Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry
Available online at www.phytojournal.com

E-ISSN: 2278-4136
P-ISSN: 2349-8234
www.phytojournal.com
JPP 2020; 9(5): 2574-2581
Received: 24-06-2020
Accepted: 29-07-2020

Chahat Thakur
College of Horticulture and Forestry Neri, Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh, India

Anil Kumar Verma
College of Horticulture and Forestry Neri, Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh, India

Mohit Bhardwaj
College of Horticulture and Forestry Neri, Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh, India

Shivani
College of Horticulture and Forestry Neri, Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author:
Chahat Thakur
College of Horticulture and Forestry Neri, Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh, India

Effect of foaming agents on foaming properties and powder yield of rainy season guava fruits cv. Lalit

Chahat Thakur, Anil Kumar Verma, Mohit Bhardwaj and Shivani

Abstract
The study was carried out for utilization of rainy season guava fruits cv. Lalit for preparation of foam mat dried guava fruit powder. The conversion of guava fruit pulp into foam was optimized by whipping the pulp after addition of glycerol monostearate (GMS) and drying the resultant foam in dehydrator (60±5°C) to constant moisture content. Drying of guava fruit pulp foam by using 2% GMS results in 14.63 per cent powder yield and was found the most appropriate with respect to desired foaming properties (foam density, foam expansion and foam stability), drying time and powder yield. With the increase in the foaming agent concentration, the foam density decreases significantly however, the percentage of foam expansion was increased. In comparison to foam density, the guava fruit pulp exhibited higher foam expansion of 18.38 per cent with 2% GMS whereas, (control) without foaming agents exhibited maximum foam density. Thus, the guava fruit pulp can be utilized for preparation of self-stable powder using foam mat drying technique for further preparation of value-added products.

Keywords: Foam mat, drying, peeled without seeds, unpeeled without seeds and guava fruit powder

Introduction
Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) belongs to family Myrtaceae, a native of Tropical America [1] and renowned as Apple of the Tropics. [1] Guava contains low protein content (1%) and energy 66 kcal/100g. Guava fruits are rich in Carbohydrates (about 60% sugars) with a predominance of fructose (59%) followed by 35% glucose and 5% sucrose (Yusof, 2003), Omega-3 and Omega-6 poly unsaturated fatty acids and high levels of dietary fibre, vitamins like retinol, thiamine, riboflavin, niacin, pantothenic acid, as well as minerals like phosphorous (23-37mg/100g), calcium (14-3mg/100 g), iron (0.6-1.4mg/100g) etc.
Pink guava contains higher antioxidants than white guava. [7] The high level of antioxidants pigments like carotenoids and polyphenols present in guava increases its dietary value. [5] Guava has been considered to possess various health properties such as anti-inflammatory, hepato-protective, anticancer etc [8] and helpful in various health problems like diarrhoea, diabetes (type 2) and obesity. Guava are rich source of pectin that increases during ripening and declines rapidly in over-ripen fruits [10].
Guava Fruits are composed of three distinct parts *viz*. peel, flesh and seed core. [26] Fresh guava is highly perishable due to the presence of 83 per cent moisture content and after harvest it leads to decrease in firmness and quality deterioration.
Among fruits, maximum post-harvest losses in guava fruits have been estimated at 15.88 per cent comprising with 11.90% in farm operations and 3.98% in different storage channels. [11] Thus, there is a need to process guava into different process guava fruits into different processed products.
Drying is a process widely used in the industry to preserve the quality of agricultural products. Among the drying processes, in recent years, Foam-mat drying technology has drawn attention for its added ability to process hard-to-dry materials to produce products of desired properties, retaining its volatiles that otherwise would be lost during drying of non-foamed materials. [14] Rate of drying in this process is comparatively very high because of an enormous increase in the liquid-gas interface, in spite of the fact that the heat transfer is impeded by a large volume of gas present in the foamed mass [17]. This method is suitable for any heat sensitive, sticky and viscous materials which cannot be dried by spray drying [7-3]. The foam-mat dried products has better reconstitution properties and are superior to drum and spray dried products [4]. The advantages of this method include lower temperatures and shorter drying times, because of the increase in the surface area in contact with the air, which increases the water removal speed, in addition, it also maintains the highly nutritional value of per gram powder and sensory quality of the product (Kadam *et al*., 2010).

