

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 **P-ISSN:** 2349-8234 www.phytojournal.com

JPP 2020; 9(6): 1138-1140 Received: 06-08-2020 Accepted: 23-10-2020

SP Umbarkar

M.Sc. Student, Department of Vegetable Science, Faculty of Horticulture, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India

AP Wagh

Professor of Horticulture, Department of Vegetable Science, Faculty of Horticulture, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India

PK Nagre

Dean, Faculty of Horticulture, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India

AM Sonkamble

Head Department of Vegetable Science, Faculty of Horticulture, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India

SG Bharad

Head Department of Fruit Science, Faculty of Horticulture, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: SP Umbarkar

M.Sc. Student, Department of Vegetable Science, Faculty of Horticulture, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola, Maharashtra, India

Effect of different levels of nitrogen and potassium on economics of sweet corn

SP Umbarkar, AP Wagh, PK Nagre, AM Sonkamble and SG Bharad

Abstract

A field investigation on "Effect of different levels of nitrogen and potassium on economics of sweet corn (*Zea mays* (L). var. *Saccharata*)" was carried out at Instructional Farm, Department of Vegetable Science, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola during summer season of year 2018-19. The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design having fifteen (15) treatment combinations and three (3) replications. Among the two factors studied, the factor A *i.e.* nitrogen (N) was applied in five different levels *i.e.* N₁ (150 kg ha⁻¹), N₂ (175 kg ha⁻¹), N₃ (200 kg ha⁻¹), N₄ (225 kg ha⁻¹) and N₅ (250 kg ha⁻¹). While, another factor B *i.e.* potassium (K) was applied in 3 different levels such as K₁ (60 kg ha⁻¹), K₂ (80 kg ha⁻¹), K₃ (100 kg ha⁻¹). Cob yield with husk per hectare was observed maximum at level N₅ (250 kg ha⁻¹), level K₃ (100 kg ha⁻¹) and treatment combination N₄K₂ (225 kg N + 80 kg K₂O ha⁻¹). Green fodder yield per hectare was observed maximum at level N₅ (250 kg ha⁻¹), level K₃ (250 kg N + 100 kg K₂O ha⁻¹). Highest net return and highest benefit cost ratio were observed at treatment combination N₄K₂ (225 kg N + 80 kg K₂O ha⁻¹).

Keywords: Nitrogen, Potassium, Sweet corn, benefit cost ratio, green fodder

Introduction

Vegetables are the major source of nutrition owing to their high protective food values like protein, carbohydrate, minerals and vitamins, which helps to maintain the essential balance diet for functioning of human body. According to ICMR's recommendations daily per capita requirement of vegetables in India is 125 g green leafy vegetables, 100 g roots and tubers and 75 grams other vegetables *i.e* overall 300 grams. Sweet corn belongs to family *Gramineae*, having diploid chromosome number 2n=20. Mexico is considered to be the center of origin of sweet corn. In many parts of the world corn is the most important food source and one of the most efficient field crops. Sweet corn is considered as the genetic mutation of the field corn. Sweet corn is a warm season vegetable that can be grown easily with sufficient light in any garden. Sweet corn is photo insensitive crop and it is monoecious i.e. staminate and pistillate flowers are born on separate inflorescence on the same plant. Sweet corn is normally cross pollinated crop being the well adapted to wind pollination. It may bear one or more ears per plant. It is picked when immature i.e. milky stage prepared and eaten as a vegetable rather than grain. Roasted green cobs provide starch, fat, protein, sugar, minerals and vitamins in palatable and digestible form at relatively low cost. It is gaining importance in the hotels and urban area for the preparation of special soups, sweets and other delicious eatables. As compared to the global growth in the sweet corn production India is not registering adequate growth (Venkatraman 2007)^[7]. So, spreading awareness and giving proper economic calculations is of vital importance in India.

Material and methods

Experimental Site

The present investigation of field experiment was laid out during summer season of year 2018-19 at the Instructional Farm, Department of Vegetable Science, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola (MS).

Climate and weather conditions

Akola is situated in sub-tropical region between 22.20° N latitude and 77.02° E longitudes. The altitude of place is 307.2 m above mean sea level. The climate of Akola is semi-arid and characterized by three distinct seasons, *i.e.* warm humid and rainy monsoon from June to October, mild cold winter from November to February and hot dry summer from March to May.

Methodology

The experiment was laid out in Factorial Randomized Block Design having fifteen (15) treatment combinations and three (3) replications. Among the two factors studied, the factor A *i.e.* nitrogen (N) was applied in five different levels *i.e.* N₁ (150 kg N ha⁻¹), N₂ (175 kg N ha⁻¹), N₃ (200 kg N ha⁻¹), N₄ (225 kg N ha⁻¹) and N₅ (250 kg Nha⁻¹). While, another factor B *i.e.* potassium (K) was applied in 3 different levels such as K₁ (60 kg K₂O ha⁻¹), K₂ (80 kg K₂O ha⁻¹), K₃ (100 kg K₂O ha⁻¹).

Gross monetary returns: Total returns obtained from cobs + Total returns obtained from fodder.

(Rate of cobs @ 10 Rs. kg⁻¹ and rate of fodder @ 1 Rs. kg⁻¹)

Net monetary returns: Gross monetary returns – Total cost of cultivation

Benefit cost ratio (B:C ratio) = Gross monetary returns / Total cost of cultivation

Cost of cultivation: Ploughing charges + harrowing charges + seed charges + pesticides charges + electricity charges + water charges + labour charges + fertilizer charges + transporting charges + land rent + interest on working capital.

