

# Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234

www.phytojournal.com JPP 2020; 9(5): 2521-2525 Received: 21-07-2020 Accepted: 26-08-2020

#### Uday Bhanu Pratap

Department of Silviculture and Agroforestry, Dr. Y S Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India

#### Bhupendra Singh

Department of Forestry, College of Forestry, VCSG, UUHF, Ranichauri, Uttarakhand, India

#### VP Khanduri

Department of Forestry, College of Forestry, VCSG, UUHF, Ranichauri, Uttarakhand, India

#### KS Pant

Department of Silviculture and Agroforestry, Dr. Y S Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Uday Bhanu Pratap Department of Silviculture and Agroforestry, Dr. Y S Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, Nauni, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India

# Allelopathic propensity of *Quercus* species on the performance of traditional food crops of the mid-Himalayan region

# Uday Bhanu Pratap, Bhupendra Singh, VP Khanduri and KS Pant

#### Abstract

A pot experiment was carried out to examine the effect of aqueous leaf extract of three *Quercus (Q. leucotrichophora, Q. floribunda, and Q. serrata)* species on germination and early growth of small millets (*Echinochloa frumentacea, Eleusine coracana, Amaranthus caudatus*) and one cereal crop (*Triticum aestivum*) which are important crops of the mid-Himalayan region. The study revealed that there was a considerable effect of *Quercus* species on seed germination, early growth, and biomass of all the test crops. The increase in extract concentration exhibited a higher inhibitory effect though the lesser concentration slightly stimulated the growth of *E. coracana* and *A. caudatus*. The percentage of inhibition in germination and early growth parameters increased with the increasing concentration of aqueous extract. Among the test crops, *T. aestivum* and *E. frumentacea* were found most susceptible while *E. coracana* and *A. caudatus* were the most resistant test crops. The toxicity of the *Quercus* species was in order of *Q. leucotrichophora < Q. floribunda < Q. serrata*. In particular, *Q. leucotrichophora* is preferred as fodder and fuelwood thereby, phytotoxic accumulation through leaves would be low to moderate in soil. Based on the suppressive nature of these tree species on test crops, *Q. leucotrichophora* can be screened as prominent agroforestry tree species.

Keywords: Allelopathy, germination, leaf extract, small millet

#### Introduction

Agroforestry refers to practices, which deliberately or intentionally mix or retain woody perennials on the crop/animal production systems. It combines elements of agriculture crops and/ or animals with elements of forestry in the production system in a unit of land, either simultaneously or sequentially. The term woody perennial includes trees, shrubs, bushes, palm, bamboos, etc. which is an agroforestry context are often referred to as multipurpose trees and shrubs (Wood, 1988)<sup>[17]</sup>. This practice increases productivity, improves soil fertility, microclimate, nutrient cycling, conserves soil, and increases overall productivity. In crop combination or intercropping systems, the major plant species are crops, and some weeds may also be presented. When the two plant species grow together they interact with each other either inhibiting or stimulating their growth or yield through direct or indirect allelopathic interaction. Allelochemicals are released from the higher plant through volatilization, leaf or stem leachates, root exudates, and decomposition of residues. Allelopathy occurs through the release of biochemicals known as allelochemicals, from plant parts through leaching, root exudation, volatilization, residue decomposition, and other processes (Narwal *et al.* 2011; Thakur *et al.* 2017; Kumar *et al.* 2017)<sup>[7, 9, 4]</sup>.

*Q. leucotrichophora* is the commonest oak of the western Himalaya, extending eastward to Nepal, chiefly in the outer ranges from 1,000 - 2,400m, but occasionally descending lower in moist situations (Negi and Naithani, 1995) <sup>[14]</sup>. In Garhwal Himalaya it naturally occurs at 1500-1800 m, 80 per cent dominance (based on relative basal area), between 2000 to 2200 m it may share dominance with *Q. floribunda* and above 2200 m it generally disappears (Singh and Singh, 1992; Zobel and Singh, 1997) <sup>[8, 17]</sup>. *Q. floribunda* is native of India, Bangladesh, Afghanistan, Nepal, Oman, and Pakistan but its natural range is the temperate region of the Western Himalayas, from Nepal to westwards, at altitudes of 2100-2700 m, descending to about 1700 m, in cool moist areas. *Q. serrata* is native to Southern, Central, Eastern China, Taiwan, Japan, and Korea. It is a deciduous oak tree reaching a height 25 m occupying elevation from 100 to 2000 m. Leaves are up to 17 cm long by 9 cm wide, leathery, elliptical in shape, with serrated margins. These species have been extensively used as shade bearer in and around agriculture fields in the hilly region of Garhwal Himalaya. Besides this, other uses are fuel, fodder, and small timber by local people and help to control erosion.