Material and Methods

Selection of fruits and foaming agents

Fresh and uniformly matured fruits of Lalit cultivar of guava were procured from the orchard of the college of Horticulture and Forestry Neri and Bhota experimental farms of the college for use in experimentation for the preparation of foam mat dried papaya leaf powder. For extraction of fruit pulp fruits were cut into small pieces with stainless-steel knife, one set of fruits was peeled and seeds were removed, while another set was used as without peel with seeds. The small pieces of guava fruits with and without peel and seed were mixed with little quantity of water followed by boiling till softening. The boiled pulp was passed through the pulper to extract fine pulp. The pulp was heated to 90˚C, cooled and to which KMS (500ppm SO_2) and packed in pre-sterilized glass bottles.

Guava fruit powder was prepared by converting the pulp to a stable foam after using appropriate concentration of foaming agents such as Carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) or Glycerol monostearate (GMS). The prepared foam from the guava fruit pulp was spread on suitable stainless-steel trays with a tray load rate of 100g/tray in a thin layer (3-5mm) and dried in a mechanical dehydrator at 60±5℃ to a moisture content of about 5%. After drying, the dried material was scrapped from the trays and further ground to a fine powder (Figure 1).

Foaming Properties

The efficiency of foaming agent to convert the guava fruit pulp into a stable foam was optimized by evaluating various foaming properties as under:

Foam density

The density of the foamed guava pulp was calculated as ratio of mass of foam to the volume of foam and expressed as g/cm^3. [7] The density of Guava pulp was determined by weighing 100 ml of the pulp in a 100 ml measuring cylinder whereas for the foamed guava pulp, 200 ml of foam was transferred into a 250 ml measuring cylinder and weighed. The foam transferring was carried out carefully to avoid destroying the foam structure or trapping the air voids while filling the cylinder. The foam density was calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Foam Density } (g/cm^3) = \frac{\text{mass of the foam (g)}}{\text{volume of the foam (cm}^3)}$$

Foam expansion

It is the percentage increase of the volume of the pulp after foaming with required amount of the foaming agent and whipping time. The foam quality of foamed guava pulp in terms of foam expansion was calculated according to the following equation [2].

$$\text{Foam expansion } (\%) = \frac{V_1 - V_0}{V_0} \times 100$$

Where,

V_0= initial volume of the guava pulp before foaming (cm^3),

V_1= final volume of the guava pulp after foaming (cm^3).

Foam stability

50 ml of foamed guava pulp was placed in a 50 ml glass tube and kept undisturbed at normal atmosphere for 2 hours [15]. Then the decrease of the foam volume was noted after every 30minute time interval. The reduction of the foam volume was noted to be used as an index for the determination of the stability after every 30 minutes by using following formula:

$$\text{Foam stability } (\%) = \frac{V_0}{V_1} \times 100$$

Where,

V_0= initial volume of the guava pulp before foaming (cm^3),

V_1= final volume of the guava pulp after foaming (cm^3).

Powder Yield (%)

After drying of foam in the mechanical dehydrator, dried material was scrapped from the trays and weighed to find out the powder recovery as follows,

$$\text{Powder Yield } (\%) = \frac{\text{weight of powder (g)}}{\text{weight of fruit pulp (g)}} \times 100$$

Statistical analysis

Data on fruit, fruit pulp and instant powder were analyzed statistically by following completely randomized design (CRD) [6].

Results and Discussion

Foam density (g/cm^3)

A perusal of data in Table 1 shows that the foam density among two types (unpeeled with seeds and peeled without seeds) of guava fruit pulp varied from 0.79 to 0.96 g/cm^3 obtained from Lalit cultivar of guava evaluated with 0-2 per cent concentrations each of CMC and GMS. With the increase in concentrations of foaming agents in guava pulp, foam density values register a decreased from 0.96 g/cm^3 in control to 0.83 g/cm^3 with 2 per cent GMS. The foam density was found to be higher in pulp obtained from unpeeled with seeds fruits of guava (0.89 g/cm^3) as compared to pulp extracted from peeled without seeds fruits (0.87 g/cm^3). Among foaming agents, higher foam density was found with CMC (0.90 g/cm^3) as compared to GMS (0.86 g/cm^3). However, the interaction between the pulp types, foaming agents and concentration were found to be non-significant. The foam density exhibited the decreasing trend with increased concentration of each foaming agents (Figure 1).

