

# Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2020; 9(1): 1610-1613 Received: 25-11-2019 Accepted: 27-12-2019

#### Suhana Puri Goswami

Research Scholar Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University Varanasi, India

#### **BR Maurya**

Professor Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Suhana Puri Goswami Research Scholar Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh. India

## Impact of potassium solubilizing bacteria (KSB) and sources of potassium on yield attributes of maize (Zea mays L)

## Suhana Puri Goswami and BR Maurya

#### Abstract

A field experiment was carried out at Agricultural Research Farm of Banaras Hindu University Varanasi during Kharif 2017 and 2018. Effect of two potassium solubilizing bacterial strains i.e. KSB<sub>1</sub> (KJ410663) and KSB<sub>2</sub> (KJ410665) were assessed in combination with mineral K. Data revealed that combination of inorganic source and mineral source i.e. biotite along with KSB significantly increased number of lines cob<sup>-1</sup>, number of grains cob<sup>-1</sup>, cob length, cob weight, grain weight cob<sup>-1</sup>and 100-seed weight of crop over uninoculated control. Application of 100% RDK through inorganic and mineral source of K with KSB<sub>1</sub> gave maximum yield attributes as compared to control. Maximum grain and stubble yield were found to be higher with treatment 75% RDK + 25% Biotite + KSB<sub>1</sub> compared to control and reference strain. Therefore, KSB<sub>1</sub> strain was superior to be KSB<sub>2</sub>.

Keywords: Potassium, maize, yield attribute, KSB, biotite, grain and stubble yield

### Introduction

After nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), potassium (K) is the most important plant nutrient that has a key role in the growth, metabolism and development of plants. In addition to increasing plant resistance to diseases, pests, and abiotic stresses, K is required to activate over 80 different enzymes responsible for plant and animal processes such as energy metabolism, starch synthesis, nitrate reduction, photosynthesis, and sugar degradation <sup>[3, 12, 22, 24]</sup>. K is the seventh most abundant element in Earth's crust. Total K content in soils ranges between 0.04 and 3% K. Although K is present as an abundant element in soil, only 1 to 2% of this element is available to plants <sup>[21]</sup>. The rest are bound with other minerals and therefore are unavailable to plants.

Maize is (*Zea mays* L.) is the third most important cereals next to rice and wheat in the world as well in India, contributing about 20 per cent share of worlds total cereal production. Maize is being consumed both as food and fodder crop and also required by various industries in India. Maize is known as "Queen of cereal" because of its high production potential and wider adoptability. In world, maize occupies an area of 163.9 million ha with the production of 832 million tones and productivity of 5080 kg per ha. In India, maize is grown over an area of 9.86 million ha with the production of 26.26 million tones and productivity is 2664 kg ha<sup>-1</sup><sup>[4]</sup>. Maize is an exhaustive crop and utilizes more nutrients from the soil for growth and development. Solubilization of insoluble minerals by bacteria helps to uptake and utilization of nutrient from the soil.

Microbial soil community is able to influence soil fertility through soil processes viz. decomposition, mineralization, and storage / release of nutrients <sup>[18]</sup>. Mechanisms of KSB in promotion of plant growth <sup>[21]</sup>. Reported that some beneficial soil microorganisms, such as a wide range of saprophytic bacteria, fungal strains and actinomycetes, could solubilize the insoluble K from soils by various mechanisms. Some of these mechanisms include the production of inorganic and organic acids, acidolysis, polysaccharides, complexolysis, chelation, polysaccharides, and exchange reactions. K solubilizing bacteria (KSB) have attracted the attention of agriculturists as soil inoculum to promote the plant growth and yield. The KSB are effective in releasing K from inorganic and insoluble pools of total soil K through solubilization <sup>[10, 13, 16, 20]</sup>. It has been reported that inoculation with KSB produced beneficial effect on growth of different plants <sup>[1, 6, 23]</sup>. Thus, identification of efficient bacterial strains capable of solubilizing K minerals can quickly conserve our existing resources and avoid environmental pollution hazards caused by heavy application of K-fertilizers.

