution of color and flavor in foods. There is considerable demand for paprika powder in the western countries. There is a great demand for the natural colour from paprika fruits and is used in processed foods in place of synthetic colours. The demand for paprika oleoresin as a coloring agent has increased in international market especially in Europe and USA due to ban on artificial coloring substances (Joshi *et al.*, 1995)^[12].

The productivity of the crop is low due to many limiting factors such as lack of superior genotypes or improved cultivars for use in breeding programme to develop potential hybrids. So, there is need for development of new varieties and hybrids with high productivity.

The critical assessment of nature and magnitude of variability in the germplasm stock is one of the important pre-requisites for formulating effective breeding methods (Krishna et al., 2007) ^[15]. Improvement in any crop is proportional to the magnitude of its genetic variability present in germplasm. Greater the variability in a population, there are the greater chance for effective selection for desirable types (Vavilov, 1951) ^[38]. Heritability is the portion of phenotypic variation which is transmitted from parent to progeny. Higher the heritable variation, greater will be the possibility of fixing the characters by selection. Hence, heritability studies are of foremost importance to judge whether the observed variation for a particular character is due to genotype or due to environment. Heritability estimates may not provide clear predictability of the breeding value. Thus, estimation of heritability accompanied with genetic advance is generally more useful than heritability alone in prediction of the resultant effect for selecting the best individuals (Johnson et al., 1955)^[11].

Therefore, the present investigation was carried out with a view to study the genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for yield and yield component characters in 44 chilli genotypes.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was carried out with 44 genotypes (Table 1) of paprika at Horticultural Research Station, Lam, Guntur, and Andhra Pradesh, India. The site of the experiment at Lam is situated on 16.28° North latitude and 80.44° East longitude at an altitude of 31.5 m above mean sea level which falls under humid tropical climate. A total of 44 germplasm lines were raised in a Randomized Block Design with two replications. The nursery was raised during first week of August and the seedlings were transplanted at a spacing of 75 $cm \times 30$ cm in a row of 4 m length (experimental unit) during first fortnight of September. Each row consisted of 12 plants, of which five competitive plants were selected at random for recording the observations on plant height (cm), Plant spread (cm), number of primary branches per plant, days to 50% flowering, day to maturity, number of fruits per plant, fruit length (cm), fruit diameter (cm), fruit pedicel length (cm), number of seeds per fruit, weight of seeds per fruit (g), 1000 seed weight (g) and dry fruit yield per plant (g). The crop was raised as per the recommended package of practices.

Analysis of variance was carried out as per the procedure given by Panse and Sukhatme (1985) ^[26]. Genotypic and Phenotypic correlation coefficients of variability were estimated according to the Burton and Devane (1953) ^[7] by using the following formulae.

Genotypic variance
$$(V_g \text{ or } \sigma^2 g) = \frac{\text{Genotype MSS-Error MSS}}{r}$$

Environmental variance (V_e or
$$\sigma^2 e$$
) = $\frac{\text{Error MSS}}{r}$

Where,

r = number of replications Phenotypic variance $(V_p \text{ or } \sigma^2 p) = V_g + V_e$

PCV =
$$\frac{\sqrt{\sigma_{p}^{2}}}{X} x 100$$

GCV = $\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{g}^{2}}{X}} x 100$
Where,

 σ_g^2 = Genotypic variance σ_e^2 = Environment variance

 σ_p^2 = Phenotypic variance

X = General mean

PCV and GCV were classified as shown below (Sivasubramanian and Menon 1973)^[33].

Less than 10% = Low

10-20% = Moderate

More than 20% = High

Heritability in broad sense was estimated as per the formulae suggested by Allard (1960)^[2].

$$h^2(b) = \frac{\sigma_g^2}{\sigma_p^2} \times 100$$

Where,

 $h^{2}(b)$ = Heritability estimates in broad sense σ_{g}^{2} = Genotypic variance

 σ_p^2 = Phenotypic variance

The heritability (h² (b)) was categorised as suggested by Johnson *et al.* (1955) ^[11]. 0-30% = Low

31-60% = Medium 61% and above = High

This was estimated as per formula proposed by Allard (1960)^[2].