Being a *Quercus* species are the most prominent species in the Western Himalayan region and farmers are widely using this species for various purposes like fuel, fodder, etc. so it is necessary to know whether this species have any positive or negative impact on crops. Keeping in view of the above mentioned facts, a pot experiment was carried out.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The experimental site, College of Forestry, V.C.S.G Uttarakhand University of Horticulture and Forestry, Ranichaury Campus, Tehri Garhwal, is located 10 km away from Chamba (Rishikesh-Gangotri Road) at an altitude of about 2100 m above mean sea level, lying between 300 15' N latitude and 780 30' E longitudes under mid-hill zones of Uttarakhand, India.

#### Collection of plant material and preparation of extract

Fresh and matured leaves of *Q. leucotrichophora*, *Q. floribunda*, and *Q. serrata* were collected from different areas of the College of Forestry, Ranichaury campus. The collected leaves were sun-dried for about a week and made into a fine powder using an electrical grinder. Aqueous extract was prepared by soaking 200 g of grounded leaf litter in 1L distilled water. The extract was filtered through Muslin cloth and filtrates were considered as 100 per cent concentration. Further dilutions of 10%, 25%, 50%, and 75% were prepared with distilled water. The extract concentrations used were: T<sub>1</sub>-control (distilled water), T<sub>2</sub>- 10%, T<sub>3</sub>- 25%, T<sub>4</sub>- 50%, T<sub>5</sub>-75%, and T<sub>6</sub>- 100% concentrations of leaf and bark extract.

The pot experiment was conducted in CRD with three replications. The plants of each test crop were grown in pots at the forest nursery of the Department of Forestry, College of Forestry, Ranichaury, Tehri Garhwal. Before the sowing of seeds, the pots were filled with a mixture made by sand, soil, and farmyard manure in the ratio of 1:2:1 ratio. 75 seeds of each crop in each treatment with three replications were sown in the pots and each pot was irrigated with 100 ml aqueous extract. Seed germination was recorded daily to a minimum of 21 days. The weeding and watering (extracts of different concentrations were used to irrigate the seeds) of pots were done manually when needed untill the completion of the experiment (21 days). After 21 days, data were taken for shoot length and root length. Further, the fresh weight of seedlings was recorded and samples were taken to the laboratory and kept in a hot air oven at 60° C till constant weight arrived. The dry weight was recorded by using an electrical single pan balance and the mean dry weight of seedling was determined.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

The average data obtained from the experiment were subjected to statistical analysis. The performance of tree leaf extract over the test crop was determined by applying ANOVA in the WASP statistical software, WASP version 1.0 (ICAR GOA, India). Percentage inhibition or stimulation relative to control was calculated by using the formula:  $I = 100 (R_2-R_1)/R_1$ 

Where, I= percentage inhibition or stimulation,  $R_1$ = response of control crop, and  $R_2$ = response of tested crop.

## **Results and Discussion**

# Effect of leaf extracts of *Quercus* species on germination and early growth parameters of test crops

The aqueous leaf extract in pot culture exhibited a significant (P<0.05) inhibitory effect on germination and growth

parameters *viz.*, shoot and root length, and seedling dry weight of test crops (Table 1, 2 and 3).

## Q. leucotrichophora

The data introduced in Table 1 pointed out that the germination per cent was significantly affected by leaf and bark extracts. Over control (T<sub>6</sub>), the maximum germination (96.67%) was recorded in E. coracana followed by A. caudatus (95%) in  $T_1$  (10% concentration), while minimum germination (41.57%) was recorded in T. aestivum under hundred per cent concentration of leaf extract  $(T_5)$ . However, a sharp reduction (46.80%) was also observed in T. aestivum followed by E. frumentacea (32.30%), A. caudatus (22.42%), and E. coracana (22.02%), under 100% leaf extracts (T<sub>5</sub>). On the other hand, the leaf extracts also exhibited a significant inhibitory effect on shoot, root, and total dry biomass of germinated seedlings (Table 2 and 3). The maximum root and shoot length (14.25 and 24.25 cm, respectively) and dry biomass (0.323 g) was recorded for T. aestivum in  $T_1$  while minimum (1.45 cm) root length, shoot length (2.89 cm), and dry weight (0.06 g) was recorded in  $T_5$  (100% concentration).