#### **Material and Methods**

A field experiment was conducted during kharif seasons 2017 and 2018 in an inceptisol of Agricultural Research Farm of Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India. An experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications and 14 treatments. Treatments consisted of T1=Control; T2= KSB<sub>1</sub>; T3= KSB<sub>2</sub>; T4= 50% RDK; T5= 75% RDK; T6= 100% RDK; T7= 50% RDK +50% RDK through Biotite ; T8= 75% RDK+25% RDK through Biotite; T9= 50% RDK+ 50% RDK through Biotite+ KSB<sub>1</sub>; T10= 50% RDK + 50% RDK through Biotite + KSB<sub>2</sub>; T11=50% RDK +25% Biotite + KSB<sub>1</sub>; T12= 50% RDK+25% Biotite + KSB<sub>2</sub>; T13= 75% RDK +25% RDK through Biotite + KSB<sub>1</sub>; T14=75% RDK + 25% RDK through Biotite +  $KSB_2$ . The experimental field was plowed transversely with a disc pulled by a tractor. Dry weeds and stubble were removed. The field was plowed again by the cultivator and finally planking was done to achieve a tilth. Block boundaries, plots and irrigation channels were created manually. The gross plot size is  $6m \times 2m$  ( $12m^2$ ) per plots. The experimental plots were leveled before sowing the seeds.Maize variety 'K-99' was selected for sowing. The sowing was done with the help of manual drill using seed rate of 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Periodical intercultural operations like irrigation and weeding were carried out and plots were maintain for good crop growth.

At bulk surface (0-15cm) soil sample was collected before sowing and after harvest of crop. As per the treatments specification all the doses of phosphorus ( $P_2O_5$ ) as DAP and potash ( $K_2O$ ) as muriate of potash were applied as basal dose during field preparation whereas half dose of nitrogen as urea was applied at the time of sowing and remaining half amount of nitrogen was top dressed in two equal split doses at 30 days and 55 days after sowing (DAS).The yield attributes such as number of lines cob<sup>-1</sup>, number of grains cob<sup>-1</sup>, cob length, cob weight, grain weight cob<sup>-1</sup>,100-seed weight and grain and stubble yield were recorded after harvesting of crop. The data pertaining to each character of the maize crop were analyzed statistically by applying the standard technique. Analysis of variance for randomized block design was worked out and the significance was tested to draw valid conclusions <sup>[6]</sup>.

## **Result and Discussion**

Data pertaining to lines per cob are presented in table 1. All treatments showed higher lines per cob than control. Maximum lines per cob was found in  $T_{13}$  i.e. 75% RDK + 25% RDK through Biotite + KSB<sub>1</sub> followed by  $T_{14}$  i.e. 75% RDK + 25% RDK through Biotite + KSB<sub>2</sub> and treatment  $T_{13}$  gave maximum lines per cob as compared to control during both the experimental years.

## Cob length (cm)

Data pertaining to cob length are presented in table.1.Cob length greatly influenced by different treatments than control but none of them was able to reach the level of significance. Treatment  $T_{13}$  i.e. 75% RDK + 25% RDK through Biotite + KSB<sub>1</sub> followed by  $T_{14}$  i.e. 75% RDK + 25% RDK through Biotite + KSB<sub>2</sub> gave maximum cob length and minimum cob length was observed at control ( $T_1$ ) during both the years. Increase in length of cob might be due to the improvement in soil chemical properties and nutritional status, and increased microbial activity in the root zone because of the integrated effects of KSB strains with K fertilization <sup>[7, 2, 25]</sup>.

## Weight of Cob (per cob)

A critical perusal of data presented in table.1 revealed that weight per cob with grain of maize crop significantly influenced by KSB isolates and sources of K in years 2017 and 2018.In first and second year treatment  $T_{13}$  i.e. 75% RDK + 25% RDK through Biotite + KSB<sub>1</sub> gave maximum weight of cob with grain followed by  $T_9$ ,  $T_{10}$ ,  $T_{11}$ ,  $T_{12}$  and  $T_{14}$  and minimum in control.

