

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



**E-ISSN:** 2278-4136 **P-ISSN:** 2349-8234

www.phytojournal.com JPP 2020; 9(5): 664-669 Received: 05-07-2020 Accepted: 04-08-2020

### E Padma

Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari Dt, Andhra Pradesh, India

# K Uma Jyothi

Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari Dt, Andhra Pradesh, India

### G Ramanandam

Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari Dt, Andhra Pradesh, India

### P Subbaramamma

Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari Dt, Andhra Pradesh, India

#### K Uma Krishna

Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari Dt, Andhra Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: E Padma

Department of Vegetable Science, College of Horticulture, Venkataramannagudem, West Godavari Dt, Andhra Pradesh, India

# Performance of varieties with different dates of sowing and spray of growth regulators and their interaction on growth and yield of Dolichos Bean (*Lablab purpureus* L.) during offseason under shade net

# E Padma, K Uma Jyothi, G Ramanandam, P Subbaramamma and K Uma Krishna

## Abstract

The present investigation entitled "Performance of varieties with different dates of sowing and spray of growth regulators and their interaction on growth and yield of Dolichos Bean (Lablab purpureus L.) during offseason under shade net" was carried out during summer 2014-15 and 2015-16 at Horticultural Research Station, Ambajipeta, East Godavari District of Andhra Pradesh. The experiment was laid out with 36 different treatment combinations in a Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) each replicated thrice in open field. The experiment was carried out with four varieties viz., Arka Jay, Arka Amog, Arka Sambhram and Arka Sowmya with three different dates of sowing viz., December 15th, January 1<sup>st</sup> and January 15<sup>th</sup> with foliar spray of growth regulators viz., NAA 25 ppm, Triacontanol 2 ppm and control. The data were recorded on various growth, and yield parameters and the data were statistically analyzed based on ANOVA. Arka Amog recorded significantly highest plant height (66.93 cm)) number of buds per node (4.26) whereas Arka Sowmya (V4) recorded significantly highest leaf area (901.9 cm<sup>2</sup>), pod weight (4.52g) and highest pod yield per ha (132.06 q) under shade net. January 1<sup>st</sup> sown crop recorded significantly the highest plant height (68.22cm) ,leaf area (870.1 cm<sup>2)</sup> number of buds per node (4.17), pod weight (4.37g) and highest pod yield per ha (129.26q) and number of days for 50 per cent flowering (40.60) was less in December 15th sowing. Triacontanol @2 pm foliar spray recorded significantly the highest leaf area (911.0 cm<sup>2</sup>), number of buds per node (4.20), pod weight (4.37 g) and pod yield (125.72q/ha. Arka Sowmya recorded the highest pod yield per hectare (163.27q) when sown on January 1st and sprayed with Triacontanol 2 ppm foliar spray (V4S2G2).

Keywords: Varieties, spray, growth regulators, Lablab purpureus, shade net

## Introduction

In India, Lablab is a field crop mostly confined to the peninsular region and is cultivated to a large extent in Karnataka and adjoining districts of Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh and Maharashtra. Karnataka contributes a major share, accounting for nearly 90 per cent in terms of both area and production in the country. In Andhra Pradesh, beans are cultivated in an area of 228000 ha with a production of 2277000 MT (National Horticulture Board Statistics 2017-18) [11]. The normal growing seasons for field bean are Kharif and Rabi. The beans are not available in Andhra Pradesh after February month. By growing beans in off season *i.e.*, summer, the produce will be made available to the consumers all throughout the year and also the farmers can fetch better price for their produce. Among the agronomic practices, optimum sowing time is considered as an important non-cash input, results in considerable increase in the yield and quality. Productivity in most of the vegetable crops depends on prevailing environmental conditions to which phenological stages of the crop are being exposed. The staggered dates of sowing may thus influence the crop growth, flowering and yield. The plant growth regulators are either natural or synthetic compounds applied to the target plants to modify either developmental or morphological structure or both by manipulating the hormonal levels in different plant organs at various growth stages of the plant in the life cycle so as to enhance its yield and quality (Setia et al., 1991). The information on suitable varieties, optimum sowing date and growth regulators on off season production of field bean is scanty. Hence, the present study is conducted to find out the field bean varieties suitable for growing in off season for coastal Andhra Pradesh under shade net with optimum date of sowing and suitable growth regulator.

