

E-ISSN: 2278-4136 **P-ISSN:** 2349-8234

www.phytojournal.com JPP 2020; 9(6): 1919-1922 Received: 19-08-2020 Accepted: 02-10-2020

Jyoti Thakur

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, S. G. College of Agriculture and Research Station, Jagdalpur, Chhattisgarh, India

RR Kanwar

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, S. G. College of Agriculture and Research Station, Jagdalpur, Chhattisgarh, India

Kiran Kumar Shyam

Department of Agribusiness and Rural Mangemnet, IGAV Raipur Chhattisgarh, India

Corresponding Author: Jyoti Thakur Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, S. G. College of Agriculture and Research Station, Jagdalpur, Chhattisgarh, India

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



Studies of correlation between yield and yield attributing characters of Kodo millet (*Paspalum scrobiculatum* L.)

Jyoti Thakur, RR Kanwar and Kiran Kumar Shyam

Abstract

The present experiment entitled "Characterization and Genetic Analysis in Kodo Millet (*Paspalum scrobiculatum* L.) for Rainfed Agriculture" was carried out at Instructional cum Research farm, S.G. college of Agriculture and Research Station, Jagdalpur (C.G.) in *kharif* 2107-18. 33 genotypes of kodo millet were evaluated for genetic analysis of kodo millet. Correlation studies revealed that the grain yield per plot had positive association with tillers per plant, panicle length, panicle per plant, days to flowering, days to maturity, fodder yield, test weight. Hence improving of grain yield can be achieved by improving these traits.

Keywords: Characterization and Genetic Analysis, Kodo millet, Paspalum scrobiculatum

Introduction

Kodo millet (Paspalum scrobiculatum L.) is a small grained cereal belonging to family poaceae (gramineae). It is a tetraploid (2n=4x=40) crop species. Kodo millet is grown for its grain and fodder purpose. Kodo millet is also known as varagu, kodo, haraka, arakalu, ditch millet, rice grass, cow grass, native paspalum, or Indian crown grass. It is grown in India, Pakistan, Philippines, Indonesia, Vietnam, Thailand and West Africa (Deshpande et al., 2015) ^[11]. It is widely distributed in damp habitats across the tropics and subtropics of the World. Kodo millet is indigenous to India (De Wet et al., 1983)^[10]. In India area of small millet 589.6 (000) ha. with a production of 358.9 (000) metric tons and productivity of 654.9 kg/ha. (Indian Institute of Millet Research 2014). Kodo millet is gaining importance due to dual reasons like nutritional properties and stress tolerance (Kumar et al., 2016)^[15]. It provides low priced protein, minerals and vitamins in form of sustainable food (Yadava et al., 2006)^[29]. The millet contains a high proportion of complex carbohydrate and dietary fibre which helps in prevention of constipation and slow release of glucose to the blood stream (Kumar et al., 2016)^[15]. Kodo contain water soluble fiber and this property may be utilized for maintaining or lowering blood glucose response among diabetic and cardiovascular disease patients, glycemic load (GL) representing both quality and quantity of carbohydrate in a food and allows comparison of the likely glycemic effect of realistic portion of the different foods and low glycemic index foods like kodo, have been shown to improve the glucose tolerance in both healthy and diabetic subjects (Riccardi et al., 2008)^[22].

Systematic breeding efforts in this crop have so far been neglected. For starting any crop improvement work, information about the genetic variability available in the population is a prerequisite. Presence of high variability in the germplasm of this crop offers much scope for its improvement (Subramanian *et al.*, 2010)^[27]. Estimation of genetic parameters in the context of trait characterization is an essential component in developing high yielding varieties (Reddey *et al.*, 2013)^[21]. Genetic variability is a basis for any heritable improvement in crop plants. Variability can be observed through biometric parameters like genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV), phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV), heritability (broad sense) and genetic advance as percent of mean in respect of nine characters.

