



E-ISSN: 2278-4136

P-ISSN: 2349-8234

[www.phytojournal.com](http://www.phytojournal.com)

JPP 2020; 9(5): 2867-2873

Received: 19-07-2020

Accepted: 21-08-2020

**JC Chandola**

Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Saran,  
Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central  
Agricultural University, Pusa,  
Samastipur, Bihar, India

**Satish Chand**

Department of Horticulture,  
College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant  
University of Agriculture and  
Technology, Pantnagar (U.S.  
Nagar), Uttarakhand, India

**Ranjan Srivastava**

Department of Horticulture,  
College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant  
University of Agriculture and  
Technology, Pantnagar (U.S.  
Nagar), Uttarakhand, India

**Ratna Rai**

Department of Horticulture,  
College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant  
University of Agriculture and  
Technology, Pantnagar (U.S.  
Nagar), Uttarakhand, India

**Sachin Kumar**

Department of Post-Harvest  
Process and Food Engineering,  
College of Technology, G.B. Pant  
University of Agriculture and  
Technology, Pantnagar (U.S.  
Nagar), Uttarakhand, India

**Vijay Kumar**

Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Saran,  
Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central  
Agricultural University, Pusa,  
Samastipur, Bihar, India

**Corresponding Author:****JC Chandola**

Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Saran,  
Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central  
Agricultural University, Pusa,  
Samastipur, Bihar, India

## Effect of potassic compounds and ethrel sprays on fruiting and quality attributes of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) cv. rose scented

JC Chandola, Satish Chand, Ranjan Srivastava, Ratna Rai, Sachin Kumar and Vijay Kumar

**Abstract**

The present study was carried out at Horticultural Research Centre, Patharchatta, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology Pantnagar, Uttarakhand to find out the most effective combination of potassic compounds and ethrel sprays on fruit and quality attributes of litchi cv. Rose Scented during two successive years *i.e.*, 2013 and 2014. The experiment comprised of nine treatments *viz.*, KNO<sub>3</sub> (1%), K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (1%), KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (1%), K<sub>2</sub>H PO<sub>4</sub> (2%), KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (2%), K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (1%) + KNO<sub>3</sub> (1%), KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (1%) + KNO<sub>3</sub> (1%), Ethrel (400 ppm) and water which were sprayed thrice at monthly interval from October to December. The results obtained indicate that foliar application of KNO<sub>3</sub> (1%) was effective for improving fruit breadth (3.82 cm), fruit weight (21.93g), fruit retention (28.33%) and significantly reduced fruit drop (45.37%), total acidity (0.33%) of fruits in litchi. On the other hand, Ethrel (400 ppm) was effective for increasing fruit length (3.93cm), early fruit harvest (10<sup>th</sup> June and 5<sup>th</sup> June during the years 2014 and 2015), TSS (20.70<sup>0</sup>B). Therefore, it could be concluded that KNO<sub>3</sub> (1%) and Ethrel (400 ppm) sprays can be recommended for enhancing quality and fruit characters of litchi fruits cv. Rose Scented.

**Keywords:** Litchi, potassium, ethrel, quality parameters

**Introduction**

Litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) is an important subtropical, evergreen fruit belonging to the family Sapindaceae and sub-family Nephelae. It is native to South China and is regarded as "Queen of fruits". India is the second largest litchi producer in the world next to China with 5.83 million metric tonnes production from an area of 0.92 lakh hectare with an average productivity of 6.33 tons/ha. (Anonymous, 2017) <sup>[1]</sup>. Although grown commercially in the Indo-Gangetic plains of Bihar, Uttar Pradesh and West Bengal, its cultivation in the *Tarai* region of Uttarakhand, Haryana and Himachal Pradesh has increased over the last decade because of the conducive climate prevailing in different regions of these states. In Uttarakhand, annual production of litchi is 19.16 thousand metric tonnes from an area of 9.49 thousand hectares and productivity is 2.0 metric tonnes per hectares (NHB, 2017).

Litchi is highly specific in its climatic requirement as it does not tolerate frost and vegetative growth is normally restricted at temperature below 10 °C and above 35 °C with maximum growth at a temperature ranging between 25-30 °C depending on cultivars (Menzel *et al.* 1989) <sup>[21]</sup>. Vegetative flushing in late autumn and early winter are associated with irregular flowering of litchi trees during spring and offer result in inconsistent yields (Nagao *et al.* 2000) <sup>[23]</sup>. The main reason for low, irregular cropping is excessive vegetative growth during 1-2 months before panicle growth (Menzel *et al.* 1988) <sup>[19]</sup>. The premature fruit drop commences soon after fruit set and continues till fruit maturity, with most fruit abscising in the first 2 to 4 weeks. The drop of fruit is said to be associated with failure of fertilization, embryo abortion, internal nutrition and hormonal imbalance and external factors like high temperature, low humidity and strong winds (Menzel *et al.* 1986) <sup>[20]</sup>.

Chemicals and plant growth regulators play a significant role in altering the plant response from vegetative stage towards reproductive stage of litchi. Foliar application of plant nutrients is helpful in satisfying plant requirements and can be highly efficient when nutrient uptake *via* the root system is limited. Potassium is an essential macro-element required in large amounts for normal plant growth and development. While involved in many physiological processes, potassium impact on water relations, photosynthesis, assimilate transport and enzyme activation can have direct consequences on crop productivity. When potassium uptake is lower than demand, foliar potassium is mobilized to the fruit, to the detriment of plant growth, fruit

set and quality (Besford and Maw, 1975) [4]. Therefore, it is imperative to improve the productivity of litchi in terms of vegetative, flowering and yield of quality fruits with the use of foliar application of plant bio-regulators like ethrel and potassic compounds. Keeping in view the above factors under consideration, the proposed study was focused on use of ethrel and potassic compounds for better flowering and yield of commercial litchi cultivar grown under the *Tarai* region of Uttarakhand.

