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# Heterosis for grain yield and its attributes in maize under heat stress 

Asit Prasad Dash, Devraj Lenka, SK Tripathy and D Swain


#### Abstract

In this changing climatic scenario, heat stress is playing a significant role in reducing the grain yield of many important crop plants. Being an allogamous crop, the major breeding approach for increasing the productivity of maize is development of superior hybrids. Hence, $45 \mathrm{~F}_{1 \text { s }}$ generated by crossing 15 heat tolerant double haploid lines with 3 double haploid testers were evaluated along with the parents in a randomized block design with two replications during Summer 2018 at EB II Section, Department of Plant Breeding \& Genetics, College of Agriculture, OUAT, Bhubaneswar to estimate the relative heterosis and heterobeltiosis under heat stress. The crosses; ZL155246 $\times$ ZL155828, ZL155219 $\times$ ZL155828, ZL155235 $\times$ ZL154230 and ZL155235 $\times$ ZL155828 exhibited highly significant negative relative heterosis as well as heterobeltiosis for days to $50 \%$ tasselling and days to $50 \%$ silking. Two crosses viz., ZL155181 $\times$ CML451 and ZL155181 $\times$ ZL154230 exhibited highly significant negative heterobeltiosis for ASI accompanied with significant positive relative heterosis and heterobeltosis for plant height, ear height, cob length, cob diameter, number of grains per row, 100 grain weight and yield per plant. Most of the crosses exhibited both relative heterosis and heterobeltosis in positive direction for yield and its attributing descriptors signifying the genes controlling these traits with positive effect were dominant. Nine crosses viz., ZL155115 $\times$ ZL155828, ZL155115 $\times$ CML 451, ZL155122 $\times$ ZL155828, ZL155132 $\times$ ZL155828, ZL155181 $\times$ ZL155828, ZL155181 $\times$ CML451, ZL155187 $\times$ ZL155828, ZL155201 $\times$ ZL155828 and ZL155247 $\times$ ZL155828 possessed highly significant positive relative heterosis as well as heterobeltiosis for yield per plant and its attributing traits; plant height, ear height, cob length, cob diameter, number of grain rows per cob, number of grains per row and 100 seed weight.
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## Introduction

The present day maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the most versatile emerging crops having wider adaptability under varied agro-climatic conditions making it an all season crop in India. It is a tropical crop but has adapted magnificently to temperate environments with much higher productivity. In India, it is the third most important cereal crop next to rice and wheat that contributes $8 \%$ in national food basket and has highest growth rate among the cereals (Bisen et al., 2017) ${ }^{[8]}$. However, each year an average of $15 \%$ to $20 \%$ of the potential world maize production is lost due to various abiotic stresses like heat and drought (Lobell et al., 2011) ${ }^{[17]}$. The effect of heat stress on yield is highly complex and influences processes as diverse as nutrient assimilation and supply to reproductive organs, stem reserve accumulation, gametogenesis, fertilization, embryogenesis, and endosperm and grain development.
The major breeding approach for increasing productivity in cross pollinated crops like maize is development of superior hybrids using heterosis breeding. However, the success from this method depends on development and identification of suitable inbred lines. Around one century ago, an observation was made by Shull (1909) that when two inbred lines are crossed, both vigour and grain yield of the $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ hybrid often exceeds the mean of the two parents. This phenomenon was termed as heterosis that gave rise to the modern maize industry (Crow, 1998) ${ }^{[10]}$. Heterosis has been extensively studied in maize because of (i) its large expression for grain yield (ii) its intensive exploitation in hybrid breeding of maize, and (iii) the favourable biological prerequisites such as large multiplication coefficient and ease of both self and controlled cross fertilization. Heterosis has also been reported to be helpful for maize cultivation by improving its adaption under diverse stress conditions (Tollenaar and Lee, 2006; Tollenaar et al., 2004) ${ }^{[28,29]}$. Ribaut et al. (2003) ${ }^{[22]}$ found the expression of heterosis to be greater under drought stress and smaller under low N environments in comparison to nonstress environments. Betran et al. (2003a) reported an average mid parent heterosis and heterobeltiosis of $22-25 \%$ and $12.25 \%$ respectively for grain yield under severe stress conditions. Betran et al. (2003b) also found that the differences in grain yield between hybrids
and inbreds increased with the intensity of drought stress. Hence, in the present investigation $45 \mathrm{~F}_{1} \mathrm{~s}$ were grown along with parents during Summer 2018 to study the relative heterosis and heterobeltiosis under heat stress.

## Materials and Methods

## Experimental details

The experimental material for the present study comprised of forty five maize $F_{1} S$ (Table 1) generated by crossing previously identified 15 heat tolerant double haploid lines with 3 double haploid testers collected from International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT), Hyderabad, India. The $F_{1 S}$ and the parents were evaluated in a randomized block design with two replications during Summer, 2018 at EB-II section of the Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, College of Agriculture, OUAT, Bhubaneswar. Each entry was sown in two rows of 4 meter length spaced at 60 cm with a plant to plant spacing of 30 cm . Two seeds per hill were sown followed by thinning to maintain single plant per hill. In order to avoid the influence of moisture stress on the plants, proper care was taken by mulching the soil with paddy straw along with need based irrigation. Fertilizers were applied at the rate of $120 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N}, 60$ $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and $60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ per hectare in the form of Urea, SSP and MOP respectively along with FYM; 12 cart loads/ha and Zinc Sulphate; $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$. Normal agronomic practices and plant protection measures were followed to raise a successful crop.
The flowering occurred during the month of May, wherein the maximum and minimum temperature ranged between 35$39^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $20-28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively, while the mean relative humidity during the flowering period was $74 \%$. Data was recorded on five randomly selected plants from each genotypes for twelve traits viz., days to $50 \%$ tasseling (DT), days to $50 \%$ silking (DS), anthesis to silking interval (ASI), days to $75 \%$ dry husk ( DDH ), plant height ( PH ), ear height (EH), cob length (CL), cob diameter (CD), number of grain rows per cob (R/C), Number of grains per row (G/R), 100 seed weight ( SW ) and grain yield per plant (GY/P).

