

E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 www.phytojournal.com

JPP 2020; 9(3): 1443-1445 Received: 05-03-2020 Accepted: 10-04-2020

#### Aniket Kanwar

Department of Fruit Science, College of Agriculture, I.G.K.V. Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

#### GD Sahu

Department of Fruit Science, College of Agriculture, I.G.K.V. Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

#### HK Panigrahi

Department of Fruit Science, College of Agriculture, I.G.K.V. Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

Corresponding Author: Aniket Kanwar Department of Fruit Science, College of Agriculture, I.G.K.V. Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

# Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



# Impact of integrated nutrient management on yield and quality parameters of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) Cv. Red Lady under net house

# Aniket Kanwar, GD Sahu and HK Panigrahi

#### Abstract

The present investigation was conducted during the year 2018-19 at Research Farm of Centre of Excellence on Protected Cultivation and Precision Farming under net house, College of Agriculture (IGKV) Raipur (C.G.). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replication and ten treatments. The treatment consists of ten different combination of organic manure and bio-fertilizers along with recommended dose of fertilizer. Result revealed that treatment T<sub>8</sub> (75% RDF + 10 kg Vermi-compost + 100 g *Azotobacter* +100 g PSB/Plant) showed highest number of fruits (78.33), fruit length (22.66 cm), fruit weight 1486 (g), yield per plant (71.32 kg), TSS (%) 14.26, minimum acidity (%) 0.13, total sugar (%) 10.31, reducing sugar(%) 8.82, ascorbic acid 52 (mg/100g).

Keywords: Organic manure, Azatobacter, PSB, fruit weight, TSS, total sugar

#### Introduction

Papaya *Carica papaya* L. belongs to family Caricaceae having 48 recognized species and among them, *Carica papaya* L. is only species grown for edible fruits (Chadha, 1992)<sup>[2]</sup>. Papaya is a polygamous plant with fundamental types of gender – pistillate, hermaphrodite and staminate where pistillate is stable in proportion to the rest form. Papaya is a evergreen plant with a hollow, unbranched, softwood stem. The leaves are very lengthy with petiole looks like palms. Papaya's nutritional and medicinal properties are well recognized for good source of vitamin C, along with high in sugar and pectin content. Papaya is originated in Mexico as a cross between two species of the genus Carica. It was brought from Malacca to India. In India it is grown in area 132.41 thousand hectare with production of 5667.06 thousand Metric ton (Anony. 2017)<sup>[1]</sup> Now it is cultivated in tropical and subtropical part of the word. quantities. To accomplish nutrient requirement for crop chemical fertilizer is mostly used.

Chemical fertilizer deteriorates the soil's physical, chemical and biological characteristics and, in turn, soil productivity. Since ancient times, the significance of organic manures in Indian agriculture has been recognized. It increases soil texture, composition, porosity, infiltration rate, aeration, microbial population and better root development with positive impacts on crop yield, quality and post-harvest characteristics. Integrated Nutrient Management relates to maintaining soil fertility and crop nutrient supply at an optimal rate of production by optimizing the advantages of all available organic, inorganic and biological component sources. Beneficial microbes increase nutrient availability, reduce disease, reduce nutrient losses, and help to degrade toxic compounds (Subba, 1998) <sup>[14]</sup>.