Materials and Methods

The present study was carried out at Research cum Instructional Farm of S.G. College of Agriculture and Research Station Kumhrawand, Jagdalpur, Chhattisgarh. Jagdalpur is situated in $19^{\circ}4'0"$ N and $82^{\circ}2'0"$ E. The city is nestled on the Bastar Plateau and is positioned at a height of around 552 meters from the mean sea level. The investigation was conducted during *kharif* 2017-18 in randomized block design. With 80 germplasm of kodo millet in which 33 were selected for genetic analysis presented in table 1.

The crop was sown on plot size 2.25m x 3m and the spacing between row to row is 22.5 and plant to plant is 7.5 cm. The production practices regional crop was followed. Observations were recorded on randomly chosen five plants from each genotype and both replication for 7 quantitative traits viz. plant height, number of productive tillers per plant, number of panicles per plant, panicle length, grain yield, fodder yield and test weight from both replications, except flowering and maturity, they were recorded on plot basis. Phenotypic and genotypic correlation co-efficient were calculated for the characters by working out the variance components of each character and the covariance components for each pair of characters using the formulae suggested by Al-Jibouri et al. (1958) [1]. Observations were recorded on competitive and randomly chosen five plants from each genotype and from both replications, except flowering and maturity, they were recorded on plot basis. Average of the data from the sampled plants in respect of different quantitative characters was used for statistical analyses.

 Table 1: List of selected 33 genotypes of kodo millet for genetic analysis

S.N.	Genotype name	S.N.	Genotype name	S.N.	Genotype name
1	BK-19	12	BK-48	23	BK-6
2	BK-20	13	BK-49	24	BK-7
3	BK-21	14	BK-50	25	BK-8
4	BK-34	15	BK-64	26	BK-9
5	BK-35	16	BK-81	27	BK-10
6	BK-36	17	PCGK-8	28	BK-11
7	BK-38	18	PCGK-12	29	BK-12
8	BK-42	19	BK-1	30	BK-13
9	BK-43	20	BK-2	31	BK-14
10	BK-45	21	BK-3	32	IK-01*
11	BK-46	22	BK-5	33	IK-02*

Genotypic correlation co-efficient, (rg) = $\frac{\text{Cov } x \ y \ (\text{genotypic})}{\sqrt{(\text{Var } x). \ (\text{Var } y) \ (\text{genotypic})}}$

Constantionari	Treatment MS – Error MS				
Genotypic variance = –	Number of replication Treatment Cov – Error Cov Number of replications				
Construis coveriance -	Treatment Cov – Error Cov				
Genotypic covariance –	Number of replications				
	Cov <i>xy</i> (phenotypic)				
Phenotypic correlation coefficies	$\sqrt{(\operatorname{Var} x)(\operatorname{Var} y)}$ (phenotypic)				

The values of genotypic correlation exceeding unity should be considered as unit only (of same sign). To test the significance of correlation coefficients, the estimated values were compared with the table values of correlation coefficients (Fisher and Yates, 1967) at 5 per cent and 1 per cent levels of significance with (n-2) degrees of freedom, where 'n' is the number of pairs of observations used in the experiment.

Result and Discussion

The efficiency of selection for grain yield mainly depends on the direct and magnitude of correlation between yield and its components and among themselves. Correlation helps understand the nature of interrelationship among the morphophysiological traits and their simultaneous improvement. In the present investigation, the genotypic correlation coefficients were higher in magnitude than their corresponding phenotypic correlations for most of the characters studied indicative of the inherently strong genetic relationships among the traits once the non-heritable influence of the environment was removed (Manayasa *et al.* 2016)^[18] correlations were estimated among nine quantitative traits that are closely related to grain yield in kodo millet. The results are provided in table 2