## Statistical analysis

Heterosis is expressed as per cent increase or decrease of $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ over the mid parent and better parent. The heterosis in negative direction is considered to be desirable for days to 50 $\%$ tasseling, days to $50 \%$ silking, anthesis to silking interval and days to $75 \%$ dry husk, whereas for rest of the traits positive heterosis is considered as desirable. Relative heterosis and heterobeltiosis were estimated according to the
method suggested by Shull (1908), Fonseca and Patterson (1968) respectively. It was calculated as lined below.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Relative heterosis }=\frac{\overline{F_{1}}-\overline{M P}}{\overline{M P}} \times 100 \\
\text { Heterobeltosis }=\frac{\overline{F_{1}}-\overline{B P}}{\overline{B P}} \times 100
\end{gathered}
$$

Where,
$\bar{F}_{1}=$ Mean performance of $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ hybrid
$\overline{B P}=$ Mean performance of better parent
$\overline{M P}=$ Mean mid-parental value i.e., $\left(\overline{P_{1}}+\overline{P_{2}}\right) / 2$
$\bar{P}_{1}=$ Mean performance of parent one
$\bar{P}_{2}=$ Mean performance of parent two
The significance of heterosis was tested with ' $t$ ' test as given below:
a) For relative heterosis

$$
t=\frac{\bar{F}_{1}-\overline{M P}}{S E_{d}}
$$

For heterobeltosis

$$
t=\frac{\overline{F_{1}}-\overline{B P}}{S E_{d}}
$$

$\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{d}}$ was calculated as follows.
i) For relative heterosis

$$
S E_{d}=\sqrt{3 M S e / 2 r}
$$

ii) For heterobeltosis

$$
S E_{d}=\sqrt{2 M S e / r}
$$

Where,

| MSe | $=$ | Error mean square |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{SE}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | $=$ | Standard error of difference |
| r | $=$ | Number of replications |

The calculated value of $t\left(t_{\text {cal }}\right)$ is compared with tabulated value ( $\mathrm{t}_{\text {tab }}$ of t at $5 \%$ or $1 \%$ level of significance. When $\mathrm{t}_{\text {cal }}$ value is greater than $t_{\text {tab }}$, it is considered significant and vice versa.

Table 1: Forty five hybrids generated from crossing programme

| 1 | ZL155069 $\times$ ZL155828 | 16 | ZL155132 $\times$ ZL155828 | 31 | ZL155201 $\times$ ZL155828 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | ZL155069 $\times$ ZL154230 | 17 | ZL155132 $\times$ ZL154230 | 32 | ZL155201 $\times$ ZL154230 |
| 3 | ZL155069 $\times$ CML 451 | 18 | ZL155132 $\times$ CML451 | 33 | ZL155201 $\times$ CML 451 |
| 4 | ZL155085 $\times$ ZL155828 | 19 | ZL155136 $\times$ ZL155828 | 34 | ZL155219 $\times$ ZL155828 |
| 5 | ZL155085 $\times$ ZL154230 | 20 | ZL155136 $\times$ ZL154230 | 35 | ZL155219 $\times$ ZL154230 |
| 6 | ZL155085 $\times$ CML 451 | 21 | ZL155136 $\times$ CML451 | 36 | ZL155219 $\times$ CML 451 |
| 7 | ZL155110 $\times$ ZL155828 | 22 | ZL155181 $\times$ ZL155828 | 37 | ZL155235 $\times$ ZL155828 |
| 8 | ZL155110 $\times$ ZL154230 | 23 | ZL155181 $\times$ ZL154230 | 38 | ZL155235 $\times$ ZL154230 |
| 9 | ZL155110 $\times$ CML451 | 24 | ZL155181 $\times$ CML451 | 39 | ZL155235 $\times$ CML451 |
| 10 | ZL155115 $\times$ ZL155828 | 25 | ZL155187 $\times$ ZL155828 | 40 | ZL155246 $\times$ ZL155828 |
| 11 | ZL155115 $\times$ ZL154230 | 26 | ZL155187 $\times$ ZL154230 | 41 | ZL155246 $\times$ ZL154230 |
| 12 | ZL155115 $\times$ CML451 | 27 | ZL155187 $\times$ CML451 | 42 | ZL155246 $\times$ CML 451 |
| 13 | ZL155122 $\times$ ZL155828 | 28 | ZL155199 $\times$ ZL155828 | 43 | ZL155247 $\times$ ZL155828 |
| 14 | ZL155122 $\times$ ZL154230 | 29 | ZL155199 $\times$ ZL154230 | 44 | ZL155247 $\times$ ZL154230 |
| 15 | ZL155122 $\times$ CML 451 | 30 | ZL155199 $\times$ CML451 | 45 | ZL155247 $\times$ CML 451 |

## Results and Discussion

In cross pollinated crops, heterosis is the way to achieve the desirable cross combinations over the mid-parent, better parent and any commercial variety. Heterosis breeding in maize has made tremendous impact resulting in release of greater number of high yielding hybrids for general cultivation. The single cross hybrid technology in maize is the key to realize desired success that has the potential to open up the new vistas. Heterobeltiosis and relative heterosis are important parameters as they provide information about the presence of dominance and over-dominance type of gene actions in the expression of various traits. According to Kiani et al. (2015) ${ }^{[16]}$ greater success should be expected when inbred lines are developed from populations which show substantial heterosis in crosses. The extent of heterotic response of the $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ hybrids largely depends on the breeding value and genetic diversity of the parents included in crosses, and on the environmental conditions under which the hybrids are grown (Hallauer and Miranda, 1988) ${ }^{[12]}$. In this present investigation percent relative heterosis (Hr) and heterobeltiosis $(\mathrm{Hb})$ were estimated and presented in table 2. Days to $50 \%$ tasselling, days to $50 \%$ silking, anthesis to silking interval and days to $75 \%$ dry husk are the traits related to maturity which should be earlier in order to overcome the heat stress. So, the low scoring parent was considered as better parent for estimation of heterobeltiosis for these traits. Earliness is also looked for multiple cropping and better land water use efficiency (Hundera et al., 2017) ${ }^{[14]}$. Out of forty five crosses, thirty two crosses exhibited significant relative heterosis and seventeen crosses possessed significant heterobeltiosis estimates in desirable direction for days to $50 \%$ tasseling. The range of relative heterosis was from - $12.33 \%$ (ZL155246 $\times$ ZL155828) to $1.87 \%$ (ZL155187 $\times$ ZL154230) and heterobeltiosis was from $-11.93 \%$ (ZL155246 $\times$ ZL155828) to $6.00 \%$ (ZL155110 $\times$ ZL155828). A perusal of estimates of relative heterosis of days to $50 \%$ silking indicated that thirty six crosses depicted significant negative value and the magnitude of relative heterosis varied from -11.61 \% (ZL155219 $\times$ ZL155828 and ZL155235 $\times$ ZL154230) to 0.47 \% (ZL155110 $\times$ ZL154230). Negative and significant heterobeltiosis for days to $50 \%$ silking was expressed by twenty seven crosses and the range of heterobeltiosis was from $-11.61 \%($ ZL155235 $\times$ ZL155230) to $4.85 \%$ (ZL155110 $\times$ ZL154230). The crosses viz., ZL155246 $\times$ ZL155828, ZL155219 $\times$ ZL155828 and ZL155235 $\times$ ZL154230, ZL155235 $\times$ ZL155828 showed highly significant negative relative heterosis as well as heterobeltiosis for days to $50 \%$ tasselling and days $50 \%$ silking. So, these cross combinations attained earlier reproductive phase in order to escape the heat stress than their mid parents and better parents. Similar findings were reported by Prasanna Kumar and Ratna Babu (2016) ${ }^{[20]}$; Patil et al. (2017) ${ }^{[19]}$. The estimates of relative heterosis of ASI indicated that eight crosses exhibited negative significant value with magnitude ranged from -77.78 \% (ZL155181 $\times$ CML451) to 42.86 \% (ZL155246 $\times$ ZL155828). Two crosses exhibited significant negative heterobeltiosis for ASI, ranged from -75.00 \% (ZL155181 $\times$ CML451 and ZL155181 $\times$ ZL154230) to 66.67 \% (ZL155246 $\times$ ZL155828). Two crosses viz., ZL155181 $\times$ CML451 and ZL155181 $\times$ ZL154230 exhibited highly significant negative heterobeltiosis for ASI accompanied with significant positive relative heterosis and heterobeltosis for plant height, ear height, cob length, cob diameter, number of grains per row, 100 grain weight and yield per plant. Negative relative heterosis and heterobeltosis for days to $50 \%$ tasseling, days to $50 \%$ silking and ASI were also reported by Umar et al. (2014) ${ }^{[30]}$ under drought stress suggesting negative high value
heterosis for ASI in order to tolerate drought stress. Thirty one crosses depicted negative significant relative heterosis and four crosses attained significant positive relative heterosis for days to $75 \%$ dry husk. The magnitude varied from -9.04 \% (ZL155122 $\times$ ZL155828) to $8.02 \%$ (ZL155187 $\times$ ZL154230). The estimates of significant negative heterobeltiosis were expressed by 17 crosses and 5 crosses showed significant positive value. The range of heterobeltiosis was from -6.17 \% (ZL155219 $\times$ ZL155828) to $8.70 \%($ ZL155187 $\times$ ZL154230). Heterosis in earliness over mid parent and better parent were also observed by Sharma et al. (2017) ${ }^{[23]}$; Jawaharlal et al. (2012) ${ }^{[15]}$ and Zeleke (2015) ${ }^{[32]}$.