### Materials and Methods

The present experiment was conducted at Horticultural Research Centre, Patharchatta, Department of Horticulture, GBPUA&T, Pantnagar, India during 2013-14 and 2014-15 growing seasons. The experiment was conducted to determine the effect of chemicals viz., KNO<sub>3</sub> (1%), K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (1%), KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (1%), K<sub>2</sub>H PO<sub>4</sub> (2%), KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (2%), K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (1%) + KNO<sub>3</sub> (1%), KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (1%) + KNO<sub>3</sub> (1%), Ethrel (400 ppm) and water which were sprayed thrice at monthly interval from October to December. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with 9 treatments and 3 replications. The present experiment was carried out with the objective of evaluating the flowering, fruiting, physico-chemical and shelf life of litchi cv. Rose Scented at Horticultural Research Centre, Patharachatta. It is situated in the foot hills of Himalyas and falls in humid subtropical climate. It is situated between 29.5°N latitude and 79.3°E longitude and an altitude of 243.84 meters above the mean sea level. The region is characterized by humid subtropical climate with maximum temperature ranging from 30 °C to 43 °C in summer and minimum from 5 °C to 10 °C in winter. The summer is dry and hot, the winter is cold and the rainy season is experienced with heavy rainfall. The onset of Monsoon usually occurs in the second or third week of June and continues in appreciable amount up to mid-September. Frost can be expected from last week of December to first week of February. Occasionally light rains are expected during winter.

### Fruit Characteristics

Five fruits from each replication of each treatment were randomly collected and were measured by digital verniercallipers. The average fruit breath was expressed in centimeters (cm). Five fruits from each replication of each treatment was collected and measured by digital verniercalliper. The average fruit length was expressed in centimeters (cm). Five fruits from each replications of each treatment were randomly taken and weight (g) was recorded

$$\text{Fruit cracking (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of fruits cracked per panicle at the time of harvesting}}{\text{Number of fruits retained per panicle at the time of harvesting}} \times 100$$

Colour of fruit was recorded by comparing fruit colour with Mushial colour chart. Date of fruit harvest was recorded when fruits were harvested. Subsequently, days taken from full bloom to harvest were calculated by subtracting date of full bloom from date of harvest. Five fruits were randomly collected from each replication and the average fruit weight was measured with the help of a physical balance and expressed in grams (g). It was calculated by dividing fruit length by its breadth. The specific gravity was calculated by dividing the average fruit weight with average fruit volume of each replication.

on a physical balance and mean weight (g) was obtained by dividing the total weight of the fruits with the number of fruits. The fruit volume was recorded by water displacement method and average fruit volume was expressed in millilitres (ml). For this purpose, five fruits were dipped in large jar containing water up to rim. The amount of water displaced by the fruits was collected in a tray and was measured with the help of measuring cylinder. The mean volume per fruits was determined by dividing total volume of displaced water with the number of fruits. Number of fruits on five tagged panicles of each replication of each treatment at "pea stage" was counted and average initial fruit set was calculated on the basis of total number of female flower per panicle.

$$\text{Fruit set (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of fruits/panicle}}{\text{Number of female flowers/panicle}} \times 100$$

At the initial fruit set stage, the total number of fruits on the tagged panicles were counted and the number of fruits dropped on the panicles were counted at weekly intervals. The differences between the weekly figures obtained from initial fruit counts were calculated and expressed as fruit drop (%).

$$\text{Fruit drop (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of fruits dropped}}{\text{Total number of fruits initially set}} \times 100$$

The total number of fruits on the tagged panicles were counted at the initial fruit set and the number of fruits retained on the panicles were also counted at weekly intervals and differences between the weekly figures obtained from initial fruit count were calculated and expressed as fruit retention (%).

$$\text{Fruit retention (\%)} = \frac{\text{Number of fruits retained}}{\text{Total number of fruits initially set}} \times 100$$

Number of fruits having brown coloured patch on the skin due to sun burn or sun scald was counted by visual observation out of total number of fruits retained in the tagged panicles and expressed in terms of percentage. During the fruiting season, 10 panicles were tagged in each replication of each treatment in all the directions for recording the data on fruit cracking. The percentage of fruit cracking was calculated on the basis of observations recorded on all the trees. Total cracking percentage of fruit in a particular treatment was calculated by following formula:

$$\text{Specific gravity} = \frac{\text{Average fruit weight}}{\text{Specific gravity}}$$

Fruits from each sample per replication were taken and peel was extracted and weight of peel was recorded with the help of electronic balance and average peel (%) was calculated over the weight of fruit. Five fruits from each replication were collected.

### Quality characters

Total soluble solids in the fruits were recorded at room temperature using hand refractometer and were expressed in °Brix. Five fruits were taken from each replication for taking the average value. A small amount of fruit pulp was taken in muslin cloth and crushed to obtain the juice. The refractometer was wiped clear with a moist muslin cloth. A drop of juice of crushed pulp was taken on the refractometer and the value was read against light. titratable acidity of litchi

fruits was calculated by titrating the fruit pulp extract with 0.1 N NaOH as per method described by Ranganna (1986) [27] using phenolphthalein as indicator using the following formula and was expressed in percentage. Sample was prepared by taking 10 g of fruit pulp and grinding it properly by mortar and pestle. The ground material was then filtered into a 100 ml volumetric flask and final volume was made up with distilled water. The 10 ml of this solution was taken for the purpose of titration.

$$\text{Titratable acidity (\%)} = \frac{\text{Titre} \times \text{Normality of alkali} \times \text{equiv. wt. of acid} \times 100}{\text{Volume of Sample taken} \times \text{weight or Volume of sample} \times 1000}$$

It was calculated by dividing the total soluble solids with titratable acidity. Ascorbic acid content of litchi fruits was determined by 2, 6-dichlorophenol-indophenol visual titration method (Ranganna, 1986) [27]. Ten ml of juice was taken and volume was made up to 100 milliliter with three per cent metaphosphoric acid. It was filtered and 10ml aliquot of

metaphosphoric extract were taken and titrated with the standard dye to a pink end point (which should be present for 15 seconds). Before titration, 40 per cent formaldehyde and 0.1ml HCl were added to the sample to eliminate the interference due to SO<sub>2</sub>. The ascorbic acid was computed by using following formula:

$$\text{Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g)} = \frac{\text{Titre} \times \text{Dye factor} \times \text{Volume made up}}{\text{Aliquot of extract} \times \text{Weight of sample taken}} \times 100$$

Finally, the data were subjected to statistical analysis in order to find out significant variation in different treatments under study. The technique of analysis of variance (ANOVA) for randomized block design (RBD) was adopted as per Gomez and Gomez (1984) [4].