 $GA = Kx \sigma_p x h^2(b)$

Where,

K = Selection differential at 5 per cent selection intensity which accounts to a constant value 2.06 h^{2} (b) = Heritability in broad sense σ_{p} = Phenotypic standard deviation

Genetic advance over mean (GAM) was calculated using the following formula and was expressed in percentage.

$$GAM = \frac{GA}{\overline{X}} \times 100$$

Where, GA = genetic advance $\overline{X} = general mean of the character$

The genetic advance as per cent over mean was categorized as mentioned below (Johnson *et al.*, 1955)^[11]. Less than 10% = Low 10-20% = Moderate More than 20% = High

Results and Discussion

Analysis of variance (Table 2) revealed significant differences among the genotypes for all the traits indicating presence of significant variability in the genotypes which can be exploited through selection. These results are in conformity with earlier reports of Nandadevi and Hosmani (2003) [23], Vani et al. (2007) [37], Farhad et al. (2008) [8], Patil et al. (2008), Jyothi et al. (2008)^[13], Tembhurne et al. (2008)^[36], Gupta et al. (2009) ^[9], Kumari et al. (2010) ^[18], Berhanu et al. (2011) ^[6], Arup et al. (2011)^[4], Kumar et al. (2012)^[17] and Lakshmi and Padma (2012) ^[19], Tasso *et al.* (2014) ^[35], Pandit and Ahikary (2014) ^[25], Vijava et al. (2014) ^[38], Janaki et al. (2015) ^[10], and Leeladhar et al. (2016) [20]. The extent of variability with respect to 13 characters in different genotypes measured in terms of mean, range, genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) along with the amount of heritability (h), expected genetic advance and genetic advance as per cent of mean (GAM) are presented in Table 3.

The mean performances of genotypes (Table 3) for different traits indicated that the high range of variability was recorded for dry fruit yield per plant (82.00 -220.00g), number of fruits per plant (90.50-214.20), number of seeds per fruit (37.30-154), plant spread (57.40-176cm), plant height (54.80 119cm), days to maturity (87-163.50), days to 50 per cent flowering (41.50-65.50). Relatively low range of variability observed for weight of seeds per fruit 90.215-0.355g), number of primary branches per plant (2.30-4.20), fruit pedicel length (2.15-4.55cm), fruit diameter (2.55-9.70cm), fruit length (5.70-16.80cm) and 1000 seed weight (2.21-18.10 g).

The phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was higher than the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for all the characters (Table 2) and the difference between PCV and GCV was narrow indicating the little influence of environment on the expression of these characters and considerable amount of variation was observed for all the characters. The estimates of PCV and GCV were high for number of fruits per plant (21.84 and 20.90%), fruit diameter (26.58 and 25.55%), number of seeds per fruit (36.45 and 33.95%), weight of seeds per fruit (46 and 44.14%), 1000 seed weight (50.14 and 48%) and dry fruit yield per plant 27.48 and 25.43%) indicating the existence of wide range of genetic variability in the germplasm for these traits. This also indicates broad genetic base, less environmental influence and these traits are under the control of additive gene effects and hence, there is a good scope for further improvement of these characters through simple selection. These findings are in agreement with results of Pandit and Adhikary (2014)^[25], Vijaya et al. (2014) [38], Janaki et al. (2015a) [15], Satish et al. (2016) ^[29] for number of fruits per plant, fruit diameter and number of seeds per fruit, dry fruit yield per palnt and Shirshat et al. (2007) ^[30], Vani et al. (2007) ^[37], Singh et al. (2009) and Ashish et al. (2015) [5] for weight of seeds per fruit and Smitha and Basvaraja (2006)^[34]. Amit et al. (2014)^[3] and Ajith and Manju (2015)^[1] for 1000 seed weight.