All the crosses attributed significant positive relative heterosis and heterobeltiosis for plant height. The estimates of relative heterosis ranged from $23.34 \%$ (ZL155136 $\times$ CML451) to 92.47 \% (ZL155115 $\times$ ZL155828) while for heterobeltiosis from 6.70\% (ZL155235 $\times$ CML451) to $90.75 \%$ (ZL155115 $\times$ ZL155828). The cross ZL155115 $\times$ ZL155828 exhibited greater relative heterosis and heterobeltosis for plant height along with number of grain rows per cob, 100 seed weight and grain yield per plant. Similarly, Patil et al. (2017) ${ }^{[19]}$; Prasanna Kumar and Ratna Babu (2016) ${ }^{[20]}$ identified crosses with significant positive heterosis over mid parent and better patent for plant height. All the crosses exhibited significant positive relative heterosis for ear height which ranged from 28.94 \% (ZL155136 $\times$ ZL155828) to 111.33 \% (ZL155122 $\times$ CML451). Forty four crosses were observed to have significant positive heterobeltiosis for ear height that ranged from 0.98 \% (ZL155235 $\times$ ZL155828) to $95.80 \%$ (ZL155122 $\times$ CML451). Similar results for significant positive heterosis over mid parent and better parent for ear height were reported by Xiaocong et al. (2017) ${ }^{[31]}$ and Patil et al. (2017) ${ }^{[19]}$. However, Hundera et al. (2017) ${ }^{[14]}$ emphasized the role of negative heterosis for ear height in escaping drought.
All the $F_{1} s$ exhibited significant positive relative heterosis and heterobeltiosis for cob length and cob diameter. Ali et al. (2012) ${ }^{[2]}$, Rajitha (2013) ${ }^{[21]}$ and Brahmbhatt et al. (2018) ${ }^{[9]}$ also reported positive significant heterosis over better and mid parents for ear length. The range was from $17.14 \%$ (ZL155085 $\times$ CML451) to 79.52 \% (ZL155235 $\times$ ZL155828) for relative heterosis and was from $15.10 \%$ (ZL155085 $\times$ CML451) to $78.83 \%$ (ZL155235 $\times$ ZL155828) for heterobeltiosis for cob length. For cob diameter, magnitude of relative heterosis varied from $11.68 \%$ (ZL155246 $\times$ CML451) to $44.07 \%$ (ZL155181 $\times$ ZL155828). The maximum estimates of heterobeltiosis were expressed by the cross ZL155110 $\times$ ZL155828 ( $41.51 \%$ ) and minimum value was exhibited by the cross ZL155246 $\times$ ZL155828 ( $6.50 \%$ ). ZL155181 $\times$ ZL155828 exhibited highly significant positive relative heterosis along with heterobeltiosis for cob diameter, number of grain rows per cob, number of grains per row and yield per plant. The positive heterosis for ear circumference was also reported previously by Brahmbhatt et al. (2018) ${ }^{[9]}$
The estimates of relative heterosis and heterobeltiosis revealed that all the crosses depicted significant positive value for number of grain rows per cob, number of grains per row, 100 seed weight and yield per plant. In case of number of grain rows per cob, the range of relative heterosis varied from $8.06 \%($ ZL155201 $\times$ ZL154230) to $51.35 \%(Z L 155181 \times$ ZL155828) whereas maximum estimates of heterobeltiosis was exhibited by ZL155199 $\times$ ZL155828 (39.34 \%) and minimum by ZL155132 $\times$ CML451 (5.97 \%). Similarly Sundarajan and Senthil Kumar (2011); Brahmbhatt et al. (2018) ${ }^{[9]}$ reported positive heterosis for number of grain rows per cob. The range of relative heterosis and heterobeltiosis varied from $20.51 \%$ (ZL155136 $\times$ ZL154230) to $97.71 \%$ (ZL155201 $\times$ ZL155828) and from $10.89 \%$ (ZL155136 $\times$ ZL154230) to 86.22 \% (ZL155181× ZL155828) respectively
for number of grains per row. The range of relative heterosis and heterobeltiosis in 100 seed weight varied from $12.42 \%$ (ZL155219 $\times$ ZL154230) to $51.47 \% ~(Z L 155132 \times$ ZL155828) and from $8.86 \%$ (ZL155219 $\times$ ZL154230) to $36.76 \%$ (ZL155132× ZL155828) respectively. Sharma et al. (2017) ${ }^{[23]}$ observed range of 100 grain weight from $-24.25 \%$ to 48.74 \% over the better parent.

