

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234

www.phytojournal.com JPP 2020; 9(6): 877-880 Received: 15-08-2020 Accepted: 28-09-2020

#### S Adithya

Department of Agricultural Microbiology, GKVK, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

#### MK Shivaprakash

Department of Agricultural Microbiology, GKVK, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

#### **M** Raveendra Reddy

Department of Microbiology, Regional Agricultural Research Station, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India

#### C Maina

Department of Agricultural Microbiology, GKVK, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

Corresponding Author: S Adithya Department of Agricultural Microbiology, GKVK, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

# Evaluation of biocontrol efficiency of symbiotic bacteria of entomopathogenic nematodes against *Plutella xylostella*, Diamondback Moth in cruciferous vegetable crops in seedling trays under greenhouse conditions

## S Adithya, MK Shivaprakash, M Raveendra Reddy and C Maina

## DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/phyto.2020.v9.i6m.13053

#### Abstract

*Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus* bacteria share a mutualistic relationship with *Steinernematidae* and *Heterorhabditidae* nematode families, respectively. The present study was undertaken to determine the biocontrol efficiency of the symbiotic bacteria of entomopathogenic nematodes for diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* in cruciferous vegetable crops. Biocontrol efficiency of symbiotic bacteria was determined in seedling trays with different treatment combinations of individual as well as combination of bacteria along with *Bacillus thuringiensis* as reference strain and the results had shown that the treatments imposed with the consortia of all the five bacterial isolates were able to show significantly higher biocontrol efficiency compared to the other treatment groups imposed with the individual symbiotic bacterial isolates. Among the treatments with individual bacterial isolates the treatments imposed with EPB3 (*Xenorhabdus* sp.) had significantly higher biocontrol efficiency compared to the symbiotic bacteria of entomopathogenic nematodes has greater potential to be exploited in the management of diamondback moth further in the field studies.

Keywords: Photorhabdus, Xenorhabdus, biocontrol efficiency, symbiotic bacteria and diamondback moth

#### Introduction

Diamondback moth (DBM), *Plutella xylostella* is one of the major pest in cruciferous vegetable crops including cabbage, cauliflower, turnip, Brussels, Chinese cabbage, radish and broccoli. Larvae of DBM cause complete defoliation of the foliage leaving the leaf veins intact and also it will disrupt the head formation. Repeated use of insecticides to control DBM has resulted in development of resistance particularly in sub-tropical and tropical countries, where farmers tend to apply mixtures of chemical insecticides sometimes more than twice a week (Sarfraz and Keddie, 2005)<sup>[1]</sup>. Consequently, alternative methods of insect control, including biocontrol agents, are being investigated (Mahar *et al.*, 2004)<sup>[2]</sup>. Microbial bio-pesticides are known to possess all these qualities and are now encouraged against several insect pests.

There is a presenting demand to find substitute for chemical pesticides due to the environmental and health risk issues associated with greater extent usage of chemicals and its residual accumulation in soil affecting soil health. To overcome this, currently the research is focused mainly on the *Bacillus thuringiensis* (Bt) toxins. There is also another potential field wherein the toxins produced by symbiotic bacteria (*Photorhabdus luminescens* and *Xenorhabdus nematophilus*) associated with the entomopathogenic nematodes (EPNs) can also be used to overcome this problem. The toxins are commonly termed as Tc toxins (toxin complex). *Photorhabdus luminescens* and *Xenorhabdus supplicate* as one of the best alternative for non-chemical pest control. The symbiotic bacteria can be grow under *in-vitro* conditions after isolation from the nematode host. The toxins of bacteria are considered as highly potent biopesticides and the gene has the potency to be cloned into plants for development of insect resistant transgenic crops similar to *Bt* toxins (Nawaz *et al.*, 2016) <sup>[3]</sup>.

This study set out to determine the biocontrol efficacy of symbiotic bacteria of EPNs in the management of DBM under greenhouse conditions.

http://www.phytojournal.com

We tested the bacterial isolates for their ability to infect and kill DBM larvae in laboratory experiments (Adithya *et al.*, under publication). Based on the results of these experiments we then tested isolates in greenhouse experiment for their ability to control DBM and compared their efficacy with a commercially available biocontrol agent, *Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt)*.