### **Material and Methods**

The present experiment was carried out during the year 2018-2019 under the net house of Centre of Excellence on Protected Cultivation and Precision farming, College of Agriculture, IGKV, Raipur (C.G.). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replication and ten treatments. The treatment consist of ten different combination of organic manure and bio-fertilizers along with recommended dose of fertilizer i.e. T<sub>0</sub>: RDF (200 N, 250 P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 250 K<sub>2</sub>O g/ Plant + Control, T<sub>1</sub>: RDF + 20 kg FYM/plant, T<sub>2</sub>: RDF + 10kg Vermi-compost /plant, T<sub>3</sub>: RDF + 5 kg Neem Cake /plant, T<sub>4</sub>: RDF +20 kg FYM/plant+ 100 g *Azotobacter* + 100g PSB /plant, T<sub>5</sub>: RDF +10 kg Vermi-compost +100 g *Azotobacter* + 100g PSB /plant, T<sub>6</sub>: RDF + 5 kg Neem cake +100 g *Azotobacter* + 100g PSB /plant, T<sub>6</sub>: RDF + 5 kg Neem cake +100 g PSB /plant, T<sub>8</sub>: 75% RDF +10kg Vermi-compost +100 g *Azatobacter* +100 g PSB/plant, T<sub>9</sub>: 75% RDF + 5 kg Neem Cake+100 g PSB /plant, T<sub>8</sub>: 75% RDF +10kg Vermi-compost +100 g *Azatobacter* +100 g PSB /plant, T<sub>9</sub>: 75% RDF + 5 kg Neem Cake+100 g Azotobacter +100 g PSB /plant, T<sub>8</sub>: 75% RDF +10kg Vermi-compost +100 g BSB /Plant, Papaya sapling were planted at spacing of 1.8 x 1.8 metre of spacing. Biofertilizer that is *Azatobacter* and phosphate solubilizing bacteria

were applied along with Vermicompost and FYM before transplanting. Number of fruits, fruit length, fruit breadth, fruit weight and yield per plant and quality parameters were recorded taking average of five plants and five fruits per treatment per replication and applying statistical procedure for comparison described by Gomez and Gomez, (1984)<sup>[4]</sup>.

# **Result and Discussion**

Among the all treatment maximum number of fruits (74.33) was observed under treatment T<sub>8</sub> (75% RDF + 10 kg Vermicompost + 100 g *Azotobacter* +100 g PSB/Plant), which is followed by T<sub>9</sub> & T<sub>7</sub> which had 74.33 & 71.00 number of fruits. Minimum number of fruits have been registered in T0 (RDF + Control) that is 50. The increased in number of fruits might be attributed due to the fact that, increasing levels of nutrients in assimilating area of crop due to which the rate of dry matter production was enhanced. The above results are in conformity with the findings of Dalal *et al.* (2004) <sup>[3]</sup> in sapota. Reddy *et al.* (2013) <sup>[9]</sup>.

Maximum fruit length (22.66) was observed under treatment T<sub>8</sub> (75% RDF + 10 kg Vermi-compost + 100 g *Azotobacter* +100 g PSB/Plant), which is followed by T<sub>9</sub> & T<sub>7</sub> which had 19.33 & 21.33 fruits length. Minimum fruits length was registered in T0 (RDF + Control) that is 16 cm. Superior physical fruit quality may be due to fact that, organic manures and microbial fertilizers enhances the nutrient availability, also these nitrogen fixers are known for accumulation of dry matter and their translocation as well as favour synthesis of different growth regulators. The above findings are in accordance with Patel *et al.* (2010) <sup>[7]</sup> in sapota and Srivastava in papaya (2008) <sup>[12]</sup>.

Among the all treatment maximum fruit weight (1486) was observed under treatment T<sub>8</sub> (75% RDF + 10 kg Vermicompost + 100 g Azotobacter +100 g PSB/Plant), which is followed by T7 & T9 which had 1423.33 & 1340.00 fruit weight. Treatments like T1 & T2 and T4 & T5 and T5 & T9 and  $T_1$  &  $T_6$  which showed 1210 & 1190 and 1273.33 & 1340 and 1316.66 &1340 and 1210 &1243.33gram fruits weight respectively was at par with each other. Minimum fruit weight has been registered in T0 (RDF + Control) that is 946.66 which is followed by  $T_3$  that is 1030 gram. The increased in weight of fruits might be attributed due to the fact that, increasing levels of nutrients in assimilating area of crop due to which the rate of dry matter production was enhanced. Similarly, due to rational partitioning of dry matter to economic sink, the yield attributes were improved. The above results are in conformity with the findings of Dalal et al. (2004)<sup>[3]</sup>, Srinu et al. (2017)<sup>[13]</sup>.