## Quercus floribunda

Over control, the leaf extract of *Q. floribunda* significantly inhibited the germination and growth traits of all the test crops except for *E. coracana*. The maximum (95%) germination per cent was found in *E. coracana* and *A. caudatus* under the 10 per cent concentration (T<sub>1</sub>), while minimum germination (43.33%) was recorded for *T. aestivum* in T<sub>5</sub> over control (Table 1). On the other hand, the maximum (20.77 cm) root length was recorded in *T. aestivum*, shoot length (18.96cm) in *E. frumentacea*, and dry weight (0.380 g) of seedlings was recorded for *T. aestivum* in T<sub>1</sub>, though minimum (3.93cm) root length was recorded in *A. caudatus*, shoot (7.34cm) length in *E. coracana* and dry matter (0.053 g) was recorded for *E. coracana* in T<sub>5</sub> (Table 2 and 3)

## Quercus serrata

Per cent germination was significantly reduced under all the concentrations of leaf extract in T. aestivam and E. frumentacea as compared to control whereas, E. coracana and A. caudatus remain unaffected under the lower concentration (10%) of leaf extract  $(T_1)$ . Outcomes revealed that the maximum germination (98.33%) was recorded in E. coracana and A. caudatus followed by E. frumentacea (91.67%) and T. aestivum (65%), while the minimum germination (41.67%) with a maximum reduction (46.80%) was found in T. aestivum (T<sub>5</sub>) though minimum reduction (1.69%) was calculated for E. coracana in T2 (Table 1). Likewise, the maximum (20.17 cm) root length, shoot length (26.25 cm), and biomass (0.470 g) was found in *T. aestivum* ( $T_1$ ) while the minimum (3.06 cm) root length was observed in A. caudatus, shoot length (4.32cm) in E. coracana and seedling biomass in E. frumentcea and E. coracana (0.103 g) in T<sub>5</sub> (Table 2 and 3). For an improved agroforestry management system, it is essential to identify local tree crops with minimum addition of toxins in the topsoil. Many researchers reported the inhibitory effect of Eucalyptus, Bambusa spp., Tectona grandis, Acacia nilotica, Dalbergia sissoo, Morus alba, Bauhinia variegata, Ficus bengalensis, Poplus deltoides, Salix babylonica, and Leucaena leucocephala on germination and seedling growth of certain cereal crops (Hossain et al. 2002)<sup>[3]</sup>.

The outcomes of the present study revealed that the extent of inhibition on germination and early growth and biomass production of seedlings decreased with an incremental

http://www.phytojournal.com

aqueous extract concentration. It showed the concentrationdependent effect of aqueous leaf and bark extract. Similar findings were reported by Singh et al. (2016)<sup>[12]</sup> and Pratap et al. (2020) <sup>[15]</sup> as they revealed the concentration-dependent inhibitory effect of leaf and bark extracts of Quercus species on germination and seedling growth of small millets. Among all the test crops, E. coracana showed a stimulatory effect under all the concentration of leaf extracts of Q. serrata concerning root-shoot growth whereas, the root of A. caudatus also found stimulatory under all concentrations of these species. Findings of the present experiment, experienced in germination and growth of the test crops are corroborated by earlier findings (Singh et al., 2009; Bano et al. (2012)<sup>[11,</sup> <sup>1]</sup>. This study revealed that the leaf extracts of O. leucotrichophora and Q. serrata found more toxic. Earlier results were reported by Kaletha et al. (1996)<sup>[5]</sup>, where they observed the aqueous extracts of leaf and bark of Grewia oppositifolia, Ficus roxburghii, Bauhinia variegata, and Kydia calycina on E. frumentacea, E. coracana, Zea mays, Vigna unguiculata, and Glycine max and found that the leaf and bark are most toxic to food crops.

