



E-ISSN: 2278-4136

P-ISSN: 2349-8234

www.phytojournal.com

JPP 2020; 9(4): 2061-2064

Received: 20-05-2020

Accepted: 22-06-2020

Patel Radhikaben Narendrabhai
Department of Genetics and
Plant Breeding, N. M. College of
Agriculture, Navsari
Agricultural University, Navsari,
Gujarat, India

Madhu Bala
Department of Genetics and
Plant Breeding, N. M. College of
Agriculture, Navsari
Agricultural University, Navsari,
Gujarat, India

Genetic variability study for yield and its components in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]

Patel Radhikaben Narendrabhai and Madhu Bala

Abstract

Black gram is an important Indian pulse crop. The analysis of variance revealed significant differences between genotypes indicating presence of sufficient amount of variability in all the thirteen studied characters. The studied materials revealed wide range of variation by virtue of exhibiting highly significant genotypic differences for all the thirteen traits *viz.*, days to 50 % flowering, plant height, branches per plant, clusters per plant, pods per plant, seeds per pod, pod length, 100-seed weight, seed yield per plant, days to maturity, straw yield, harvest index, and protein (%). The disease incidence (%) was calculated for YMV incidence. This suggests that there is ample scope to develop seed yielding genotypes with better processing traits. Heritability estimates along with genetic advance are more useful than heritability alone in predicting the resultant effect on selecting best individuals. In present investigation, high heritability with high genetic advance as per cent of mean was recorded for branches per plant, clusters per plant, plant height, seed yield per plant, harvest index and straw yield which indicates the predominance of additive gene action along with lesser influence of environment, thus infers high scope of further improvement through selection for these traits.

Keywords: Sunnhemp, green manuring, variability

Introduction

Black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper], popularly known as urdbean, urid or mash is an important self-pollinating diploid grain legume and belongs to the family Fabaceae. The somatic chromosome number is $2n = 22$. The plant has tap root system, provided with nodules. Stem diffusely branched from the base, furrowed with long dense hairs. Leaves are trifoliolate, ovate with large petiole, stipules narrow. Inflorescence is an axillary raceme. Flowers are cleistogamous, bisexual, papilionaceous, small, pale yellow, born in clusters of 4-5 on a short hairy peduncles, diadelphous stamens, monocarpellary, unilocular and superior ovary. Pollination takes place before the opening of the flower bud. Pods are short, brown to black in colour and with short hooked beak, containing 5-6 seeds. Seeds are usually black; hilum is white and concave, seedling with epigeal germination.

It is grown mainly in rainy and/or summer seasons. The total area under black gram was 54.39 lakh hectares with 35.62 lakh tonnes of production in India (Anonymous, 2018) [2]. Total black gram occupied an area of 1.37 lakh hectares with 0.98 lakh tonnes production in the state Gujarat (Anonymous, 2018) [2].

The cultivated black gram has low genetic variability and low harvest index and the productivity of black gram has not increased significantly in the last decade (Souframani and Gopalakrishna, 2006) [27]. The productivity of black gram in Gujarat as well as in India is very low due to various constraints like non-availability of quality seed of high yielding variety, seeds germinate in mature pod itself if there is rains at maturity time of crop and the crop is highly sensitive to high intensity rains etc., all such factors cause heavy losses in terms of yield. Thus, the crop requires due attention to increase its production and productivity.

Moreover Yellow Mosaic Disease (YMD) is a significant biotic stress causing profound yield loss in black gram. Yield losses caused by the disease depend upon the stage at which crop is infected and may vary from 10-100%. YMD intensity of 25% and above influences pod formation and yield in urdbean (Gurha *et al.* 1982) [9].

