



E-ISSN: 2278-4136  
P-ISSN: 2349-8234  
JPP 2020; 9(1): 655-658  
Received: 13-11-2019  
Accepted: 15-12-2019

**Surbhi Goyal**  
Dept. of Horticulture,  
CoA, RVSKVV, Gwalior,  
Madhya Pradesh, India

**Karan Vir Singh**  
Scientist, Dept. of Horticulture,  
CoA, RVSKVV, Gwalior,  
Madhya Pradesh, India

**Vikas Mandloi**  
Ph.D. (Scholar), Dept. of  
Horticulture, CoA, RVSKVV,  
Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

**Devendra Vishvkarma**  
Ph.D. (Scholar), Dept. of  
Horticulture, CoA, RVSKVV,  
Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh, India

## Effect of foliar application of boron and GA<sub>3</sub> on morphological and quality parameters of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Lalit

**Surbhi Goyal, Karan Vir Singh, Vikas Mandloi and Devendra Vishvkarma**

### Abstract

An experiment entitled "Effect of foliar application of Boron and GA<sub>3</sub> on growth, yield and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Lalit" was conducted at University guava orchard, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Gwalior during *Mrig bahar* at 2016-17. The experimental was laid out in the randomized block design with three replications and total treatment combination nine. Result based on investigation study revealed that the highest value of morphological parameters such as shoot length (20.79 cm), shoot diameter (4.40mm), No. of flower per shoot (23.31), fruit set (83.5%) and quality parameter fruit volume (202.78 ml), specific gravity (1.06) and T.S.S. (11.37 °Brix) were recorded under interaction of treatment (B<sub>2</sub>G<sub>2</sub>) @ boron (0.4%)+GA<sub>3</sub> (100 ppm) at 90 Days. Conclude that the best treatment combination B<sub>2</sub>G<sub>2</sub> with respect of morphological and quality parameters all three stage of guava.

**Keywords:** Foliar application, boron, GA<sub>3</sub>, morphological, quality, guava, lalit etc

### Introduction

Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.), the apple of the tropics, is one of the most popular fruit grown in tropical, sub-tropical and some parts of arid regions of India. The fruit are quite hardy and prolific bearer belongs to the family Myrtaceae. It is originated from tropical America and seems to have been growing from Mexico to Peru. The total area under its cultivation in India is 268.2 thousand ha with an annual production of 3668 thousand MT, productivity is 13.7 MT/ha, whereas in Madhya Pradesh, the area, production and productivity of guava is 22.4 thousand ha, 841.1 thousand MT and 37.6 MT/ha, respectively (NHB, 2014) [17]. Guava fruit is considered as one of the delicious fruit. These fruits are consumed either fresh or processed in the form of products like jam, jelly, cheese, juice, nectar, ready to serve (RTS) etc. Among the trace elements zinc and boron play significant role in flowering and fruiting process, N-metabolism, hormonal movement and cell division. Boron and zinc increase the fruit set reduce fruit drop and improve fruit quality in various fruit crops (El. Sherif *et al.*, 1997) [17]. The plant growth regulators (PGR) act as messengers and needed in small quantities at low concentration. Generally their site of action and biosynthesis are different. Plant growth regulators enhance the rapid changes in physiological and biochemical characters and improve crop productivity. Gibberellic acid has been reported to influence vegetative growth, flowering, fruiting, and various disorders in many fruit crops.

### Method and Material

The present investigation entitled "Effect of foliar application of Boron and GA<sub>3</sub> on growth, yield and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Lalit." was conducted at University guava orchard, Department of Horticulture (Fruit Science), College of Agriculture, RVSKVV, Gwalior (M.P) during 2016-17. The experimental was laid out in the randomized block design with three replications and total treatment combination nine *viz.*, foliar spray of three levels of boron (0, 0.2 and 0.4%), three levels of GA<sub>3</sub> (0, 50 and 100 ppm) were applied. Aqueous solutions of boron and GA<sub>3</sub> were sprayed at the time of full bloom. the sprays under treatment were done on rainy season crop (*Mrig bahar*) at full bloom stage in early morning with the help of foot sprayer @ five liters per tree to ensure the maximum absorption of nutrients through the leaves. Each tree was sprayed thoroughly in such a way as to completely drench it with the spray solution.