Year of Experiments							
	2017			2018			
Treatments	Cob length (cm)	Lines cob <sup>-1</sup>	Weight cob <sup>1</sup> (g)	Cob length (cm)	Lines cob <sup>-1</sup>	Weight cob <sup>1</sup> (g)	
T1 Control	10.4	11.7	57.2	10.9	10.7	53.6	
T2 KSB <sub>1</sub>	11.5	12.5	67.5	11.9	12.3	68.2	
T3 KSB <sub>2</sub>	10.9	12.1	60.8	11.1	11.9	55.7	
T4 50% RDK	11.7	12.4	62.5	12.3	12.3	62.0	
T5 75% RDK	12.2	13.6	62.9	12.3	12.4	67.2	
T6 100% RDK	12.3	13.8	74.2	12.5	13.6	70.8	
T7 50% RDK +50% RDK through Biotite	12.4	13.9	74.8	12.8	13.4	77.1	
T8 75% RDK+25% RDK through Biotite	12.2	13.9	64.1	12.5	13.3	73.5	
T9 50% RDK+ 50% RDK through Biotite+ KSB1	12.6	14.0	66.1	13.3	13.4	54.8	
T10 50% RDK + 50% RDK through Biotite + KSB <sub>2</sub>	12.8	14.5	64.1	13.3	12.9	58.3	
T11 50% RDK +25% Biotite + KSB <sub>1</sub>	13.5	15.6	73.0	14.1	15.6	61.8	
T12 50% RDK+25% Biotite + KSB <sub>2</sub>	12.8	15.4	61.3	14.0	13.8	72.7	
T13 75% RDK +25% RDK through Biotite + KSB1	15.2	16.0	77.5	15.7	16.4	78.5	
T14 75% RDK + 25% RDK through Biotite + KSB	14.4	15.8	75.6	14.7	15.7	78.1	
SEm±	0.72	0.83	4.64	0.50	0.73	4.10	
CD(p=0.05)	2.10	2.42	NS	1.47	2.11	11.91	

Table 1: Effect of KSB isolates and sources of K on cob length (cm), lines per cob and weight per cob with grain (g) of maize crop

## Grains per cob

Data pertaining on effect of KSB isolates and sources K fertilization grains per cob of maize crop presented in table.2 revealed that grains per cob of maize crop was significantly enhanced by the application of KSB isolates and K fertilizers. All the isolates showed significant superiority over control. In

first year;  $T_{13}$  gave higher grains per cob as compared to uninoculated control. In second year,  $T_{13}$  gave maximum number of grains per cob followed by  $T_9$  as compared to control  $T_1$ .During both the years  $T_{13}$  gave maximum number of grains per cob as compared to other treatments.

#### Grain weight per cob

Data pertaining to grain weight per cob of maize crop presented in table.2. influenced significantly by KSB isolates and sources of K. In first and second year, maximum grain weight per cob was recorded with 75% RDK +25% RDK through Biotite + KSB<sub>1</sub> followed by treatment  $T_{14}>T_{12}>T_{9}>T_{7}>T_{6}$  and minimum grain weight per cob in control.

#### 100- Seed weight

Data pertaining to 100-grains weight of maize presented in table.2 clearly indicate that it was significantly influenced with KSB isolates and K sources treatments. However, the rate of increase in 100-grain weight differed with the potassium solubilizing bacterial (KSB) isolates. In first year, Treatment  $T_{14}$  i.e. 75% RDK + 25% RDK through Biotite +

