



E-ISSN: 2278-4136
P-ISSN: 2349-8234
JPP 2019; 8(6): 882-887
Received: 28-09-2019
Accepted: 30-10-2019

SV Varshini

Ph. D. Scholar, Agricultural
College and Research Institute,
Tamil Nadu Agricultural
University, Coimbatore,
Tamil Nadu, India

R Babu

Professor Agronomy,
Agricultural College and
Research Institute,
Kudumiyamalai, Tamil Nadu
Agricultural University,
Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

Effect of graded levels and split application of nitrogen in yield, yield attributes and economics of hybrid maize

SV Varshini and R Babu

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at AC&RI, Madurai during *Rabi* season to study the effect of graded levels and split application of nitrogen on yield of hybrid maize and to optimize nitrogen fertilizer usage. The experiment was laid on RBD and replicated thrice. Treatments comprised of T₁ to T₁₀ with RDN (250 kg/ha), and STCR (167 kg/ha) on 3 splits and nitrogen levels 225, 200, 175 and 150 kg/ha under 3 splits and 4 splits on the variety CO MH-6. Results showed that higher grain yield (9320 kg/ha) and stover yield (11180 kg/ha), was with T₇, it also register highest gross return (₹139802), net return (₹ 84080) and B:C ratio (2.51). From the results, it is concluded that application of T₇ is the optimum dosage and timing of split application of nitrogen for Co MH-6. However in economic point of view T₆ has an advantage.

Keywords: Nitrogen - optimization, split - application, STCR, CO (MH) 6, productivity

Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is known as “Miracle crop” in view of its several uses. It is being grown both for seed and fodder purpose. It is also gaining importance as a commercial or industrial crop; wherein a large number of by products are being manufactured out of its grain. Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium are the essential nutrients for the production of maize. They play a crucial role in deciding the growth and yield. Phosphorus is known to stimulate early and extensive development of root systems, which enable rapid maize growth and to mature early (Sankaran *et al.*, 2005) [23].

Maize has a high yield potential and responds greatly to potassium fertilizer. Potassium affects plant metabolism, although the amounts needed for this purpose are very small. Large amounts of potassium are also needed for regulation of different physico-chemical processes in plants including water utilization by the plants (Corazzina *et al.*, 1991) [7]. Many workers have indicated that nitrogen is deficient in Indian soils with 99 per cent of soils responding to N application.

The fact that Nitrogen is the most deficient primary nutrient in Indian soils makes it the most valuable and important nutrient that needs to be optimized. The deficiency of N is usually the most limiting factor in maize production during early growth and grain filling period (El-Douby *et al.*, 2001; Zeidan *et al.*, 2006) [10, 30]. The nitrogenous fertilizer has high performance on maize yield. Even though the cost of fertilizer is high it is inevitable that N-fertilizer usage cannot be avoided but must be optimize the usage in order to obtain a sustainable growth in both in production and farming.

Time and method of N application plays an important role in efficient utilization (Mohammad *et al.*, 1999) [14]. The time of application of nitrogen is critical and is regarded as the most important decision for high yielding hybrid maize production (Walsh, 2006) [29]. Synchronization between N supply and demand enhances N uptake rates and increase N use efficiency thereby reducing N losses (Rizwan *et al.*, 2003) [20]. The rapid developmental phase of maize starts from V₆ during the highest N uptake takes place. Therefore, maize responds to the belated N application Binder *et al.* (2000) [5].

Generally the N application from V₈ to V₁₀ growth stages could be the appropriate time of N supply to meets its high demand (Hassan *et al.*, 2010) [11]. Nitrogen application during late vegetative growth was considered to be ideal a application practice as a means to increase nitrogen use efficiency Schmidt *et al.* (2002) [24] and Muthukumar *et al.* (2005) [16].

At present the recommendation of nitrogen is 250 kg/ha with three splits at basal (25%), 25 DAS (50%) and 45 DAS (25%). Hence, it was observed that there is scope for extended split application of nitrogen with graded level of nitrogen application.