The knowledge of nature and magnitude of genetic variability for characters of economic importance and cause and effects relationship of yield and yield components for the available genotypes are of utmost importance which helps in planning the future breeding programme for genetic improvement for yield potential of any crop species. The estimates of heritability alone will not be of much value for selection on phenotypic performance. Genotypic

Corresponding Author:

Madhu Bala
Department of Genetics and
Plant Breeding, N. M. College of
Agriculture, Navsari
Agricultural University, Navsari,
Gujarat, India

coefficient of variation (GCV) along with heritability estimates would provide a better picture of the genetic gain to be expected by phenotypic selection. Hence, it is suggested that genetic gain should be considered in conjunction with heritability.

Heritability along with genetic advance are normally more helpful in predicting the gain under selection than heritability estimates alone. The estimates of heritability help the plant breeder in selection of elite genotypes from genetically diverse populations. Genetic advance refers to the improvement in the mean genotypic value of selected individuals over the parental population and it helps in understanding the type of gene action involved in the expression of various polygenic characters. It also helps in deciding a breeding procedure for the genetic improvement of various polygenic traits by determining the gene action.

Materials and methods

The present investigation was carried out using fifty genotypes of black gram. The fifty genotypes were sown in randomized block design at the College Farm, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari during late kharif 2018. A spacing of 45 cm between rows and 10 cm between plants within the row was maintained. Data was collected from five randomly selected plants tagged from each accession. Analysis of variance was carried out as per standard procedure (Panse and Sukhatme, 1985) [23]. Genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) and phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) (Burton, 1952) [7],

heritability (Allard, 1960) [1], genetic advance (Johnson *et al.*, 1955) [10], were estimated.

Result and discussion

The present experimental material showed a wide range of variation by virtue of exhibiting highly significant genotypic differences for all the studied thirteen traits *viz.*, days to 50 % flowering, plant height, branches per plant, clusters per plant, pods per plant, seeds per pod, pod length, 100-seed weight, seed yield per plant, straw yield, harvest index and protein (%) indicating a considerable amount of genetic variability among the genotypes evaluated. This suggests that there is ample scope to identify high seed yielding genotypes. Wide variability has also been reported by Konda *et al.* (2009) [13], Neelavathi and Govindarasu (2010) [19], Reni *et al.* (2013) [25], Kumar *et al.* (2014a) [15], Kumar *et al.* (2014b) [16], Mandal and Majumder (2014) [18], Panigrahi and Baisakh (2014b) [22], Punia *et al.* (2014) [24], Kumar *et al.* (2015) [14], Gowsalya *et al.* (2016) [8], Jyothsna *et al.* (2016) [11], Bishnoi *et al.* (2017) [6], Kondagari *et al.* (2017) [12], Mahesha and Gabriel (2017) [17], Panda *et al.* (2017) [20], Rolaniya *et al.* (2017) [26], Bana and Devi (2018) [3] and Bandi *et al.* (2018) [4]. The mean, range, genotypic (GCV) and phenotypic (PCV) coefficients of variation, heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean for all the characters are presented in Table 1. All the studied genotypes showed highly significant mean sum of squares indicating that there is generous amount of diversity present among the considered genotypes. Hence, the genotypes can be used for further improvement.

Table 1: Mean, range, variability parameter, heritability and genetic advance for different traits in black gram