KSB<sub>2</sub> gave significantly higher 100-grain weight of maize crop compared to  $T_{13}$  i.e. 75% RDK + 25% RDK through Biotite + KSB<sub>1</sub> and they showed significant superiority over rest of the treatments. But in second year, Treatment  $T_{13}$  i.e. 75% RDK + 25% RDK through Biotite + KSB<sub>1</sub> gave significantly higher 100-grain weight of maize crop compared to  $T_{14}$  i.e. 75% RDK + 25% RDK through Biotite + KSB<sub>2</sub> and they showed significant superiority over rest of the treatments. The data reflect that similar treatment when combined with inorganic source and mineral source along with KSB gave higher yield attribute. The reason can be due to solubilization of Biotite by KSB that releases more K into the solution where plant could uptake more K therefore yield get enhanced. Similar result regard to yield attributes were reported by <sup>[17, 11, 5, 8, 14, 19, 15]</sup>.

Table 2: Effect of KSB isolates and sources of K on grains per cob, per cob grain weight (g) and 100 grain weight (g) of maize crop

Year of Experiments							
		2017		2018			
Treatments		Per cob grain	100 grain	Grains	Per cob grain	100 grain	
Treatments	cob <sup>-1</sup>	weight (g)	weight (g)	cob <sup>-1</sup>	weight (g)	weight (g)	
T1 Control	175.7	26.0	17.9	177.1	23.9	18.5	
T2 KSB1	196.0	27.0	21.2	270.5	30.3	24.7	
T3 KSB <sub>2</sub>	190.5	26.3	19.1	186.6	31.3	18.7	
T4 50% RDK	224.1	28.1	19.9	263.6	31.9	20.8	
T5 75% RDK	223.4	29.4	20.8	264.8	28.0	21.0	
T6 100% RDK	234.4	30.9	22.0	352.2	29.9	21.3	
T7 50% RDK +50% RDK through Biotite	245.1	32.9	22.2	274.4	29.1	22.8	
T8 75% RDK+25% RDK through Biotite	257.8	32.3	19.8	352.3	27.7	21.1	
T9 50% RDK+ 50% RDK through Biotite+ KSB <sub>1</sub>	254.8	33.8	23.7	403.3	30.4	21.1	
T10 50% RDK + 50% RDK through Biotite + KSB <sub>2</sub>	275.7	29.8	24.4	333.0	28.3	21.2	
T11 50% RDK +25% Biotite + KSB <sub>1</sub>	286.5	35.9	24.7	283.3	32.7	23.6	
T12 50% RDK+25% Biotite + KSB <sub>2</sub>	286.2	34.6	24.8	391.4	31.6	21.6	
T13 75% RDK +25% RDK through Biotite + KSB <sub>1</sub>	303.2	38.0	25.3	413.6	36.6	25.2	
T14 75% RDK + 25% RDK through Biotite + KSB	302.7	36.7	25.9	402.2	33.3	24.5	
SEm±	1.52	2.14	1.29	1.83	1.75	1.41	
CD(p=0.05)	4.41	6.22	3.75	5.32	5.10	4.10	

## Grain and stubble Yield (qha<sup>-1</sup>)

The data revealed that maize grain and stubble yield significantly increased due to application of potassium solubilizing bacteria and sources of potassium presented in Table. 3. Maximum grain and straw yield was recorded in treatment  $T_{13}$  followed by treatment  $T_{14}$  while minimum in treatment  $T_1$  (control).First year grain yield is lesser than from second year but in stubble yield, first year stubble yield is higher than second year. Grain yield (54.30qha<sup>-1</sup> and 56.20qha<sup>-1</sup>) was significantly higher with75% RDK +25% RDK through Biotite + KSB<sub>1</sub> as compared to control (24.40

qha<sup>-1</sup>and 23.60 qha<sup>-1</sup>) at both the two years. Stubble yield (98.66 qha<sup>-1</sup> and 95.38 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) was also significantly superior with75% RDK +25% RDK through Biotite + KSB<sub>1</sub> as compared to control (47.78 qha<sup>-1</sup>and 47.73 qha<sup>-1</sup>) from both years of research. This implies that maize plant needs full dose of recommended K to yield optimum grain weight and stubble yield. It is also evident that various combinations yielded better when mixed with KSB. The reason to may be solubilization of mineral form of K i.e. Biotite by KSB that release more K. Similar outcomes were reported by <sup>[8, 14, 19, 15]</sup>.