Corresponding Author:**SV Varshini**

Ph. D. Scholar, Agricultural
College and Research Institute,
Tamil Nadu Agricultural
University, Coimbatore,
Tamil Nadu, India

Generally, N uptake improved and grain yield increased with split N fertilization compared to one single application at planting under irrigation system. Also the split application at different plant growth stages leads to increase in yield attributes of maize (Sangoi *et al.*, 2007) [22].

With these in mind, this present study is constructed to determine the effects graded levels and split application of N on the yield components and economics of hybrid maize.

Materials and method

The experiment was conducted during the *Rabi* season of 2016-2017 at the Department of farm management in Agricultural College and Research Institute, Madurai (Tamil Nadu). The experimental site is situated at 9°54' N latitude and 78°54' 'E' longitude with an altitude of 147 m above mean sea level and belongs to semiarid conditions with an average rainfall of 856 mm, the maximum and minimum temperature is about 32.9 °C and 20.8 °C, respectively and the relative humidity is about 81.4 per cent. The soil on the field was sandy clay loam with low organic carbon (4.4 g/kg), low available nitrogen (242.3 kg/ha), medium in phosphorus (16 kg/ha) and high in potassium (450 kg/ha) with a pH of 8.1 and with a EC of 0.17 dSm⁻¹.

The variety adapted for the research was hybrid maize Co MH – 6. The field was laid on a randomized block design with three replications. Seeds of maize hybrids were sown on the side of the ridges by adopting a spacing of 60 x 25 cm.

The treatments comprises of applying six levels of nitrogen with three and four respective splits at different growth stages as T₁: RDN (250 kg/ha) in 3 splits at basal (25%), 25 DAS (50%) and 45 DAS (25%), T₂: STCR (167 kg N/ha) in 3 splits at basal (25%), 25 DAS (50%) and 45 DAS (25%), T₃: 225 kg N/ha in 3 splits at 7 DAS (25%), 25 DAS (50%) and 45 DAS (25%), T₄: 200 kg N/ha in 3 splits at 7 DAS (25%), 25 DAS (50%) and DAS (25%), T₅: 175 kg N/ha in 3 splits at 7 DAS (25%), 25 DAS (50%) and 45 DAS (25%), T₆: 150 kg N/ha in 3 splits at 7 DAS (25%), 25 DAS (50%) and 45 DAS (25%), T₇: 225 kg N/ha in 4 equal splits at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS, 60 DAS, T₈: 200 kg N/ha in 4 equal splits at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS, 60 DAS, T₉: 175 kg N/ha in 4 equal splits at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS, 60 DAS T₁₀: 150 kg N/ha in 4 equal splits at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS, 60 DAS. P and K was applied with the recommended dose of 75 kg/ha (RDF) as basal. Nitrogen was applied. The entire dosage of Phosphorus and

Potassium was applied basally. The N, P and K fertilizers were applied in the form of urea (46% N), single super phosphate (16% P₂O₅) and muriate of potash (MOP) (60% K₂O) respectively.

STCR recommendations for T₂ was derived based on the fertilizer prescription equations (FPE) described for Palaviduthi series obtained from the STCR unit of the Department of Soil Science and Analytical Chemistry, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore,

$$FN = 3.96 T - 0.62 SN - 0.69 ON$$

$$FP_2O_5 = 1.56 T - 1.93 SP_2O_5 - 0.60 OP$$

$$FK_2O = 1.66 T - 0.27 SK_2O - 0.49 OK$$

The field was irrigated on need basis at an interval of 8 to 10 days. 0.5 kg ai/ha of pre-emergence herbicide atrazine was applied on 3 DAS by using knapsack sprayer and followed by subsequent hand weeding when needed. For observations five random tagged plants were selected from each plot and their respective yield and yield attributes were observed.