Micronutrient solutions of boric acid (0.2 and 0.4%) were prepared by dissolving 8 g and 16 g and 30 g boric acid in four liters of supernatant lime water (by dissolving 100 g hydrated lime

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Surbhi Goyal**  
Dept. of Horticulture,  
CoA, RVSKVV, Gwalior,  
Madhya Pradesh, India

in tap water) (Sidhu *et al.*, 1980). The solutions of plant growth regulator used i.e. GA<sub>3</sub> (50 ppm and 100 ppm) was prepared by weighing (50 mg and 100 mg) GA<sub>3</sub> separately with the help of digital balance. This was dissolved in 95% 10 ml alcohol, respectively. Thereafter, 1000 ml of distilled water was added to it and stirred with a glass rod, so that GA<sub>3</sub> would thoroughly mix with distilled water. The observation was recorded of morphological parameters such as shoot length (cm), shoot diameter (mm), No. of flower per shoot, fruit set% and quality parameters fruit volume (ml), specific gravity and T.S.S. (°Brix) selected under each plant within each treatment.

## Results and Discussion

### Morphological parameters

Shoot length and shoot diameter are important character of the vegetative phase. In the present, study vegetative observation were recorded at 30, 60 and 90 days after spraying (DAS) of the plant. At all these stages tree showed significant differences with respect to shoot length and shoot diameter. The effect of boron and GA<sub>3</sub> on length of terminal shoot is very obvious and consistent. At 30 days, the highest shoot length was observed in B<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>2</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (6.05, 6.25 and 6.46cm, respectively). At 60 days, the highest shoot length was observed in B<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>2</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (12.48, 14.24 and 15.12cm, respectively). At 90 days, the highest shoot length was observed in B<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>2</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (17.73, 19.68 and 20.79cm, respectively).

At 30 days, the highest shoot diameter was observed in B<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>2</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (2.92, 2.99 and 3.01 mm, respectively). At 60 days, the highest shoot diameter was observed in B<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>2</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (3.80, 3.96 and 4.12 mm, respectively). At 90 days, the highest shoot diameter was observed in B<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>2</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (4.05, 4.23 and 4.40 mm, respectively). The results finding are agreement with Goswami *et al.* (2015) [5], Hada *et al.* (2014) [1], Pizetta *et al.*, (2005) [8], Prasad and Yadav, (2003) [9] and Sisler *et al.*, (1956) [15] in guava.

Number of flowers per shoot and fruit set% are important character of the reproductive phase. The maximum number of flowers per shoot was counted with B<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>2</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (20.25, 22.20 and 23.31). Similarly, followed by B<sub>1</sub>, G<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>1</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (19.66, 18.87 and 22.77, respectively). The minimum no. of flowers per shoot was recorded with B<sub>0</sub>, G<sub>0</sub> and B<sub>0</sub>G<sub>0</sub> (18.73, 17.57 and 16.79, respectively).

The maximum fruit set% was obtained with B<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>2</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (76.68, 80.83 and 83.05). Similarly, followed by B<sub>1</sub>, G<sub>1</sub> and B<sub>1</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (73.27, 77.14 and 80.24, respectively). The minimum fruit set% was recorded with B<sub>0</sub>, G<sub>0</sub> and B<sub>0</sub>G<sub>0</sub> (69.72, 61.70 and 57.20, respectively). Reproductive phase results are similar to the findings by Hada *et al.* (2014) [1] and Yadav *et al.* (2011) [14] in guava.

Fruit volume was measured in each treatment at harvest. The highest fruit volume 175.11 ml was measured in B<sub>2</sub> (Boron @ 0.4%) which was significantly superior to B<sub>1</sub> (Boron @ 0.2%) and B<sub>0</sub> (Boron @ 0%) which gave 162.51 ml and 156.77 ml fruit volume, respectively. Similarly among the GA<sub>3</sub> treatments G<sub>2</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm) resulted in the highest fruit volume (187.79 ml) which was significantly higher to G<sub>1</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> @ 50 ppm) and G<sub>0</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> @ 0 ppm) which gave 160.41 ml and 146.19 ml fruit volume, respectively. The interaction effect of boron and GA<sub>3</sub> markedly influenced the fruit volume. The highest fruit volume 202.78 ml was recorded in treatment B<sub>2</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (Boron @ 0.4% and GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm)