### Result and Discussion

#### Fruit yield attributes

The data pertaining is fruit breadth presented in Table 1 revealed the significant variation among all the treatments. During 2013-14, fruit breadth was maximum (3.82cm) with T<sub>1</sub> which was statistically at par with T<sub>8</sub> but significantly higher than the rest of the treatments. It was minimum (3.09cm) with T<sub>9</sub> (control). A similar trend was recorded during 2014-15, where fruit breadth was maximum (3.94cm) with T<sub>1</sub> which was statistically at par with T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> but significantly higher than the rest of the treatments. It was minimum (3.38cm) with control. The pooled data for fruit breadth was found to be maximum (3.82 cm) in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (KNO<sub>3</sub>@1%) followed by application of ethrel@400ppm (3.74 cm). Minimum fruit breadth (3.11cm) was found with control treatment.

The data depicted in Table 1 revealed that the fruit length significantly varied among the treatments. The pooled data showed maximum fruit length (3.93cm) in T<sub>1</sub> treatment followed by treatment T<sub>8</sub> (ethrel @400ppm) i.e., 3.80 cm. The fruit length obtained with treatment KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (1%) + KNO<sub>3</sub>(1%) and K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>(1%) + KNO<sub>3</sub>(1%) were statistically at par. Minimum fruit length (3.00 cm) was recorded in control treatment. These results are also substantiated by the findings of Ghos *et al.* (1988) who reported that the length of fruit was maximum in Bombai (4cm) while it was 3.8 and 3.7 cm in Elaichi and Purbi, respectively compared to Early Large Red and the maximum fruit breadth was found in Bedana (3.5 cm) followed by 3.2 cm in Muzaffarpur Early and 3.1 cm in Purbi and Early Large Red. The smallest fruit breadth of fruit (2.8 cm) was noted in cultivars Bombai and McLean. Dabral and Misra (2007) [7] reported that, the fruit length was found significantly high in Calcuttia followed by Rose Scented, Mandraji and Dehra Dun, while minimum fruit length was noted with Longia.

A perusal of data presented in Table 1 shows that all the treatments had significant effect on the specific gravity. During 2013-14, specific gravity was maximum (1.07) with T<sub>6</sub> whereas it was minimum (0.94) with T<sub>4</sub>. A similar trend was recorded during 2014-15, where specific gravity was maximum (1.09) with T<sub>8</sub>. It was minimum (0.98) with T<sub>4</sub>. In the pooled data maximum specific gravity (1.07) was observed in the treatment T<sub>8</sub>. On the other hand treatment T<sub>4</sub> resulted in minimum specific gravity (0.96). Gaur and Bajpai (1978) [11] observed that during the early stages (up to 10 days after fruit setting) of fruit development there was a fall in the specific gravity, a regular increase between 13<sup>th</sup> and 34<sup>th</sup> days, a brief period of full increase between 34<sup>th</sup> and 40<sup>th</sup> days after fruit setting and a quick increase from 40<sup>th</sup> to 46<sup>th</sup> days after which it showed a declining trend. In litchi cultivar Bombai specific gravity maintained a rapid increase up to 105 days after anthesis and thereafter becomes more or less constant (Biswas and Roy, 1983) [5].

A perusal of data presented in Table 1 shows that all the treatments had significant effect on the fruit weight. During 2013-14, fruit weight was maximum (21.96g) with T<sub>1</sub> whereas it was minimum (17.68 g) with T<sub>9</sub> (control). A similar trend was recorded during 2014-15, where fruit weight was maximum (21.95 g) with T<sub>7</sub> which was statistically at par with T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> but significantly higher than the rest of the treatments. It was minimum (18.28 g) with control. In the pooled data maximum fruit weight (21.93g) was observed in the treatment T<sub>1</sub> (KNO<sub>3</sub> 1%). On the other hand treatment T<sub>9</sub> (control) resulted in minimum fruit weight (17.98g). Similar results were observed by Saxena (1994) [30] who reported increase fruit weight by application of ethrel @ 400 ppm applied 25 days before harvesting. These results were also supported by Jones *et al.* (1993) [15] who reported that application of ethrel @ 200 ppm resulted in increase in size and weight of Jonagold apples. Pathak and Mitra (2010) [26] observed maximum fruit weight and aril recovery with the application of potassium @ 600 g in two equal splits at 15 days after fruit set and 30 days before flowering. Influence of plant growth regulators and mineral nutrients on physico-chemical characteristics in Rose Scented litchi cultivar was investigated by Lal *et al* (2010) [18] and observed that tree



sprayed with  $\text{KNO}_3$  (1.5%) and  $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$  (2%) produced the highest fruit weight of 20.41 g and 20.37 g, respectively.

The data presented in Table 2 showed the effect of various treatments on fruit volume. During 2013-14, fruit volume was maximum (21.53 ml) with  $T_1$  whereas it was minimum (18.47 ml) with  $T_9$  (control). A similar trend was recorded during 2014-15 where fruit volume was maximum (21.77 ml) with  $T_1$  whereas it was minimum (18.54 ml) with control. The pooled data showed that the maximum fruit volume was obtained in treatment  $T_1$  ( $\text{KNO}_3$  1%) i.e., 21.65 ml followed by treatment  $T_7$   $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  @1% +  $\text{KNO}_3$  @1% (19.97 ml) which was at par with  $T_6$   $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$  @1% +  $\text{KNO}_3$  @1% (19.95 ml) whereas the minimum fruit volume (18.51 ml) was obtained in treatment control. Ranjan *et al.* (2002) [29] studied evaluation between Kasba and Bedana and Kasba recorded the highest volume (22.10 cc). The physical characters of litchi cultivars viz, Deshi, Purbi, China and Kasba were studied and China and Kasba cv. showed the highest fruit volume (Kumari *et al.*, 2004) [17]. Rani (2006) [28] reported that fruit volume was significantly highest in cv. Late Seedless (24.00

ml) followed by cv. Rose Scented (19.66 ml) and minimum fruit volume was observed in Longia (16.00 ml) followed by cv. McLean (16.20 ml). Dabral and Misra (2007) [7] reported that Litchi cv. Dehradun showed maximum fruit volume and minimum fruit volume was observed in Longia among litchi cultivars under study. The variation in physical attributes of fruits show genetic variability, which might be due to effectiveness in selection of mother trees. The differences in different physical characters between varieties might be due to their genetic varietal characteristics. Similar results were also obtained by Ghosh *et al.* (1988) [12] and Badiyal and Awasthi (1991) [2].