Similar decreasing trend of foam density with increase in foaming agents concentration was noticed in other studies with in mango [21], papaya [24] and in mango [20].

Table 1: Effect of foaming agents on foam density (g/cm^3) of guava fruits pulp

Foam density (g/cm^3)					
Foaming agents (F)	Concentration (C) (%)	Pulp		Mean	Grand Mean (C)
		Unpeeled with seed (Whole Fruit)	Peeled without seeds		
CMC	Control	0.96	0.95	0.96	0.96
	1.0	0.90	0.89	0.89	0.86
	2.0	0.87	0.86	0.86	0.83
	Mean	0.91	0.90	0.90	
	Control	0.96	0.95	0.96	

GMS	1.0	0.84	0.82	0.83	
	2.0	0.80	0.79	0.79	
	Mean	0.87	0.85	0.86	
	Grand Mean (U&P)	0.89	0.87	0.88	
$CD_{00.5}$ Pulp (P) Foaming agent (F) P X F Concentration (C) P X C F X C P X F X C		0.005 0.005 NS 0.006 NS 0.009 NS			

Where,
CMC = Carboxy-methyl-cellulose GMS = Glycerol-mono-stearate



Fig 1: Effect of foaming agents on foam density of guava fruits pulp

Foam expansion (%)

The effect of different foaming agents on foam expansion of fruit pulp obtained from unpeeled with seeds and peeled without seeds fruits of Lalit cultivar of guava is presented Table 2. The level of foam expansion in guava fruits cv. Lalit pulp evaluated by using different concentration of foaming agents ranged between 7.34 to 18.39 per cent. The fruit pulp foamed without foaming agents (control) exhibited the lowest foam expansion level (7.37%) while, pulp foamed with 2 per cent GMS showed highest level of foam expansion (18.38%). Treating fruit pulp with increasing concentration of foaming agents brought significant increase in foam expansion level. The higher foam expansion (13.25%) was observed in case of peeled fruits without seeds pulp as compared to unpeeled with seeds pulp (13.22%). Among foaming agents, higher foam expansion level was found in GMS (13.79**%**) as compared to CMC (12.68%). However, the interaction between the pulp types, foaming agents and concentration were found to be non-significant. Higher foam expansion specifies that more air was trapped in the foam because foaming agent reduces the surface tension and interfacial tension to a level sufficiently low to form the interfacial film. The foam expansion exhibited the increasing trend with increased concentration of CMC and GMS foaming agents (Figure 2). Similar increasing trend of foam expansion with increase in foaming agents concentration was noticed in other studies with in with in mango [21], papaya [24] and in mango [20].

Table 2: Effect of foaming agents on foam expansion of guava fruits pulp

Foam expansion					
Foaming agents (F)	**Concentration (C) (%)**	**Pulp**		**Mean**	**Grand Mean (C)**
		Unpeeled with seed (Whole Fruit)	**Peeled without seeds**		
CMC	Control	7.34	7.39	7.37	7.37
	1.0	14.29	14.31	14.30	14.97
	2.0	16.35	16.38	16.36	17.37
	Mean	12.66	12.69	12.68	
GMS	Control	7.34	7.39	7.37	
	1.0	15.62	15.65	15.63	
	2.0	18.37	18.39	18.38	
	Mean	13.78	13.81	13.79	

	Grand Mean (U&P)	13.22	13.25	13.23	
$CD_{00.5}$ Pulp (P) Foaming agent (F) P X F Concentration (C) P X C F X C P X F X C		0.030 0.030 0.042 0.037 NS 0.052 NS			