### Materials and Methods

The investigation entitled "Performance of varieties with different dates of sowing and spray of growth regulators and their interaction on growth and yield of Dolichos Bean (Lablab purpureus L.) during offseason under shade net " was carried out during summer 2014-15 and 2015-16 at Horticultural Research Station, Ambajipeta, East Godavari District of Andhra Pradesh which is situated at 16.4° N latitude and 81.5<sup>o</sup> E longitudes with an altitude of 34 m above mean sea level. The experimental site receives an annual rainfall of 1186 mm. The pH of irrigated water was 7.3 and EC is of 0.7 dSm<sup>-1</sup>. The experiment was laid out with 36 different treatment combinations in a Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) each replicated thrice under shade net. The experiment was carried out with four varieties viz., Arka Jay (V<sub>1</sub>), Arka Amog(V<sub>2</sub>), Arka Sambhram (V<sub>3</sub>)and Arka Sowmya( $V_4$ ) with three different dates of sowing viz., December  $15^{th}(S_1)$  January $1^{st}(S_2)$  and January $15^{th}(S_3)$  with foliar spray of growth regulators viz., NAA 25 ppm (G1), Triacontanol 2 ppm (G<sub>2</sub>), and control(G<sub>3</sub>). Growth regulators were sprayed at 30 DAS and 60 DAS. The experimental area was thoroughly ploughed and brought into a fine tilth. Recommended dose of FYM and basal dose of fertilizers were incorporated into the soil before the final ploughing. The recommended dose of N, P and K (20:60:50 kg per ha) were applied in the form of urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash respectively. Nitrogen was applied in 2 splits, half of the nitrogen (i.e. 10 kg) was applied as basal dose and the remaining half of the nitrogen (i.e. 10 kg) was applied as top dressing at 30 days after sowing. The entire dose of phosphorus and potash were applied at the time of sowing as basal dose. The various observations on growth and vield parameters were recorded on five plants which were tagged. Days to 50% flowering was calculated as number of days taken from the date of sowing to the day when 50 per cent of the plants in a plot were flowered. The two years data were recorded on various growth and yield parameters and the pooled data were statistically analyzed based on ANOVA.

## **Results and Discussion**

The data regarding the effect of sowing time and growth regulators and their interaction on growth characters of field bean varieties under shade net condition was presented in Table 1. Significant differences were found among the varieties for plant height at harvest. Arka Amog (V<sub>2</sub>) recorded significantly the highest plant height (66.93 cm) and it was on par with Arka Jay  $(V_1)$  (65.83). The differences in plant height among the varieties could be attributed to their genetic character and their adaptability to 50 per cent shade. Similar results in differences in plant height among the varieties when grown under shade net were reported by Vethamoni and Natarajan (2008) <sup>[20]</sup> in chilli, Nooprom *et al.* (2013) <sup>[12]</sup> in broccoli and by Guha et al. (2016)<sup>[5]</sup> in coriander.Dates of sowing showed significant effect on plant height at harvest. January 1<sup>st</sup> sown crop (S<sub>2</sub>) recorded the highest plant height (68.22 cm) and it was on par with January  $15^{\text{th}}$  sown crop (S<sub>3</sub>) (67.16 cm). The increase in plant height particularly for the crop sown on January 1<sup>st</sup> may be attributed to the favourable environmental factors especially optimum temperature. These results are in consonance with those of Ranjith et al. (2013) <sup>[15]</sup> in cauliflower, Bibi et al. (2012) <sup>[2]</sup> in tomato and by Ranjit et al. (2015) [16] in french bean when grown under shade net.Growth regulators were found to have nonsignificant influence on plant height at harvest.Significant differences for plant height were observed among VxS

interactions. At harvest, Arka Amog recorded the highest plant height (70.87 cm) when sown on January  $1^{st}$  (V<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>). The interaction between varieties and growth regulators, sowing dates and growth regulators, varieties, sowing dates and growth regulators on plant height was found to be non significant.

The data pertaining to the effect of varieties, dates of sowing, growth regulators and their interactions on leaf area under shade net are presented in Table 1.The data was found significant with regard to leaf area per plant with different varieties, dates of sowing and growth regulators and their interactions. Significantly the highest leaf area per plant (901.9 cm<sup>2</sup>) at harvest was observed by Arka Sowmya (V<sub>4</sub>).Leaf area was significantly increased due to greater assimilation of food material by the plant which resulted in greater meristematic activities of cells. Similar results have been reported by Esakkiammal et al. (2015)<sup>[4]</sup> in dolichos bean and Prakash et al (2015) [13] in french bean. The influence of dates of sowing on leaf area was significant. Significantly the highest leaf area per plant (870.1 cm<sup>2</sup> at harvest respectively was observed by January  $1^{st}$  sowing (S<sub>2</sub>). Sowing on January 1<sup>st</sup> resulted in significant increase in leaf area might be due to favourable environmental conditions during this period such as temperature, day length and light intensity. Similar results were obtained by Mulualem et al. (2012)<sup>[9]</sup> in faba bean and Abido and Seadh (2014)<sup>[1]</sup> in dolichos bean. The influence of growth regulators on leaf area was also significant. Significantly the highest leaf area per plant (911.0 cm<sup>2</sup> at harvest respectively) was recorded with Triacontanol 2 ppm. The increase in leaf area per plant with Triacontanol foliar spray might be due to enhanced photosynthetic activity and efficient assimilation of photosynthetic products.