Correlation between grain yield and pre yield parameters

The pre yield parameters studied in this experiment includes productive tillers per plant, panicles per plant and panicle length. These characters play an important role in contribution to yield. Genotypes possessing more productive tillers along with more number of panicles per plant and longer panicles showed higher yield. Productive tillers per plant exhibited significant positive association with grain yield per plot (0.4035** and 0.3076*), panicle length per plant (0.3273* and 0.2945^{*}), days to maturity (0.2839^{*} and 0.2780^{*}) and test weight (0.5379*** and 0.4352***) at genotypic and phenotypic level. It showed positive non significant association at genotypic and phenotypic level with days to 50% flowering (0.1862 and 0.1836), panicles per plant (0.1042 and 0.1007). Negative correlation recorded at genotypic and phenotypic level for fodder yield per plot (-0.1456 and -0.1089), increase in productive tillers will give more grain yield because more the number of tiller contain more panicles. These result were in accordance with Bezaweletaw *et al.* (2006) ^[6] reported positive phenotypic correlation of productive tillers per plant with test weight, Anuradha et al. (2013)^[2] reported productive tillers per plant had positive significant association with days to maturity, Sreeja et al. (2014)^[26] for grain yield, Chaurasiya (2014)^[9] for test weight and inflorescence length and Arva *et al.* (2017) ^[4] for finger length in barnyard millet. Panicles per plant exhibited positive significant correlation with panicle length at genotypic level (0.3978**) and non significant association at phenotypic level (0.1502), grain yield per plot (0.1079), day to 50% flowering (0.0768), days to maturity (0.0384) and negative correlation with fodder yield per plot (-0.0882) and test weight (-0.0742) at phenotypic level. It showed positive association at genotypic level with days to 50% flowering (0.1302), days to maturity (0.0714) and it showed negative association at genotypic level with grain yield per plot (-0.0876) fodder yield (0.0882) and test weight (-0.1855). This founding is conformity with Bezaweletaw et al. (2006) [6] in finger millet and Sabiel et al. (2014) [23] for grain yield in pearl millet. Panicle length exhibited significant positive association with test weight (0.3241** and 0.2621*), days to 50% flowering (0.4338*** and 0.4055***), days to maturity (0.5075*** and 0.4682***) at genotypic and phenotypic level. It showed positive non significant association with grain yield per plot (0.0597 and 0.0835), and it negatively correlated with fodder yield (-0.3041 and -0.2260) at both level. This result had conformity with Ganapathey et al. (2011) for grain yield in finger millet, Chaurasiya (2014)^[9] for 1000 grain weight, Brunda et al. (2015)^[7] for days to maturity and Aarya et al. (2017)^[4] for DAS to 50% flowering in barnyard millet.

Correlation between yield and vegetative parameters

The vegetative phase in an critical in contributing to yield since vegetative growth, this phase eventually determines the end product. The vegetative parameters studied in this experiment included plant height, days to 50% flowering and day to maturity. Plant height had significant negative association at genotypic and phenotypic level with productive tillers per plant (-0.3003* and -0.2727*), days to 50% flowering (-0.6614*** and -0.5717***), days to maturity (-0.7377*** and -0.6450***), and non significant negative association with panicle length (-0.2313 and -0.1903), test weight (-0.1564 and -0.1421), grain yield per plot (-0.1031 and -0.0303). It showed positive correlation with panicle per plant (0.3796* and 0.2246) and fodder yield per plant (0.0787 and 0.0474) at both genotypic and phenotypic level. This founding were conformity with Bezaweletaw et al. (2006)^[6] for test weight, Nandini et al. (2010) ^[19] reported negative correlation of plant height with grain yield of cross VI and VII in F_2 generation of finger millet, Chitalu (2013) ^[8] for negative association of productive tillers per plant with plant height, Brunda et al. (2015) [7] for days to flowering, Productive tillers per plant, fodder weight and test weight, and Anuradha et al. (2017)^[3] for 50% flowering. Days to 50% flowering recorded significant positive correlation with days to maturity (0.7667*** and 0.7689***), non significant positive association with fodder yield per plot (0.0370 and 0.0156), grain yield per plot (0.1696 and 0.1289) and negative association with test weight (-0.0450 and -0.0281) at genotypic and phenotypic level. This result were accordance with Haradari *et al.* (2012) ^[13] and Lule *et al.* (2012) ^[17] for days to maturity, Prasanna et al. (2013) [20] for grain yield, Shinde et al. (2014) [25] for grain yield and test weight, Chaurasiya (2014)^[9] for test weight and Kumar et al. (2017) ^[17] for fodder yield. Days to maturity recorded positive association with test weight (0.2455 and 0.2290). grain yield per plot (0.1400 and 0.1132) at genotypic and phenotypic level. It showed positive genotypic association with fodder vield per plot (0.0054), while negative association (-0.0046)at phenotypic level. This result are in conformity with Prasanna et al. (2013)^[20] and Brunda et al. (2015)^[7] for grain yield and test weight.