Grain yield is a complex character that is conditioned on plant and environment interaction starting from the day of planting to harvest. Furthermore, being quantitative character, it is controlled by many genes with individual contributing little additional effect on the total expression. Moreover, heterosis expressed by the hybrids mainly dependent on the genetic diversity of the parental genotypes used (Telebi, 2010). The magnitude of relative heterosis varied from 78.27 \% (ZL155069 $\times$ ZL154230) to 275.67 \% (ZL155181 $\times$ ZL155828) for yield per plant. Cross ZL155181 $\times$ ZL155828 also exhibited maximum heterobeltiosis ( $247.35 \%$ ) and minimum heterobeltiosis was attained by ZL155187 $\times$ ZL154230 (59.62 \%). High heterotic values for grain yield was also reported in maize by Aminu and Izge (2013) and Aminu et al. (2014) under drought stress. The crosses viz., ZL155115 × ZL155828, ZL155115 $\times$ CML 451, ZL155122 $\times$ ZL155828, ZL155132 $\times$ ZL155828, ZL155181 $\times$ ZL155828, ZL155181 $\times$ CML451, ZL155187 $\times$ ZL155828, ZL155201 $\times$ ZL155828 and ZL155247 $\times$ ZL155828 possessed highly
significant positive relative heterosis and heterobeltiosis for yield per plant and its attributing traits viz., plant height, ear height, cob length, cob diameter, number of grain rows per cob, number of grains per row and 100 seed weight. Similar results were also recorded by Patel et al. (2009) ${ }^{[18]}$, Abuali et al. (2017), Aminu et al. (2014) ${ }^{[4]}$, Patil et al. (2017) ${ }^{[19]}$, Hassan et al. (2019) for grain yield and its attributing traits. In the present study the results showed that, relative heterosis and heterobeltosis estimates for most of the hybrids had positive value for grain yield and its attributing descriptors which was also reported by Abuali et al. (2012); Patil et al. (2017) ${ }^{[19]}$ and Hassan et al. (2019) ${ }^{[13]}$.

## Conclusion

The majority of the crosses exhibited positive significant relative heterosis and heterobeltiosis for yield and yield related traits, thereby indicating that for these traits the genes with positive effect were dominant. While for maturity related traits, majority of the crosses exhibited negative significant relative heterosis and heterobeltiosis, thereby indicating that for these traits the genes with negative effect were dominant. However, large number of hybrids showed superiority over their parents for various traits indicating the existence of substantial heterosis in the hybrids. The desirable crosses may be tested in various agroclimatic zones or can be used to raise synthetic varieties.

Table 2.1: Per cent relative heterosis $(\mathrm{Hr})$ and heterobeltiosis $(\mathrm{Hb})$ for yield and yield attributing characters

| SI. No. | Character | DT |  | DS |  | ASI |  | DDH |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Crosses | Hr | Hb | Hr | Hb | Hr | Hb | Hr | Hb |
| 1 | ZL155069 $\times$ ZL155828 | -3.26* | -1.89 | $-2.70 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -0.92 | 14.29 ns | 33.33 | -4.29 ** | -3.70* |
| 2 | ZL155069 $\times$ ZL154230 | -5.61 ** | -4.72* | -5.88 ** | -4.59* | $-14.29 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | -3.38* | -2.48 |
| 3 | ZL155069 $\times$ CML 451 | -3.29* | -2.83 | -4.07 ** | -2.75 | $-25.00 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | -4.56 ** | -4.27** |
| 4 | ZL155085 $\times$ ZL155828 | $-1.41 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.96 | $-2.73 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | $-42.86 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -33.33 | -4.91** | -4.32** |
| 5 | ZL155085 $\times$ ZL154230 | 0.00 ns | 1.92 | -0.46 ns | 1.87 | -14.29 ns | 0.00 | 4.62 ** | 5.59** |
| 6 | ZL155085 $\times$ CML 451 | 0.47 ns | 1.92 | -0.46 ns | 1.87 | $-25.00 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | 3.34 * | 3.66* |
| 7 | ZL155110 $\times$ ZL155828 | 1.44 ns | 6.00** | -0.93 ns | 3.88* | -71.43 * | -66.67 | 0.31 ns | 1.91 |
| 8 | ZL155110 $\times$ ZL154230 | 0.96 ns | 5.00* | 0.47 ns | 4.85* | $-14.29 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | 0.63 ns | 1.91 |
| 9 | ZL155110 $\times$ CML 451 | -3.38* | 0.00 | -4.19 ** | 0.00 | $-25.00 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | 0.62 ns | 3.18* |
| 10 | ZL155115 $\times$ ZL155828 | -3.81* | 0.00 | -4.15 ** | 0.00 | $-14.29 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | $-1.24 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -0.63 |
| 11 | ZL155115 $\times$ ZL154230 | -5.26 ** | -1.98 | -7.41** | -3.85* | -71.43* | -66.67 | -4.05 ** | -3.75* |
| 12 | ZL155115 $\times$ CML 451 | -5.77 ** | -2.97 | -7.41 ** | -3.85* | $-50.00 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -33.33 | $-0.92 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.63 |
| 13 | ZL155122 $\times$ ZL155828 | -8.11 ** | -6.42** | -8.30 ** | -7.08** | $-14.29 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | -9.04 ** | -3.70* |
| 14 | ZL155122 $\times$ ZL154230 | -6.79** | -4.63* | -7.89 ** | -6.25** | -42.86 ns | -33.33 | -4.09 ** | 1.86 |
| 15 | ZL155122 $\times$ CML 451 | -3.64* | -0.93 | -5.26 ** | -3.57* | $-50.00 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -33.33 | -6.36 ** | -1.82 |
| 16 | ZL155132 $\times$ ZL155828 | -3.23* | -2.78 | -5.36 ** | -4.50* | -71.43* | -66.67 | -3.05* | -1.85 |
| 17 | ZL155132 $\times$ ZL154230 | 0.00 ns | 0.00 | -0.45 ns | 0.00 | $-14.29 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | 6.42 ** | 8.07** |
| 18 | ZL155132 $\times$ CML 451 | $-1.40 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -0.93 | -4.04 ** | -3.60* | -75.00 ** | -66.67 | $-2.11 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -1.82 |
| 19 | ZL155136 $\times$ ZL155828 | -6.73 ** | -2.02 | -7.41 ** | -2.91 | $-25.00 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -25.00 | $-2.19 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -0.64 |
| 20 | ZL155136 $\times$ ZL154230 | -7.25 ** | -3.03 | -7.91** | -3.88* | $-25.00 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -25.00 | -3.14* | -1.91 |
| 21 | ZL155136 $\times$ CML 451 | -3.88* | 0.00 | -4.19 ** | 0.00 | $-11.11 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | -4.97 ** | -2.55 |
| 22 | ZL155181 $\times$ ZL155828 | -5.16 ** | -2.88 | -5.88** | -3.70* | $-25.00 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -25.00 | -3.70 ** | -3.70* |
| 23 | ZL155181 $\times$ ZL154230 | -5.66 ** | -3.85* | -8.18** | -6.48** | -75.00 ** | -75.00* | -4.64** | -4.35** |
| 24 | ZL155181 $\times$ CML451 | -3.32* | -1.92 | -6.36 ** | -4.63* | -77.78** | -75.00* | $-2.14 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -1.23 |
| 25 | ZL155187 $\times$ ZL155828 | -3.26* | -1.89 | -4.46 ** | -3.60* | $-33.33 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -25.00 | $-2.15 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -1.85 |
| 26 | ZL155187 $\times$ ZL154230 | 1.87 ns | 2.83 | -0.45 ns | 0.00 | -55.56 * | -50.00 | 8.02 ** | 8.70** |
| 27 | ZL155187 $\times$ CML 451 | 1.41 ns | 1.89 | $-0.45 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | $-40.00 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -40.00 | $-1.22 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -0.61 |
| 28 | ZL155199 $\times$ ZL155828 | -10.50 ** | -10.09** | -10.62 ** | -10.62** | $-14.29 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | -7.19 ** | -4.32** |
| 29 | ZL155199 $\times$ ZL154230 | -6.42 ** | -5.56** | -6.67** | -6.25** | $-14.29 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | -4.50 ** | -1.24 |
| 30 | ZL155199 $\times$ CML 451 | -5.07 ** | -3.74* | -6.67** | -6.25** | $-50.00 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -33.33 | -3.86 ** | -1.82 |
| 31 | ZL155201 $\times$ ZL155828 | -4.63 ** | -3.74* | -4.93 ** | -3.64* | $-14.29 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | -3.93 ** | -1.85 |
| 32 | ZL155201 $\times$ ZL154230 | -6.98** | -6.54** | -8.11** | -7.27** | $-42.86 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -33.33 | -6.06 ** | -3.73* |
| 33 | ZL155201 $\times$ CML 451 | $-2.80 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -2.80 | -3.60* | -2.73 | $-25.00 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | -2.99* | -1.82 |
| 34 | ZL155219 $\times$ ZL155828 | -11.63 ** | -10.38** | -11.61** | -10.81** | $-11.11 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | -8.43 ** | -6.17** |
| 35 | ZL155219 $\times$ ZL154230 | -9.35 ** | -8.49** | -10.31 ** | -9.91** | -33.33 ns | -25.00 | -6.95 ** | -4.35** |