Among VxS interactions, significant differences for leaf area was observed at harvest. At harvest, the highest leaf area per plant (934.2 cm<sup>2</sup>) was recorded by Arka Amog sown on January 1<sup>st</sup> (V<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>). Among VxG interactions significantly the highest leaf area per plant (966.8 cm<sup>2</sup> at harvest) was observed by Arka Sowmya sprayed with Triacontanol 2 ppm (V<sub>4</sub>G<sub>2</sub>). Among SxG interactions, non-significant differences for leaf area was observed. Among VxSxG interactions, significantly the highest leaf area per plant was observed by Arka Sambhram (974.45 cm<sup>2</sup>) at harvest when sown on December 15<sup>th</sup> with Triacontanol 2 ppm foliar spray (V<sub>3</sub>S<sub>1</sub>G<sub>2</sub>).

Varieties, dates of sowing, growth regulators and their interactions showed significant effect on number of buds per node and days to 50% flowering and data are presented in Table 2.The effect of variety on number of buds per node was found non-significant. The highest number of buds per node was observed in Arka Amog  $(V_2)$  (4.26) and the lowest in Arka Jay  $(V_1)$  (3.56). Similar variation in number of buds per node was reported by Das et al. (2012)<sup>[3]</sup> in country bean. Further, the effect of dates of sowing on number of buds per node was also significant. The highest number of buds per node were observed in January 1st sown crop (S2) (4.17) followed by December  $15^{th}$  (S<sub>1</sub>) (4.14). The crop sown on January  $15^{th}$  (S<sub>3</sub>) recorded the lowest number of buds per node (3.48). The effect of growth regulators on number of buds per node was significant. The plants sprayed with Triacontanol 2 ppm (G<sub>2</sub>) recorded the highest number of buds per node (4.20), followed by NAA 25 ppm spray (G1) (3.96) and control (3.63).

The effect of VxS interaction was significant for number of buds per node. The highest number of buds per node was observed in Arka Sambhram sown on January  $1^{st}$  (V<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>)

(5.20) and the lowest number of buds per node (3.12) were noticed in Arka Jay sown on January 15th (V1S3). The effect of VxG interaction was significant for number of buds per node. The highest number of buds per node (4.57) were observed in Arka Amog in combination with Triacontanol 2 ppm spray  $(V_2G_2)$  and was on par with Arka Sambhram sown on January1<sup>st</sup> ( $V_3G_2$ )(4.24). The lowest number of buds per node (3.25) was observed in Arka Jay without spray  $(V_1G_3)$ . The effect of SxG interaction was non-significant for number of buds per node. The effect of VxSxG was found significant for number of buds per node. The highest number of buds per node were observed in Arka Sambhram sown on January1st in combination with Triacontanol 2 ppm spray  $(V_3S_2G_2)$  (5.61) The varieties did not differed significantly for days to 50 per cent flowering. The influence of dates of sowing on days to 50 per cent flowering was significant. December 15th sowing (S<sub>1</sub>) took the least number of days for 50 per cent flowering (40.60), and it was on par with January 1<sup>st</sup> sowing (40.94), followed by January 15<sup>th</sup> sowing (S<sub>3</sub>) (42.11 days). The reason for variation in days to 50 per cent flowering might be due to variation in growing conditions due to different sowing times as reported by Nooprom et al. (2013)<sup>[12]</sup> in broccoli.The influence of growth regulators on days to 50 per cent flowering was non-significant and also the interaction of varieties and sowing dates, varieties and growth regulators, sowing dates and growth regulators and varieties, sowing dates and growth regulators showed non-significant influence on days to 50 per cent flowering.