followed by 184.06 ml in B<sub>1</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (Boron @ 0.2% and GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm). The minimum (141.19 ml) fruit volume was noted in B<sub>0</sub>G<sub>0</sub>. In unfortunately, specific gravity was found non-significant by the foliar spray of boron and GA<sub>3</sub>. The specific gravity was obtained with B<sub>2</sub>, G<sub>2</sub> and B<sub>2</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (1.05, 1.05 and 1.06, respectively). The present results are in conformity Arora and Singh (1972) [3]. Boron was reported to regulate the semi-permeability of cell wall thus mobilizing more water into the fruits, thereby increasing the size of fruit (Babu *et al.*, 1982) [4]. Meena *et al.* (2005) [6] and Yadav *et al.* (2011) [14] also reported the similar results in the guava.

The highest TSS 10.04 °brix was measured in B<sub>2</sub> (Boron @ 0.4%) which was significantly superior to B<sub>1</sub> (Boron @ 0.2%) and B<sub>0</sub> (Boron @ 0%) which gave 9.73 °brix and 9.05 °brix TSS, respectively. Similarly among the GA<sub>3</sub> treatments G<sub>2</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm) resulted in the highest TSS (11.06 °brix) which was significantly higher to G<sub>1</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> @ 50 ppm) and G<sub>0</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> @ 0 ppm) which gave 9.71 °brix and 8.05 °brix TSS, respectively. The interaction effect of boron and GA<sub>3</sub> did not markedly influence the TSS. The highest TSS 11.37 °brix was recorded in treatment B<sub>2</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (Boron @ 0.4% and GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm) followed by 11.08 °brix in B<sub>1</sub>G<sub>2</sub> (Boron @ 0.2% and GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm). The minimum (7.71 °brix) TSS was noted in B<sub>0</sub>G<sub>0</sub>. Increase in TSS content by boron spray has previously been shown by Ahmad (1998), Goswami *et al.* (2015) [5], Hada *et al.* (2014) [1], Rajput and Chand (1976) [10], Sharma *et al.* (1991) [11], Singh and Chhonkar (1983) [13] and Singh and Ahlawaten (1995) [12].

**Table 1:** Effect of boron, GA<sub>3</sub> and their combinations on shoot length at successive growth stages

Treatments	Shoot length at 30 DAS (cm)			
	G <sub>0</sub>	G <sub>1</sub>	G <sub>2</sub>	Mean
B <sub>0</sub>	5.39	5.53	5.89	5.60
B <sub>1</sub>	5.47	5.54	6.38	5.80
B <sub>2</sub>	5.51	6.18	6.46	6.05
Mean	5.46	5.75	6.25	
	B	G	B x G	
S.Em.	0.145	0.145	0.250	
CD <sub>at 0.5%</sub>	0.434	0.434	0.751	
Treatments	Shoot length at 60 DAS (cm)			
	G <sub>0</sub>	G <sub>1</sub>	G <sub>2</sub>	Mean
B <sub>0</sub>	9.70	11.61	12.91	11.41
B <sub>1</sub>	10.40	10.58	14.68	11.89
B <sub>2</sub>	11.18	11.13	15.12	12.48
Mean	10.43	11.11	14.24	
	B	G	B x G	
S. Em.	0.27	0.27	0.47	
CD <sub>at 0.5%</sub>	0.81	0.81	1.41	
Treatments	Shoot length at 90 DAS (cm)			
	G <sub>0</sub>	G <sub>1</sub>	G <sub>2</sub>	Mean
B <sub>0</sub>	14.29	16.20	18.00	16.16
B <sub>1</sub>	15.07	16.34	20.27	17.23
B <sub>2</sub>	15.89	16.51	20.79	17.73
Mean	15.08	16.35	19.68	
	B	G	B x G	
S.Em.	0.35	0.35	0.61	
CD <sub>at 0.5%</sub>	1.06	1.06	NS	