| 36 | ZL155219 $\times$ CML 451 | $-2.35 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -1.89 | -4.04 ** | -3.60* | $-40.00 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -40.00 | -5.07 ** | -3.64* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | ZL155235 $\times$ ZL155828 | -11.01 ** | -11.01** | -11.11** | -10.71** | $-14.29 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | -5.17 ** | -3.70* |
| 38 | ZL155235 $\times$ ZL154230 | -11.52** | -11.11** | -11.61** | -11.61** | $-14.29 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | -5.49 ** | -3.73* |
| 39 | ZL155235 $\times$ CML451 | -7.41** | -6.54** | -9.82 ** | -9.82** | -75.00 ** | -66.67 | -5.42 ** | -4.85** |
| 40 | ZL155246 $\times$ ZL155828 | -12.33 ** | -11.93** | -10.62 ** | -10.62** | 42.86 ns | 66.67 | -4.48** | -1.23 |
| 41 | ZL155246 $\times$ ZL154230 | -7.34 ** | -6.48** | -7.56 ** | -7.14** | $-14.29 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | -4.19 ** | -0.62 |
| 42 | ZL155246 $\times$ CML 451 | $-2.30 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -0.93 | -3.11* | -2.68 | $-25.00 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | -4.73 ** | -2.42 |
| 43 | ZL155247 $\times$ ZL155828 | -9.59 ** | -9.17** | -10.92** | -9.73** | $-40.00 \mathrm{~ns}$ | -25.00 | -5.95 ** | -2.47 |
| 44 | ZL155247 $\times$ ZL154230 | -2.75 ns | -1.85 | -3.51* | -1.79 | $-20.00 \mathrm{~ns}$ | 0.00 | -4.48** | -0.62 |
| 45 | ZL155247 $\times$ CML 451 | -3.23 * | -1.87 | -3.51* | -1.79 | -9.09 ns | 0.00 | -2.65* | 0.00 |
|  | Range | -12.33 to 1.87 | -11.93 to 6.00 | 1.61 to 0.47 | 11.61 to 4.85 | -77.78 to 42.86 | -75.00 to 66.67-9 | -9.04 to 8.02 | -6..17 to 8.70 |
|  | SE(d) | 0.848 | 0.980 | 0.852 | 0.984 | 0.532 | 0.615 | 1.074 | 1.240 |
|  | CD (0.05) | 1.680 | 1.940 | 1.686 | 1.947 | 1.054 | 1.217 | 2.126 | 2.455 |

Table 2.2: Per cent relative heterosis ( Hr ) and heterobeltiosis $(\mathrm{Hb})$ for yield and yield attributing characters

