Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com

E-ISSN: 2278-4136
P-ISSN: 2349-8234
www.phytojournal.com
JPP 2020; 9(6): 692-695
Received: 19-08-2020
Accepted: 22-10-2020

SS Kinge
M.Sc., Department of Agronomy, VNMKV Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

GA Bhalerao
Associate Professor, Department of Agronomy, VNMKV Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

AJ rathod
M.Sc., Department of Agronomy, VNMKV Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

PP Shinde
M.Sc., Department of Agronomy, VNMKV Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author:
SS Kinge
M.Sc., Department of Agronomy, VNMKV Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Economics of soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill as influence by different of land configuration and crop residue management

SS Kinge, GA Bhalerao, AJ rathod and PP Shinde

Abstract
A field experiment was conducted at Experiment farm of Agronomy Department, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani during *kharif* 2019 to study economics of soybean (*glycine max* (l.) Merrill as influence by different of land configuration and crop residue management) merrill. The experimental plot was laid out in split plot design of fifteen treatment combinations replicated thrice. Where in main plot consist of three land configuration practices *viz*., (L_1) Flatbed, (L_2) Broad bed furrow, (L_3) Ridges & furrow and sub plot five treatment of crop residue management practices of (CR_1) Crop Residue @ 1.25 T/ha + 5 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism, (CR_2) Crop Residue @ 1.25 T /ha + 10 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism, (CR_3) Crop Residue @ 2.5 T /ha + 5 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism., (CR_4) Crop Residue @ 2.5 T /ha + 10 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism and (CR_5) without crop residue. Among land configurations Broad bed furrow recorded higher seed yield, straw yield, GMR, NMR and B: C ratio than other treatments. Among crop residue management Seed yield, straw yield, GMR, NMR and B: C ratio were higher in (CR_4) Crop Residue @ 2.5 T /ha + 10 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism over rest of treatments.

Keywords: Land configuration, crop residue management, decomposing microorganism, broad bed furrow, flat bed, ridges furrow

Introduction
Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) is a leguminous crop originated in China and belongs to sub family papilionaceae with family leguminaceae. It is originated in China and it was introduced in India in recent years. It is basically a pulse crop, but as it contains 20 per cent cholesterol free oil gained the importance as an oilseed crop. It is known as the 'Gold of Century' due to easy cultivation, low nitrogen requirement and high cost benefit ratio. In world USA, Brazil, China and Argentina after rank fifth in area and production of soybean in the world India. In India area, production and productivity of soybean during 2018 was 108.39 lakh ha, 114.83 lakh million tonnes and 1059 kg ha^{-1}, correspondingly. In Maharashtra area, production and productivity of soybean during 2018 was 36.39 lakh ha, 38.35 lakh million tonnes and 1054 kg ha^{-1}, respectively. Where as in Marathwada the area underneath soybean was 17.40 lakh ha with production of 18.22 lakh tonnes and productivity was 967 kg ha^{-1}. In Parbhani district the area under soybean was 2.20 lakh ha with production of 2.28 lakh tonnes and productivity was 1032 kg ha^{-1} (Anonymous, SOPA databank 2018 production Date-8/05/2019).

Land configurations have a major influence on soil aeration, moisture availability and temperature of soil which in turn affect the yield and quality of crop. The broad bed furrow and ridge and furrow are newly developed methods of soybean cultivation in India. Therefore, need to standardised land configuration for the cultivation of soybean in India. Ram and Kler (2007) [8] reported that the broad bed furrow provides favourable environment for growth and development of the soybean crop under rained conditions. Land configuration is a potential tool for soil and moisture conservation. Appropriate land configuration like broad bed and furrow, ridges and furrow system increases crop yield due to increase in infiltration of water into soil profile and it becomes available to crop during prolonged monsoon break and control water crises in agriculture by the way 'more crop per drop'. At the same time there should be provision for drainage of excess rain water. Studies on soil management for increasing crop production revealed that use of various modifications of land configurations such as broad bed furrow, ridges and furrow for soybean in vertisol were superior over flat bed and recommended in watershed development for moisture conservation as well as for safe removal of excess rain water (Raut *et. al*., 2000) [9]. Among different conservation measures, straw mulching on soil surface to reduce evaporation rate and discourage the weeds is another water conservation practice in India. The combination of land configuration and straw mulching conserve the soil moisture and improve water use efficiency and grain yield. The recycling of crop residues has the benefit of converting the surplus farm waste into valuable products for gathering nutrient supplies of crops. It also maintains the soil physical and chemical condition and increase the overall ecological balance of the crop production system. Crop residues many roles in a crop-production system decrease soil erosion from both wind and water, provide plant nutrients, act as a mulch to lessen the rate of soil water loss and change soil temperature. To conserve as much rain water as possible during less rainfall years and ways of overcoming excess moisture to improve growth and yield of soybean, land configurations like flat bed, broad bed furrow and ridges and furrow along with crop residues application plays important role.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was laid out in field at PG Research Farm of Agronomy Department, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani during *kharif* season of 2019. The experimental field was leveled and well drained. The soil of experimental field was medium deep black, clay in texture, medium in organic carbon, low in available nitrogen (195.50 kg ha^{-1}), phosphorus (12.90 kg ha^{-1}) and high in potash (470.70 kg ha^{-1}). The environmental condition prevailed during experimental period was favorable for normal growth and development of soybean crop.