The estimates of PCV and GCV were moderate for plant spread (16.32 and 12.40%), days to maturity (16.51 and 14.28%) and fruit pedicel length (17.93 and 14.79%). Similar observations were earlier reported by Kumar *et al.* (2012)^[16], Kranthi *et al.* (2016) ^[14] for plant spread and Sarkar *et al.* (2009), Sandeep *et al.* (2008) ^[28], Sharma *et al.* (2010), Pandit

and Adhikary (2014) ^[25], Priyanka and Madhvi (2016) for fruit pedicel length.

The estimates of PCV and GCV were high and moderate respectively for fruit length (20.20 and 18.71%). These results are in conformity with findings of earlier works of Janaki *et al.* (2015a) ^[15], and Meena *et al.* (2016) ^[22].

High heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean was observed for all the characters except for plant height, plant spread, number of primary branches per plant and days to 50% flowering indicating the predominance of additive gene action and hence direct phenotypic selection is useful with respect to these traits. These results are in line with results of earlier works of Sandeep *et al.* (2008) ^[28] for days to maturity and Mahantesh et al. (2013)^[21]. Amit et al. (2014), Vijaya et al. (2014) [38], Janaki et al. (2015a) [15], Satish et al. (2016)^[29], Kranthi et al. (2016)^[14] for number of fruits per plant and Mahantesh et al. (2013)^[21], Amit et al. (2014)^[3], Vijava et al. (2014)^[38], Rosamaina et al. (2016)^[27] for fruit length and Mahantesh et al. (2013)^[21], Amit et al. (2014) ^[3], Janaki et al. (2015a) ^[15], Satish et al. (2016) ^[29] for fruit diameter and Mahantesh et al. (2013) [21], Amit et al. (2014) ^[3], Vijava et al. (2014) ^[38], Janaki et al. (2015a) ^[15], Satish et al. (2016) ^[29], for number of fruits per plant and Shirshat et al. (2007) ^[30], Vani et al. (2007) ^[37], Singh et al. (2009) and Ashish et al. (2015)^[5] for weight of seeds per fruit and Smitha and Basvaraja (2006a)^[34], Amit et al. (2014)^[3] and Ajith and Manju (2015) 2014 [1] for 1000 seed weight and Pandit and Adhikary (2014) [25], Vijaya et al. (2014) [38], Janaki et al. (2015) [15], Satish et al. (2016) [29] for dry fruit vield per plant.

High heritability coupled with moderate genetic advance as per cent of mean was observed for plant height, plant spread, number of primary branches per plant and days to 50% flowering indicating the role of additive and non-additive gene action and further improvement of this character would be easier through mass selection, progeny selection or any modified selection procedure aiming to exploit the additive gene effects rather than simple selection. As reported by Tembhurne *et al.* (2008) ^[36] for plant height and Sandeep *et al.* (2008) ^[28] and Kranthi *et al.* (2016) ^[14] for number of primary branches per plant and Mahantesh *et al.* (2013) ^[21] for days to 50 percent flowering.

The findings indicate that there exists adequate genotypic variation in the genotypes for number of fruits per plant, fruit diameter, number of seeds per fruit, weight of seeds per fruit, 1000 seed weight and yield per plant showing high values of PCV, GCV and high heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean suggesting predominance of additive gene action and lower influence of environmental factors in the expression of these traits with possibility for improvement through selection.

Acknowledgement

I extend my deep sense of reverence and gratitude to Associate Dean, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Venkataramannagudem, Dr. Y.S.R.H.U for allotting me to HRS, Lam to take up my research work. I am highly thankful to Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, Venkataramannagudem for providing financial assistance in the form of stipend to complete this endeavour.