Associated results were additionally stated by Bhatt and

Chauhan (2000)<sup>[2]</sup>, where the phytotoxic effect of *Quercus* species on T. aestivum, Brassica campastris, and Lens culinaris inhibited the germination, root, and shoot length of all food crops. Likewise, the aqueous leaf extract of Acacia nilotica has an inhibitory allelopathic effect on seed germination and radicle length of T. aestivum (Sazada et al., 2009)<sup>[13]</sup>. Similarly, findings of this study have revealed that leaf extracts of Q. leucotrichophora and Q. floribunda were found most toxic for germination root-shoot growth. Under the leaf extract of Q. serrata, A. caudatus and E. coracana were found less affected concerning root and shoot growth, however, germination was significantly reduced as compared to control. Among all the test crops, the maximum dry weight was obtained from 10 per cent of leaf extracts of all three tree crops, while minimum dry weight belonged to all the test crops under, 100 per cent concentration of leaf and bark extract. The degree of inhibition increased as the concentration increased. Several earlier studies have suggested that the degree of inhibition increases with increasing extract concentrations (Laosinwattana et al., 2009; Teerarak et al., 2010)<sup>[6, 16]</sup>. Among the test crop, E. coracana and A. caudatus had shown more resistance in germination as well as root and shoot growth, as compared to the other crops.

$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{a}}$	Q. leucotrichophora						
Germination (%)	Triticum aestivum	Echinochloa frumentacea	Eleusine coracana	Ameranthus caudatus			
T <sub>1</sub> (10%)	75.00 <sup>ab</sup>	90.00 <sup>ab</sup>	96.67ª	95.00 <sup>a</sup>			
	-4.25	-8.47	-1.69	-1.73			
T <sub>2</sub> (25%)	65.00a <sup>bc</sup>	88.33 <sup>abc</sup>	88.33 <sup>ab</sup>	90.00 <sup>ab</sup>			
	-17.02	-10.17	-10.17	-6.90			
T <sub>3</sub> (50%)	56.67 <sup>abc</sup>	80.00 <sup>acd</sup>	85.00 <sup>bc</sup>	86.67 <sup>ab</sup>			
	-27.65	-18.64	-13.56	-10.34			
	53.33 <sup>bc</sup>	75.00 <sup>cd</sup>	78.33 <sup>bc</sup>	83.33 <sup>bc</sup>			
T4 (75%)	-31.92	-23.73	-20.34	-13.80			
T <sub>5</sub> (100%)	41.67°	66.67 <sup>d</sup>	76.67°	75.00 <sup>c</sup>			
	-46.80	-32.20	-22.03	-22.42			
T <sub>6</sub> (Control)	78.33ª	98.33ª	98.33ª	96.67ª			
· · ·		Q. floribunda	·				
	70.00 <sup>a</sup>	83.33ª	95.00 <sup>NS</sup>	95.00 <sup>a</sup>			
T <sub>1</sub> (10%)	-2.33	-1.96	-1.73	0.00			
T <sub>2</sub> (25%)	65.00 <sup>ab</sup>	68.33 <sup>ab</sup>	93.33 <sup>NS</sup>	91.67 <sup>ab</sup>			
	-9.31	-19.61	-3.45	-3.51			
T (500()	60.00 <sup>ab</sup>	63.33 <sup>b</sup>	91.67 <sup>NS</sup>	90.00 <sup>ab</sup>			
T <sub>3</sub> (50%)	-16.28	-25.49	-5.17	-5.26			
<b>E</b> (7.5%)	53.33 <sup>bc</sup>	61.67 <sup>b</sup>	90.00 <sup>NS</sup>	81.67 <sup>bc</sup>			
T <sub>4</sub> (75%)	-25.59	-27.45	-6.90	-14.03			
<b>T</b> (1000()	43.33°	60.00 <sup>b</sup>	83.33 <sup>NS</sup>	75.00 <sup>c</sup>			
T <sub>5</sub> (100%)	-39.54	-29.41	-13.80	-21.05			
T <sub>6</sub> (Control)	71.67 <sup>a</sup>	85.00 <sup>a</sup>	96.67ª	95.00 <sup>a</sup>			
		Q. serrata					
T <sub>1</sub> (10%)	65.00 <sup>ab</sup>	91.67 <sup>a</sup>	98.33ª	98.33ª			
\$ <i>k</i>	-17.02	-6.77	0.00	+1.72			
E (25%)	61.67 <sup>abc</sup>	71.67 <sup>b</sup>	96.67ª	91.67 <sup>ab</sup>			
T <sub>2</sub> (25%)	-21.27	-27.11	-1.69	-5.17			
T <sub>3</sub> (50%)	48.33 <sup>bc</sup>	70.00 <sup>b</sup>	93.33ª	90.00 <sup>ab</sup>			
	-38.30	-28.81	-5.08	-6.90			
T <sub>4</sub> (75%)	45.00 <sup>bc</sup>	66.67 <sup>b</sup>	83.33 <sup>b</sup>	86.67 <sup>b</sup>			
	-42.55	-32.20	-15.25	-10.34			
<b>T</b> (1000()	41.67 <sup>c</sup>	60.00 <sup>b</sup>	75.00°	75.00 <sup>c</sup>			
T <sub>5</sub> (100%)	-46.80	-38.98	-23.73	-22.42			
T <sub>6</sub> (Control)	78.33ª	98.33ª	98.33ª	96.67 <sup>ab</sup>			

Table 1: Effect of leaf extract of *Quercus* species on germination of test crops in pot culture.