The expenditure incurred from sowing to harvest was calculated and expressed in ₹/ha. Total income obtained from grain and stover yield were calculated for individual treatments. Cost of cultivation, gross return, net return and benefit cost ratio were calculated using the price of inputs and produce that prevailed during the crop season. Cost benefit analysis was computed for all the treatments using the following formula.

$$(i) \text{ Gross return (₹/ha)} = \text{Economic yield (kg/ha)} \times \text{Market value of the produce (₹/kg)}$$

$$(ii) \text{ Net return (₹/ha)} = \text{Gross return (₹/ha)} - \text{Cost of cultivation (₹/ha)}$$

$$(iii) \text{ Benefit: } \text{Cost ratio} = \frac{\text{Gross return (₹/ha)}}{\text{Cost of cultivation (₹/ha)}}$$

Results

Yield attributes

The results obtained from the study revealed that application of nitrogen at 225 kg/ha in four equal splits at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS (T₇) produced significantly higher cob length (21.20 cm) and cob girth (16.17 cm) (Table 1). However, it was statistically at par with 200 kg/ha of nitrogen at four equal splits (T₈) at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS.

Table 1: Effect of graded levels and split application of nitrogen on yield attributes of hybrid maize

Treatments	Cob length (cm)	Cob girth (cm)	No. of grains/row	No. of grain rows/cob	No. of grains/cob
T ₁ - 250 kg N in 3 splits (RDN)	20.84	16.08	35.33	14.33	512.17
T ₂ - 167 kg N in 3 splits (STCR)	19.78	15.58	33.83	12.75	447.32
T ₃ - 225 kg N in 3 splits	20.45	15.78	34.83	13.67	497.92
T ₄ - 200 kg N in 3 splits	20.37	15.75	34.17	13.50	483.75
T ₅ - 175 kg N in 3 splits	19.95	15.67	34.00	12.83	455.92
T ₆ - 150 kg N in 3 splits	19.41	15.42	33.08	12.42	402.78
T ₇ - 225 kg N in 4 splits	21.20	16.17	36.32	14.83	535.83
T ₈ - 200 kg N in 4 splits	21.03	16.13	36.00	14.67	525.05
T ₉ - 175 kg N in 4 splits	20.65	15.92	35.00	14.00	505.75
T ₁₀ - 150 kg N in 4 splits	19.70	15.55	33.50	12.67	440.15
SEd	0.20	0.53	0.53	0.13	8.9
CD (P = 0.05)	0.42	0.37	1.11	0.27	18.6

The highest number of grains rows per cob (14.83) (Table 1), grains per row (36.32), grains per cob (535.83) were registered with application of nitrogen at 225 kg/ha in four equal splits at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS (T₇). But this treatment was comparable with application of 200 kg/ha of

nitrogen in four equal splits at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS (T₈) and application of 250 kg/ha of nitrogen in three splits at basal (25%). The least numbers in all was associated with application of nitrogen at 150 kg/ha in three splits at 7 DAS (25%), 25 DAS (50%) and 45 DAS (25%) (T₆).

The application of 225 kg/ha of nitrogen in four equal splits at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS (T₇) had produced the heavier cob with a weight of 204g and as well highest test weight of 39.07 g (Table 1). Application of nitrogen at 200 kg/ha in four equal splits at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS (T₈) also showed a commensurate cob weight and test weight as that of T₇. The smallest cob weight and test weight was associated with application of nitrogen at 150 kg/ha in three splits at 7 DAS (25%), 25 DAS (50%) and 45 DAS (25%) (T₆).