Parameters	Mean	Range	σ^2_p	σ^2_g	σ^2_e	GCV%	PCV%	Heritability (%)	Genetic Advance (% Mean)
Days to 50 % flowering	49.03	43.67-66.00	15.18	13.55	1.63	7.51	7.95	89	14.61
Plant height (cm)	45.73	31.20-79.73	117.66	115.77	1.89	23.53	23.72	98	48.08
Branches per plant	2.86	1.40-3.93	0.36	0.27	0.09	18.18	20.85	76	32.65
Clusters per plant	3.84	1.33-6.77	1.27	1.13	0.14	27.71	29.34	89	53.92
Pods per plant	18.73	5.73-30.67	30.40	27.26	3.13	27.88	29.43	89	54.38
Seeds per pod	6.09	3.67-7.20	0.47	0.21	0.26	7.56	11.22	45	10.48
Pod length (cm)	3.88	3.07-4.63	0.11	0.05	0.06	5.98	8.73	47	8.44
100- seed weight (g)	4.27	3.33-5.07	0.13	0.11	0.02	7.79	8.46	84	14.78
Seed yield per plant (g)	4.03	1.37-6.15	1.32	1.22	0.10	27.37	28.49	92	54.15
Days to maturity	80.31	72.00-95.67	27.09	24.80	2.29	6.20	6.48	92	12.22
Straw yield (g)	7.76	3.39-14.60	7.23	7.15	0.08	34.46	34.65	99	70.59
Harvest index (%)	34.76	25.37-50.29	39.39	35.27	4.12	17.09	18.05	90	33.31
Protein (%)	24.60	19.24-28.50	5.82	5.74	0.07	9.74	9.81	99	19.94

Heritability estimates along with genetic advance are more useful than heritability alone in predicting the resultant effect on selecting best individuals. In present investigation, high heritability coupled with high genetic advance as per cent of mean was recorded for plant height, branches per plant, clusters per plant, pods per plant, seed yield per plant, straw yield and harvest index indicated that these characters were governed by additive gene action. Hence, there are good chances of improvement of these traits through direct phenotypic selection in the present materials. The results are in conformation of results recorded by Konda *et al.* (2009) [13] and Gowsalya *et al.* (2016) [8] for plant height. Neelavathi and Govindarasu (2010) [19] for branches per plant, clusters per plant, pods per plant, seed yield per plant and harvest index. Reni *et al.* (2013) [25] for plant height, pods per plant and seed yield per plant. Kumar *et al.* (2014b) [16] for plant height, branches per plant, clusters per plant, pods per plant, seed yield per plant and harvest index. Panigrahi and Baisakh (2014b) [22] for plant height, branches per plant, clusters per plant and pods per plant. It indicates the

predominance of additive gene action and scope of further improvement through phenotypic selection.

Conclusion

In conclusion the analysis of variance revealed significant differences between genotypes indicating presence of sufficient amount of variability in all the studied characters. This suggests that there is ample scope to develop seed yielding genotypes with better processing traits. The value of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was recorded higher and closer to the respective genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for majority of traits under study indicates less influence of environment. The higher magnitude of genotypic coefficient of variation was observed for plant height, clusters per plant, 100-seed weight, seed yield per plant and straw yield indicated the inherent connection between genotypic and phenotypic expression of these traits, hence offers a better opportunity for improvement through selection. The high estimates of heritability coupled with high genetic advance

expressed as percentage of mean was observed for branches per plant, clusters per plant, plant height, seed yield per plant, harvest index and straw yield which indicates the predominance of additive gene action along with lesser influence of environment, thus infers high scope of further improvement through selection for these traits.

Application of research: This research is very important as it provides basic information regarding the selection of genotypes for further breeding programme.

Research Category: Basic research of Genetics and Plant Breeding.

Acknowledgement / Funding: I am thankful to Navsari Agricultural University for providing the basic facilities and funding for carrying out the research. This research is a part of M.Sc. Thesis titled "Genetic diversity analysis for yield and its components in Black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]"

Author Contributions: All author equally contributed to the research.

Author statement: All authors read, reviewed, agree and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interest: There is no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval: This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Author agree to submit ethical clearance certificate from the concerned ethical committee or institutional biosafety committee, if the project involves field trails / experiments / exchange of specimens, human & animal materials etc.