Association among grain yield and yield parameters

The yield parameters undertaken were grain yield, fodder yield and test weight. The genotypes with greater grain and fodder yield per plot along with higher test weight are selected directly due to high heritability and genetic advance. Fodder yield per plot exhibited positive correlation with grain yield per plot (0.1732 and 0.1044) and test weight (0.0824 and 0.0426) at genotypic and phenotypic level. This founding has been conformity with Prasanna et al. (2013)^[20] in Italian millet; Sabeil et al. (2014) in pearl millet; Sao et al. (2017a) ^[24] in kodo millet for grain vield. Test weight exhibited positive correlation with grain yield (0.1798) at genotypic and phenotypic level. Earlier reported by Prasanna et al. (2013)^[20] in Italian millet and Sabeil et al. (2014) significant association with grain yield in pearl millet. Test weight showed Positive association with grain yield per plot. This founding is conformity with Ulganathan and Nirmalakumari (2015)^[28]; Bastola et al. (2015)^[5] in finger millet, Brunda et al. (2015)^[7] for grain yield in foxtail millet. However when the higher test weight decrease the total number of grain per panicles. The results of correlation analysis indicated that grain yield had positive correlation with productive tillers per plant, Panicles per plant, Panicle length, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, fodder yield and test weight; therefore these character should be considered as criteria for selection for higher yield as these were positively correlated with grain yield. While the character plant height negatively associated with grain yield per plot therefore this character should be conceder along with the other character. Grain yield is the end expression of genotypes with respect to economic dry matter production which is a unique feature of genotype to produce some secondary genetic productivity factors (SPGFs) or component traits. Moreover genotype may have optimum SPFs but may not yield higher because of micro and macro environmental contributions and validate role of genetics and environment in determination of yield pathway (Kumar et al. 2015) [14].

S. N	Character		Plant Height (cm)	Tillers/ Plant	Panicles/ Plant	Panicle	Days to 50%	Days to	Fodder	1000	Croin Viold	
						Length	Maturity	Maturity	Yield	Grain	kg/Plot	
						(cm)	(das)	(das)	kg/Plot	Weight (g)		
1.	Plant Height (cm)	G	1.0000	-0.3003*	0.3796	-0.2313	-0.6614***	-0.7377***	0.0787	-0.1564	-0.1031	
		Р	1.0000	-0.2727*	0.2246	-0.1903	-0.5717***	-0.645***	-0.0474	-0.1421	-0.0303	
2.	Tillers/ Plant	G		1.0000	0.1042	0.3273*	0.1862	0.2839*	-0.1456	0.5379***	0.4035**	
		Р		1.0000	0.1007	0.2945*	0.1836	0.2780*	-0.1089	0.4352***	0.3076*	
3.	Panicles/ Plant	G			1.0000	0.3978	0.1302	0.0714	-0.0151	-0.1855	-0.0876	
		Р			1.0000	0.1502	0.0768	0.0384	-0.0882	-0.0742	0.1079	
4.	Panicle Length (cm)	G				1.0000	0.4338***	0.5075***	-0.3041	0.3241*	0.0597	
		Р				1.0000	0.4055***	0.4682^{***}	-0.2260	0.2621*	0.0835	
5.	Days to 50% Maturity (das)	G					1.0000	0.7667***	0.0370	-0.0450	0.1696	
		Р					1.0000	0.7689***	0.0156	-0.0281	0.1289	
6.	Days to Maturity (das)	G						1.0000	0.0054	0.2455	0.1400	
		Р						1.0000	-0.0046	0.2290	0.1132	
7.	Fodder Yield kg/Plot	G							1.0000	0.0824	0.1732	
		Р							1.0000	0.0426	0.1044	
8.	1000 Grain Weight (g)	G								1.0000	0.1798	
		Р								1.0000	0.1789	
9.	Grain Yield kg/Plot	G									1.0000	
		Р									1.0000	