Yield

The result also shows that grain yield and stover yield was highly influenced by the varied dosage of nitrogen as well as

with split application of nitrogen. Significantly higher grain yield of 9320 kg/ha (Table 2) was registered with application of 225 kg/ha of nitrogen in four equal splits (T₇) at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS. Application of nitrogen at 200 kg/ha in four equal splits at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS (T₈) was at par with 225 kg/ha in four splits. Highest stover yield of 11180 kg/ha (Table 2) was also recorded the treatment T₇ but was comparable with the values of stover yield from the application nitrogen at 250 kg/ha in three splits at basal (25%), 25 DAS (50%) and 45 DAS (25%) (T₁). The lowest grain and stover yield (Table 2) was recorded with application of nitrogen at 150 kg/ha in three splits at 7 DAS (25%), 25 DAS (50%) and 45 (25%) (T₆).

Table 2: Effect of graded levels and split application of nitrogen on yield attributes and yield of hybrid maize

Treatments	Cob weight (g)	Test weight (g)	Grain yield (kg/ha)	Stover yield (kg/ha)	Harvest index
T ₁ - 250 kg N in 3 splits (RDN)	197	37.96	9110	10960	0.49
T ₂ - 167 kg N in 3 splits (STCR)	182	34.72	8430	8880	0.47
T ₃ - 225 kg N in 3 splits	192	37.06	8950	10270	0.48
T ₄ - 200 kg N in 3 splits	189	36.31	8760	9494	0.48
T ₅ - 175 kg N in 3 splits	182	35.10	8500	9096	0.47
T ₆ - 150 kg N in 3 splits	173	33.10	8100	8510	0.45
T ₇ - 225 kg N in 4 splits	204	39.07	9320	11180	0.49
T ₈ - 200 kg N in 4 splits	202	38.63	9250	10545	0.49
T ₉ - 175 kg N in 4 splits	195	37.41	9030	9776	0.48
T ₁₀ - 150 kg N in 4 splits	179	34.20	8410	8669	0.45
SEd	2.8	0.49	99	181	
CD (P= 0.05)	5.8	1.03	208	382	

The harvest index of hybrid maize was higher (0.49) in the treatment where application of 225 kg/ha of nitrogen in four equal splits at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS (T₇) was applied. This treatment was comparable with application of 200 kg/ha of nitrogen applied in four equal splits at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS (T₈) and 250 kg/ha of nitrogen applied in three splits at basal (25%), 25 DAS (50%) and 45 DAS (25%) (T₁). Application of 150 kg/ha of nitrogen applied in three splits at 7 DAS (25%), 25 DAS (50%) and 45 DAS (25%) (T₆) registered the lowest harvest index among the treatments tested.

Economics

The economics computed from the study revealed that the highest cost of cultivation of ₹ 56028/ha was registered with application of 250 kg/ha of nitrogen applied in three splits at basal (25%), 25 DAS (50%) and 45 DAS (25%) (T₁) followed by 55722/ha of the treatment (T₇) where application of 225 kg N/ha in four equal splits at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS was applied. For gross return T₇ with 225 kg/ha of nitrogen applied in four equal splits at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS recorded the highest of ₹ 139802/ha. This treatment was followed by treatment wherein, application of 200 kg/ha of nitrogen was applied in four equal splits at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS (T₈).

Table 3: Effect of graded levels and split application of nitrogen on economics of hybrid maize

Treatments	Cost of cultivation (Rs/ha)	Gross return (Rs/ha)	Net return (Rs/ha)	B:C ratio
T ₁ - 250 kg N in 3 splits (RDN)	56028	136650	80622	2.44
T ₂ - 167 kg N in 3 splits (STCR)	54470	126456	71986	2.32
T ₃ - 225 kg N in 3 splits	55186	134255	79069	2.43
T ₄ - 200 kg N in 3 splits	54874	131402	76528	2.39
T ₅ - 175 kg N in 3 splits	54567	127497	72930	2.34
T ₆ - 150 kg N in 3 splits	54260	121503	67243	2.24
T ₇ - 225 kg N in 4 splits	55722	139802	84080	2.51
T ₈ - 200 kg N in 4 splits	55410	138743	83334	2.50
T ₉ - 175 kg N in 4 splits	55103	135456	80353	2.46
T ₁₀ - 150 kg N in 4 splits	54796	126146	71350	2.30