A perusal of data presented in Table 2 shows that all the treatments had significant effect on the fruit drop. During 2013-14, fruit drop was maximum (58.62%) with  $T_2$  whereas it was minimum (45.51%) in  $T_1$ . A similar trend was recorded during 2014-15, where fruit drop was maximum (58.10) with  $T_2$  and minimum (45.24%) in  $T_1$ . The pooled data showed that maximum fruit drop (58.40%) occurred in  $T_2$  ( $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$  @1%). On the other hand.

**Table 1:** Effect of different treatments on fruit breadth, fruit length, specific gravity and fruit weight in litchi cv. Rose Scented

Treatment	Fruit breadth (cm)			Fruit length (cm)			Specific gravity			Fruit weight(g)		
	2013-14	2014-15	Pooled	2013-14	2014-15	Pooled	2013-14	2014-15	Pooled	2013-14	2014-15	Pooled
$T_1$ $\text{KNO}_3$ (1%)	3.82 <sup>a</sup>	3.83 <sup>a</sup>	3.82 <sup>a</sup>	3.92 <sup>a</sup>	3.94 <sup>a</sup>	3.93 <sup>a</sup>	1.02 <sup>b</sup>	1.00 <sup>b</sup>	1.01 <sup>bc</sup>	21.96 <sup>a</sup>	21.90 <sup>a</sup>	21.93 <sup>a</sup>
$T_2$ $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$ (1%)	3.12 <sup>d</sup>	3.13 <sup>d</sup>	3.12 <sup>ef</sup>	3.56 <sup>d</sup>	3.57 <sup>cd</sup>	3.57 <sup>c</sup>	1.00 <sup>bc</sup>	0.99 <sup>b</sup>	0.99 <sup>c</sup>	19.18 <sup>c</sup>	18.92 <sup>d</sup>	19.05 <sup>f</sup>
$T_3$ $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ (1%)	3.34 <sup>b</sup>	3.36 <sup>b</sup>	3.35 <sup>c</sup>	3.55 <sup>d</sup>	3.56 <sup>de</sup>	3.55 <sup>c</sup>	0.98 <sup>cd</sup>	1.07 <sup>a</sup>	1.02 <sup>b</sup>	20.64 <sup>b</sup>	20.51 <sup>bc</sup>	20.57 <sup>b</sup>
$T_4$ $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$ (2%)	3.27 <sup>bc</sup>	3.28 <sup>bc</sup>	3.27 <sup>d</sup>	3.53 <sup>d</sup>	3.59 <sup>cd</sup>	3.56 <sup>c</sup>	0.94 <sup>c</sup>	0.98 <sup>b</sup>	0.96 <sup>d</sup>	20.40 <sup>b</sup>	18.95 <sup>d</sup>	19.67 <sup>de</sup>
$T_5$ $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ (2%)	3.15 <sup>d</sup>	3.16 <sup>d</sup>	3.15 <sup>ef</sup>	3.55 <sup>d</sup>	3.60 <sup>bcd</sup>	3.57 <sup>c</sup>	1.00 <sup>bc</sup>	1.05 <sup>a</sup>	1.02 <sup>b</sup>	18.83 <sup>c</sup>	20.18 <sup>c</sup>	19.50 <sup>ef</sup>
$T_6$ $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$ (1%) + $\text{KNO}_3$ (1%)	3.25 <sup>c</sup>	3.26 <sup>c</sup>	3.25 <sup>d</sup>	3.73 <sup>c</sup>	3.73 <sup>bcd</sup>	3.73 <sup>b</sup>	1.07 <sup>a</sup>	0.99 <sup>b</sup>	1.03 <sup>b</sup>	20.89 <sup>b</sup>	20.35 <sup>c</sup>	20.62 <sup>b</sup>
$T_7$ $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ (1%) + $\text{KNO}_3$ (1%)	3.18 <sup>cd</sup>	3.19 <sup>cd</sup>	3.18 <sup>e</sup>	3.74 <sup>c</sup>	3.75 <sup>bc</sup>	3.74 <sup>b</sup>	1.06 <sup>a</sup>	1.07 <sup>a</sup>	1.06 <sup>a</sup>	18.18 <sup>d</sup>	21.95 <sup>a</sup>	20.06 <sup>cd</sup>
$T_8$ Ethrel (400 ppm)	3.74 <sup>a</sup>	3.74 <sup>a</sup>	3.74 <sup>b</sup>	3.82 <sup>b</sup>	3.78 <sup>ab</sup>	3.80 <sup>b</sup>	1.06 <sup>a</sup>	1.09 <sup>a</sup>	1.07 <sup>a</sup>	19.23 <sup>c</sup>	21.26 <sup>ab</sup>	20.25 <sup>bc</sup>
$T_9$ Control	3.09 <sup>d</sup>	3.11 <sup>d</sup>	3.10 <sup>f</sup>	3.22 <sup>e</sup>	3.38 <sup>e</sup>	3.30 <sup>d</sup>	0.95 <sup>de</sup>	0.98 <sup>b</sup>	0.97 <sup>d</sup>	17.68 <sup>d</sup>	18.28 <sup>d</sup>	17.98 <sup>g</sup>
SEM $\pm$	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.06	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.17	0.27	0.15
LSD <sub>(0.05)</sub>	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.05	0.18	0.09	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.52	0.81	0.45

minimum fruit drop (45.37%) occurred in  $T_1$  ( $\text{KNO}_3$  @ 1%) Pandey and Sharma (1984), Kanwar and Nijjar (1975) [16] Pandey and Sharma (1984), Kanwar and Nijjar (1975) [16] and Verma *et al.* (1980) [36] reported that fruit drop in litchi occurred due to soil and environmental conditions, however, factors such as lack of pollination, failure of fertilization, embryo abortion, poor nutritional availability, competition between vegetative phase and reproductive phase, hormonal imbalances were the main cause for fruit drop. The results obtained were in support with the work of Sharma *et al.* (1980) who recorded the reduced fruit drop and increased fruit retention by foliar application of  $\text{KNO}_3$  in mango cv. Langra. However, the results obtained were in support with the work of Dhaliwal *et al.* (2002) [8] who observed increased fruit drop by foliar application of potassium iodite and potassium nitrate sprayed at 0.25 to 0.5%, 4 to 6% concentration, respectively at full bloom stage.