(Plus and minus indicate % stimulation (+) and % reduction (-) in per cent germination over control). Different letters in column indicate significant difference among treatments at P < 0.05 and P < 0.01).

Table 2: Effect of leaf extracts of Quercus species on root and shoots length (cm) of test crops in pot culture.

	Q. leucotrichophora								
Extract	Triticum aestivum		Echinochloa frumentacea		Eleusine coracana		Amaranthus caudatus		
	Root (cm)	Shoot (cm)	Root (cm)	Shoot (cm)	Root (cm)	Shoot (cm)	Shoot (cm)	Shoot (cm)	
T <sub>1</sub> (10%)	14.25 <sup>ab</sup>	24.25 <sup>a</sup>	4.60 <sup>a</sup>	9.19 <sup>b</sup>	3.53 <sup>a</sup>	3.88 <sup>ab</sup>	2.35 <sup>a</sup>	5.20 <sup>ab</sup>	
	-4.17	-3.77	-8.00	-29.85	-6.37	-7.84	-7.11	-8.93	
T <sub>2</sub> (25%)	13.35 <sup>ab</sup>	22.07 <sup>ab</sup>	4.06 <sup>a</sup>	9.10 <sup>b</sup>	3.30 <sup>a</sup>	3.78 <sup>ab</sup>	1.96 <sup>ab</sup>	5.38 <sup>ab</sup>	
	-10.22	-12.42	-18.80	-30.53	-12.47	-10.21	-22.53	-5.78	
T (50%)	12.41 <sup>abc</sup>	19.59 <sup>b</sup>	4.01 <sup>a</sup>	8.13 <sup>bc</sup>	2.51 <sup>b</sup>	3.48 <sup>abc</sup>	1.59 <sup>b</sup>	5.11 <sup>bc</sup>	
T <sub>3</sub> (50%)	-16.54	-22.26	-19.80	-37.94	-33.42	-17.34	-37.15	-10.51	
T (750/)	11.99 <sup>bc</sup>	15.69 <sup>c</sup>	3.52 <sup>ab</sup>	7.84 <sup>bc</sup>	2.32 <sup>b</sup>	3.28 <sup>bc</sup>	1.49 <sup>b</sup>	4.64 <sup>cd</sup>	
T <sub>4</sub> (75%)	-19.37	-37.74	-29.60	-40.15	-38.46	-22.09	-41.11	-18.74	
$T_{(1000)}$	10.63°	14.09 <sup>c</sup>	2.46 <sup>b</sup>	6.88 <sup>c</sup>	2.21 <sup>b</sup>	2.89°	1.45 <sup>b</sup>	4.33 <sup>d</sup>	
T <sub>5</sub> (100%)	-28.51	-44.09	-50.80	-47.48	-41.38	-31.35	-42.69	-24.17	
T <sub>6</sub> (Control)	14.87 <sup>a</sup>	25.20 <sup>a</sup>	5.00 <sup>a</sup>	13.10 <sup>a</sup>	3.77 <sup>a</sup>	4.21 <sup>a</sup>	2.53 <sup>a</sup>	5.71 <sup>a</sup>	
				Q. florib	unda				
T <sub>1</sub> (10%)	20.77 <sup>ab</sup>	18.26 <sup>ab</sup>	10.83 <sup>a</sup>	18.96 <sup>b</sup>	7.07 <sup>ab</sup>	11.48 <sup>a</sup>	4.83 <sup>ab</sup>	10.12 <sup>a</sup>	
11(10%)	-2.99	-10.80	+1.21	-26.00	-2.21	+0.97	-8.17	-2.88	
T <sub>2</sub> (25%)	17.21 <sup>bc</sup>	17.03 <sup>b</sup>	9.93 <sup>ab</sup>	18.33 <sup>bc</sup>	6.67 <sup>abc</sup>	10.21 <sup>bc</sup>	4.56 <sup>abc</sup>	9.08ab	
12(23%)	-19.62	-16.81	-7.20	-28.45	-7.75	-10.20	-13.31	-12.86	