Net return was highest (84080/ha) with application of 225 kg/ha of nitrogen in four equal splits as 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS (T₇) followed by application of 200 kg/ha of nitrogen in four equal splits at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS (T₈). Highest (2.51) benefit cost ratio was also associated with T₇ followed by the treatment T₈. The lowest cost of cultivation, gross return, net return and B:C ratio was obtained with the treatment T₆ (Table 3) with application of

150 kg/ha of nitrogen in three splits at 7 DAS (25%), 25 DAS (50%) and 45 DAS (25%).

Discussion

Yield attributes

The grain yield of hybrid maize is the manifestation of yield attributes viz., cob length, cob girth, and number of grains per cob row, number of grain rows per cob, number of grains per cob, cob weight and test weight. In the present investigation,

all the yield attributes were significantly and favourably influenced by the application of graded levels and split application of nitrogen.

Nitrogen plays a vital role by participating in different metabolic activities in plant system. Being an essential element, it plays an important role in crop yield attributes. In the present study, all the yield attributing characters recorded significantly higher values with the application of higher doses of nitrogen fertilizer at the rate of 225 kg/ha with four equal splits at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS. However, these values were comparable with 200 kg/ha with four split at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS.

The increase in cob length and cob girth in the present study might due to increase in N rates and more number of splits extended up to the end of vegetative growth period. This might have increased the photosynthate formation and better partitioning to stems which would have a favourable impact on cob length and girth of hybrid maize. These results are in close conformity with the results obtained by Ali and Raouf (2012) [2].

The highest number of grains per ear row with higher N rates might have resulted from the greater assimilates partitioning to the seeds as a result of longer growth period and higher photosynthate availability during the grain filling period (Amanullah *et al.*, 2009a) [3]. Decrease in the number of grains per cob row under lower N application might be attributed to poor development of sinks and reduced translocation of photosynthates. The high N rates delayed the appearance of phenological stages, and it might be the reason for increasing the number of grains per cob row as suggested by Dawadi and Sah (2012) [8].

High levels of nitrogen with adequate split application gave the plants proper nutrition and decrease of competition and abortion of flowers at the flowering stages. These determines the number of ovule per row, the number of grains per row increased (Aktinoye *et al.*, 1997) [1]. Later Mariga *et al.* (2000) [13] and (Rokhsareh and Seyed, 2015) [21] also repeated similar results of increased grain row per cob with increased dose of N as well as with extended split application of nitrogen.

The higher number of grains per cob might be due to increased N rate and increased splits. This way of nitrogen management would have increased the reproductive growth period of maize and more so, the photo assimilates were better partitioned to the seed during the grain filling stage. This could ultimately decrease the tip fill and increased the number of grains per cob. The greater number of grains per cob with higher N rates may have resulted from greater assimilates as a result of larger leaf area and more photosynthesis during grain filling. The increase in number of grains per cob with increase in the number of splits may be due to comparatively more assimilates availability than the other treatments at the time of grain filling which and might have resulted in formation of more number of grains per cob. These results are in conformity with those of Stone *et al.* (1998) [26], Soliman *et al.* (1999) [25], Andrade *et al.* (1999) [4]. The increase in cob weight is due to better plant and cob development results from better nutrition. Better nutrition could have increased leaf chlorophyll content and thus the net assimilation rate and assimilates during grain filling and development which could have resulted in the heavier cobs. These results are in close conformity with Turgut (2000) [28].

The increase in test weight might be due to the elevated rate of N level and delayed split application of N. This improves the activity of enzyme in maize which would have increased

the test weight (Purcino *et al.*, 2000) [19]. Majidian *et al.* (2008) [12] stated that as nitrogen is responsible for more chlorophyll and more leaf area more assimilate results. Therefore, the grains that are formed are filled with more assimilates. These results are in conformity with the findings of El-Agrodi *et al.* (2011) [9], Bohrani and Tahmasebi (2006) [6].