Varieties, dates of sowing and growth regulators showed significant effect on pod weight. Interaction of VxS, SxG VxG and VxSxG also showed significant effect on pod weight and the data are depicted in Table 3. The highest pod weight (4.52 g) was noticed in Arka Sowmya ( $V_4$ ) and it was on par with Arka Sambhram (V3) (4.37). Pod weight was lowest in Arka Jay ( $V_1$ ) (3.79 g). It might be due to the genotypic variation among the varieties and their interaction with environmental factors reaction with the plant which accelerates the vegetative and reproductive growth phases ultimately promoting pod weight. The findings are in agreement with the findings of Khattak et al. (2007)<sup>[7]</sup> in tomato and Vethamoni and Natarajan (2008) [20] in chilli. Dates of sowing showed significant effect on pod weight and the highest pod weight was recorded in January 1st sown crop  $(S_2)$  (4.37 g). The lowest pod weight (4.11 g) was recorded in December  $15^{th}$  sowing (S<sub>1</sub>). This could be attributed to enhanced plant growth coupled with adequate reserved food material which facilitated adequate vegetative growth and subsequently higher weight of pod. These results are in consonance with the findings of Abido and Seadh (2014)<sup>[1]</sup> in dolichos bean.Growth regulators showed significant effect on pod weight. Highest pod weight was recorded with Triacontanol 2 ppm spray  $(G_2)$  (4.37g) and control recorded the lowest pod weight (3.97 g). Increased supply of photosynthetic materials and its efficient mobilization in plants gives rise to increased stimulation of pod growth ultimately increases pod weight. Similar results of increased fruit weight with Triacontanol was reported by Khan et al.(2009)<sup>[6]</sup> in tomato.In VxS interaction, Arka Sowmya sown on January  $1^{st}$  (V<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>) recorded the highest pod weight(4.89 g) and was on par with Arka Sambhram sown on January 1<sup>st</sup> (V<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>) (4.72 g) and Arka Amog sown on January 1<sup>st</sup> (V<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>) (4.63 g). The interaction between varieties and growth regulators and sowing dates and growth regulators was found to be non significant for pod weight. Among VxSxG interaction, Arka Sowmya sown on January 1<sup>st</sup> with NAA spray (V<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>G<sub>1</sub>) showed the highest pod weight (5.01 g) and the lowest pod weight (2.99 g) was recorded in Arka Jay sown on January 1<sup>st</sup> without growth regulator spray (V<sub>1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>G<sub>3</sub>).

The results on pod yield per hectare in field bean as influenced by varieties, dates of sowing and growth regulators are presented in Table 3. The varieties recorded significant differences for pod yield per hectare. Arka Sowmya  $(V_4)$ recorded significantly highest pod yield per ha (132.06 q) and the lowest pod yield per hectare was recorded in Arka Jay (V1) (96.02 q). The increasing yield may be due to more number of branches, more leaf area and more number of pods. These characters favour the maximum conversion into yield of the plant and also depend on the genetic characters of the variety. The findings corroborate with the results obtained by Vethamoni and Natarajan (2008)<sup>[20]</sup> in chilli, Nooprom et al. (2013) <sup>[12]</sup> in broccoli and Ranjith et al. (2013) <sup>[15]</sup> in cauliflower when grown under shade net. The results on pod yield per hectare differed significantly due to sowing dates. The crop sown on January  $1^{st}$  (S<sub>2</sub>) recorded significantly the highest pod yield per hectare (129.26 q) and the crop sown on December  $15^{th}$  (S<sub>1</sub>) recorded the lowest pod yield per hectare (107.67 q). The effect of sowing dates on pod yield per hectare was reported by Bibi et al. (2012)<sup>[2]</sup> in tomato, and Ranjit et al. (2015) <sup>[16]</sup> in french bean when grown under shade net conditions. The results on pod yield per hectare differed significantly due to growth regulators. Triacontanol 2 ppm  $(G_2)$  recorded the highest pod vield per hectare (125.72) q) followed by NAA 25 ppm spray (G1) (115.97 q). The lowest pod yield per ha was recorded in control (G<sub>3</sub>) (103.48 q). The positive influence of Triacontanol on plant yield might be due to its impact on the carbon cycle in plant *i.e* higher CO<sub>2</sub> fixation and their efficient translocation (Menon and Srivastava, 1984)<sup>[8]</sup>. Increase in yield due to Triacontanol has been reported by Ries et al. (1978)<sup>[17]</sup>, Sharma (1994)<sup>[18]</sup> in capsicum; Nargis Jahan et al. (1997) [10] in okra; Tripti Shrivastava et al. (2001)<sup>[19]</sup> in chickpea. The increase in yield due to NAA was reported by Prateek et al. (2017)<sup>[14]</sup> under shade net grown capsicum.

In the interaction of VxS, pod yield per ha differed significantly. Arka Sowmya sown on January 1<sup>st</sup> (V<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>) recorded the highest pod yield per ha (153.52 q) and Arka Jay sown on January 1<sup>st</sup> (V<sub>1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>) recorded the lowest yield per ha (91.05 q). The interaction of varieties and growth regulators and sowing dates and growth regulators was non-significant for pod yield per hectare. VxSxG interactions significantly influenced pod yield per ha. Arka Sowmya sown on January 1<sup>st</sup> sprayed with Triacontanol 2 ppm foliar spray (V<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>G<sub>2</sub>) recorded the maximum pod yield per ha (163.27 q) and it was on par with Arka Sowmya sown on January 1<sup>st</sup> sprayed with NAA 25 ppm (V<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>G<sub>1</sub>) (154.86 q) and Arka Jay sown on January 1<sup>st</sup> without growth regulator spray (V<sub>1</sub>S<sub>2</sub>G<sub>3</sub>) recorded the lowest yield per ha (79.85 q).

Table 1: Effect of varieties, dates of sowing, growth regulators and their interaction on plant height in field bean at harvest under shade net.