Table 1: Source of the	paprika genotypes	(<i>Capsicum annuum</i> L.)
------------------------	-------------------	------------------------------

Treatment	Accession Number	Treatment	Accession Number	Source	
T1	LCA 445	T ₂₃	LCA 465	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T ₂	LCA 447	T24	LCA 475	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T3	LCA 439	T25	LCA 488	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T4	LCA 442	T26	LCA 499	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T5	LCA 430	T ₂₇	LCA 506	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T6	LCA 457	T ₂₈	LCA 503	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T7	LCA 443	T29	LCA 490	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T8	LCA 437	T30	LCA 501	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T9	LCA 453	T31	LCA 504	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T10	LCA 450	T32	LCA 510	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T11	LCA 441	T33	LCA 510	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T12	LCA 425	T34	LCA 511	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T13	LCA 440	T35	LCA 512	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T14	LCA 446	T36	LCA 513	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T15	LCA 470	T37	Warangal chappatta single patti	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T16	LCA 436	T38	Warangal chappatta double patti	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T17	LCA 466	T39	Byadagi kaddi	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T18	LCA 472	T40	Byadagi dabbi	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T ₁₉	LCA 476	T41	Kt-1	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T20	LCA 480	T42	Jangareddy gudem local	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T21	LCA 482	T43	LCA 436	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	
T22	LCA 498	T44	LCA 424	HRS, Lam farm, Guntur	

Table 2: Analysis of variance for various characters in paprika (Capsicum annuum L.)

S.No.	Character	Mean sum of squares				
5.INO.	Character	Replications	Genotypes	Error		
1	Plant height (cm)	0.1641	257.1176**	122.3585		
2	Plant spread (cm)	12.4501	698.0582**	187.3496		
3	Number of primary branches per plant	0.0223	0.1720**	0.0495		
4	Days to 50 per cent flowering	0.4091	52.4641**	12.5951		
5	Days to maturity	405.9204	840.9797**	121.3391		
6	Number of fruits per plant	78.0956	2217.2776**	97.2712		
7	Fruit length (cm)	2.1018	.1018 10.3219**			
8	Fruit diameter (cm)	0.1392	0.1392 2.9213**			
9	Fruit pedicel length (cm)	0.2005	0.6842**	0.1300		
10	Number of seeds per fruit	192.6368	1204.1255**	85.2880		
11	Weight of seeds per fruit (g)	0.0018	0.0978**	0.0040		
12	1000 seed weight (g)	1.7388	16.8606**	0.7356		
13	Dry fruit yield per plant (g)	0.1023	2316.4702**	178.9162		

*: Significant at 5 per cent level;

**: Significant at 1 per cent level

 Table 3: Estimates of mean, range, components of variance, heritability and genetic advance for yield and it's component characters in paprika

 (Capsicum annuum L.)

S.No.	Character	Mean	Range	V_{g}	Vp	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	h ² (b) (%)	GA @ 5%	GAM @ 5%
1	PH	88.95	54.80-119	67.38	189.74	9.23	15.48	35.51	10.08	11.33
2	PS	128.89	57.40-176	255.35	442.70	12.40	16.32	57.68	25	19.40
3	NPBP	3.57	2.30-4.20	0.06	0.11	6.92	9.30	55.31	0.38	10.60
4	DFF	59.97	41.50-65.50	19.93	32.53	7.44	9.51	61.28	7.20	12
5	DM	132.87	87-163.50	359.82	481.16	14.28	16.51	74.78	33.79	25.43
6	NFP	155.75	90.50-214.20	1060.00	1157.27	20.90	21.84	91.59	64.19	41.21
7	FL	11.67	5.70-16.80	4.77	5.56	18.71	20.20	85.79	4.17	35.69
8	FD	4.63	2.55-9.70	1.40	1.52	25.55	26.58	92.39	2.35	50.60
9	FPL	3.55	2.15-4.55	0.28	0.41	14.79	17.93	68.07	0.89	25.14
10	NSF	69.66	37.30-154	559.42	644.71	33.95	36.45	86.77	45.39	65.15
11	WSF	0.490	0.21-1.35	0.05	0.05	44.14	46	92.06	0.43	87.24
12	1000 SW	5.91	2.21-18.10	8.06	8.80	48.00	50.14	91.64	5.60	94.65
13	DFYP	128.55	82-220	1068.78	1247.69	25.43	27.48	85.66	62.33	48.48

Note :

PH – Plant height (cm), PS-Plant spread (cm), NPBP – Number of primary branches per plant, DFF – Days to 50 per cent flowering, DM –Days to maturity, NFP – Number of fruits per plant, FL – Fruit length (cm), FD – Fruit diameter (cm), FPL- Fruit pedicel length (cm), NSF – Number of seeds per fruit, WSP- Weight of seeds per fruit (g), 1000 SW- 1000 Seed weight (g), DFYP – Dry fruit yield per plant (g).