Yield

Application of different doses of nitrogen profoundly influenced the grain yield of maize. Yield is the manifestation of yield attributing characters and largely governed by source (photosynthesis) and sink (grain) relationship as it is directly related to nitrogen. The results clearly indicated that grain yield of maize was increased progressively with the graded level of nitrogen and split application. Although the grain yield of maize was significantly higher with the application of 225 N kg/ha at four equal splits, it was comparable with next lower dose of 200 N kg/ha.

The study thus reveals that instead of increasing the dose of nitrogen, increase in split application of nitrogen increases the yield. The extended split application up to 60 DAS paved a additional source of N for enhancing the photosynthetic rate and thus could have resulted in better translocation of photosynthates during grain filling period. The results are in conformity with the earlier findings of (Namvar and Seyed, 2011) [17].

Further, in the present study basal application was delayed up to 7 DAS. Hence, it could have facilitated the crop for increased uptake of nitrogen probably due to better root system which might be reason for increased growth of the plant mainly LAI. This increased LAI was later maintained by extended split application of nitrogen. Moreover, earlier reports also stated that extended split application up to flowering would result in enhanced grain filling period (Mariga *et al.*, 2000) [13]. Subedi and Ma (2005) [27] earlier reported that split application of N resulted in increase transport of photo assimilated during grain filling period.

The increased rate of N application with increased split up to reproductive stage of the crop helps increasing all the yield attributing character viz., number of grain rows per cob, number of grains per cob and test weight and thus increased the yield of hybrid maize. The present findings corroborate with the findings of (Pandey and Chaudhary, 2014) [18]. Thus it can be concluded from the present study that delayed split application is favorable to meet the N demand at later stages as it has more likelihood of being utilized for sink rather than for vegetative organs and could have helped for sink development and ultimately increased the yield parameters and yield. Mungai *et al.* (1999) [15] earlier reported such results.

Economics

The economic analysis of hybrid maize indicated that different doses and split applications of nitrogen substantially influenced all the economic parameters. In the present study increased split application of nitrogen for the same level of nitrogen increased the cost of cultivation marginally due to increased labour cost alone. But the increased yield due to increased split application was large enough and it increased the gross return and thus net return and B:C ratio.

In case of application of N at 250 kg/ha it recorded the highest cost of cultivation due to the increased cost of N by 25 kg as compared to application of 225 kg/ha. However, the increased split application favoured for more yield and thus increased

the gross return, net return and finally the B:C ratio. Hence, in all these cases, increased cost due to increased in number of split application was marked by higher returns due to increased yield.

Conclusion

It can be concluded from the present study that application of 225 kg N/ha of nitrogen in four equal splits at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS (T_7) was found to be effective in terms of improving the yield parameters and yield. The economics obtained was also in favour for this treatment. The next best treatment was application 200 kg N/ha in four equal split at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS (T_8). Hence 225 kg N/ha in four equal splits was found to be the optimum dose of nitrogen fertilizer for higher yield for and economic benefits 200 kg N/ha in four equal splits at 7 DAS, 25 DAS, 45 DAS and 60 DAS (T_8) can be recommended for hybrid maize. Further the study also clearly reveals that increasing the number of splits from three to four splits produce significantly more yield and benefit cost ratio.