The data presented in Table 2 shows that all the treatments significantly enhanced the fruit retention per cent as compared to control. During 2013-14, fruit retention was maximum (28.28% with  $T_1$  which was significantly *at par* with  $T_8$  treatment whereas it was minimum (20.46%) with  $T_9$  (control). A similar trend was recorded during 2014-15, where fruit retention was maximum (28.38%) with  $T_1$  which was significantly higher than with  $T_8$  treatment whereas it was minimum (21.13%) with  $T_9$  (control). It is evident from the

pooled data that maximum fruit drop (58.40%) occurred in  $T_2$  ( $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$  @1%). On the other hand, minimum fruit drop (45.37%) occurred in  $T_1$  ( $\text{KNO}_3$  @ 1%). From the pooled data that fruit retention in all the treatments was significantly higher as compared to the control. The maximum fruit retention (28.33%) was observed in treatment  $T_1$  ( $\text{KNO}_3$  @ 1%) whereas,  $T_9$  (control) recorded the minimum retention (20.79%) of fruits. The results are supported by the findings of Elkhishen (2015) [10] who reported the increased percent of fruit retention by application of  $\text{KNO}_3$  (6%) in mango cv. Zebda. Sharma *et al.* (1980) also recorded increased fruit retention by foliar application of  $\text{KNO}_3$  in mango cv. Langra. The retention of fruits varied significantly in different varieties and ranged from 3% to 39.62% upto harvest viz. Shahi (4%), Rose Scented (5.54%), Purbi (3%), China (39.62%) and Bedana (23.57%) as reported by Sharma and Roy (1987) [33].

A perusal of data presented in Table 3 shows that all the treatments significantly reduced the fruit cracking per cent as compared to control. During 2013-14, fruit cracking per cent was minimum (6.62%) with  $T_3$  whereas it was maximum (18.31%) with  $T_5$  treatment. A similar trend was recorded during 2014-15, where fruit cracking percent was minimum (6.27%) with  $T_3$  whereas it was maximum (17.07%) with  $T_1$  treatment. The pooled data showed that maximum fruit drop (58.40%) occurred in  $T_2$  ( $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$  @1%).

**Table 2:** Effect of treatments on fruit volume, fruit drop and fruit retention in litchi cv. Rose Scented

Treatment	Fruit Volume (ml)			Per cent fruit drop			Fruit retention (%)			
	2013-14	2014-15	Pooled	2013-14	2014-15	Pooled	2013-14	2014-15	Pooled	
T <sub>1</sub>	KNO <sub>3</sub> (1%)	21.53 <sup>a</sup>	21.77 <sup>a</sup>	21.65 <sup>a</sup>	45.51	45.24	45.37	28.28 <sup>a</sup>	28.38 <sup>a</sup>	28.33 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> (1%)	19.09 <sup>c</sup>	19.12 <sup>c</sup>	19.17 <sup>c</sup>	58.62	58.19	58.40	24.30 <sup>d</sup>	24.63 <sup>de</sup>	24.47 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>3</sub>	KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> (1%)	19.21 <sup>bc</sup>	19.21 <sup>c</sup>	19.21 <sup>c</sup>	49.60	50.08	49.84	24.42 <sup>d</sup>	25.09 <sup>cd</sup>	24.75 <sup>de</sup>
T <sub>4</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> H PO <sub>4</sub> (2%)	19.28 <sup>bc</sup>	19.38 <sup>c</sup>	19.33 <sup>c</sup>	49.32	51.74	50.53	25.13 <sup>c</sup>	25.34 <sup>cd</sup>	25.24 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>5</sub>	KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> (2%)	19.15 <sup>bc</sup>	19.13 <sup>c</sup>	19.14 <sup>c</sup>	48.51	47.19	47.85	24.42 <sup>d</sup>	24.09 <sup>e</sup>	24.25 <sup>e</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> (1%) +KNO <sub>3</sub> (1%)	19.39 <sup>b</sup>	20.51 <sup>b</sup>	19.95 <sup>b</sup>	50.53	49.85	50.17	25.65 <sup>c</sup>	25.98 <sup>bc</sup>	25.82 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> (1%) +KNO <sub>3</sub> (1%)	19.36 <sup>bc</sup>	20.59 <sup>b</sup>	19.97 <sup>b</sup>	53.36	53.34	53.35	25.47 <sup>c</sup>	25.80 <sup>c</sup>	25.64 <sup>c</sup>
T <sub>8</sub>	Ethrel (400 ppm)	19.15 <sup>bc</sup>	19.52 <sup>c</sup>	19.33 <sup>c</sup>	52.56	48.23	50.39	27.41 <sup>b</sup>	27.04 <sup>b</sup>	27.23 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>9</sub>	Control	18.49 <sup>d</sup>	18.54 <sup>d</sup>	18.51 <sup>d</sup>	53.58	50.00	51.79	20.46 <sup>e</sup>	21.13 <sup>f</sup>	20.79 <sup>f</sup>
	SEm±	0.10	0.17	0.09	4.75	4.36	3.13	0.20	0.36	0.20
	LSD(0.05)	0.30	0.51	0.28	NS	NS	NS	0.60	1.09	0.58

On the other hand, minimum fruit drop (45.37%) occurred in T<sub>1</sub> (KNO<sub>3</sub> @ 1%). The pooled data on sun burn and fruit cracking per cent presented in Table 4.9 revealed that cracking per cent in the present experiment varied significantly among the treatments. However, the maximum fruit cracking (16.56%) was reported in T<sub>1</sub> (KNO<sub>3</sub> @ 1%) while the minimum fruit cracking (6.270%) occurred in T<sub>3</sub> (KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> @ 1%). While conducting an experiment, Dongariyal (2017) [9] revealed that cracking per cent in the present experiment varied from 11.46 to 19.50 without any significant differences among the treatments. However, the maximum fruit cracking was reported in T<sub>13</sub> (control) while the minimum fruit cracking (11.46%) occurred in T<sub>4</sub> (KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> @ 1%).

The fruit colour observed was deep rose pink in all the treatments similar to that of control. Hence, there was no effect of any treatment on the fruit colour. Singh *et al.* (2015) [35] had reported that ethephon treatments enhanced colour development as compared to control.

There was no effect of any treatment on the fruit shape applied on the Litchi cv. Rose Scented. The fruit shape was oval or oblong-conical in all the treatments similar as the control.