References

- Allard RW. Principle of Plant Breeding. John Willey and Sons. New York, 1960, 89-93.
- Anonymous. Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare. Annual Report, 2018-19. Directorate of Pluses Development, Vidhyachal Bhavan, 2018, 43p.
- Bana AK, Devi B. Genetic diversity for yield and yield related traits in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. International Journal of Agriculture Science. 2018; 10(9):5940-5942.
- Bandi HR, Rao KN, Vamsi KK, Srinivasulu K. Variability, heritability and genetic advance for quantitative characters in rice fallow black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018; 7(2):171-176.
- Bakshi A, Ghoshdastidar KK. Studies on genetic variability in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. Indian Agric. 2004; 48(3):149-152.
- Bishnoi A, Gupta P, Meghawal DR, Lal GM. Evaluation of genetic variability and heritability in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper] genotypes. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017; 6(4):493-496.
- Burton GW. Qualitative inheritance in grasses. Vol-1. In Proceedings of the 6th International Grassland Congress, Pennsylvania State College, 1952, 17-23.
- Gowsalya P, Kumaresan D, Packiaraj D, KannanBapu JR. Genetic variability and character association for biometrical traits in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2016; 7(2):317-324.
- Gurha SN, Mishra DP, Kamthan KP. Studies on some aspects of yellow mosaic disease of black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. Madras Agricultural Journal. 1982; 69:435-438.
- Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Comstock RE. Genotypic correlations in soybean and their implications in selection. Agronomy Journal. 1955; 47:477-483.
- Jyothsna S, Patro TSSK, Ashok S, Sandhya Rani Y, Neeraja B. Character association and path analysis of seed yield and its yield components in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Sciences. 2016; 8(1):12-16.
- Kondagari H, Lal SS, Lal MG. Study on genetic variability and correlation in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017; 6(4):674-676.
- Konda CR, Salimath PM, Mishra MN. Genetic variability studies for productivity and its components in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. Legume Research. 2009; 32(1):59-61.
- Kumar GV, Vanaja M, Lakshmi NJ, Maheshwari M. Studies of variability, heritability and genetic advance for quantitative traits in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. Agriculture Research Journal. 2015; 52(4):28-31.
- Kumar YL, Anuradha CH, Reddy SS, Subba KS. Genetic divergence and variability studies in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2014a; 5(6):1299-1303.
- Kumar S, Singh P, Kumar R, Singh R. Evaluation of genetic divergence and heritability in urdbean [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. Legume Research. 2014b; 37(5):473-478.
- Mahesha HS, Gabriel M. Studies on genetic diversity in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper] germplasm. International Journal of Advanced Biological Research. 2017; 7(3):426-434.
- Mandal AB, Majumder ND. Genetic divergence, heritability and genetic advance in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. Journal of the Andaman science association. 2014; 19(1):9-13.
- Neelavathi S, Govindarasu R. Analysis of variability and diversity in rice fallow black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. Legume Research. 2010; 33(3):206-210.
- Panda DP, Lenka D, Dash AP, Tripathy SK, Behera C, Baisakh B. Genetic variability and heritability studies in relation to seed yield and its components traits in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. Trends in Biosciences. 2017; 10(6):1412-1414.
- Panigrahi KK, Baisakh B. Variability and association studies in mutants and landraces of black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper] of Odisha. Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2014a; 5(4):817-821.
- Panigrahi KK, Baisakh B. Genetic divergence, variability and character association for yield components of black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. Trends in Biosciences. 2014b; 7(24):4098-4105.
- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers (Second edition), ICAR, New Delhi, 1985.
- Punia SS, Gautam NK, Baldev R, Verma P, Dheer M, Jain NK *et al.* Genetic variability and correlation studies in urdbean [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. Legume Research. 2014; 37(6):580-584.

25. Reni YP, Rao YK, Satish Y, Babu SJ. Estimates of genetic parameters and path analysis in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environment Sciences. 2013; 3(4):231-234.
26. Rolaniya D, Jiniwadiya M, Meghawal D, Lal GM. Studies on genetic variability in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper] germplasm. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2017; 6(4):1506-1508.
27. Souframanien J, Gopalakrishna T. ISSR and SCAR markers linked to the mungbean yellow mosaic virus (MYMV) resistance gene in black gram [*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper]. Plant Breeding. 2006; 125:619-622.