Maximum yield (71.32 kg) per plant was observed under treatment  $T_8$  (75% RDF + 10 kg Vermi-compost + 100 g *Azotobacter* +100 g PSB/Plant), which is followed by  $T_7 \& T_9$  which had 67.86 & 66.93 kg of yield. Minimum fruit weight

has been noted in T0 (RDF + Control) that is 45 kg. Under the impact of bio-fertilizers, vermicompost, and inorganic fertilizers, the presence of a favourable nutritional climate had a positive influence on vegetative and reproductive development, which ultimately led to higher production. This result is close finding with Reddy *et al.* (2013) <sup>[9]</sup>.

# **Quality Parameters**

Maximum total soluble solid % (14.26) was observed under treatment  $T_8$  which is followed by  $T_6$  which had 13.83 total soluble solid %. Minimum total solid percent has been noted in T0 (RDF + Control) that is 11.40 which is followed by  $T_1$ (12.30). The maximum acidity per cent (0.186) was noticed under control ( $T_0$ ). The treatment is  $T_8$  (75% RDF + 10 kg Vermi-compost + 100 g *Azotobacter* +100 g PSB/Plant), recorded minimum per cent of acidity (0.133). This might be due to the influence of boron and zinc in conversion of acid into sugar and their derivatives by the reaction of glycolytic pathway.

The treatment is T<sub>8</sub> (75% RDF + 10 kg Vermi-compost + 100 g *Azotobacter* +100 g PSB/Plant), recorded 10.31% of total sugar which was maximum. The minimum Total sugar % (7.55) was noticed under control (T<sub>0</sub>). Application of nitrogen fixing bacteria with lower dose of inorganic fertilizers might have exhibited regulatory role on the absorption and translocation of various metabolites, in which carbohydrates are most important which affects the quality of fruits. During ripening of fruits the carbohydrates reserves of the root and stem are drawn upon heavily and hydrolyzed into sugars hence results in better fruit quality. The results are in accordance in with Ram *et al.* (2007) <sup>[8]</sup> in guava, Madhavi *et al.* (2008) <sup>[5]</sup> in mango. Patel *et al.* (2010) <sup>[7]</sup> in sapota.

The maximum reducing % was found under treatment is  $T_8$  (75% RDF + 10 kg Vermi-compost + 100 g *Azotobacter* +100 g PSB/Plant), recorded 8.82% of reducing sugar. The minimum reducing sugar % (6.40) was noticed under control ( $T_0$ ). It may be due to the addition of organic manure supplements that provide ample nutrients, moisture and growth promoting substances that enhance plant metabolism and hormonal activity and promote the production of more photosynthates stored in fruit in the form of starch and carbohydrates. The result was close agreement with Srinu *et al.* (2017)<sup>[13]</sup>.

The treatment  $T_8$  recorded maximum ascorbic acid (52.00 mg/100 g) followed by the treatments  $T_7 \& T_9$  having 50 and 48.83 mg of ascorbic acid per 100gram of pulp. The minimum ascorbic acid (40.66) was noticed under control ( $T_0$ ).

It is due to ripening of fruits where fruits change its physical, physiological and biochemical changes that is conversion of starch into soluble sugars present findings are in close conformity with Shivakumar (2010) <sup>[11]</sup>, Yadav *et al.* (2011) in papaya.