### Quality characters

The data presented in Table 4 shows that all the treatments significantly increased the Total soluble solids as compared to the control. During 2013-14, total soluble solids was maximum (20.63<sup>0</sup>B) with T<sub>8</sub> (Ethrel @400 ppm) whereas it was minimum (17.14<sup>0</sup>B) with T<sub>1</sub> treatment. A similar trend was recorded during 2014-15, where total soluble solids was maximum (20.78<sup>0</sup>B) with T<sub>8</sub>(Ethrel @400 ppm)whereas it was minimum (17.18<sup>0</sup>B) with T<sub>1</sub>treatment. The pooled data showed that maximum TSS content (20.70<sup>0</sup>B) was observed in treatment T<sub>8</sub> (ethrel @ 400 ppm) while the minimum TSS content (18.18<sup>0</sup>B) was recorded in T<sub>9</sub> (control). Similar results were found by Dongariyal (2017) [9] in litchi cv. Rose Scented. Increase in TSS content was mostly due to the increase in sugar content which depends mostly upon conversion of starch on hydrolysis.

The data presented in Table 4 shows that all the treatments significantly reduced the titratable acidity per cent. During 2013-14, titratable acidity was maximum (0.34%) with T<sub>1</sub>whereas it was minimum (0.25%) with T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>6</sub>treatment which was statistically *at par* with T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> treatment. A similar trend was recorded during 2014-15, where titratable acidity was maximum (0.33%) with T<sub>9</sub> (control) whereas it was minimum (0.23%) with T<sub>8</sub>which was statistically *at par* with T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>7</sub>treatment.

**Table 3:** Effect of different treatments on fruit cracking, fruit shape and colour in litchi cv. Rose Scented

Treatment	Fruit Cracking (%)			Shape of fruits	Fruit colour (Visual)	
	2013-14	2014-15	Pooled			
T <sub>1</sub>	KNO <sub>3</sub> (1%)	16.08 <sup>a</sup>	17.04 <sup>a</sup>	16.56 <sup>a</sup>	Oval or oblong-conical	Deep rose pink
T <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> (1%)	8.42 <sup>cd</sup>	8.80 <sup>bc</sup>	8.613 <sup>cd</sup>	Oval or oblong-conical	Deep rose pink
T <sub>3</sub>	KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> (1%)	6.62 <sup>d</sup>	6.27 <sup>c</sup>	6.44 <sup>d</sup>	Oval or oblong-conical	Deep rose pink
T <sub>4</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> H PO <sub>4</sub> (2%)	9.05 <sup>bcd</sup>	9.85 <sup>bc</sup>	9.45 <sup>cd</sup>	Oval or oblong-conical	Deep rose pink
T <sub>5</sub>	KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> (2%)	18.31 <sup>a</sup>	16.62 <sup>a</sup>	17.46 <sup>a</sup>	Oval or oblong-conical	Deep rose pink
T <sub>6</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> (1%)+KNO <sub>3</sub> (1%)	8.39 <sup>cd</sup>	8.017 <sup>bc</sup>	8.20 <sup>cd</sup>	Oval or oblong-conical	Deep rose pink
T <sub>7</sub>	KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> (1%)+KNO <sub>3</sub> (1%)	12.18 <sup>abcd</sup>	12.02 <sup>abc</sup>	12.10 <sup>bc</sup>	Oval or oblong-conical	Deep rose pink
T <sub>8</sub>	Ethrel (400 ppm)	13.75 <sup>abc</sup>	13.88 <sup>ab</sup>	13.82 <sup>ab</sup>	Oval or oblong-conical	Deep rose pink
T <sub>9</sub>	Control	14.99 <sup>ab</sup>	16.21 <sup>a</sup>	15.60 <sup>ab</sup>	Oval or oblong-conical	Deep rose pink
	SEm±	2.19	2.00	1.44	-	-
	LSD (0.05)	6.56	6.00	4.14	-	-

A critical examination of pooled data indicated that treatments T<sub>1</sub> (KNO<sub>3</sub>@1%) as well as T<sub>9</sub> (control) resulted in maximum acidity per cent (0.33), whereas, the minimum acidity% (0.24) was recorded with T<sub>8</sub> (ethrel @ 400 ppm). Chundawat *et al.* (1977) who revealed that application of ethephon at 500 ppm two weeks before harvesting decreased acidity of a plum fruit cv. Dabba and Motia. Ethrel application increases the rate of ethylene production due to which fructose, glucose and sucrose contents in fruit increase significantly which leads to

the increase in soluble solids and decrease in titratable acidity (Park, 1996) [24, 25].

The data presented in Table 4 shows that all the treatments significantly affected total soluble solids/acidity ratio compared to the control. During 2013-14, total soluble solids/acidity ratio was maximum (80.92) with T<sub>8</sub> (Ethrel @400 ppm) whereas it was minimum (50.45) with T<sub>1</sub> treatment. A similar trend was recorded during 2014-15, where total soluble solids/acidity ratio was maximum (90.45) with T<sub>8</sub> (Ethrel @400 ppm) whereas it was minimum (53.73)

with T<sub>1</sub> treatment. The pooled analysis revealed that the treatments T<sub>1</sub> (KNO<sub>3</sub> @1%) as well as T<sub>9</sub> (control) resulted in maximum acidity% (0.33), whereas, the minimum acidity% (0.24) was recorded with T<sub>8</sub> (ethrel @ 400 ppm). Similar results were found by Dongariyal (2017) [9] in litchi cv. Rose Scented, Sheibert *et al.* (2000) [31] in Pear cv. Triumph and Bal *et al.* (2006) [3] in Ber. However, the results obtained are not in support of Shaybany *et al.* (2015) who found that ethephon increased the percentage of soluble solids and vitamin C content while TSS: acidity ratio of juice decreased significantly in pomegranate.