**Materials and Methods:** In the present study the biocontrol efficiency of symbiotic bacterial isolates isolated previously by Adithya *et al.* (2020) <sup>[4]</sup> against the *Plutella xylostella*, Diamondback moth (obtained from NBAIR, Hebbala) under greenhouse conditions in cruciferous vegetable crops namely Cabbage, Cauliflower, Knol-Khol and Broccoli. The bacterial biocontrol agents used were presented in the table below

Table 1: Symbiotic bacteria	l isolates used as bioc	control agents
-----------------------------	-------------------------	----------------

S. No.	Symbiotic Bacterial isolate	Bacterial identity		
1.	EPB1	Photorhabdus luminescence subsp. thracensis		
2.	EPB3	Xenorhabdus bovienii		
3.	EPB4	P. luminescence subsp. Kayaii		
4.	EPB8	P. caribbeanensis		
5.	EPB9	P. luminescence subsp. Laumondi		
6.	Bt	Bacillus thuringenesis*		

\*Obtained from Biofertilizers lab, Dept. of Agril. Microbiology

The experiment was carried out in the greenhouse located in Department of Agricultural Microbiology, UAS, GKVK, Bangalore. A total of ten treatments were imposed with three replications in completely randomized design to test the biocontrol efficiency of symbiotic bacterial isolates of EPN in cruciferous vegetable crops. The treatments imposed were are as follows: T<sub>1</sub> – Negative control (DBM alone), T<sub>2</sub> – DBM + EPB1, T<sub>3</sub> – DBM + EPB3, T<sub>4</sub> – DBM + EPB4, T<sub>5</sub> – DBM + EPB8, T<sub>6</sub> – DBM + EPB9, T<sub>7</sub> – DBM + *Bacillus thuringiensis*, T<sub>8</sub> – DBM + EPB1 + EPB3, T<sub>9</sub> – DBM + EPB1 + EPB3 + EPB4 + EPB8 + EPB9 and T<sub>10</sub> – Absolute control (Sterile pot mixture).

Biological control efficacy was calculated using the following formula given by Guo *et al.* (2004).

$$BCE = \frac{(PIC-PIT)}{PIC} \ge 100$$

Where, BCE – Biological control efficiency PIPC- Pest incidence in control PIT- Pest incidence in treatment group

## **Results and discussion**

The results representing the biocontrol efficiency of symbiotic bacterial isolates against the insect pest diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* in cruciferous vegetable crops were presented in the Table 2 and Figure 1.

## Cabbage

The effect of symbiotic bacterial isolates had shown prominent difference among the treatment groups for biocontrol efficiency against the insect pest DBM, *P. xylostella*. Highest biocontrol efficiency (BCE) was recorded in the treatment T<sub>9</sub> (DBM + EPB1 + EPB3 + EPB4 + EPB9) with 92.47% followed by T<sub>8</sub> (87.95%) and T<sub>3</sub> (84.21%), and are significantly different from the other treatments. Significant variation of BCE was exhibited by the symbiotic isolates treated in the seedling trays and uninoculated control (T<sub>1</sub> - DBM) had shown null biocontrol efficiency.

## Cauliflower

The BCE was recorded highest in the treatment  $T_9$  with 87.85% followed by the treatment  $T_8$  (83.85%),  $T_3$  (80.00%), and  $T_2$  (74.70%), and are significantly different from the other treatment groups. The treatment uninoculated control ( $T_1$ ) did

not show any biocontrol efficiency, may be due to lack of symbiotic bacterial isolates in the treatments.

## Knol-Khol

The BCE of symbiotic bacterial isolates against the diamondback moth in greenhouse conditions were recorded and highest percent biocontrol efficiency was observed in the treatment T<sub>9</sub> supplemented with *P. xylostella* and consortia of symbiotic bacterial isolates with 91.47% followed by T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> with 89.47% and 84.21%, respectively and are significantly different from each other. The treatment uninoculated control, T<sub>1</sub> did not show any biocontrol efficiency.