Where,
CMC = Carboxy-methyl-cellulose GMS = Glycerol-mono-stearate



Fig 2: Effect of foaming agents on foam expansion of guava pulp foam

Foam stability

The foam stability of fruit pulp obtained from unpeeled with seeds and peeled without seeds fruits of guava cultivar Lalit evaluated by using different concentrations of CMC and GMS ranged from 0 to 100 per cent (Table 3).The fruit pulp foamed without foaming agents (control) exhibited the no foam stability level (0.00%) while, pulp foamed with 2 per cent GMS showed highest level of foam expansion (99.26%). Treating fruit pulp with increasing concentration of foaming agents brought significant increase in foam stability level. The higher foam stability (65.72%) was observed in case of peeled fruits without seeds pulp as compared to unpeeled with seeds pulp (65.54%). Among foaming agents, higher foam stabilty level was found in GMS (65.72**%**) as compared to CMC (65.42%). However, the interaction between the pulp types, foaming agents and concentration were found to be significant. The foam stability exhibited the increasing trend with increased concentration of CMC and GMS foaming agents (Figure 3). Similar increasing trend of foam stability with increase in foaming agents concentration was noticed in other studies with in with in mango [21], papaya [24] and in mango [20].

Table 3: Effect of foaming agents on foam stability of guava fruits pulp

Foam stability					
Foaming agents (F)	**Concentration (C) (%)**	**Pulp**		**Mean**	**Grand Mean (C)**
		Unpeeled with seed (Whole Fruit)	**Peeled without seeds**		
CMC	Control	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	1.0	97.36	97.40	97.38	97.63
	2.0	98.99	99.04	99.02	99.26
	Mean	65.45	65.48	65.47	
GMS	Control	0.00	0.00	0.00	
	1.0	97.86	97.90	97.65	
	2.0	99.02	100.00	99.51	
	Mean	65.63	65.97	65.72	
	Grand Mean (U&P)	65.54	65.72	65.63	
$CD_{00.5}$ Pulp (P) Foaming agent (F) P X F Concentration (C) P X C F X C P X F X C		0.012 0.012 0.017 0.015 0.021 0.021 0.030			

Where,
CMC = Carboxy-methyl-cellulose GMS = Glycerol-mono-stearate



Fig 3: Effect of foaming agents on foam stability of guava pulp foam

Effect of foaming agents on drying time of guava pulp

The guava pulp after converting into foam by using different foaming agents was dried in a cabinet drier at 60±5°C.

The drying time in two types (unpeeled with seeds and peeled without seeds) of guava fruit pulp of cultivar Lalit from 7.43 to 9.23 hours, evaluated by using different concentrations of CMC and GMS (Table 4). With increasing the concentration of foaming agents, the mean drying time was found to be decreased from 9.21 hours (control) to 7.79 hours (2% GMS). The more drying time (8.52 hours) was observed in unpeeled with seeds fruits powder as compared to peeled without seeds powder (8.28 hours). Among foaming agents, more drying time was recorded in treated with CMC (8.43 hours) as compared to GMS (8.37 hours). However, the interaction between the pulp types, foaming agents and concentration were found to be significant. A reduction in drying time of guava pulp obtained from cultivar Lalit with increasing the concentration of foaming agents was observed (Figure 4). The reduction in drying time with increase in concentration of foaming agents could be due to the porous nature of the foamed sample allowing faster movement of dry air.

Similar reduction in drying time with increase in foaming agents concentration was noticed in other studies with in with in mango [21], papaya [24-13], mango [20-26] and hill lemon juice powder [22].



Fig 4: Effect of foaming agents on drying time of guava pulp foam

Table 4: Effect of foaming agents on drying time of guava fruits pulp

Drying time					
Foaming agents (F)	Concentration (C) (%)	Pulp		Mean	Grand Mean (C)
		Unpeeled with seed (Whole Fruit)	Peeled without seeds		
CMC	Control	9.23	9.19	9.21	9.21
	1.0	8.27	8.25	8.26	8.19
	2.0	8.10	8.05	8.08	7.79
	Mean	8.53	8.50	8.43	

GMS	Control	9.23	9.19	9.21	
	1.0	8.19	8.07	8.13	
	2.0	7.58	7.43	7.51	
	Mean	8.33	8.23	8.37	
	Grand Mean (U&P)	8.52	8.28	8.40	
$CD_{00.5}$					
Pulp (P)		0.007			
Foaming agent (F)		0.007			
P X F		0.010			
Concentration (C)		0.009			
P X C		0.012			
F X C		0.012			
P X F X C		0.018			