**Table 2:** Effect of boron, GA<sub>3</sub> and their combinations on shoot diameter at successive growth stages

Treatments	Shoot diameter (mm) at 30 DAS			
	G <sub>0</sub>	G <sub>1</sub>	G <sub>2</sub>	Mean
B <sub>0</sub>	2.63	2.87	2.96	2.82
B <sub>1</sub>	2.67	2.92	3.00	2.86
B <sub>2</sub>	2.83	2.93	3.01	2.92
Mean	2.71	2.90	2.99	
	B	G	B x G	
S. Em.	0.056	0.056	0.096	
CD at 0.5%	0.167	0.167	0.289	
Treatments	Shoot diameter (mm) at 60 DAS			
	G <sub>0</sub>	G <sub>1</sub>	G <sub>2</sub>	Mean
B <sub>0</sub>	3.11	3.62	3.71	3.48
B <sub>1</sub>	3.15	3.67	4.06	3.63
B <sub>2</sub>	3.58	3.70	4.12	3.80
Mean	3.28	3.67	3.96	
	B	G	B x G	
S. Em.	0.056	0.056	0.097	
CD at 0.5%	0.167	0.167	0.290	
Treatments	Shoot diameter (mm) at 90 DAS			
	G <sub>0</sub>	G <sub>1</sub>	G <sub>2</sub>	Mean
B <sub>0</sub>	3.30	3.86	3.96	3.71
B <sub>1</sub>	3.35	3.91	4.33	3.86
B <sub>2</sub>	3.81	3.95	4.40	4.05
Mean	3.49	3.91	4.23	
	B	G	B x G	
S. Em.	0.069	0.069	0.119	
CD at 0.5%	0.207	0.207	0.358	

**Table 3:** Effect of boron, GA<sub>3</sub> and their combinations on number of flower per shoot

Treatments	Number of flower per shoot			
	G <sub>0</sub>	G <sub>1</sub>	G <sub>2</sub>	Mean
B <sub>0</sub>	16.79	18.87	20.52	18.73
B <sub>1</sub>	17.53	18.70	22.77	19.66
B <sub>2</sub>	18.39	19.05	23.31	20.25
Mean	17.57	18.87	22.20	
	B	G	B x G	
S. Em.	0.387	0.387	0.670	
CD at 0.5%	1.160	1.160	2.009	

**Table 4:** Effect of boron, GA<sub>3</sub> and their combinations on fruit setting (%)

Treatments	Fruit setting (%)			
	G <sub>0</sub>	G <sub>1</sub>	G <sub>2</sub>	Mean
B <sub>0</sub>	57.20	72.77	79.19	69.72
B <sub>1</sub>	60.65	78.92	80.24	73.27
B <sub>2</sub>	67.25	79.74	83.05	76.68
Mean	61.70	77.14	80.83	
	B	G	B x G	
S. Em.	1.628	1.628	2.820	
CD at 0.5%	4.88	4.88	NS	

**Table 5:** Effect of boron, GA<sub>3</sub> and their combinations on fruit volume

Treatments	Fruit volume (ml)			
	G <sub>0</sub>	G <sub>1</sub>	G <sub>2</sub>	Mean
B <sub>0</sub>	141.19	152.57	176.54	156.77
B <sub>1</sub>	146.71	156.77	184.06	162.51
B <sub>2</sub>	150.66	171.90	202.78	175.11
Mean	146.19	160.41	187.79	
	B	G	B x G	
S. Em.	2.50	2.50	4.33	
CD at 0.5%	7.50	7.50	12.99	

**Table 6:** Effect of boron, GA<sub>3</sub> and their combinations on specific gravity

Treatments	Specific gravity			
	G <sub>0</sub>	G <sub>1</sub>	G <sub>2</sub>	Mean
B <sub>0</sub>	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05
B <sub>1</sub>	1.05	1.04	1.05	1.05
B <sub>2</sub>	1.04	1.05	1.06	1.05
Mean	1.05	1.05	1.05	
	B	G	B x G	
S.Em.	0.022	0.022	0.039	
CD at 0.5%	NS	NS	NS	

**Table 7:** Effect of boron, GA<sub>3</sub> and their combinations on total soluble solids (<sup>o</sup>Brix)

Treatments	Total soluble solids ( <sup>o</sup> Brix)			
	G <sub>0</sub>	G <sub>1</sub>	G <sub>2</sub>	Mean
B <sub>0</sub>	7.71	8.71	10.72	9.05
B <sub>1</sub>	8.07	10.04	11.08	9.73
B <sub>2</sub>	8.37	10.38	11.37	10.04
Mean	8.05	9.71	11.06	
	B	G	B x G	
S.Em.	0.136	0.136	0.236	
CD at 0.5%	0.408	0.408	NS	