	Plar	nt Height (	cm) at hai	vest	Leaf area par plant at harvest (cm <sup>2</sup> )							
Varieties (	V)		Dates of s	owing (S)		Dates of sowing (S)						
	·	S1	S2	S3	Mean	Varieti	es (V)	S1	S <sub>2</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	Mean	
V1		63.99	65.82	67.69	65.83	V	1	723.0	857.5	730.20	770.02	
<b>V</b> <sub>2</sub>		62.41	70.87	68.50	66.93	V	2	892.80	934.20	860.30	895.8	
V3		60.28	67.06	67.06	64.80	V	3	904.20	842.70	769.40	838.0	
$V_4$		59.14	69.11	65.41	64.55	V	4	917.20	846.10	942.50	901.9	
Mean		61.20	68.22	67.16		Me	an	859.30	870.10	825.60		
Variation (	(A)	Growt	h Regulat	ors (G)	Moon	Voriet		Grow	h Regulato	ors (G)	Maan	
varieties (	<b>v</b> )	<b>G</b> 1	G2	G3	Mean	variet	varieties (v)		G2	G3	wiean	
<b>V</b> <sub>1</sub>		65.43	66.73	66.34	65.83	V	1	795.60	855.50	658.60	770.02	
V2		66.40	67.76	66.62	66.93	V	2	902.60	933.10	851.70	895.8	
<b>V</b> <sub>3</sub>		64.75	65.60	64.05	64.80	V	3	831.80	888.40	796.50	838.0	
$V_4$		62.72	64.50	66.44	64.55	V	4	888.10	966.80	850.80	901.9	
Mean		64.83	66.15	65.61		Me	an	855.0	911.0	790.0		
Datas of sowi	og( <b>S</b> )	Growth Regulate		ors (G)	Moon	Dates of soming $(S)$		Growth Regulators (G)		ors (G)	Mean	
Dates of sown	ig(b)	<b>G</b> 1	G <sub>2</sub>	G3	Mean	Dates of sowing (5)		<b>G</b> 1	G <sub>2</sub>	G3	wiean	
S1		60.80	61.54	61.27	61.20	$S_1$		857.0	916.0	805.0	859.30	
S2		67.74	68.75	68.16	67.16	$S_2$		867.0	936.0	808.0	870.10	
S <sub>3</sub>		65.94	68.16	67.39	68.22	8.22 S <sub>3</sub>		840.0	881.0	756.0	825.60	
Mean	Mean		66.15	65.61		Mean		855.0	911.0	790.0	-	
Interaction (V	Interaction (VySyC)		Growth Regulators (G)			Interaction (VxSxG)		Grow	h Regulato	ors (G)		
	AGAG)	G1	G2	G3		Interaction		G1	G2	G3		
	$S_1$	64.70	63.77	63.48			$S_1$	745.71	821.75	601.49		
$V_1$	$S_2$	65.18	67.11	65.16		$\mathbf{V}_1$	$S_2$	897.55	948.87	726.03		
	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	66.40	69.30	67.37			<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	743.47	795.83	651.15		
	$S_1$	61.09	62.72	60.40			$S_1$	893.98	911.29	873.24		
$V_2$	$S_2$	69.10	71.80	71.70		$V_2$	$S_2$	930.71	958.07	913.90		
	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	69.01	68.74	67.74			$S_3$	883.15	929.91	767.81		
	$S_1$	60.31	60.26	60.24			$S_1$	875.67	974.45	862.35		
$V_3$	$S_2$	66.92	68.56	65.69		$V_3$	$S_2$	831.34	864.61	832.02		
	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	66.99	67.98	66.19			<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	788.36	826.01	693.93		
	<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	57.08	59.38	60.95			<b>S</b> 1	912.29	957.62	881.57		
$V_4$	$S_2$	69.74	67.50	70.08		$V_4$	$S_2$	807.67	970.86	759.70		
	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	61.34	66.61	68.26		S3		944.22	971.98	911.24		
Source SE.m ±			C.D a	it 5 %		±			it 5 %			
V 0.54		1.	52	8.95				24	.99			
S 0.47		1.	31	7.75				21.64				
G 0.47			N	IS	7.75				21.64			
VxS		0.94		2.	63		15.51			43.29		
VxG		0.94		Ň	IS		15.51			43.29		
SxG		0.81		N	IS		13.43			NS		
VxSxG		1.63		N	IS		26.86			74.99		

Varieties (V)	Dates of sowing (S)	Growth Regulators (G)
V <sub>1 -</sub> Arka Jay	S <sub>1</sub> December 15 <sup>th</sup>	G <sub>1</sub> NAA 25 ppm
V <sub>2</sub> - Arka Amog	S <sub>2 -</sub> January 1 <sup>st</sup>	G2 - Triacontanol 2 ppm
V <sub>3</sub> - Arka Sambhram	S <sub>3</sub> January 15 <sup>th</sup>	G <sub>3</sub> - Control
V4 - Arka Sowmva		

**Table 2:** Effect of varieties, dates of sowing, growth regulators and their interaction on number of buds/node and days to 50% flowering in field bean under shade net.