T <sub>3</sub> (50%)	16.77°	16.86 <sup>b</sup>	8.40 <sup>bc</sup>	16.60 <sup>bcd</sup>	6.39 <sup>bc</sup>	10.11 <sup>cd</sup>	4.52 <sup>abc</sup>	8.32 <sup>b</sup>	
13 (30%)	-21.67	-17.64	-21.50	-35.21	-11.62	-11.08	-14.07	-20.15	
T <sub>4</sub> (75%)	16.24 <sup>c</sup>	15.67 <sup>b</sup>	7.73°	16.33 <sup>cd</sup>	6.31°	8.97 <sup>d</sup>	4.13 <sup>bc</sup>	7.61 <sup>b</sup>	
14 (75%)	-24.15	-23.45	-27.76	-36.26	-12.72	-21.11	-21.48	-26.97	
T5 (100%)	16.21°	15.65 <sup>b</sup>	7.63°	15.07 <sup>d</sup>	5.48 <sup>d</sup>	7.34 <sup>e</sup>	3.93°	7.51 <sup>b</sup>	
	-24.29	-23.55	-28.69	-41.18	-24.20	-35.44	-25.29	-27.93	
T <sub>6</sub> (Control)	21.41 <sup>a</sup>	20.47 <sup>a</sup>	10.70 <sup>a</sup>	25.62ª	7.23 <sup>a</sup>	11.37 <sup>ab</sup>	5.26 <sup>a</sup>	10.42 <sup>a</sup>	
				Q. serr					
T <sub>1</sub> (10%)	20.17 <sup>a</sup>	26.25 <sup>a</sup>	6.51 <sup>a</sup>	11.97 <sup>ab</sup>	5.69 <sup>a</sup>	5.71 <sup>a</sup>	4.44 <sup>a</sup>	6.83 <sup>a</sup>	
	+35.64	+4.17	+30.20	-8.63	+50.93	+35.63	+75.49	+19.61	
T <sub>2</sub> (25%)	17.25 <sup>ab</sup>	24.67 <sup>a</sup>	4.79 <sup>bc</sup>	11.31 <sup>b</sup>	5.52 <sup>ab</sup>	5.56 <sup>a</sup>	3.81 <sup>ab</sup>	6.55 <sup>ab</sup>	
12(2370)	+16.01	-2.10	-4.20	-13.66	+46.42	+32.07	+50.59	+14.71	
T <sub>3</sub> (50%)	14.37 <sup>b</sup>	24.01 <sup>ab</sup>	4.52 <sup>bc</sup>	10.95 <sup>b</sup>	4.39 <sup>bc</sup>	5.51 <sup>a</sup>	3.77 <sup>ab</sup>	6.17 <sup>abc</sup>	
	-3.36	-4.72	-9.60	-16.41	+16.45	+30.88	+49.01	+8.06	
T4 (75%)	13.84 <sup>b</sup>	23.86 <sup>ab</sup>	4.24 <sup>bc</sup>	10.85 <sup>b</sup>	4.21°	4.41 <sup>b</sup>	3.54 <sup>abc</sup>	5.21 <sup>cd</sup>	
14(73%)	-6.93	-5.32	-15.20	-17.18	+11.67	+4.75	+39.92	-8.76	
T5 (100%)	13.49 <sup>b</sup>	21.91 <sup>b</sup>	3.69°	10.58 <sup>b</sup>	3.93°	4.32 <sup>b</sup>	+3.06 <sup>bc</sup>	5.05 <sup>d</sup>	
	-9.28	-13.06	-26.20	-19.24	+4.24	+2.61	20.95	-11.56	
T <sub>6</sub> (Control)	14.87 <sup>b</sup>	25.20 <sup>a</sup>	5.00 <sup>b</sup>	13.10 <sup>a</sup>	3.77°	4.21 <sup>b</sup>	2.53°	5.71 <sup>bcd</sup>	

(Plus and minus indicate % stimulation (+) and % reduction (-) in per cent germination over control). Different letters in column indicate significant difference among treatments at P < 0.05 and P < 0.01).

Table 3: Effect of leaf extracts of Quercus species on seedling dry weight (g) of test crops.