Results and discussion

Cob yield with husk per hectare was observed maximum at level N₅ (250 kg ha⁻¹), level K₃ (100 kg ha⁻¹) and treatment combination N₄K₂ (225 kg N + 80 kg K₂O ha⁻¹). Green fodder yield per hectare was observed maximum at level N₅ (250 kg ha⁻¹), level K₃ (100 kg ha⁻¹) and treatment combination N₅K₃ (250 kg N + 100 kg K₂O ha⁻¹). These results might be due to the application of higher amount of nitrogen levels which resulted in more number of cobs per plant and thereby resulted into the maximum cob and fodder yield per ha. Such similar kind of results have also been observed by Panchbhai (2013) ^[3] and Thakur *et al.* (2009) ^[6] in sweet corn, Singh *et al.* (1995) ^[5] in maize and Kumar and Bohra (2014) ^[2] and Asghar *et al.* (2010) ^[1] in baby corn.

The data regarding the cost of cultivation and benefit cost ratio which was listed in the table 2 indicated that highest net return (255289 Rs/ha) and highest benefit cost ratio (3.69)

was recorded from treatment N_4K_2 *i.e.* 225 kg N and 80 kg K_2O ha⁻¹. Whereas, the lowest benefit cost ratio (2.58) was obtained with treatment 150 kg nitrogen and 60 kg of potassium per ha. Such kind of results also have been given by Thakur *et al.* (2009) ^[6] and Sahoo and Mahapatra (2007) ^[4] in sweet corn.

Table 1: Effect of	of nitrogen	and potass	ium le	evels of	1 cob	and	fodder
	yiel	ld of sweet	corn				

Treatments	Cob yield with husk	Green fodder yield		
	(t ha ⁻¹)	(t ha ⁻¹)		
N_1	21.23	46.47		
N_2	24.28	48.42		
N3	26.02	51.88		
N_4	28.06	55.48		
N ₅	28.40	59.58		
'F' test	Sig.	Sig.		
SE(m)+	0.20	0.36		
CD at 5%	0.57	1.04		
K ₁	24.88	51.35		
K ₂	26.10	52.31		
K3	25.82	53.44		
'F' test	Sig.	Sig.		
SE(m) <u>+</u>	0.15	0.28		
CD at 5%	0.44	0.81		
	Interaction			
N1 K1	19.69	45.94		
N1 K2	21.57	46.41		
N1 K3	22.44	47.07		
$N_2 K_1$	23.93	47.83		
N2 K2	24.19	48.50		
N2 K3	24.72	48.92		
N ₃ K ₁	25.31	50.37		
N ₃ K ₂	26.25	52.08		
N3 K3	26.50	53.19		
N4 K1	27.17	53.73		
N4 K2	29.49	55.25		
N4 K3	27.53	57.46		
N5 K1	28.29	58.89		
N ₅ K ₂	29.01	59.31		
N5 K3	27.90	60.54		
'F' test	Sig.	Sig.		
SE(m)+	0.34	0.62		
CD at 5%	0.99	1.80		

Table 2	2:	B:C	ratio	ana	lysis	of	sweet	corn

Treatments	Gross Monetary Returns (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Cost of Cultivation (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	Net Monetary Returns (Rs. ha ⁻¹)	B:C Ratio
N1 K1	242840	93992	148848	2.58
N1 K2	262110	94366	167744	2.77
N1 K3	271470	94740	176730	2.86
$N_2 K_1$	287130	94157	192973	3.05
N2 K2	290400	94531	195869	3.07
N ₂ K ₃	296120	94905	201215	3.12
N ₃ K ₁	303470	94322	209148	3.22
N3 K2	314580	94696	219884	3.32
N3 K3	318190	95070	223120	3.35
N4 K1	325430	94487	230943	3.44
N4 K2	350150	94861	255289	3.69
N4 K3	332760	95235	237525	3.49
N5 K1	341790	94652	247138	3.61
N5 K2	349410	95026	254384	3.67
N ₅ K ₃	339540	95400	244140	3.56

Conclusion

From the above results it can be concluded that the cob yield with husk per hectare was recorded maximum at treatment

combination N_4K_2 (225 kg N + 80 kg K₂O ha⁻¹), but the green fodder yield was observed maximum at treatment combination N_5K_3 (250 kg N + 100 kg K₂O ha⁻¹), whereas

http://www.phytojournal.com

highest net return and highest benefit cost ratio were observed in the treatment combination N_4K_2 (225 kg N + 80 kg K₂O ha⁻¹).

References

- 1. Asghar A, Ali A, Syed WH, Asif M, Khaliq T, Abid AA. Growth and yield of maize cultivars affected by NPK application in different proportion. Pakistan J of Sci 2010;62(4):211-216.
- 2. Kumar R, Bohra JS. Effect of NPK, S and Zn application on growth, yield, economics and quality of baby corn. Archives Agron. and Soil Sci 2014;60(9):1193-1206.
- Panchbhai N. NPK rate effects on growth and yield of sweet corn. M.Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, (unpublished) submitted to Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad 2013.
- 4. Sahoo SC, Mahapatra PK. Yield and economics of sweet corn (*Zea mays* L.) as affected by plant population and fertility levels. Indian J Agron 2007;52(3):239-242.
- Singh A, Awasthi RP, Singh RD, Arya RL. Effect of inorganic fertilizers in maize + ginger intercropping system in humid hilly soils. Indian Journal of Agronomy 1995;40(4):549-552.
- 6. Thakur GD, Bavalgave VG, Waghmare MS, Kesare BJ, Khandekar BS. Effect of fertilizer levels on growth and yield of sweet corn. Int J Agric Sci 2009;5(1):100-102.
- 7. Venkatraman NS. Need for corn revolution in India. Kisan world 2007, P 22-23.