Table 3: Effect of KSB isolates and sources of K on grain and stubble yield (qha-1) of maize crop

Year of Experiments						
	2017	2018	2017	2018		
Treatments	Grain yield (qha-1)	Grain yield (qha <sup>-1</sup> )	Stubble yield (qha <sup>-1</sup> )	Stubble yield (qha <sup>-1</sup> )		
T1 Control	24.44	23.63	47.48	47.73		
T2 KSB1	27.07	27.76	52.87	55.53		
T3 KSB <sub>2</sub>	24.49	24.37	51.59	52.68		
T4 50% RDK	25.61	26.34	54.56	54.71		
T5 75% RDK	25.80	28.54	54.65	48.27		
T6 100% RDK	38.47	38.35	62.16	66.01		
T7 50% RDK +50% RDK through Biotite	31.08	35.51	76.94	71.01		
T8 75% RDK+25% RDK through Biotite	27.12	30.01	54.24	57.07		
T9 50% RDK+ 50% RDK through Biotite+ KSB <sub>1</sub>	42.10	44.49	93.11	88.97		
T10 50% RDK + 50% RDK through Biotite + KSB <sub>2</sub>	41.76	41.48	83.52	82.96		
T11 50% RDK +25% Biotite + KSB <sub>1</sub>	36.48	40.48	72.96	75.31		

T12 50% RDK+25% Biotite + KSB <sub>2</sub>	34.68	37.66	82.69	77.62
T13 75% RDK +25% RDK through Biotite + KSB <sub>1</sub>	54.33	56.19	98.66	95.38
T14 75% RDK + 25% RDK through Biotite + KSB	46.56	47.48	94.21	94.95
SEm±	2.21	2.49	2.95	3.71
CD(p=0.05)	6.42	7.23	8.58	10.79

## Conclusion

It is concluded that the application of KSB and sources of K significantly influenced the yield attributes and yield of maize crop as compared to uninoculated control. The number of lines  $cob^{-1}$ , number of grains  $cob^{-1}$ , cob length, cob weight, grain weight  $cob^{-1}$ ,100-seed weight, grain and stubble yield of maize was significantly superior with treatment T<sub>13</sub> (75% RDK +25% RDK through Biotite + KSB<sub>1</sub>) as compared to other treatments. Therefore, application of 100% RDK through inorganic and mineral K with KSB gave better result over the 50% application and uninoculated control.

## References

- Ahmad M, Nadeem SM, Naveed M, Zahir ZA. Potassium-solubilizing bacteria and their application in agriculture, in: Meena, V.S., Maurya, B.R., Verma, J.P., Meena, R.S. (Eds.), Potassium solubilizing microorganisms for sustainable agriculture. Springer India, New Delhi, 2016, 293-313.
- 2. Ahmad M, Zahir ZA, Asghar HN, Arshad M. The combined application of rhizobial strains and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria improves growth and productivity of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.) under salt–stressed conditions. Annals of Microbiology. 2012; 62:1321-1330.
- 3. Almeida HJ, Pancelli MA, Prado RM, Cavalcante VS, Cruz FJR. Effect of potassium on nutritional status and productivity of peanuts in succession with sugar cane. J Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 2015; 15:1-10.
- 4. Anonymous, Agricultural statistics at a glance, published by ministry of Agriculture, Govt. of India, 2012.
- 5. Archana DS, Savalgi VP, Alagawadi AR. Effect of potassium solubilizing bacteria on growth and yield of maize. Soil Biology and Ecology. 2008; 28(1-2):9-18.
- 6. Bakhshandeh E, Pirdashti H, Lendeh KS. Phosphate and potassium-solubilizing bacteria effect on the growth of rice. Ecol. Eng. 2017; 103:164-169.
- Baldi E, Toselli M, Eissenstat DM, Marangon B. Organic fertilization leads to increased peach root production and lifespan. Tree Physiology. 2010; 30:1373-1382.
- 8. Basak BB, Biswas DR. Influence of potassium solubilizing microorganism (*Bacillus mucilaginosus*) and waste mica on potassium uptake dynamics by sudan grass (*Sorghum vulgare* Pers.) grown under two Alfisols. Plant and Soil. 2009; 317(1-2):235-255.
- 9. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. John Wiley and sons, Inc. London, U.K (2nd edtn), 1984, 13-175.
- Gundala PB, Chinthala P, Sreenivasulu B. A new facultative alkaliphilic, potassium solubilizing, Bacillus Sp. SVUNM9 isolated from mica cores of Nellore District, Andhra Pradesh, India. Research and Reviews. J Microbiol. Biotechnol. 2013; 2:1-7.
- 11. Han HS, Lee KD. Phosphate and potassium solubilizing bacteria effect on mineral uptake, soil availability and growth of eggplant. Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences. 2005; 1(2):176-180.
- 12. Hussain Z, Khattak RA, Irshad M, Mahmood Q, An P. Effect of saline irrigation water on the leachability of