The data presented in Table 4 shows that all the treatments significantly affected the ascorbic acid content. During 2013-14, ascorbic acid content was maximum (24.55 mg/100g pulp) with T<sub>6</sub> whereas it was minimum (21.20 mg/100 g pulp))

with T<sub>9</sub> treatment. A similar trend was recorded during 2014-15, where ascorbic acid content was maximum (22.66 mg/100g pulp) with T<sub>8</sub> whereas it was minimum (21.20 mg/100 g pulp) with T<sub>9</sub> treatment. The pooled data revealed that treatment T<sub>6</sub> (K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> 1% + KNO<sub>3</sub> 1%) resulted into the highest ascorbic acid content (23.36) followed by T<sub>2</sub> (K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>) i.e., 22.87. The minimum content was obtained with control (21.20). The increase in ascorbic acid content may be due to the catalytic influence of the growth substances on the biosynthesis of ascorbic acid from sugar (Shanmugavelu *et al.*, 1973) [32]. Inhibited activity of oxidative enzyme and enhanced photo-phosphorylation in prolonged photo synthesizing ability of chlorophyllous leaves and fruits themselves, probably caused by these chemicals might have helped in increasing the amount of ascorbic acid.

**Table 4:** Effect of different treatments on TSS, acidity, TSS: Acidity ratio and Ascorbic acid in litchi cv. Rose Scented.

Treatments	TSS (°Brix)			Acidity (%)			TSS: Acidity Ratio			Ascorbic Acid (mg 100g <sup>-1</sup> pulp)		
	2013-14	2014-15	Pooled	2013-14	2014-15	Pooled	2013-14	2014-15	Pooled	2013-14	2014-15	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub> KNO <sub>3</sub> (1%)	17.14 <sup>g</sup>	17.13 <sup>c</sup>	17.16 <sup>g</sup>	0.34 <sup>a</sup>	0.32 <sup>a</sup>	0.33	50.45	53.73 <sup>f</sup>	52.09 <sup>g</sup>	22.15 <sup>c</sup>	23.12 <sup>a</sup>	22.63 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>2</sub> K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> (1%)	18.56 <sup>d</sup>	18.5 <sup>c</sup>	18.57 <sup>d</sup>	0.30 <sup>abc</sup>	0.29 <sup>b</sup>	0.29 <sup>b</sup>	61.62 <sup>de</sup>	64.31 <sup>e</sup>	62.96 <sup>f</sup>	23.42 <sup>b</sup>	22.32 <sup>abc</sup>	22.87 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>3</sub> KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> (1%)	18.59 <sup>d</sup>	18.64 <sup>c</sup>	18.61 <sup>d</sup>	0.270 <sup>d</sup>	0.25 <sup>de</sup>	0.26 <sup>de</sup>	69.10 <sup>bcd</sup>	73.13 <sup>cd</sup>	71.11 <sup>cd</sup>	22.33 <sup>c</sup>	21.20 <sup>c</sup>	21.76 <sup>de</sup>
T <sub>4</sub> K <sub>2</sub> H PO <sub>4</sub> (2%)	18.53 <sup>d</sup>	18.68 <sup>c</sup>	18.60 <sup>d</sup>	0.29 <sup>bc</sup>	0.28 <sup>bc</sup>	0.28 <sup>bc</sup>	63.07 <sup>d</sup>	66.91 <sup>de</sup>	64.99 <sup>ef</sup>	23.15 <sup>b</sup>	22.52 <sup>ab</sup>	22.83 <sup>ab</sup>
T <sub>5</sub> KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> (2%)	18.20 <sup>e</sup>	18.46 <sup>c</sup>	18.33 <sup>e</sup>	0.27 <sup>cd</sup>	0.26 <sup>cd</sup>	0.26 <sup>cd</sup>	66.99 <sup>cd</sup>	71.07 <sup>d</sup>	69.03 <sup>de</sup>	21.28	22.58 <sup>ab</sup>	21.93 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>6</sub> K <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub> (1%)+KNO <sub>3</sub> (1%)	19.66 <sup>b</sup>	19.72 <sup>b</sup>	19.69 <sup>b</sup>	0.25 <sup>d</sup>	0.24 <sup>de</sup>	0.24 <sup>de</sup>	77.65 <sup>ab</sup>	82.27 <sup>b</sup>	79.96 <sup>b</sup>	24.55 <sup>a</sup>	22.10 <sup>abc</sup>	23.36 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>7</sub> KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> (1%)+KNO <sub>3</sub> (1%)	19.38 <sup>c</sup>	19.57 <sup>b</sup>	19.47 <sup>c</sup>	0.26 <sup>cd</sup>	0.25 <sup>de</sup>	0.25 <sup>de</sup>	73.16 <sup>abc</sup>	78.51 <sup>bc</sup>	75.84 <sup>bc</sup>	22.26 <sup>c</sup>	21.93 <sup>bc</sup>	22.10 <sup>cd</sup>
T <sub>8</sub> Ethrel (400 ppm)	20.63 <sup>a</sup>	20.78 <sup>a</sup>	20.70 <sup>a</sup>	0.25 <sup>d</sup>	0.23 <sup>e</sup>	0.24 <sup>e</sup>	80.92 <sup>a</sup>	90.45 <sup>a</sup>	85.68 <sup>a</sup>	22.46 <sup>c</sup>	22.66 <sup>ab</sup>	22.56 <sup>bc</sup>
T <sub>9</sub> Control	17.76 <sup>f</sup>	17.76 <sup>f</sup>	17.76 <sup>f</sup>	0.33 <sup>ab</sup>	0.33 <sup>a</sup>	0.33 <sup>a</sup>	53.97 <sup>ef</sup>	53.97 <sup>f</sup>	53.97 <sup>g</sup>	21.20 <sup>d</sup>	21.20 <sup>c</sup>	21.20 <sup>e</sup>
SEm±	0.07	0.104	0.0	0.01	0.00	0.00	3.03	2.19	1.86	0.15	0.38	0.20
LSD (0.05)	0.23	0.31	0.18	0.03	0.02	0.02	9.08	6.58	5.35	0.451	1.142	0.584

## Conclusion

On the basis of findings of the present investigation, it can be concluded that foliar application of KNO<sub>3</sub> (1%) was effective for improving fruit breadth, fruit weight, fruit retention and significantly reduced fruit drop, total acidity of fruits in litchi. On the other hand, Ethrel (400 ppm) was effective for increasing fruit length, TSS. Therefore, KNO<sub>3</sub> (1%) and Ethrel (400 ppm) sprays can be recommended for enhancing fruit and quality characters of litchi fruits cv. Rose Scented.