	Q. leucotrichophora					
Extract Concentrations	Triticum aestivum	Echinochloa frumentacea	Eleusine coracana	Ameranthus caudatus		
	Dry weight (g)	Dry weight (g)	Dry weight (g)	Dry weight (g)		
$T_{(100/)}$	0.323 <sup>b</sup>	0.127 <sup>b</sup>	0.103 <sup>b</sup>	0.103 <sup>b</sup>		
T <sub>1</sub> (10%)	-48.97	-38.65	-42.78	-40.46		
T (25%)	0.253 <sup>b</sup>	0.117 <sup>b</sup>	0.093 <sup>b</sup>	0.093 <sup>b</sup>		
T <sub>2</sub> (25%)	-60.03	-43.48	-48.33	-46.24		
<b>T</b> (50%)	0.263 <sup>b</sup>	0.107 <sup>b</sup>	0.087 <sup>bc</sup>	0.087 <sup>b</sup>		
T <sub>3</sub> (50%)	-58.45	-58.45	-58.45	-58.45		
	0.257 <sup>b</sup>	0.100 <sup>b</sup>	0.083 <sup>bc</sup>	$0.080^{b}$		
T4 (75%)	-59.40	-51.69	-53.89	-53.76		
T (100%)	0.220 <sup>b</sup>	0.063 <sup>c</sup>	0.057°	0.063 <sup>b</sup>		
T <sub>5</sub> (100%)	-65.24	-69.57	-68.33	-63.58		
T <sub>6</sub> (Control)	0.633ª	0.207 <sup>a</sup>	0.180 <sup>a</sup>	0.173ª		
· · ·		Q. floribunda				
T (100/)	0.380ª	0.217 <sup>ab</sup>	0.107 <sup>b</sup>	0.107 <sup>b</sup>		
$T_1(10\%)$	-4.28	-12.15	-17.69	-19.55		
<b>T</b> (25%)	0.340ª	0.207 <sup>abc</sup>	0.100 <sup>b</sup>	0.100 <sup>b</sup>		
T <sub>2</sub> (25%)	-14.36	-16.19	-23.08	-24.81		
<b>E</b> (50%)	0.320 <sup>a</sup>	0.157 <sup>bc</sup>	0.097 <sup>b</sup>	0.090 <sup>bc</sup>		
T <sub>3</sub> (50%)	-19.40	-36.44	-25.38	-32.33		
T. (75%)	0.307 <sup>ab</sup>	0.153°	0.063°	0.067 <sup>cd</sup>		
T4 (75%)	-22.67	-38.06	-51.54	-49.62		
TE (1000()	0.227 <sup>b</sup>	0.150 <sup>c</sup>	0.053°	0.063 <sup>d</sup>		
T5 (100%)	-42.82	-39.27	-59.23	-52.63		

T <sub>6</sub> (Control)	0.397 <sup>a</sup>	0.247ª	0.130ª	0.133 <sup>a</sup>
		Q. serrata		
$T_{1}(100/)$	0.470 <sup>NS</sup>	0.207ª	0.160 <sup>ab</sup>	0.170 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>1</sub> (10%)	-25.75	0.00	-11.11	-1.73
$T_{-}(250/)$	0.300 <sup>NS</sup>	0.197 <sup>ab</sup>	0.140 <sup>bc</sup>	0.167 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>2</sub> (25%)	-52.61	-4.83	-22.22	-3.47
$T_{-}(500/)$	0.357 <sup>NS</sup>	0.163 <sup>bc</sup>	0.120 <sup>cd</sup>	0.157 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>3</sub> (50%)	-43.60	-21.26	-33.33	-9.25
T. (750/)	0.317 <sup>NS</sup>	0.133 <sup>cd</sup>	0.113 <sup>cd</sup>	0.150 <sup>ab</sup>
T4 (75%)	-49.92	-35.75	-37.22	-13.29
$T_{-}(100\%)$	0.280 <sup>NS</sup>	0.103 <sup>d</sup>	0.103°	0.117 <sup>b</sup>
T <sub>5</sub> (100%)	-55.77	-50.24	-42.78	-32.37
T <sub>6</sub> (Control)	0.633ª	0.207ª	0.180 <sup>a</sup>	0.173 <sup>a</sup>

(Plus and minus indicate % stimulation (+) and % reduction (-) in per cent germination over control). Different letters in column indicate significant difference among treatments at P < 0.05 and P < 0.01).