salts, growth and chemical composition of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in saline-sodic soil supplemented with phosphorus and potassium. J soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 2016; 16:604-620

- Keshavarz Zarjani J, Aliasgharzad N, Oustan S, Emadi M, Ahmadi A. Isolation and characterization of potassium solubilizing bacteria in some Iranian soils. Arch. Agron. Soil Sci. 2013; 59:1713-1723.
- Kunoto YC. Studies on dual inoculation of potassium solubilizing bacteria and phosphorus solubilizing bacteria on growth and yield of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) M. Sc. (agri.) Thesis, Univ. Agric. Dharwad, Karnataka (India), 2010.
- 15. Meena G, Maurya BR. Potentiality of Potassium Solubilizing Bacteria on Enhancing the Growth, Yield and Nutrient Acquisition on Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6(4):2443-2450.
- Meena VS, Maurya BR, Verma JP. Does a rhizospheric microorganism enhance K+ availability in agricultural soils?. Micro-biol. Res. 2014; 169:337-347.
- 17. Mikhailouskaya N, Tchernysh A. K-mobilizing bacteria and their effect on wheat yield. Latvian Journal of Agronomy. 2005; 8:254-257.
- Parmar P, Sindhu SS. Potassium solubilization by rhizosphere bacteria: influence of nutritional and environmental conditions. J Micro-biol. Res. 2013; 3:25-31.
- 19. Prajapati K. Impact of potassium solubilizing bacteria on growth and yield of Mungebean (*Vigna radiata*). Indian journal of applied research. 2016; 6(2):2249-5550.
- 20. Saha M, Maurya BR, Meena VS, Bahadur I, Kumar A. Identification and characterization of potassium solubilizing bacteria (KSB) from Indo-Gangetic Plains of India. Bio-catal. Agri. Bio-technol. 2016; 7:202-209.
- 21. Sparks DL, Huang PM. Physical chemistry of soil potassium. Potassium in agriculture, 1985, 201276.
- 22. White PJ, Karley AJ. Potassium, Plant Cell Monographs, 2010, 199-224.
- 23. Xiao Y, Wang X, Chen W, Huang Q. Isolation and identification of three potassium-solubilizing bacteria from rape rhizospheric soil and their effects on ryegrass. Geomicrobiology. 2017; 34(10):873-880
- 24. Yang BM, Yao LX, Li GL, He ZH, Zhou CM. Dynamic changes of nutrition in litchi foliar and effects of potassium-nitrogen fertilization ratio. J Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 2015; 15:98-110.
- 25. Zhang C, Kong F. Isolation and identification of potassium-solubilizing bacteria from tobacco rhizospheric soil and their effect on tobacco plants. Applied soil ecology. 2014; 82:18-25.