Table 1: Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on yield parameters of papaya cv. Red Lady under net house

	Treatments	Number of fruits	Fruit length fruit weight (cm) (g)		Yield per plant (kg)
$T_0$	RDF + Control	50.00 <sup>i</sup>	16.00 <sup>f</sup>	946.66 <sup>i</sup>	45.00 <sup>f</sup>
$T_1$	RDF + 20 kg FYM/plant	56.00 <sup>h</sup>	16.66 <sup>f</sup>	1210.00 <sup>fg</sup>	53.83 <sup>e</sup>
$T_2$	RDF + 10 kg Vermicompost /plant	58.00 <sup>g</sup>	17.00 <sup>ef</sup>	1190.00 <sup>g</sup>	55.66 <sup>e</sup>
$T_3$	RDF + 5 kg Neem Cake /plant	55.33 <sup>h</sup>	16.33 <sup>f</sup>	1030.00 <sup>h</sup>	53.16 <sup>e</sup>
$T_4$	RDF + 20 kg FYM/plant + 100 g Azotobacter+ 100g PSB /plant	60.66 <sup>f</sup>	21.33 <sup>b</sup>	1273.33 <sup>de</sup>	57.26 <sup>d</sup>
$T_5$	RDF + 10 kg Vermicompost +100g Azotobacter + 100g PSB /plant	64.66 <sup>d</sup>	18.00 <sup>c</sup>	1316.67 <sup>cd</sup>	61.15 <sup>c</sup>
$T_6$	RDF + 5 kg Neem cake + 100 g Azotobacter + 100 g PSB/Plant	62.66 <sup>e</sup>	19.16 <sup>cd</sup>	1243.33 <sup>ef</sup>	65.34 <sup>b</sup>
$T_7$	75% RDF + 20 kg FYM /plant + 100 g Azatobacter +100 g PSB /plant	71.00 <sup>c</sup>	21.33 <sup>b</sup>	1423.33 <sup>b</sup>	67.86 <sup>b</sup>
$T_8$	75% RDF + 10 kg Vermicompost + 100 g Azatobacter +100 g PSB/plant	78.33ª	22.66 <sup>a</sup>	1486.00 <sup>a</sup>	71.32 <sup>a</sup>

T9	75% RDF + 5 kg Neem Cake +100 g Azotobacter +100 g PSB /Plant	74.33 <sup>b</sup>	19.33°	1340.00 <sup>c</sup>	66.93 <sup>b</sup>
	SE(m)±	0.34	0.44	15.47	1.23
	CD at 5%	1.03	1.30	45.96	3.66

Table 2: Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on quality parameters of papaya cv. Red Lady under net house

Treatment	TSS (%)	acidity (%)	Total sugar (%)	Reducing sugars (%)	Ascorbic acid (mg/100g)
T <sub>0</sub>	11.40 <sup>h</sup>	0.18 <sup>a</sup>	7.55 <sup>i</sup>	6.40 <sup>i</sup>	40.66 <sup>g</sup>
T1	12.30 <sup>g</sup>	0.17 <sup>b</sup>	8.25 <sup>h</sup>	7.16 <sup>h</sup>	43.66 <sup>f</sup>
T <sub>2</sub>	12.63 <sup>f</sup>	0.17 <sup>b</sup>	8.56 <sup>g</sup>	7.31 <sup>g</sup>	43.83 <sup>f</sup>
T3	12.76 <sup>f</sup>	0.15 <sup>cde</sup>	8.99 <sup>f</sup>	$7.48^{f}$	44.66 <sup>f</sup>
T4	13.46 <sup>cd</sup>	0.17 <sup>b</sup>	9.13 <sup>e</sup>	7.70 <sup>e</sup>	46.00 <sup>e</sup>
T5	13.70 <sup>bc</sup>	0.16 <sup>bc</sup>	9.38 <sup>d</sup>	8.18 <sup>d</sup>	47.33 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>6</sub>	13.83 <sup>b</sup>	0.16 <sup>bcd</sup>	9.60°	8.31 <sup>cd</sup>	47.50 <sup>d</sup>
T <sub>7</sub>	13.30 <sup>de</sup>	0.14 <sup>e</sup>	9.96 <sup>b</sup>	8.48 <sup>b</sup>	50.00 <sup>b</sup>
T8	14.26 <sup>a</sup>	0.13 <sup>f</sup>	10.31 <sup>a</sup>	8.82 <sup>a</sup>	52.00ª
T9	13.16 <sup>e</sup>	0.15 <sup>de</sup>	10.04 <sup>b</sup>	8.43 <sup>bc</sup>	48.83°
SE(m)±	0.06	1.08	0.05	0.02	0.33
CD at 5%	0.25	0.01	0.10	0.14	1.15