The experiment was carried out in split plot design with three replications consisting of fifteen treatment combinations. The land configuration practices consisted of Flatbed (L_1), Broad bed furrow (L_2), Ridges & furrow (L_3) and five treatments on crop residue management practices of Crop Residue @1.25T/ha+5 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism (CR_1), Crop Residue@ 1.25 T /ha +10 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism (CR_2), Crop Residue @ 2.5 T /ha + 5 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism (CR_3), Crop Residue @ 2.5 T /ha + 10 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism (CR_4) and without crop residue (CR_5) were included in the investigation. Sowing was done on 27 June, 2019 in various land configurations with recommended seed rate. In case of flatbed sowing was done with Tractor drawn ferity seed drill at 45 cm X 5 cm. The broad bed furrow planter was used for preparation of BBF (Broad Bed Furrow) and planting. In case of ridges & furrows, the ridges & furrows were prepared and sowing was undertaken at 45 cm X 5 cm distance. Crop residue application and decomposing microorganism spraying was done after 21 DAS of crop. The treatment was done by using chopped crop residues with spraying decomposing microorganism**.** (CR_1) The treatment was done by using chopped crop residues @ 1.25T/ha with spraying decomposing microorganism 5 kg/ha**.**(CR_2) The treatment was done by using chopped crop residues @ 1.25T/ha with spraying decomposing microorganism 10 kg/ha**.** (CR_3) The treatment was done by using chopped crop residues @ 2.5T/ha with spraying decomposing microorganism 5 kg/ha. (CR_4) The treatment was done by using chopped crop residues @ 2.5T/ha with spraying decomposing microorganism 10 kg/ha. (CR_5) The treatment was done without crop residues and decomposing microorganism.

Result and Discussion

Effect of land configuration on yield and yield attributes of soybean

Yield attributing characters like number of pods/plant, weight of pods/plant and weight of seed/plant (g) and seed yield of soybean showed remarkable improvement by adopting different land configuration method (Table 1). The broad bed furrows planting method was most efficient for increase in yield and yield attributing characters i.e. number of pods/plant (33.29), weight of pods/plant (6.79 g) and weight of seed/plant (5.70) and seed yield (2221 kg/ha) than flat bed planting but it was at par with the ridges and furrows. This might be due to more favored overall growth and yield attributing characters due to favorable seed bed, better aeration, scope for more space, light interception, benefit of more conserved moisture in furrows and its support at critical growth stages like flowering, pod initiation and development. This resulted in higher values of yield attributing characters and which in turn resulted in higher yields of soybean crop. This results correlate with the work of Patel *et al.*,(2009) [3]. At all the stages of crop growth, land configuration L_2 (Broad bed furrow) recorded the maximum number of pods $plant^{-1}$ over the treatments L_3 (Ridges & furrow), and L_1 (Flat bed). Increase in number of pods $plant^{-1}$ due to proper growth of crop, which might have resulted in greater translocation of food material to the reproductive part, which also reflected towards superiority in yield attributing characters. The increased number of branches and more reproductive growth and conversion of flowers in pods with the support of more conserved soil moisture at peak period of pod initiation might have resulted in increased number of pods per plant. Similar results were observed by Kadam (2015) [5]. Weight of pods $plant^{-1}$ in (Table 1) indicate that the highest values were obtained when the soybean crop was sown with land configuration method of L_2 (Broad bed furrow) than other land configurations i.e. L_3 (Ridges & furrow), and L_1 (flatbed). The higher growth attributes followed by more synthesis and translocation of food material to the source might have resulted in bold seed size and thus more weight of pods $plant^{-1}$. These effect are in line with the reports of Jadhav *et al.*, (2017) [3]. Broad bed furrow (L_2) method of planting had profound effect on seed, straw and biological yields (kg ha^{-1}). The increase in seed yield kg ha^{-1} was attributed to increased growth parameters and yield attributes of soybean. This might be due to more favored overall growth and yield attributing characters due to favorable seed bed, better aeration, scope for more space, light interception, benefit of more conserved moisture in furrows and its support at critical growth stages like flowering, pod initiation and development. This resulted in higher values of yield attributing characters and which in turn resulted in higher yields of soybean crop. This results correlate with the work of Bhadre *et al.*,(2019) [2].