References

- 1. Ajith PM, Manju P. Genetic Parameters and Character Association for Yield and Anthracnose Resistance in Chilli (*Capsicum annuum*) International Journal of Agriculture Innovations and Research 2014;4(1):121-123.
- 2. Allard RW. Principles of Plant Breeding. *John Wiley and Sons*. London 1960, pp: 83-88
- 3. Amit K, Ahad I, Kumar V, Thakur S. Genetic variability and correlation studies for growth and yield characters in chilli (*Capsicum annuum L.*) Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops 2014;23(2):170-177.
- 4. Arup C, Amit BS Dai, Dutta S. Diversity of genetic resources and genetic association analyses of green and dry chillies of eastern india. Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research 2011;71(3):350-356.
- Ashish KM, Kushwaha ML, Singh BK. Genetic Studies in Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) THE International Journal of Science & Technoledge 2015;3(8):289-291.
- Berhanu Y, Derbew B, Wosene G, Fekadu M. Variability, Heritability and Genetic advance in Hot Pepper (*Capsicum annuum L.*) Genotypes in West Shoa, Ethiopia. American–Eurasian journal of Agriculture and Environmental Science 2011a;10(4):587-592.
- 7. Burton GW, Devane EH. Estimating the heritability in tall fescue (*Festuca arundinancea*) from replicated clonal material. Agronomy Journal 1953;45:478-481.
- Farhad M, Hasanuzzaman M, Biswas BK, Azad AK, Arifuzzaman M. Reliability of yield contributing characters for improving yield potential in chilli (*Capsicum annum*). International Journal of Sustainable Crop Production 2008;3(3):30-38.
- Gupta AM, Singh D, Kumar A. Genetic variability, genetic advance and correlation in chilli (*Capsicum annuum*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 2009;79(3):221-223.
- Janaki M, Naram NL, Venkata RC, Paratpara RM. Assessment of genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for quantitative traits in chilli (*Capsicum annuum L.*) Supplement on Genetics and Plant Breeding 2015;10(2):729-733.
- Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. Estimation of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agronomy Journal 1955;47:477-483.
- 12. Joshi S, Verma TS, Thakur PC. Performance of paprika genotypes for export. in Proceedings of National Symposium on Advances in Research and Development in Horticulture for Export, CCSHAU, Hisar 1995, 45.
- 13. Jyothi KU, Kumari SS, Reddy KVS, Vijayalakshmi T, Reddy PV. Biochemical evaluation of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L) cultivars suitable for export. Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops 2008;17(2):209-211.
- 14. Kranthi RG, Naram NL, Venkata RC, Umajyothi K, Paratpararao M, Sasikala K, *et al.* Variability, heritability and genetic advance in chilli. Journal of Plant Development Sciences 2016;8(2):51-55.
- Krishna UC, Madalageri MB, Patil MP, Ravindra M, Kotlkal YK. Variability Studies in Green Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences 2007a;20(1):102-104.
- Kumar D, Bahadur V, Rangare SB, Singh D. Genetic variability, heritability and correlation studies in chilli (*Capsicum annuum L.*). Hort Flora Research Spectrum 2012;1(3):248-252.