### Conclusions

From the present finding it can be concluded that the yields attributing parameters like number of fruits per plant, Fruits length, fruits weights and yields per kg of plant was maximum in treatment  $T_8$  also for quality parameters like TSS, Total sugar, reducing sugar were maximum in treatment  $T_8$  (75% RDF + 10 kg Vermi-compost + 100 g Azotobacter +100 g PSB/Plant).

#### Acknowledgement

I am highly thank full to Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya for giving me a precious opportunity to work and experiment on their research Farm of Centre of Excellence for protected cultivation and precision farming.

### References

- 1. Anonymous, 2017. Statistical database. http://www.agricoop.nic.in.
- 2. Chadha KL. Scenario of papaya production and utilization in India. Indian J of Hort. 1992; 49(2):97-119.
- Dalal SR, Gonge VS, Jogdande ND, Anjali Moharia. Response of different levels of nutrients and PSB on fruit yield and economics of sapota. PKV Res. J. 2004; 28:126-128.
- 4. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for Agricultural Research 2nd Ed. John Willey and Sons, New York, 1984.
- Madhavi A, Maheswara Prasad V, Girwani A. Integrated nutrient management in mango. The Orissa Journal of Horticulture. 2008; 36(1):64-68.
- Meena RK, Mahwer LN, Saroj PL, Saroli DK, Meena HR, Kanwat M. Integrated nutrient management in rejuvenated guava (*Psidium guajava*) orchard under semiarid conditions of Eastern Rajasthan. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2014; 84(12):1457–63.
- Patel DR, Naik AG. Effect of pre-harvest treatment of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on post-harvest shelf life of sapota cv. Kalipatti. Indian J Horti. 2010; 67(3):381-386.
- 8. Ram RA, Pathak RK. Integration of organic farming practices for sustainable production of guava: a case study. Acta Hort. 2007; 735:357-363
- Reddy YTN, Shivu Prasad SR, Kurian Reju M, Ganeshamurthy AN, Pannerselvam P. Influence of organic practices on growth and fruit yield in papaya cv. Surya. J Hortl. Sci. 2013; 8(2):246-248.

- 10. Shaban AEA, Mohsen AT. Response of citrus rootstock and transplant to biofertilizers. J Hort. Sci. Ornamental Pl. 2009; 1:39-48.
- 11. Shivakumar BS. Integrated nutrient management in papaya (*Carica papaya* L.) cv. Surya Ph.D Thesis submitted to university of agri. Science, Dharwad, Karnataka, 2010.
- 12. Srivastava A. Integrated Nutrient Management (*Carica papaya* L.). Ph.D. Thesis submitted. N. D. University of Agriculture and Technology. Faizabad (U.P.), India, 2008.
- Srinu B, Manohar Rao A, Veenajoshi K, Reddy NS, Sharma HK. Effect of different integrated nutrient, management on growth, yield and quality of papaya (*Carica Papaya* L.) cv. Red Lady under Southern Telangana. Int. J Pure App. Biosci. 2017; 5(4):1458-1462.
- 14. Subba R. Biofertilizers in Agriculture. A.A. Blakema, Rotterdam/ New Delhi, 1998, 128-136.
- 15. Suresh CP, Nath S, Poduval M, Sen SK. Studies on the efficacy of phosphate solublilizing microbes and VAM fungi with graded levels of phosphorus on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of papaya (*Carica papaya* L.). International Society for Horticultural Science (ISHS), Leuven, Belgium, Acta Hort. 2010; 851:401-404.
- 16. Tandel BM, Patel BN, Patel BB. Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on growth and physiological Parameters on Papaya cv. Taiwan Red Lady. Trend in Bioscience. 2014; 7(16):2175-2178.