Effect of land configuration on economics of soybean

Land configuration practices had profound effect on gross monetary returns, net monetary returns, B : C ratio as given in Table (1) Treatment L_2(Broad bed furrow) recorded higher gross monetary returns, net monetary returns and B : C ratio. It was followed by treatments L_3 (Ridges & furrow), and L_1 (Flatbed). This might be due to favorable seed bed, favored early growth and conservation of moisture in treatment broad bed furrow over treatments ridges & furrow and flat bed. This resulted in higher values of yield and yield attributing characters and which in turn resulted in higher yields of soybean crop. The results are in line with the results of Kadam (2015) [5], Kamble *et al.*, (2016) [6] and Jadhav *et al.*, (2017) [3].

Effect of crop residue management practices on yield and yield attributes of soybean

The effect of crop residue management practices observed to be profound at all the stages of crop growth. Significant differences were observed in various growth and yield attributing characters, seed and straw yields ha^{-1} due to various crop residue practices

At all the stages of crop growth treatments application of Crop Residue @ 2.5 T /ha + 10 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism (CR_4) produced significantly higher number of pods plant^{-1}having at par values with application of Crop Residue @ 2.5 T/ha + 5 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism (CR_3) and found superior overall other treatments. Increase in number of pods plant^{-1} due to superior growth of crop, which might have resulted in greater translocation of food material to the reproductive part, which also reflected towards superiority in yield attributing characters. The increased number of branches and more reproductive growth and conversion of flowers in pods with the support of more conserved soil moisture at peak period of pod initiation might have resulted in increased number of pods plant^{-1}. Similar results were observed by Pradhan *et al.,* (2018) [7]. Weight of pods plant^{-1} and number of seeds plant^{-1} were higher, when the soybean crop was given Treatment CR_4 (application of Crop Residue @ 2.5 T /ha + 10 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism) over the rest of treatments. The higher growth attributes followed by more synthesis and translocation of food material to the source might have resulted in bold seed size and thus, more weight of pods plant^{-1}. Jadhav *et al.*,(2017) [3]. Seed yield, straw yield (kg ha^{-1}) as presented in Table (1) showed significant differences due to application of different crop residue treatments. TreatmentCR_4(application of Crop Residue @ 2.5 T /ha + 10 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism) produced higher Seed yield, straw yield and biological yield rest of over treatments. The increase in seed yield kg ha^{-1} was attributed to increased growth parameters and yield attributes of soybean. This might be due to more favored overall growth and yield attributing characters due to favorable seed bed, better aeration, scope for more space, light interception, benefit of more conserved moisture in furrows and its support at critical growth stages like flowering, pod initiation and development. This ultimately resulted in higher values of yield attributing characters and which in turn resulted in higher yields of soybean crop. This results correlate with the work of Pradhan *et al.*,(2018) [7].

Effect of crop residue management practices on economics of soybean

Crop residue practices had profound effect on gross monetary returns, net monetary returns and B : C ratio as given in Table (1). Treatment (CR_4) application of Crop Residue @ 2.5 T /ha + 10 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism recorded higher gross monetary returns, net monetary returns and B : C ratio than (CR_3) Crop Residue @ 2.5 T/ha + 5 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism, (CR_2) Crop Residue @ 1.25 T/ha + 10 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism and (CR_1) Crop Residue @ 1.25T/ha + 5 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism whereas the treatment CR_1recorded lowest values. This might be due to more favored overall growth and yield attributing characters due to favorable seed bed, better aeration, scope for more space, light interception, benefit of more conserved moisture in furrows and its support at critical growth stages like flowering, pod initiation and development. This similar result was reported by Jamir *et al.*,(2015) [4] and Jadhav *et al.*,(2017) [3].