 Table 2: Genotypic and Phenotypic correlation coefficient of 9 character in kodo millet

Concussion

The characters tillers per plant, panicles per plant, panicle length, days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, fodder yield

and test weight showed positive association with grain yield per plant, suggesting grain yield can be improved by improving these characters.

References

- 1. Al-Jibouri HR, Miller PA, Robinson HF. Genotypic and environmental variance in an upland cotton crop of inter specific origin. Agron. J 1958;50:633-63.
- 2. Anuradha N, Bhanu UK, Patro TSSK, Sharma NDRK. Character association and path analysis in finger millet (*Eleusine coracaana* L. Gaertn) association belongs to late maturity group. Int. J of food, Agri. And Veterinary sci 2013;3(3):113-115.
- Anuradha N, Patro TSSK, Divya M, Sandhya Rani Y, Triveni U, *et al.* Genetic variability, heritability and correlation of quantitative traits in little millet genotypes. J. of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2017;6(6):489-492.
- 4. Arya R, Bhatt A, Kumar V, Singh Divya Prakash. Correlation analysis of some growth, yield and quality parameters of barnyard millet (*Echinochloa frumentacea* (Roxb.) Link) Germplasm. J. of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2017;6(5):1426-1429.
- Bastola BR, Pandey MP, Ojha BR, Ghimire SK, Baral K. Phenotypic diversity of Nepalese finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) gaertn) accessions at iaas, Rampur, Nepal. Int. J. Appl. Sci. Biotechnol 2015;3(2):285-290.
- 6. Bezaweletaw K, Sripichitt P, Wongyai W, Hongtrakul V. Genetic variation, heritability and path-analysis in Ethiopian finger millet [*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn] landraces. Kasetsart J. (Nat. Sci.) 2006;40:322-334.
- 7. Brunda SM, Kamatar MY, Ramaling H, Naveenkumar KL. Studies on correlation and path analysis in foxtail millet genotypes. Univer. of Agric. Sci. Dharwad Karnatak 2015;6(5):966-969.
- 8. Chitalu G. genetic characterization of pearl millet (*Pennisetum* glaucum (L.) R. Br.) genotypes in Zambia. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Zambia 2013, 46.
- Chaurasiya V. Genetic variability. association and path coefficient analysis for grain yield and its components in kodo millet (*Paspalum scrobiculatum L.*) Thesis. JNKVV, Jabalpur 2014, 38-44.
- 10. De-Wet JMJ, Prasada Rao KE, Mengesha MH, Brink DE. Diversity in kodo millet, Paspalum scrobiculatum. Economic Botany 1983;37:159-163.
- 11. Deshpandey SS, Mohapatra D, Tripathi MK, Sadvatha RH. Kodo Millet-Nutritional Value and Utilization in Indian Foods. ICAR-Central Institute of Agricultural Engineering, Nabibagh, Berasia Road, Bhopal (M.P.), India. Journal of Grain Processing and Storage J 2015. homepage: www.jakraya.com/journal/jgps
- 12. Ganapathy S, Nirmalakumari A, Muthiah AR. Genetic variability and inter relationship analyses for economic traits in finger millet germplasm. World J. of Agric. Sci 2011;7(2):185-188.
- 13. Haradari IC, Ugalat J, Nagabhushan. A study on character association, genetic variability and yield components of finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L.). J of Crop and Weed 2012;8(2):32-35.
- 14. Kumar P, Sao A, Mukherjee SC, Netam RS. Secondary genetic productivity factor (SGFs) in expression of grain yield in rainfed upland rice of Bastar Plateau. Asian J. of Bio Sci 2015;10(2):1-5.
- 15. Kumar P, Sao A, Thakur AK, Netam RS, Sahu P. Kodo millet (*Paspalum scrobiculatum*) for climate change laid agriculture. Proceedings of brainstorming workshop and two days national seminar on emerging technologies for enhancing water productivity held at IGKV Raipur, India. November 2016;17-18:93-94.