#### Conclusion

It has been concluded that the higher concentration of leaf extract of all the three Quercus species inhibited the germination, initial growth, and biomass of all the test crops. Q. floribunda and Q. serrata was the most toxic tree species. The leaf extract of these tree species significantly inhibited the germination, root, and shoot growth of test crops. The magnitude of suppression over control in germination and early growth traits increased with an increase in extract concentration and was greatest at maximum concentration of leaf extract (100%). Among the test crops, T. aestivum and E. frumentaceae were found most susceptible, E. coracana showed moderate resistance while A. caudatus was the most resistant test crop. It would be worth mentioning here that these tree species are more often than not used as fodder and fuelwood and thereby, phytotoxic accumulation through leaves would be low to moderate in soil. Even so, whatever phytotoxin is accumulated in the soil under tree species comes from bark which would be less in amount.

## References

- 1. Bano S, Ullah MA, Khaliq A, Abbasi KH, Khanum S. Effects of aqueous extract of sun- dried neem (*Azadirachta indica*) leaves on wheat and wheat weeds (wild oat and dumbi sitti) *in vitro*. Journal of Agricultural Extension and Rural Development. 2012; 4:352-355.
- 2. Bhatt BP, Chauhan DS. Allelopathic effects of *Quercus* spp. on crops of Garhwal Himalaya. Allelopathy Journal. 2000; 7:265-272.
- Hossain MK, Dhali MAH, Hossain MS. Effects of forest soil and leaf-litter on germination and initial seedling growth of *Leucaena leucocephala*. Allelopathy-Journal, 2002; 10:13-20.
- Kumar D, Thakur NS, Gunaga RP. Allelopathic influence of leaf aqueous extract and leaf litter of Indian lilac (*Melia azedarach* L.) on germination, growth, biomass and grain yield of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) and black Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6:2669-2683.
- Kaletha MS, Bhatt BP, Todaria NP. Tree crop interaction in traditional agroforestry systems of garhwal Himalaya.
  Phytotoxic effect of farm trees on food crops. Allelopathy Journal. 1996; 3:247-250.
- Laosinwattana C, Poonpaiboonpipat T, Teerarak M, Phuwiwat W, Mongkolaussavaratana T, Charoenying P. Allelopathic potential of Chinese rice flower (*Aglaia odorata* Lour.) as organic herbicide. Allelopathy Journal. 2009; 24:45-54.

- 7. Narwal SS, Pavlovic P, John J. Forestry and Agroforestry Research Methods in Plant Science Studium Press Houstan Taxas USA. 2011; 2:249.
- 8. Singh JS, Singh SP. Forest of Himalaya. Gyanodaya Prakashan, Nainital, 1992.
- 9. Thakur NS, Parmar AG, Gunaga RP, Patel DP. Allelopathic influence of leaf and leaf litter of white cedar (*Melia azedarach* L.) on eggplant and okra. Allelopathy Journal. 2017; 42:295-305.
- Wood PJ. Agroforestry and decision making in rural development. Forest Ecology and Management. 1988; 24: 191-201.
- 11. Singh B, Singh V, Kumar M. Effect of *Tinospora* cordifolia aqueous extract on traditional food crops of Garhwal Himalaya. International Journal of Sustainable Agriculture. 2009; 1:36-40.
- 12. Singh B, Pratap UB, Gusain YS, Dhanai CS. *In vitro* bioassay to study phytotoxicity effects of *Quercus leucotrichophora* on some small millets varieties. Asian Journal of Bio Science. 2016; 11:136-140.
- 13. Sazada S, Khan SS, Meghvanshi MK, Bhardwaj S. Allelopathy effect of aqueous extract of *Acacia nilotica* on seed germination and radicle length of *Triticum aestivum* var. Lok-1. Indian Journal of Applied and Pure Biology. 2009; 24:271-220.
- 14. Negi SS, Naithani HB. Oaks of India, Napal and Bhutan. International Book Distribution, 9/3-166pp., Rajpur Road, Dehradun, India, 1995.
- 15. Pratap UB, Kukreti A, Singh B and Khanduri VP. Allelopathic Influence of *Quercus* species on Performance of Traditional Food Crops of the Western Himalayan Region. Indian Forester. 2020; 146:688-696.
- Teerarak M, Laosinwattana C, Charoenying P. Evaluation of allelopathic, decomposition and cytogenetic activities of *Jasminum officinale* L.f. var. *grandiflorum* (L.) Kob. on bioassay plants. Bioresource Technology. 2010; 101:5677-5684.
- 17. Zobel BD, Singh SP. Himalayan forests and ecological generalizations. Bio Science. 1997; 47:735-745.