### Conclusion

The present result on guava concludes that different treatments significantly increased various growth, yield and quality parameters in comparison to control. Besides it, higher concentrations all of boron and GA<sub>3</sub> helped more to achieve the desired value for different growth, yield and quality parameters. B<sub>2</sub> (Boron @ 0.4%) and G<sub>2</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub> @ 100 ppm) proved in increasing the characters which were studied. So it may be recommended at farmer's level for profitable crop production without affecting the soil health.

### Acknowledgements

The corresponding authors are grateful thanks to Dr. R. Lekhi (Head of dept) and Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Gwalior (M.P.) for providing necessary facilities to carry out the research work.

### References

- Hada T, Gaur B, Karma B, Syamal M. Studies on the effect of foliar application of nutrients and GA<sub>3</sub> on fruit yield and quality of winter Season Guava. The Eco Scan, Special issue. 2014; 6:479-483.
- Ahmad FF, El-Sayed MA, Matouta MA. Effect of N, K and P fertilization on yield and quality of Egyptian Balady lime trees. Annals Agri. Sci., Cairo, 1988; 33:1249-68.
- Arora JS, Singh JR. Some effect of spray on zinc sulphate on growth, yield and fruit quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) J Jap. Soc. Hort. Sci. 1972; 9(3):207-211.
- Babu N, Singh AR. Effect of boron, zinc and copper sprays on growth and development of Litchi fruits. Punjab Horticulture Journal. 1982; 34(3, 4):75-79.
- Goswami R, Ahire GZ, Choudhary SM. Effect of PGR in crop regulation in guava. Annals of Arid Zone. 2015; 33:161-166.
- Meena RP, Mohammed S, Lakhawat SS. Effect of foliar application of urea and zinc sulphate on fruit quality and yield of pruned guava trees (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. 'Sardar' under high density planting system. J Hort. Sci. 2005; 11:290-93.

7. NHB. All India area, production and productivity of guava, 2014. WWW.nhb.gov.in.
8. Pizetta IC, Ferreira ME, Cruz MCP, Barbosa JC. Response of Boron fertilization on small plants in Sandy soil. Horti. Brasileria. 2005; 23(1):51-56.
9. Prasad B, Yadav S. Effect of foliar application of urea, zinc and boron on quality of guava. J Ap. Biol. 2003; 15(1):48-50.
10. Rajput CBS, Chand S. Effect of Boron, zinc on the Physico-Chemical composition of Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). J National Agric. Soc. Ceylon. 1976; 3:49-54.
11. Sharma RK, Kumar R, Thakur S. Effect of foliar feeding of potassium, calcium, boron and zinc on yield and quality of guava. Indian J Horti. 1991; 48(4):312-314.
12. Singh B, Ahlawaten P. Effect of certain micronutrients and NAA on the yield of Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). Adv. Hort. For. 1995; 5:43-49.
13. Singh PN, Chhonkar VS. Effect of zinc, boron and molybdenum as foliar spray on chemical composition of guava fruit. Punjab J Hort. 1983; 23(1, 2):34-37.
14. Yadav HC, Yadav AL, Yadav DK, Yadav PK. Effect of Foliar Application of Micronutrients and GA<sub>3</sub> on Fruit Yield and Quality of Rainy Season Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. L-49. Plant Archives. 2011; 11(1):147-149.
15. Sisler EC, Dagger WM, Gauch HG. The role of Boron in translocation of organic compounds in plants. Plant physical. 1956; 13:11-17.
16. Sindhu PC, Ahlawat VP, Nain AS. Effect of foliar application of urea and zinc sulphate on yield and fruit quality of grapes (*Vitis vinifera* L.) cv. Perlette. Haryana J. Hort. Sci., 1999; 28(1, 2):19-21
17. El-Sherif AA, Saeed WT, Nauman UF. Effect of foliar application of potassium and zinc on behaviour of montakhab E.L. Kanater guava true. Bull. Hort. Res. Ins. Gizd, 1997-1998.