## Broccoli

Biocontrol efficiency (BCE) was recorded for all the treatments supplemented with the symbiotic bacterial isolates and significantly highest BCE was recorded in the treatment  $T_9$  (96.05%) followed by  $T_8$  (93.95%) and  $T_3$  (88.42%), and are in parallel as well as considerably different from other treatments. Significant variation of BCE was exhibited by the symbiotic isolates treated in the seedling trays and uninoculated control ( $T_1$  - DBM) had shown null biocontrol efficiency.

Similar results were witnessed by Schroer and Ehlers (2005) <sup>[5]</sup> for *S. carpocapsae* and combination of the nematode and *B. thuringiensis* with a polymer. Other researchers have reported on the efficacy of EPNs for control of *P. xylostella* with similar results, i.e., less than 50% of targeted populations were controlled with high concentrations of EPNs (Somvanshi *et al.*, 2006) <sup>[6]</sup>. Nyasani *et al.* (2008) <sup>[7]</sup> used three EPN isolates, *Steinernema* sp., *S. weiseri* and *H. indica* in a field experiment to test their ability to reduce DBM populations and damage to kale and the results had shown that all the three nematodes caused significant reductions in populations of DBM and DBM damage, with the population reductions being similar to those caused by application of *Bacillus thuringiensis* ssp. *kurstaki*.

NanGong *et al.* (2016) <sup>[8]</sup> reported that *Xenorhabdus nematophila* HB310 has high insecticidal activity when fed to DBM along with *B. thuringiensis* which indicates that no cross-resistance exists between *X. nematophila* and *B. thuringiensis*. Although clear evidence is not yet available for *X. nematophila*, that these two bacteria have different modes of action and that the Xn toxin toxins and Bt Cry proteins utilize different binding sites within those moths. Whereas *Bt* 

pathogenic pathways, *Bt*-resistant DBM remained susceptible to *X. nematophila* throughout the experimental period there by out coming the effect *Bt* resistant diamondback moth when treated with symbiotic bacteria of EPNs.

Table 2: Biocontrol efficiency of Symbiotic bacteria on Plutella xylostella in Cruciferous vegetables grown in seedling trays under greenhouse
condition

<b>T</b>	BCE* (per cent)				
1 reatments	Cabbage	Cauliflower	Knol-Khol	Broccoli	
T <sub>1</sub> ( <i>Plutella xylostella</i> alone)	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	
	$(0.51 \pm 0.01)g$	$(0.50 \pm 0.01)g$	$(0.00 \pm 0.00)f$	$(0.00 \pm 0.00)g$	
T <sub>2</sub> ( <i>P. xylostella</i> + EPB1)	78.64	74.70	78.42	82.34	
	$(62.47 \pm 1.80)$ cd	$(59.80 \pm 1.73)$ bcd	$(62.32 \pm 1.80)$ bc	$(65.15 \pm 1.88)$ cd	
T <sub>3</sub> ( <i>P. xylostella</i> + EPB3)	84.21	80.00	84.21	88.42	
	$(66.59 \pm 1.92)$ bc	$(63.43 \pm 1.83)$ abc	$(66.59 \pm 1.92)$ ab	$(70.11 \pm 2.02)$ bc	
T <sub>4</sub> ( <i>P. xylostella</i> + EPB4)	73.69	70.00	73.68	77.37	
	$(59.14 \pm 1.71)$ d	$(56.79 \pm 1.64)$ cd	$(59.14 \pm 1.71)$ cd	$(61.59 \pm 1.78)$ de	
T5 (P. xylostella + EPB8)	69.16	65.70	63.16	66.32	
	$(56.27 \pm 1.62)$ de	$(54.15 \pm 1.56)$ de	$(52.63 \pm 1.52)$ de	$(54.52 \pm 1.57)$ ef	
$T_6 (P. xylostella + EPB9)$	52.64	55.70	68.42	71.84	
	$(49.97 \pm 1.44)$ ef	$(48.28 \pm 1.39)$ ef	$(55.81 \pm 1.61)$ cde	$(57.95 \pm 1.67)$ def	
$T_7 (P. xylostella + Bt)$	52.11	49.50	57.89	60.79	
	$(46.21 \pm 1.33)f$	$(44.72 \pm 1.29)f$	$(49.54 \pm 1.43)e$	$(51.23 \pm 1.48)f$	
T <sub>8</sub> ( <i>P. xylostella</i> + EPB1 + EPB3)	87.95	83.55	89.47	93.95	
	$(69.69 \pm 2.01)$ ab	$(66.07 \pm 1.91)$ ab	$(71.07 \pm 2.05)a$	(75.76 ± 2.19)ab	
T9 (P. xylostella + Consortia)	92.47	87.85	91.47	96.05	
	$(74.08 \pm 2.14)a$	$(69.60 \pm 2.01)a$	$(73.02 \pm 2.11)a$	(78.53 ± 2.27)a	
T <sub>10</sub> (Absolute Control)	-	-	-	-	