| SI. No. | Character | PH |  | EH |  | CL |  | CD |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Crosses | Hr | Hb | Hr | Hb | Hr | Hb | Hr | Hb |
| 1 | ZL155069 $\times$ ZL155828 | 54.91 ** | 33.62 ** | 30.73 ** | 5.55 * | 39.95 ** | 26.08 ** | 28.04 ** | 18.34 ** |
| 2 | ZL155069 $\times$ ZL154230 | 49.93 ** | 26.24 ** | 40.61 ** | 4.35 ns | 20.09 ** | 19.45 ** | 27.14 ** | 18.76 ** |
| 3 | ZL155069 $\times$ CML 451 | 38.72 ** | 38.51 ** | 54.03 ** | 14.54 ** | 19.75 ** | 18.50 ** | 27.81 ** | 25.48 ** |
| 4 | ZL155085 $\times$ ZL155828 | 78.05 ** | 67.39 ** | 82.63 ** | 76.02 ** | 45.15 ** | 34.15 ** | 42.19 ** | 37.60 ** |
| 5 | ZL155085 $\times$ ZL154230 | 60.35 ** | 46.75 ** | 94.25 ** | 68.10 ** | 24.65 ** | 20.60 ** | 30.06 ** | 27.26 ** |
| 6 | ZL155085 $\times$ CML 451 | 41.49 ** | 29.21 ** | 99.74 ** | 73.30 ** | 17.14 ** | 15.10 ** | 19.70 ** | 16.19 ** |
| 7 | ZL155110 $\times$ ZL155828 | 67.93 ** | 61.46 ** | 76.89 ** | 76.46 ** | 48.49 ** | 45.20 ** | 42.43 ** | 41.51 ** |
| 8 | ZL155110 $\times$ ZL154230 | 64.18 ** | 53.56 ** | 75.78 ** | 56.80 ** | 25.94 ** | 15.24 ** | 30.84 ** | 30.21 ** |
| 9 | ZL155110 $\times$ CML 451 | 38.50 ** | 23.83 ** | 80.46 ** | 61.41 ** | 42.53 ** | 32.33 ** | 32.73 ** | 25.58 ** |
| 10 | ZL155115 $\times$ ZL155828 | 92.47 ** | 90.75 ** | 61.67 ** | 47.39 ** | 45.35 ** | 42.55 ** | 33.52 ** | 27.01 ** |
| 11 | ZL155115 $\times$ ZL154230 | 77.40 ** | 70.87 ** | 69.55 ** | 39.76 ** | 37.19 ** | 25.19 ** | 19.41 ** | 14.83 ** |
| 12 | ZL155115 $\times$ CML 451 | 56.96 ** | 36.60 ** | 78.13 ** | 47.19 ** | 30.29 ** | 20.63 ** | 32.35 ** | 30.75 ** |
| 13 | ZL155122 $\times$ ZL155828 | 69.27 ** | 57.16 ** | 98.23 ** | 91.22 ** | 60.58 ** | 56.61 ** | 37.00 ** | 28.88 ** |
| 14 | ZL155122 $\times$ ZL154230 | 69.36 ** | 53.12 ** | 91.76 ** | 77.17 ** | 36.98 ** | 25.65 ** | 33.27 ** | 26.72 ** |
| 15 | ZL155122 $\times$ CML 451 | 47.09 ** | 35.98 ** | 111.33 ** | 95.80 ** | 51.08 ** | 40.63 ** | 29.51 ** | 29.46 ** |
| 16 | ZL155132 $\times$ ZL155828 | 41.75 ** | 25.50 ** | 68.24 ** | 47.87 ** | 56.55 ** | 48.34 ** | 35.13 ** | 30.54 ** |
| 17 | ZL155132 $\times$ ZL154230 | 45.91 ** | 25.99 ** | 87.73 ** | 49.91 ** | 33.55 ** | 25.96 ** | 23.47 ** | 20.60 ** |
| 18 | ZL155132 $\times$ CML 451 | 42.99 ** | 38.98 ** | 79.91 ** | 43.99 ** | 40.80 ** | 34.82 ** | 22.50 ** | 19.12 ** |
| 19 | ZL155136 $\times$ ZL155828 | 43.77 ** | 33.49 ** | 28.94 ** | 28.78 ** | 54.56 ** | 39.13 ** | 25.57 ** | 21.20 ** |
| 20 | ZL155136 $\times$ ZL154230 | 44.39 ** | 30.55 ** | 63.93 ** | 46.70 ** | 33.28 ** | 32.70 ** | 28.30 ** | 25.20 ** |
| 21 | ZL155136 $\times$ CML 451 | 23.34 ** | 14.02 ** | 60.49 ** | 44.01 ** | 25.65 ** | 24.22 ** | 25.84 ** | 22.48 ** |
| 22 | ZL155181 $\times$ ZL155828 | 75.76 ** | 62.23 ** | 58.64 ** | 44.49 ** | 57.37 ** | 57.34 ** | 44.07 ** | 41.26 ** |
| 23 | ZL155181 $\times$ ZL154230 | 51.64 ** | 36.32 ** | 72.75 ** | 42.28 ** | 29.75 ** | 16.31 ** | 30.71 ** | 29.61 ** |
| 24 | ZL155181 $\times$ CML451 | 47.24 ** | 36.92 ** | 72.82 ** | 42.69 ** | 39.19 ** | 26.56 ** | 31.78 ** | 26.27 ** |
| 25 | ZL155187 $\times$ ZL155828 | 64.35 ** | 45.66 ** | 72.04 ** | 53.85 ** | 67.17 ** | 59.27 ** | 31.67 ** | 27.22 ** |
| 26 | ZL155187 $\times$ ZL154230 | 55.89 ** | 34.74 ** | 66.07 ** | 34.62 ** | 41.00 ** | 21.13 ** | 19.02 ** | 16.28 ** |
| 27 | ZL155187 $\times$ CML 451 | 41.84 ** | 37.69 ** | 79.41 ** | 45.77 ** | 42.17 ** | 23.79 ** | 25.18 ** | 21.71 ** |
| 28 | ZL155199 $\times$ ZL155828 | 56.65 ** | 50.54 ** | 73.22 ** | 71.36 ** | 64.86 ** | 61.20 ** | 38.65 ** | 37.95 ** |
| 29 | ZL155199 $\times$ ZL154230 | 53.22 ** | 43.24 ** | 82.48 ** | 61.58 ** | 39.37 ** | 22.51 ** | 30.93 ** | 30.11 ** |
| 30 | ZL155199 $\times$ CML 451 | 33.83 ** | 19.70 ** | 88.17 ** | 67.06 ** | 44.21 ** | 28.54 ** | 23.88 ** | 17.05 ** |
| 31 | ZL155201 $\times$ ZL155828 | 73.07 ** | 52.79 ** | 68.60 ** | 48.42 ** | 49.21 ** | 36.54 ** | 29.04 ** | 22.64 ** |
| 32 | ZL155201 $\times$ ZL154230 | 65.83 ** | 42.79 ** | 83.53 ** | 46.75 ** | 27.64 ** | 24.81 ** | 16.00 ** | 11.45 ** |
| 33 | ZL155201 $\times$ CML 451 | 42.89 ** | 39.33 ** | 77.78 ** | 42.49 ** | 27.66 ** | 26.80 ** | 22.56 ** | 21.19 ** |
| 34 | ZL155219 $\times$ ZL155828 | 27.56 ** | 21.67 ** | 46.62 ** | 40.40 ** | 53.25 ** | 42.94 ** | 40.18 ** | 37.57 ** |
| 35 | ZL155219 $\times$ ZL154230 | 42.30 ** | 32.07 ** | 56.42 ** | 34.60 ** | 33.20 ** | 27.64 ** | 31.12 ** | 30.13 ** |
| 36 | ZL155219 $\times$ CML 451 | 35.58 ** | 22.12 ** | 65.07 ** | 42.41 ** | 45.33 ** | 41.42 ** | 23.62 ** | 18.35 ** |
| 37 | ZL155235 $\times$ ZL155828 | 56.91 ** | 48.93 ** | 87.23 ** | 0.98 ** | 79.52 ** | 78.83 ** | 32.14 ** | 27.26 ** |
| 38 | ZL155235 $\times$ ZL154230 | 56.63 ** | 52.89 ** | 63.43 ** | 56.35 ** | 45.53 ** | 30.93 ** | 26.60 ** | 23.28 ** |
| 39 | ZL155235 $\times$ CML451 | 29.06 ** | 6.70 ** | 103.87 ** | 94.46 ** | 48.55 ** | 35.57 ** | 18.32 ** | 15.42 ** |
| 40 | ZL155246 $\times$ ZL155828 | 51.45 ** | 31.42 ** | 41.91 ** | 18.18 ** | 37.81 ** | 27.65 ** | 17.66 ** | 6.50 * |
| 41 | ZL155246 $\times$ ZL154230 | 54.07 ** | 30.47 ** | 53.99 ** | 17.37 ** | 23.36 ** | 19.07 ** | 17.16 ** | 7.13 ** |
| 42 | ZL155246 $\times$ CML 451 | 29.44 ** | 28.74 ** | 50.90 ** | 15.26 ** | 33.79 ** | 31.15 ** | 11.68 ** | 7.21 ** |
| 43 | ZL155247 $\times$ ZL155828 | 78.23 ** | 59.71 ** | 42.26 ** | 16.00 ** | 58.21 ** | 50.30 ** | 40.49 ** | 38.26 ** |
| 44 | ZL155247 $\times$ ZL154230 | 78.06 ** | 55.56 ** | 61.15 ** | 20.62 ** | 43.83 ** | 35.30 ** | 32.35 ** | 31.72 ** |
| 45 | ZL155247 $\times$ CML 451 | 48.11 ** | 42.06 ** | 62.67 ** | 22.00 ** | 40.31 ** | 33.99 ** | 28.19 ** | 22.39 ** |
|  | Range | 23.34 to 92.476 | 6.70 to 90.75 | 28.94 to $111.23 \mid 0$ | 0.98 to 95.80 | 17.14 to 79.52 | 15.10 to 78.83 | 11.68 to 44.07 | 6.50 to 41.51 |
|  | SE(d) | 2.773 | 3.202 | 1.571 | 1.814 | 0.388 | 0.448 | 0.285 | 0.329 |
|  | CD (0.05) | 5.490 | 6.339 | 3.110 | 3.591 | 0.767 | 0.886 | 0.564 | 0.652 |