	Nu	mber o	f buds/node		Days to 50% flowering					
Varieties (V)	D	ates of	sowing (S)		Dates of sowing (S)					
	$S_1$	$S_2$	<b>S</b> 3	Mean	Varieties (V)	<b>S</b> 1	<b>S</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>S</b> 3	Mean	
$V_1$	4.11	3.43	3.12	3.56	$V_1$	41.70	40.20	41.07	40.99	
$V_2$	4.67	4.39	3.72	4.26	$V_2$	40.40	40.87	41.65	40.98	
V3	3.15	5.20	3.70	4.01	$V_3$	39.61	41.50	42.60	41.24	
$V_4$	4.65	3.66	3.38	3.89	$V_4$	40.69	41.19	43.11	41.66	
Mean	4.14	4.17	3.48		Mean	40.60	40.94	42.11		
Variation (V)	Gra	wth Re	egulators (G)		Variation (V)	Growth Regulators (G)				
varieties (v)	G1	G <sub>2</sub>	G3	Mean	varieties (v)	G1	G2	G3	Mean	
<b>V</b> <sub>1</sub>	3.63	3.79	3.25	3.56	$V_1$	40.60	40.96	41.41	40.99	
V2	4.35	4.57	3.87	4.26	$V_2$	40.44	41.10	41.39	40.98	
V3	3.95	4.24	3.85	4.01	<b>V</b> <sub>3</sub>	41.15	41.00	41.56	41.24	
$V_4$	3.92	4.19	3.57	3.89	$V_4$	41.55	41.80	41.64	41.66	
Mean	3.96	4.20	3.63		Mean	40.93	41.22	41.50		

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

		Gro	wth R	egulators (G)		Detector				Growth Regulators (G)			
Dates of so	owing(S)	G1	G <sub>2</sub>	G <sub>3</sub>	Mean	Date of so	Date of sowing (S)		G <sub>2</sub>	G3	Mean		
S1		4.15	4.33	3.95	4.14	S1		40.28	40.67	40.85	40.60		
S <sub>2</sub>		4.11	4.63	3.78	4.17	S <sub>2</sub>		40.52	40.59	41.71	40.94		
S3		3.63	3.64	3.17	3.48	S <sub>3</sub>		42.00	42.39	41.93	42.11		
Mea	ın	3.96	4.20	3.63		Mea	an						
Interaction	(V-S-C)	Gro	wth R	egulators (G)		Vorieti		Gr	owth Re	gulators	(G)		
Interaction	(VXSXG)	G1	G <sub>2</sub>	G3		varieu	es(v)	G1	G2	G3			
	$S_1$	4.06	4.58	3.69			$\mathbf{S}_1$	41.40	41.68	42.02			
$V_1$	$S_2$	3.55	3.73	3.02		$V_1$	$S_2$	39.99	39.79	40.80			
	$S_3$	3.30	3.06	3.02			$S_3$	40.40	41.41	41.41			
	$S_1$	4.73	4.75	4.54			$\mathbf{S}_1$	39.99	40.19	41.00			
Va	$S_2$	4.26	4.89	4.04		Va	$S_2$	39.59	41.41	41.61			
<b>v</b> 2	$S_3$	4.06	4.07	3.02		<b>v</b> 2	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	41.73	41.68	41.54			
	$S_1$	3.16	3.26	3.02			$S_1$	39.39	39.92	39.51			
Va	$S_2$	5.07	5.61	4.93		Va	$S_2$	41.00	40.87	42.62			
<b>V</b> 3	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	3.63	3.85	3.61		<b>V</b> 3	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	43.03	42.21	42.55			
	$S_1$	4.66	4.74	4.54			$S_1$	40.32	40.87	40.87			
$V_4$	$\mathbf{S}_2$	3.55	4.28	3.15		$V_4$	$\mathbf{S}_2$	41.48	40.26	41.81			
	$S_3$	3.55	3.57	3.02			<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	42.83	44.26	42.21			
Source		SE.m ±		C.D at 5 %		9		<b>C.D at 5 %</b>					
V 0.04 0.11		0.11		0.43 NS									
S		0.03		0.10		0.37			1.0		1		
G		0.03		0.10		0.37				NS			
VxS		0.07		0.20		0.74				NS			
VxG		0.07		0.20		0.74				NS			
SxC	Ĵ	0.06		NS			0.64			NS			
VxSxG		0.12		0.34			1.29			NS			

Varieties (V)	Dates of sowing (S)	Growth Regulators (G)
V <sub>1 -</sub> Arka Jay	S <sub>1</sub> - December 15 <sup>th</sup>	G <sub>1</sub> NAA 25 ppm
V <sub>2</sub> - Arka Amog	S <sub>2</sub> January 1 <sup>st</sup>	G2 - Triacontanol 2 ppm
V <sub>3</sub> - Arka Sambhram	S <sub>3 -</sub> January 15 <sup>th</sup>	G <sub>3</sub> - Control
V4 - Arka Sowmya		

 Table 3: Effect of varieties, dates of sowing, growth regulators and their interaction on pod weight and pod yield per hectare in field bean under shade net.