**Note:** Means with same superscript, in a column do not differ significantly at P = <0.05 as per Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT).

EDI – Emergence Disease Incidence; BCE – Biocontrol Efficiency; *Bt-Bacillus thuringiensis* 

\*Figures in parenthesis indicate the  $\sqrt{x} + 0.5$  transformed values



Fig 1: Biocontrol efficiency of different treatments of symbiotic bacterial isolates on *Plutella xylostella* in Cruciferous vegetable crops grown in seedling trays under greenhouse conditions.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, our study clearly implies that symbiotic bacteria of entomopathogenic nematodes have the ability of reducing damage caused by DBM larvae and they can thus be used as biopesticides against this important pest. Among the symbiotic bacteria, *Xenorhabdus* had comparatively more potential than the *Photorhabdus* which has to be further confirmed with the field studies.

#### Acknowledgements

Authors are thankful to The Director, NBAIR, Hebbala, Bangalore- 560065 for providing larvae for bioassay studies.

## References

- 1. Sarfraz M, Keddie B. Conserving the efficacy of insecticides against *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (*Lepidoptera: Plutellidae*). Journal of Applied Entomology 2005;129(3):149-57.
- 2. Mahar A, Munir M, Mahar A. Studies of different application methods of *Xenorhabdus* and *Photorhabdus* cells and their toxin in broth solution to control locust (*Schistocerca gregaria*). Asian Journal of Plant Sciences. 2004;3(6):690-695.
- 3. Nawaz M, Mabubu JI, Hua H. Current status and advancement of biopesticides: microbial and botanical pesticides. Journal of Entomological and Zoological Studies 2016;4(2):241-246.
- 4. Adithya S, Shivaprakash M, Sowmya E. Evaluation of insecticidal activity of entomopathogenic bacteria *Photorhabdus* and *Xenorhabdus* against shoot and fruit borer *Earias vittella (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)* of vegetable crops. Journal of Entomological and Zoological Studies 2020;8(4):2343-2348.
- 5. Schroer S, Ehlers R. Foliar application of the entomopathogenic nematode *Steinernema carpocapsae* for biological control of diamondback moth larvae (*Plutella xylostella*). Biological Control 2005;33(1):81-86.
- Somvanshi VS, Lang E, Sträubler B, Spröer C, Schumann P, Ganguly S *et al.* Providencia vermicola sp. nov., isolated from infective juveniles of the entomopathogenic nematode Steinernema thermophilum. International journal of systematic and evolutionary microbiology 2006;56(3):629-633.
- Nyasani JO, Kimenju JW, Olubayo FM, Wilson MJ. Laboratory and field investigations using indigenous entomopathogenic nematodes for biological control of Plutella xylostella in Kenya. International Journal of pest management 2008;54(4):355-361.
- NanGong Z, Wang Q, Song P, Hao J, Yang Q, Wang L. Synergism between *Bacillus thuringiensis* and *Xenorhabdus nematophila* against resistant and susceptible *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae). Biocontrol Science and Technology 2016;26(10):1411-1419.