## References

- Anonymous. Indian Horticulture Database: 2016-17. National Horticulture Board, Gurgaon, New Delhi 2017.
- Badiyala SD, Aswathi RP. Physical-chemical characteristic of some litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) cultivars grown in Kangra Valley. Indian Food Packer 1991;45(1):42-45.
- Bal JS, Kahlon PS, Jawanda JS, Sandhu SS. Effect of pre-harvest spray of growth regulators at turning stage on the maturity of ber fruits (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.). Acta Horticulturae 2006 321:318-325.
- Besford RT, Maw GA. Effect of potassium nutrition on tomato plant growth and fruit development. Plant and Soil 1975;42(2):395-412.
- Biswas S, Roy BN. Studies on maturity standards of litchi (*Litchi chinensis*) cv. Bomabi. Progressive Horticulture 1983;15(3):187-189.
- Crane JC. The comparative effectiveness of several growth regulators for controlling preharvest drop, increasing size, hastening maturity of Stewar apricots. American Society of Horticultural Sciences 1956;57:153-159.
- Dabral M, Misra KK. Studies on flowering and fruiting in some litchi cultivars. Indian Journal of Horticulture 2007;64(2):207-210.
- Dhaliwal GS, Nanra NK, Rattanpal HS. Effect of chemicals on fruit drop, fruit set and yield on rainy and winter season crops of guava. Indian Journal Horticulturae 2002;59(1):31-33.
- Dongriyal A. Effect of ethrel and other chemicals for improving flowering and bearing potential in litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn). Thesis M.Sc. (Ag.) Horticulture, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, India 2017.
- Elkhishen MA. Enhancing flowering and fruiting attributes of Mango (*Mangifera indica*) cv. Zebda in the off-year by binary application of KNO<sub>3</sub> and Paclobutrazol. Journal of Horticultural Science and Ornamental Plants 2015;7(3):87-93.
- Gaur GS, Bajpai PN. Some aspects of development, physiology of the litchi fruit. Indian Journal of Horticulture 1978;35:173-177.
- Ghosh B, Biswas B, Mitra SK, Bose TK. Physiological composition of some promising cultivars of litchi adapted in West Bengal. Indian Food Packer 1988;42(1):34-37.
- Ghosh B, Bose TK, Mitra SK. Chemical induction of flowering and control of fruit drop in litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.). Horticultural Science 1986;21(3):717.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedure for agricultural research, John Willey and sons, New York 1984.
- Jones KN, Ghraham B, Sally AB, Oakford MJ. Preliminary trials to examine the effects of ethephon as a thinner of 'Gala' and 'Jonagold' apples. Journal of Horticultural Science 1993;68:139-147.

16. Kanwar JS, Nijjar GS. Litchi cultivation in Punjab problems and prospects. Punjab Horticultural Journal 1975;15(1-3):19.
17. Kumari, Karuna, Maukar A, Singh J. Physico-chemical characteristics of some litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) cultivars of Bihar. Progressive Horticulture 2004;36(1):22-25.
18. Lal RL, Shukla P, Pandey C. Response of plant growth regulators and mineral nutrients on fruit yield and quality of litchi (*Litchi chinensis*Sonn.) cv. Rose Scented. Progressive Horticulture 2010;42(2):217-219.
19. Menzel CM, Carseldine ML, Simpson DR. The Litchi. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture 1988;28:793.
20. Menzel CM, Chapman KR, Panton BF, Simpson DR. Growth and yield of lychee cultivars in subtropical Queensland. Australian. Journal of Experimental Agriculture 1986;26:261.
21. Menzel CM, Rasmussen TS, Simpson DR. Effects of temperature and leaf water stress on growth and flowering of litchi (*Litchi chinensis*Sonn.). Journal of Horticultural Science 1989;64:739-752.
22. Mitra SK, Sanyal D. Effect of cincturing and chemicals on flowering of litchi. Acta Horticulturae 2001 558:243-246.
23. Nagao MA, Hoa EB, Nishina MS, Zee F. December pruning of vegetative flushes affects flowering of 'Kaimana' lychee in Hawaii. Journal of Hawaiian Pacific Agriculture 2000;11:1721.
24. Park YS. The shelf life of kiwifruit in room temperature and cold storage following controlled atmosphere storage. Korean Society of Horticultural Science 1996;37:58-63.
25. Park YS. The shelf life of kiwifruit in room temperature and cold storage following controlled atmosphere storage. Korean Society of Horticultural Science 1996;37:58-63.
26. Pathak PK, Mitra SK. Rate and time of potassium fertilization influence yield and quality of litchi. Acta Hort 2010;863:235-42.
27. Rangana S. Handbook of Analysis and Quality Control for Fruit and Vegetable Products. Second edn. Tata McGraw-Hill Publ. Co., New Delhi 1986.
28. Rani A. Performance of litchi cultivars under *tarai* conditions of Uttaranchal. Thesis, M. Sc. Agriculture (Horticulture), G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. Uttarakhand 2006, 99.
29. Ranjan R, Kumar A, Singh C. Studies on physico-chemical characters of some promising cultivars of litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) under Bihar plateau condition. Orissa Journal of Horticulture 2002;30(2):60-62.
30. Saxena A. Effect of pre harvest foliar application of ethrel on the quality and shelf life of litchi fruits. Thesis, M.Sc. Agriculture (Horticulture) G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar 1994.
31. Seibert E, Iguassu C, Barradas N, Araujo PJ, Bender RJ. Effect of ethephon on fruit quality of pear cv. Packham's Triumph. Acta Horticulturae 2000;3(1):1678-3921.
32. Shanmugavelu KG, Rao VNM, Shrinivasan C. Studies on the effect of certain plant regulators and boron on papaya. South Indian Journal of Horticulture 1973;21:10-26.
33. Sharma SB, Roy PK. Flowering and fruiting behavior of some litchi cultivars. Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences 1987;16(3, 4):168-174.
34. Sharma TR, Nair PK, Nema MK. Effect of foliar spray of urea, KNO<sub>3</sub> and NAA on fruiting behavior of mango cv. Langra. Orissa Journal of Horticulture 1990;18(1):42-47.
35. Singh AK, Singh CP, Chauhan P. Effect of Preharvest Chemical Treatments and Mulching on Marketability and Quality of 'Dashehari' Mango. Acta Horticulturae 2015;1066:193-199.
36. Verma SK, Jain BP, Das SR. Preliminary studies on the evaluation of the effect of growth substance and minor element in controlling fruit drop in litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.). Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences 1980;10:4-10.