- Kumari SS, Srihari D, Ravi Shankar C, Chenga Reddy V, Siva Sanker A. Genetic divergence and combining ability studies for exploitation of heterosis in paprika (*Capsicum annuum*) International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research (IJASR) 2014;4(2):59-66.
- Kumari SS, Jyothi KU, Srihari D, Sankar AS, Sankar CR. Variability and genetic divergence in paprika (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops 2010;19 (1-2):71–75.
- Lakshmi R, Padma V. Studies on performance, genetic variability, heritability and genetic advances in chilli (*Capsicum annuum L.*) varieties in high altitude and tribal zone of Srikakulam district of Andhra pradesh, India. Plant Archives 2012;12(2):717-720.
- 20. Leeladhar S, Jitendra T, Dhananjay S. Genetic variability, heritability and divergence analysis in chilli (*capsicum annuum* 1.) Plant Archives 2016;16(1):445-448.
- Mahantesh Y, Jogi MB, Madalageri VM, Ganiger G, Bhuvaneswari HB Patil, YK Kotikal., *et al.* Genetic variability studies in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) International Journal of Plant Sciences 2013a;8(2):241-248.
- 22. Meena ML, Kumar N, Meena JK, Rai T. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advances in chilli (*Capsicum annuum*). Biosci. Biotech. Res. Comm 2016;9(2):258-262.
- 23. Nandadevi R, Hosamani RM. Variability, correlation and path analysis in Kharif chilli genotypes for different characters. Capsicum and Egg Plant Newsletter 2003;22:43-46.
- 24. National Horticulture Board. Data Base of Horticultural crops. Gurgaon, New Delhi 2016.
- Pandit MK, Adhikary S. Variability and heritability estimates in some reproductive characters and yield in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) International Journal of Plant & Soil Science 2014;3(7):845-853.
- 26. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research. New Delhi 1985.
- Rosmaina Syafrudin, Hasrol Yanti, Juliyanti, Zulfahmi. Estimation of variability, heritability and genetic advance among local chili pepper genotypes cultivated in peat lands Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science 2016;22(3):431-436.
- Sandeep D, Patil BI, Bidari Shashidhara GB, Hegde NK. Genetic variability in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) genotypes The Asian Journal of Horticulture 2008;3(2):310-312.
- 29. Satish K, Ashwini D, Sunil P. Genotypes performance and genetic variability studies in Hot Chilli (Capsicum annum L.) Indian Journal of Agriculture. Research 2016;50(1):56-60.
- Shirshat S, Giritammannavar VA, Patil SJ. Analysis of genetic variability for quantitative traits in chilli. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences 2007;20(1):29-32.
- 31. Shiva KN, Prasath D, Zachariah TJ, Parthasarathy VA. Chilli and Paprika. In: Parthasarathy V A & Rajeev P (Eds.) Major Spices - Production and Processing, Indian Institute of Spices Research, Kozhikode, Kerala 2006, 228-272.
- 32. Singh Y, Sharma M, Sharma M. Genetic variation, association of characters, and their direct and indirect

contributions for improvement in chilli peppers. International J. Vegetable Science 2009;15:340-368

- Sivasubrahmanian S, Menon PM. Genotypic and phenotypic variability in rice. Madras Agricultural Journal 1973;60:1093-1096.
- Smitha RP, Basavaraja N. Variability and correlation studies in chilli (*Capsicum annuum*. L) Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences 2006;19(4):888-891.
- 35. Tasso Y, Rakesh K, Dubey Vikas S, Garima U. Genetic diversity of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) genotypes of India based on morpho-chemical traits. *Australian journal of crop science* (AJCS) 2014;8(1):97-102
- Tembhurne BV, Revanappa R, Kuchanur PH. Varietal performance, genetic variability and correlation studies in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science 2008;21(4):541-543.
- Vani SK, Sridevi O, Salimath PM. studies on genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Annuals of Biology 2007a;23(2):117-121.
- Vavilov NI. The origin, variation, immunity and breeding of cultivated plants. *Chronica Botanica*, Waltham, Mass, USA 1951.
- 39. Vijaya HM, Mallikarjuna AP, Gowda, Nehru SD. Genetic variability, correlation coefficient and path analysis in chilli (*Capsicum annuum L*,.) genotypes. Res. Environ. Life Sci 2014;7(3):175-178.