		Pod w	eight (g)		Pod yield per hectare (q)						
Varieti	ies (V)	D	ates of	sowing (S)		Dates of sowing (S)					
		$S_1$	$S_2$	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	Mean	Varietie	Varieties (V)		<b>S</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	Mean
V	1	4.02	3.22	4.12	3.79	V	l	98.07	91.05	98.92	96.02
V	2	4.09	4.63	3.85	4.19	V <sub>2</sub>	2	103.37	134.77	97.22	111.79
V	3	4.11	4.72	4.29	4.37	Va	3	109.75	137.69	113.62	120.36
V	4	4.21	4.89	4.51	4.52	V	Ļ	119.49	153.52	123.19	132.06
Me	an	4.11	4.37	4.19		Mea	an	107.67	129.26	108.54	
Voriet		Gre	owth Re	egulators (G)		Variati		G	rowth Reg	gulators (	G)
varieu	les (V)	G1	G2	G3	Mean	varieu	es (v)	G1	G2	G3	Mean
V	1	3.73	4.00	3.63	3.79	V	l	94.12	106.00	87.93	96.02
V	2	4.29	4.20	4.09	4.24	Va	2	112.45	116.99	105.92	111.79
V	3	4.44	4.56	4.12	4.37	V3		117.56	134.55	108.96	120.36
V	4	4.81	4.73	4.02	4.54	$V_4$		139.74	145.34	111.10	132.06
Me	Mean 4.21 4.37		4.37	3.97		Mean		115.97	125.72	103.48	
Datas of s			owth Re	egulators (G)		Data of so	······································	Growth Regulators (G)			
Dates of s	owing(5)	G1	G <sub>2</sub>	G3	Mean	Date of sowing (S)		G1	G <sub>2</sub>	G3	Mean
S	1	4.13	4.29	3.89	4.11	<b>S</b> 1		108.24	118.67	96.10	107.67
S	2	4.44	4.42	4.24	4.37	S <sub>2</sub>	1	129.02	139.08	119.68	129.26
S	3	4.38	4.41	3.76	4.19	S3		110.65	119.42	94.65	108.54
Me	an	4.32	4.37	3.97		Mea	an	115.97	125.72	103.48	
Interaction	(VySyC)	Gre	owth Re	egulators (G)		Vorioti		Growth Regulators (G)			G)
Intel action	I (VASAG)	G1	G2	G3		varieti	es (V)	G1	G2	G3	
	$S_1$	3.87	4.35	3.83			$\mathbf{S}_1$	92.92	112.16	89.15	
$V_1$	$S_2$	3.32	3.36	2.99		$\mathbf{V}_1$	$S_2$	93.06	100.25	79.85	
	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	4.01	4.29	4.07			<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	96.39	105.58	94.78	
	$S_1$	4.08	4.03	4.14		<b>V</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>S</b> 1	103.91	103.93	102.28	
Va	<b>S</b> <sub>2</sub>	4.59	4.65	4.66			$S_2$	131.80	141.50	131.02	
<b>v</b> 2	<b>S</b> 3	4.18	3.90	3.47			<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	101.66	105.55	84.47	
	<b>S</b> 1	4.14	4.23	3.95			<b>S</b> 1	107.18	121.30	100.78	
<b>V</b> <sub>3</sub>	$S_2$	4.86	4.67	4.64		$V_3$	$S_2$	136.38	151.28	125.43	

	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	4.33	4.78	3.76		<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	109.14	131.06	100.66		
	$S_1$	4.43	4.56	3.66		$S_1$	128.96	137.30	92.20		
$V_4$	$S_2$	5.01	4.98	4.69	 $V_4$	$S_2$	154.86	163.27	142.42		
	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	4.97	4.65	3.72		<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	135.41	135.47	98.68		
Sour	rce	SE.m ±		C.D at 5 %		SE.m ±			C.D at 5	5 %	
V		0.07		0.20		2.09			5.84		
S		0.06		0.17		1.81			5.05		
G		0.06		0.17	1.81				5.05		
Vx	S	0.12		0.34	3.62				10.11		
Vx	G	0.12		NS	3.62				NS		
SxC	G	0.10		NS	3.13				NS		
VxS	xG	0.21		0.60	6.27				17.52		
		•									