http://www.phytojournal.com

- Biosci 2017;5(2):420-426.
 17. Lule D, Tesfaye K, Fetene M. Multivariate analysis for quantitative traits in finger milet (*Eleusine coracna* subsp. *coracana*) population collected from eastern and southeastern Africa: detection for pattern of genetic diversity. Int.J. of Agri. Res 2012;7:303-304.
- 18. Manyasa OE, Tongoona P, Shanahan P, Githiri M, Rathore A. Correlations, path coefficient analysis and heritability for quantitative traits in finger millet land races. Philippine J. of Sci 2016;145(2):197-208.
- Nandini B, Ravishankar CR, Mahesha B, Shailaja H, Murthy KNK. Study of correlation and path analysis in F2 population of finger millet Int. J. of Plant Sci. (Muzaffarnagar) 2010;5(2):602-605.
- Prasanna Laxmi P, Samba Murthy JSV, Ramakumar PV, Rao Srinivasa V. Studies on correlation and path analysis in exotic genotypes of Italian millet [*Setaria italica* (L.) Beauv]. Ele. J. of Plant Breeding 2013, 1080-1085.
- Reddey CV, Chandra Mohan, Reddey P VRM, Munirathnam P, Gowda J. studies of genetic variability in yield and yield attributing traits of finger millet [*eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn]. Indian J Agric. Res 2013;47(6):549-552.
- 22. Riccardi G, Rivelluse AA, Giacco R. Role of glycemic index and glycemic load in the healthy state, in prediabetics and in diabetes. Ameri. J. of Clinical Nutri 2008;87:269S-74S.
- 23. Sabiel Salih AI, Ismail Mohamed I, Abdalla Elgailani, Osman Khalid A, Ali Adam M. Genetic variation among Pearl millet genotypes for yield and its components in semi-arid zone Sudan. Int. J of Agric. and Crop Sci 2014;822-826.
- 24. Sao A, Singh P, Kumar P, Pradhan A. Estimates of genetic parameters for yield and contributing traits in kodo millet (*Paspalum scrobiculatum* L.). Res. J. of Agri. Sci 2017;8(1):120-122.
- 25. Shinde SR, Desai SV, Pawar RM. Genetic diversity pattern in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn). Int. J. Plant Sci 2014;9(1):13-16.
- 26. Sreeja R, Subramanian A, Nirmalakumari A, Kannan Bapu JR. Genetic analysis of yield and clum straingth related trait in kodo millet (*Paspalum scrobiculatum* L.) Trends in biosciences 2014;7(17): 2496-2499.
- 27. Subramanian A, Nirmalakumari A, Veerabadhiran P. Trait based selection of superior kodo millet (*Paspalum scrobiculatum* L.) genotype. Elect. J. of Plant Breeding 2010;1(4):852-855.
- 28. Ulagnathan V, Nirmalakumari A. Finger millet germplasm characterization and evaluation using principal component analysis. SABRAO J. Breed. Genet 2015;47(2):79-88.
- Yadava HS, Jain AK. Advances in kodo millet research. New Delhi Directorate of Information and Publications of Agriculture, Indian Council of Agric. Res 2006. ISBN: 81:7164-062-1.