Where,
CMC = Carboxy-methyl-cellulose GMS = Glycerol-mono-stearate



Fig 5: Effect of foaming agents powder yield of guava fruit pulp foam

Effect of foaming agents on powder yield (%) of foam mat dried guava fruit pulp

The yield of dried guava powder obtained from unpeeled with seeds and peeled without seeds of cultivar Lalit evaluated by using different concentrations of CMC and GMS ranged from 13.71 to 14.64 per cent (Table 5). The mean powder yield was found to be increased with increasing concentration of foaming agents from 13.73 (control) to 14.33 per cent (2% GMS). The highest powder yield (14.10%) was observed in unpeeled with seeds fruits powder as compared to peeled without seeds powder (14.06%). Among foaming agents, higher powder yield was observed in GMS 14.26 per cent against 13.90 per cent in CMC. However, the interaction between the cultivars, pulp types and foaming agents were found to be non-significant. Treating pulp with increased concentration of foaming agents brought about significant increased powder yield (Figure 5).

Similar increasing trend in powder yield with increase in foaming agents concentration was noticed in other studies with in with in mango [21], papaya [24], pink guava powder [23] and hill lemon powder [22].

Table 5: Effect of foaming agents on powder yield of guava fruits pulp

Powder yield					
Foaming agents (F)	**Concentration (C) (%)**	**Pulp**		**Mean**	**Grand Mean (C)**
		Unpeeled with seed (Whole Fruit)	**Peeled without seeds**		
CMC	Control	13.75	13.71	13.73	13.73
	1.0	13.96	13.91	13.93	14.17
	2.0	14.06	14.01	14.04	14.33
	Mean	13.92	13.88	13.90	
GMS	Control	13.75	13.71	13.73	
	1.0	14.45	14.38	14.42	
	2.0	14.64	14.62	14.63	
	Mean	14.28	14.24	14.26	
	Grand Mean (U&P)	14.10	14.06	14.08	
$CD_{00.5}$		0.020			

Pulp (P)	0.020
Foaming agent (F)	NS
P X F	0.025
Concentration (C)	NS
P X C	0.035
F X C	NS
P X F X C	

Where,
CMC = Carboxy-methyl-cellulose GMS = Glycerol-mono-stearate

Conclusion

From the above study, it is concluded that foam mat drying of peeled without seeds pulp is indeed a better substitute for preservation of guava fruit in the form of dried powder. Guava, being a highly perishable crop due to high moisture content, is very prone to microbial infestation during a growing period that results in a lot of mess in its storage and transportation and fetches low prices to growers during glut season. The present study indicates that with such processing of guava fruit pulp could be conserved in the powdered form for a long period of time by use of 2 per cent GMS. So, foam mat drying, which is a good alternative to other drying processes, is a promising potential for the food and fruit processing industry.

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to express sincere thanks to the Department of Food Science and Technology (FST), College of Horticulture and forestry Neri, Hamirpur (H.P.), for providing financial assistance to carry out this work.