Varieties (V)	Dates of sowing (S)	Growth Regulators (G)
V <sub>1 -</sub> Arka Jay	S <sub>1</sub> - December 15 <sup>th</sup>	G <sub>1</sub> - NAA 25 ppm
V2 - Arka Amog	S <sub>2</sub> - January 1 <sup>st</sup>	G2 - Triacontanol 2 ppm
V <sub>3</sub> - Arka Sambhram	S <sub>3</sub> January 15 <sup>th</sup>	G <sub>3</sub> - Control
V4 - Arka Sowmya		

## References

- 1. Abido WAE, Seadh SE. Rate of variations between field bean Cultivars due to sowing dates and foliar spraying treatments. Science International. 2014; 2(1):1-12.
- 2. Bibi B, Sajid M, Rab A, Shah ST, Ali N, Jan I *et al.* Effect of partial shade on growth and yield of tomato cultivars. Global Journal of Biology, Agriculture & Health Sciences. 2012; 1(1):22-26.
- 3. Das SS, Fakir MSA, Ferdausi A, Biswas MMI. Morphological, growth and biochemical features of *Dipogon lignosus* (L.) Verdc. Journal of Bangladesh Agrilculture University. 2012; 10(1):43-48.
- 4. Esakkiammal B, Lakshmibai L, Sornalatha S. Studies on the combined effect of vermicompost and vermiwash prepared from organic wastes by earthwarms on the growth and yield parameters of *Dolichos lablab*. Asian Journal of Pharma. Science and Technology. 2015; 5(4):246-252.
- 5. Guha S, Debnath S, Sharangi AB. Impact of different levels of cutting and dates of sowing on growth of coriander under open and protected cultivation. The Bioscan. 2016; 11(3):1615-1620
- Khan MMA, Bhardwaj G, Naeem M, Moinuddin Mohammed F, Singh M, Nasir S *et al.* Response of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.) to application of potassium and Triacontanol. Acta Horticulturae (ISHS): XI International Symposium on the Processing Tomato. 2009; 823:199-208.
- Khattak AM, Abdul Salam, Khalid Nawab. Response of exotic tomato lines to different light intensities. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture. 2007; 23(4):927-932.
- Menon KKG, Srivastava HC. Increasing plant productivity through improved photosynthetic process. Indian Academic Science (Plant Science). 1984; 23:359-78.
- Mulualem T, Dessalegn T, Dessalegn Y. Participatory varietal selection of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) for yield and yield components in Dabat district, Ethiopia. Wudpecker Journal of Agriculture Research. 2012; 1(7):270-274.
- Nargis Jahan Fattah GA, Mondal MRI, Lolitamahjab. Physiological and biochemical responses following mixatalol treatments in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.)Moench). Bangladesh Journal of Botany. 1997; 26(2):107-113
- 11. National Horticulture Board statistics, 2017-18.

- 12. Nooprom Karistsapola, Santipracha Quanchitb, Te-Chato Sompong. Effect of shading and variety on the growth and yield of broccoli during the dry season in southern Thailand. International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Sciences. 2013; 3(2):111-115.
- Prakash J, Ram RB, Meena ML. Genetic variation and characters interrelationship studies for quantitative and qualitative traits in french bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) under Lucknow conditions. Legume Research. 2015; 38(4):425-433.
- 14. Prateek Singh, Devi Singh, Deepak Kumar Jaiswal, Singh DK, Vaibhav Singh. Impact of Naphthalene Acetic Acid and Gibberellic Acid on growth and yield of capsicum (*Capsicum annum* (L.)) cv. Indra under shade net conditions. International Journal of Current Microbiology. 2017; 6(6):2457-2462.
- 15. Ranjit Chatterjee, Sandip Mahanta Chatterjee, Mahanta. Performance of Off-season Cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis* L.) under Agro Shade Net as influenced by Planting Dates and Nutrient Source. International Journal of Advances in Agricultural Science and Technology. 2013; 1(1):56-62.
- Ranjit Chatterjee, Ravi Kiran T, Dipika M. Off season production of french bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) cultivation inside agro shade net: Influence of planting dates and nutrient sources. Journal of Agricultural Technology. 2015; 2(1-2):87-91.
- 17. Ries SK, Violet Wert, Sweeley CC, Leavitt RA. Triacontanol: A new naturally occurring plant growth regulator. Science. 1976; 195:1339-1341.
- Sharma SK. Response of triacontanol on morphological characters, fruit and seed yield of capsicum. Indian Journal of Horticulture. 1994; 51(3):299-302.
- 19. Tripti shrivastava KN, Namdeo M, Manoj Kumar M, Dwivedi RK, Tiwari RK. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, yield and nutrient uptake by chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Crop Research. 2001; 21(3):301-307
- Vethamoni IP, Natarajan S. Effect of natural resources on plant growth, yield and quality in chilli cultivars (*Capsicum annuum* L.). The Asian Journal of Horticulture. 2008; 3(2):319-322.