Interaction effects

The interaction effect of land configurations and crop residue management practices in yield, yield attributes, gross monetary returns, net monetary returns, B : C ratio was found to be non significant

Table 1: Yield and yield attributing character influenced by different treatments

Treatment	Number pod plant^{-1}	Weight of pods plant^{-1} (g)	Weight of seeds plant^{-1} (g)	Seed yield (kg ha^{-1})	Straw yield (kg ha^{-1})
Land configuration (L)					
L1-Flat bed	26.97	5.60	4.41	1683	2698
L2-Broad bed furrow	33.29	6.79	5.70	2221	3423
L3-Ridges & furrow	31.74	6.30	5.21	2026	3193
S.E. ±	0.38	0.16	0.20	52	59
C.D. at 5%	1.48	0.63	0.78	240	233
Residue management (CR)					
CR_1 - Crop Residue @ 1.25 T/ha +5 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism	23.95	5.61	4.88	1866	3011
CR_2 - Crop residue @ 1.25 T /ha + 10 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism	23.75	5.92	4.94	1904	3041
CR_3 - Crop Residue @ 2. 5 T/ha +5 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism	26.26	7.04	5.71	2210	3464
CR_4 - Crop residue @ 2.5 T /ha +10 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism	27.22	7.63	5.88	2314	3530
CR_5 - Without crop residue	23.06	4.92	4.14	1557	2476
S.E. ±	0.62	0.23	0.22	79	100
C.D. at 5%	1.82	0.65	0.65	234	293
Interaction (L × CR)					
S.E. ±	1.08	0.39	0.39	137	174
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
G. M	24.85	6.23	5.11	1976	3105

Table 2: Economics of Soybean as influenced by different treatments

Treatment	Gross monetary return (Rs ha^{-1})	Net monetary return (Rs ha^{-1})	B:C ratio
Land configuration (L)			
L1-Flat bed	62454	25559	1.69
L2-Broad bed furrow	82407	44712	2.19
L3-Ridges & furrow	74405	37110	2.00
S.E. ±	2266	2266	-
C.D. at 5%	8896	8896	-
Residue management (CR)			
CR_1 - Crop Residue @ 1.25 T/ha +5 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism	69212	32202	1.87
CR_2 - Crop residue @ 1.25 T /ha + 10 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism	70651	33141	1.88
CR_3 - Crop Residue @ 2. 5 T/ha +5 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism	81979	44469	2.19
CR_4 - Crop residue @ 2.5 T /ha +10 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism	85837	47827	2.26
CR_5 - Without crop residue	57765	21330	1.59
S.E. ±	2979	2979	-
C.D. at 5%	8695	8695	-
Interaction (L × CR)			
S.E. ±	5159	5159	-
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	-
G. M	5159	5159	-

Conclusion

Based on above results concluded that broad bed furrow recorded higher seed yield, straw yield, GMR, NMR and B: C ratio than other treatments. Among crop residue management Seed yield, straw yield, GMR, NMR and B: C ratio were higher in (CR_4) Crop Residue @ 2.5 T /ha + 10 kg ha^{-1} decomposing microorganism over rest of treatments.

References

1. Anonymous. Area, production, productivity estimate by soybean processors association of India (SOPA) during 2018-19. www.sopa.org (Date-8/5/2019).
2. Bhadre CK, Narkhede WN, Gokhale DN. Growth, yield and economics of soybean-safflower cropping sequence as influenced by different land configuration and nutrient management. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2019;8(1):169-173.
3. Jadhav MB, Kumbhar M, Patel MV, Shitap MS. Effect of land configuration and mulches on growth, yield and economics of summer groundnut. Trends in Biosciences 2017;10(27):0974-8431, 5798.
4. Jamir I, Singh A, Jamir Z, Prakash P. Effect of straw mulch and anti-transpirants on yield and quality of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merril) The Bioscan 2015;11(1):635-639.
5. Kadam AK. Effect of land layouts and nutrient levels on growth, yield and quality of soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merrill) under rainfed condition (Doctoral Dissertation, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani) 2015.
6. Kamble AS, Waghmode BD, Sangekar VV, Navhale VC, Mahadkar UV. Effect of land configuration and mulching on productivity and jenergy use in groundnut. Indian journal of Agronomy 2016;61(4):489-494.
7. Pradhan J, Baliarsingh A, Pasupalak S, Mohapatra AK. B. Effect of different irrigation scheduling and mulching on productivity of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) of east and south eastern coastal plain of Odisha. The Pharma Innovation Journal 2018;7(10):689-691.
8. Ram H, Kler DS. Growth analysis of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill] and wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in sequence under no tillage and permanent raised bed planting. Indian Journal of Ecology 2007;34:154-57.
9. Raut VM, Taware SP, Halvankar GB, Varghese P. Comparison of different sowing methods in soybean. Journal of Maharashtra Agricultural Universities 2000;25(2):218-219.