References

1. Affandi N, Zzaman W, Yang TA, Easa AM. Production of *Nigella sativa* beverage powder under foam mat drying using egg albumen as a foaming agent. *Beverages* 2017; 3(9):1-15.
2. Akiokato AT, Matsudomi N, Kobayashi K. Determination of foaming properties of egg white by conductivity measurements. *Journal of Food Science and Technology* 1983; 48(1):62-65.
3. Berry RE, Bissett OW, Lastinge JC. Method for evaluating foams from citrus concentrates. Food Technology. 1965; 19:1168-1170.
4. Chandak AJ, Chivate MR. Studies on foam mat drying of coffee extract. Indian Food Packer. 1974; 28:17-27.
5. Chen H, Yen G. Antioxidant activity and free radical-scavenging capacity of extracts from Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) leaves. *Food chemistry*. 2006; 101:686-694.
6. Cochran WG, Cox CM. Experimental Designs. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York CRC Press, London, UK, 1967.
7. Falade FO, Adeyanju KI, Uzo-Peters PI. Foam mat drying of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculatd*) using glyceryl monostearate and egg albumen as foaming agents. Food Research Technology 2003; 217:486-491.
8. Flores G, Dastmalchi K, Wu SB, Whalen K, Dabo AJ, Reynertson KA *et al*. Phenolic-rich extract from the costa rican guava (*Psidium friedrichsthalianum*) pulp with antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activity. Potential for COPD Therapy. *Food Chemistry*. 2013; 141:889-895.
9. Hart MR, Ginnette L, Morgan AI, Graham R. Foams for foam-mat drying. *Food Technology* 1963; 17:1302-1304.
10. Jagtiani J, Chan HT, Sakai WS. Tropical fruit processing. 2nd ed. *Academic Press Inc.*, New York, 1988, 9-43.
11. Jha SN, Vishwakarma RK, Ahmad T, Rai A, Dixit AK. Report on assessment of quantitative harvest and post-harvest losses of major crops and commodities in India. ICAR-All India Coordinated Research Project on post-harvest technology, ICAR-CIPHET, P.O.-PAU, Ludhiana-141004, 2015.
12. Kadam DM, Wilson RA, Kaur S. Determination of biochemical properties of foam mat dried mango powder. International Journal of Food Science and Technology 2010; 45(8):1626-1632.
13. Kandasamy P, Varadharaju N, Kalemullah S, Maladhi D. Optimization of process parameters for foam-mat drying of papaya pulp. Journal of Food Science and Technology 2014; 51(10):2526-2534.
14. Kudra T, Ratti C. Foam-mat drying: Energy and cost analysis. Canadian Biosystems Engineering. 2006; 48:327-332.
15. Marinova KG, Basheva ES, Nenova B, Temelska M, Mirarefi AY, Campbell B *et al*. Physico-chemical factors controlling the formability and foam stability of milk proteins: Sodium caseinate and whey protein concentrates. Food Hydrocolloids. 2009; 37:498-506.
16. Martin RO, Narasimhan G, Singh RK, Weitnaner AC. Food dehydration. In: D.R. Heldman and D B Lund (Ed.) Handbook of Food Engineering, Academic press, London. 1992, 530-531.
17. Martin RO, Narasimhan G, Singh RK, Weitnaner AC. Food dehydration. In: D.R. Heldman and D B Lund (Ed.) Handbook of Food Engineering, Academic press, London. 1992, 530-531.
18. Ojowole JAO. Antiinflammatory and analgesic effects of *Psidium guajava* Linn (Myrtaceae) leaf aqueous extract in rats and mice. Methods and Findings in Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology. 2006; 28:441-446.
19. Pedapati A, Tiwari RB. Effect of different osmotic pre-treatments on weight loss, yield and moisture loss in osmotically dehydrated guava. Journal of Agriculture Search 2014; 1:49-54.
20. Rajkumar P, Kailappan R, Viswanathan R, Raghavan GSV, Ratti C. Foam mat drying of Alphonso mango pulp. Drying Technology. 2007; 25:357-365.
21. Rani N, Verma AK, Sharma PC, Saini R, Shivani. Composition and characterization of foam mat dried powder prepared from seedling and cultivated mango cultivars of Himalayan Region. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020; 9(5):593-611.
22. Sharma PC, Sharma SK, Kaushal BBL. Studies on the preparation of foam mat dried Hill Lemon (*Citrus pseudolimon* Tan.) juice powder. Food Packer 2002; 56(4):67-71.
23. Shishir MRI, Taip FS, Aziz NA, Talib RA. Physical properties of spray-dried pink guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) powder. Agriculture and Agricultural Science Procedia 2014; 2:74-81.
24. Shivani, Verma AK, Sharma PC, Gupta A, Kaushal M. Effect of foaming agent on quality and yield of foam mat dried papaya powder. International Journal of Current

Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2019; 8(12):2821-2835.
25. Surendar J, Sadawarte SK, Thorat PP. Effect of processing of sulphur compounds on tray dried guava slices. Global Journal of Bioscience and Biotechnology 2016; 6(3):486-490.
26. Wilson RA, Kadam DM, Chadha S, Sharma M.. Foam mat drying characteristics of mango pulp. *International* Journal of Food Science and Nutrition Engineering. 2012; 2(4):63-69.
27. Yusof S, Mohammed S, Bakar A. Effects of the fruit's maturity on the quality and acceptability of guava puree. Food Chemistry. 1990; 30(10):45-58.