Table 2.3: Per cent relative heterosis $(\mathrm{Hr})$ and heterobeltiosis $(\mathrm{Hb})$ for yield and yield attributing characters

| Sl. No. | Character | RC |  | GR |  | SW |  | YP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Crosses | Hr | Hb | Hr | Hb | Hr | Hb | Hr | Hb |
| 1 | ZL155069 $\times$ ZL155828 | 16.41 ** | 11.19 ** | 44.42 ** | 22.94 ** | 30.28 ** | 23.42 ** | 122.71 ** | 75.16 ** |
| 2 | ZL155069 $\times$ ZL154230 | 10.85 ** | 6.72 * | 23.88 ** | 19.00 ** | 20.52 ** | 18.23 ** | 78.27 ** | 68.04 ** |
| 3 | ZL155069 $\times$ CML 451 | 20.90 ** | 20.90 ** | 39.73 ** | 27.96 ** | 27.53 ** | 22.50 ** | 102.89 ** | 79.11 ** |
| 4 | ZL155085 $\times$ ZL155828 | 28.57 ** | 24.62 ** | 65.56 ** | 45.91 ** | 22.16 ** | 13.00 ** | 136.55 ** | 90.31 ** |
| 5 | ZL155085 $\times$ ZL154230 | 11.81 ** | 9.23 ** | 31.52 ** | 31.52 ** | 14.97 ** | 14.25 ** | 85.87 ** | 80.38 ** |
| 6 | ZL155085 $\times$ CML 451 | 13.64 ** | 11.94 ** | 48.47 ** | 41.25 ** | 18.13 ** | 10.75 ** | 102.17 ** | 83.34 ** |
| 7 | ZL155110 $\times$ ZL155828 | 27.97 ** | 25.69 ** | 57.79 ** | 44.00 ** | 29.08 ** | 24.66 ** | 161.69 ** | 127.86 ** |
| 8 | ZL155110 $\times$ ZL154230 | 13.37 ** | 12.25 ** | 27.81 ** | 22.96 ** | 22.37 ** | 17.72 ** | 85.09 ** | 73.34 ** |
| 9 | ZL155110 $\times$ CML 451 | 10.56 ** | 7.46 * | 31.63 ** | 30.11 ** | 32.59 ** | 29.86 ** | 103.29 ** | 102.33 ** |
| 10 | ZL155115 $\times$ ZL155828 | 30.68 ** | 27.13 ** | 65.90 ** | 51.26 ** | 36.35 ** | 35.36 ** | 194.30 ** | 160.29 ** |
| 11 | ZL155115 $\times$ ZL154230 | 12.25 ** | 10.08 ** | 29.29 ** | 24.51 ** | 21.62 ** | 13.92 ** | 123.32 ** | 105.67 ** |
| 12 | ZL155115 $\times$ CML 451 | 23.19 ** | 20.90 ** | 60.43 ** | 58.40 ** | 33.81 ** | 32.86 ** | 156.29 ** | 152.90 ** |
| 13 | ZL155122 $\times$ ZL155828 | 29.03 ** | 26.98 ** | 80.30 ** | 74.29 ** | 40.00 ** | 35.88 ** | 225.54 ** | 218.94 ** |
| 14 | ZL155122 $\times$ ZL154230 | 24.80 ** | 23.81 ** | 49.04 ** | 35.41 ** | 22.24 ** | 10.63 ** | 136.80 ** | 98.28 ** |
| 15 | ZL155122 $\times$ CML 451 | 27.69 ** | 23.88 ** | 67.42 ** | 59.48 ** | 36.42 ** | 30.57 ** | 172.91 ** | 142.91 ** |
| 16 | ZL155132 $\times$ ZL155828 | 27.38 ** | 24.59 ** | 60.69 ** | 44.73 ** | 51.47 ** | 36.76 ** | 213.86 ** | 207.59 ** |
| 17 | ZL155132 $\times$ ZL154230 | 14.69 ** | 11.29 ** | 35.57 ** | 32.30 ** | 33.63 ** | 13.16 ** | 110.66 ** | 70.79 ** |
| 18 | ZL155132 $\times$ CML 451 | 13.31 ** | 5.97 * | 59.67 ** | 55.56 ** | 46.79 ** | 30.86 ** | 143.39 ** | 109.14 ** |
| 19 | ZL155136 $\times$ ZL155828 | 33.87 ** | 31.75 ** | 53.88 ** | 46.76 ** | 26.81 ** | 25.88 ** | 160.97 ** | 146.98 ** |
| 20 | ZL155136 $\times$ ZL154230 | 23.20 ** | 22.22 ** | 20.51 ** | 10.89 * | 21.64 ** | 12.41 ** | 89.63 ** | 63.55 ** |
| 21 | ZL155136 $\times$ CML 451 | 30.77 ** | 26.87 ** | 33.93 ** | 29.31 ** | 27.59 ** | 24.86 ** | 134.67 ** | 115.70 ** |
| 22 | ZL155181 $\times$ ZL155828 | 51.35 ** | 37.70 ** | 90.85 ** | 86.22 ** | 30.14 ** | 24.86 ** | 275.67 ** | 247.35 ** |
| 23 | ZL155181 $\times$ ZL154230 | 30.36 ** | 17.74 ** | 54.23 ** | 33.07 ** | 20.26 ** | 16.46 ** | 156.30 ** | 98.59 ** |
| 24 | ZL155181 $\times$ CML451 | 24.79 ** | 8.96 ** | 70.13 ** | 53.45 ** | 27.78 ** | 24.32 ** | 214.54 ** | 157.24 ** |
| 25 | ZL155187 $\times$ ZL155828 | 30.00 ** | 27.87 ** | 85.07 ** | 77.04 ** | 29.41 ** | 29.41 ** | 227.33 ** | 208.08 ** |
| 26 | ZL155187 $\times$ ZL154230 | 11.57 ** | 8.87 ** | 49.08 ** | 26.46 ** | 21.09 ** | 12.66 ** | 103.18 ** | 59.62 ** |
| 27 | ZL155187 $\times$ CML 451 | 19.05 ** | 11.94 ** | 61.56 ** | 43.10 ** | 30.43 ** | 28.57 ** | 159.29 ** | 115.27 ** |
| 28 | ZL155199 $\times$ ZL155828 | 40.50 ** | 39.34 ** | 65.38 ** | 61.73 ** | 40.00 ** | 29.71 ** | 220.22 ** | 188.35 ** |
| 29 | ZL155199 $\times$ ZL154230 | 31.15 ** | 29.03 ** | 39.08 ** | 20.23 ** | 28.76 ** | 11.65 ** | 111.53 ** | 60.60 ** |
| 30 | ZL155199 $\times$ CML 451 | 27.56 ** | 20.90 ** | 54.81 ** | 39.91 ** | 38.75 ** | 26.86 ** | 170.14 ** | 116.05 ** |
| 31 | ZL155201 $\times$ ZL155828 | 15.45 ** | 14.52 ** | 97.71 ** | 76.53 ** | 30.47 ** | 23.30 ** | 218.95 ** | 202.41 ** |
| 32 | ZL155201 $\times$ ZL154230 | 8.06 ** | 8.06 * | 51.82 ** | 21.40 ** | 18.66 ** | 16.71 ** | 135.80 ** | 86.31 ** |
| 33 | ZL155201 $\times$ CML 451 | 14.73 ** | 10.45 ** | 68.39 ** | 40.09 ** | 25.68 ** | 20.42 ** | 166.63 ** | 122.75 ** |
| 34 | ZL155219 $\times$ ZL155828 | 32.77 ** | 29.51 ** | 44.59 ** | 29.44 ** | 23.94 ** | 18.92 ** | 141.64 ** | 113.21 ** |
| 35 | ZL155219 $\times$ ZL154230 | 29.17 ** | 25.00 ** | 22.77 ** | 20.62 ** | 12.42 ** | 8.86 ** | 90.51 ** | 75.89 ** |
| 36 | ZL155219 $\times$ CML 451 | 21.60 ** | 13.43 ** | 32.08 ** | 27.82 ** | 25.00 ** | 21.62 ** | 105.25 ** | 103.08 ** |
| 37 | ZL155235 $\times$ ZL155828 | 42.49 ** | 37.77 ** | 66.75 ** | 63.78 ** | 32.46 ** | 30.57 ** | 183.83 ** | 175.55 ** |
| 38 | ZL155235 $\times$ ZL154230 | 36.66 ** | 33.18 ** | 38.12 ** | 19.84 ** | 20.54 ** | 13.67 ** | 115.89 ** | 82.15 ** |
| 39 | ZL155235 $\times$ CML451 | 36.03 ** | 34.33 ** | 52.97 ** | 38.79 ** | 25.71 ** | 25.71 ** | 146.80 ** | 121.48 ** |
| 40 | ZL155246 $\times$ ZL155828 | 23.08 ** | 15.94 ** | 59.63 ** | 46.38 ** | 27.40 ** | 19.23 ** | 146.29 ** | 102.38 ** |
| 41 | ZL155246 $\times$ ZL154230 | 14.50 ** | 8.70 ** | 40.24 ** | 34.24 ** | 18.47 ** | 17.72 ** | 84.60 ** | 84.05 ** |
| 42 | ZL155246 $\times$ CML 451 | 14.34 ** | 12.68 ** | 55.89 ** | 54.89 ** | 23.24 ** | 16.92 ** | 108.93 ** | 94.27 ** |
| 43 | ZL155247 $\times$ ZL155828 | 46.79 ** | 31.15 ** | 69.88 ** | 57.64 ** | 35.06 ** | 32.02 ** | 240.55 ** | 233.75 ** |
| 44 | ZL155247 $\times$ ZL154230 | 48.18 ** | 31.45 ** | 54.73 ** | 46.30 ** | 23.04 ** | 16.96 ** | 141.33 ** | 95.65 ** |
| 45 | ZL155247 $\times$ CML 451 | 40.00 ** | 20.15 ** | 51.84 ** | 50.86 ** | 32.86 ** | 31.74 ** | 176.70 ** | 137.77 ** |
|  | Range | 8.06 to 51.35 | 5.97 to 39.34 | 20.51 to 97.71 | 10.89 to 86.22 | 12.42 to 51.478 | 8.86 to 36.76 | 78.27 to 275.67 | 59.62 to 247.35 |
|  | SE(d) | 0.346 | 0.400 | 0.963 | 1.112 | 0.357 | 0.413 | 3.410 | 3.938 |
|  | CD (0.05) | 0.685 | 0.792 | 1.906 | 2.201 | 0.707 | 0.817 | 6.753 | 7.797 |
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