References

1. Akintoye HA, Lucas EO, Klinga JG. Effects of density of planting and time of nitrogen application on maize varieties in different ecological zones of West Africa. *Communication in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*. 1997; 28(13&14):1163-1175.
2. Ali RN, Raouf SS. Effects of rates and nitrogen application timing on yield, agronomic characteristics and nitrogen use efficiency in corn. *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences*. 2012; 4(9):534-539.
3. Amanullah BM, Khan S, Paigham M, Noor, Shahnaz A. Nitrogen levels and its time of application influence leaf area, height and biomass of maize planted at low and high density. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*. 2009; 41(2):761-768.
4. Andrade FH, Vega C, Uhart S, Cirilo A, Cantarero M, Valentinuz O. Kernel number determination in maize. *Crop Science*. 1999; 39(2):453-459.
5. Binder DL, Sander DH, Walters DT. Maize response to time of nitrogen application as affected by level of nitrogen deficiency. *Agronomy Journal*. 2000; 92:1228-1236.
6. Bohrani A, Tahmasebi SZ. The effect of the rate and time of nitrogen consumption on yield, yield components and efficiency of remobilization of dry matter in two cultivars of winter wheat. *Journal of Agricultural*. 2006; 12(2):369-377.
7. Corazzina C, Gething PA, Henley MA, Mazzali E. Nutrition. In: IPL-Bull No. 5. Fertilizing for high yield maize. 2nd Ed. Int. Potash, Inst. Bern/Switzerland. 1991, 7-16.
8. Dawadi DR, Sah SK. Growth and yield of hybrid maize (*Zea mays*) in relation to planting density and nitrogen levels during winter season in Nepal. *Tropical Agricultural Research*. 2012; 23(3):218-227.
9. El-Agrodi MW, El-Ghamry AM, Lashin WM. Maize response to nitrogen rate and splitting in sandy clay loam soil. *Journal Soil Science and Agricultural Engineering, Mansoura University*. 2011; 2(11):1129-1139.
10. El-Douby KA, Ali EA, Toaima SEA. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer defoliation and plant density on maize grain yield. *Egypt Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2001; 79:965-981.
11. Hassan SW, Oad FC, Tunio S, Gandahi AW, Siddiqui MH, Oad SM *et al.* Effect of N application and N splitting strategy on maize N uptake, biomass production and physio-agronomic characteristics. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*. 2010; 26(4):551-558.
12. Majidian M, Ghalavand A, Kamgar HA, Karimian N. The effect of drought stress, nitrogen fertilizer, and organic manure on chlorophyll meter reading, grain yield, and yield components of grain maize SC704. *Iranian Journal of Crop Sciences*. 2008; 10(3):303-330.
13. Mariga IK, Jonga M, Chivinge OA. The effect of timing of application of basal and top dressing fertilizers on maize yield at two rates of basal fertilizer. *Crop Research, Hissar*. 2000; 20:372-80.
14. Mohammad MJ, Zuraiqi S, Quasmeh W, Papadopoulos I. Yield response and N utilization efficiency by drip-irrigated potato. *Nutrient Cycling in Agro ecosystems*. 1999; 54:243-249.
15. Mungai NW, Macharia CN, Kamau AW. The effect of organic and inorganic phosphorus, and time of split application of nitrogen on maize in Kenya. In: *Proceedings of the Sixth Eastern and Southern Africa Regional Maize Conference, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 1999*.
16. Muthukumar VB, Velayudham K, Thavaprakash N. Growth and yield of baby corn (*Zea mays*) as influenced by plant growth regulators and different time of nitrogen application. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Science*. 2005; 1(4):303-307.
17. Namvar A, Seyed SR. Phenological and morphological response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to symbiotic and mineral nitrogen fertilization. *Zemdirbyste-Agriculture*. 2011; 98(2):121-130.
18. Pandey B, Chaudhary NK. Response of tillage system, nitrogen level and split application of nitrogen on spring maize in chitwan, Nepal. *International Journal Applied Science Biotechnology*. 2014; 2(3):298-301.
19. Purcino AA, Silva MR, Andrade SR, Bebele CL, Parentoni SN, Santos MX. The effect of Nutrition on the activities of N assimilating enzymes in the pedicel placenta chalaza region *Maydica*. 2000; 45:95-103.
20. Rizwan M, Maqsood M, Rafiq M, Saeed Zahid A. Maize (*Zea mays*) response to split application of nitrogen. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*. 2003; 5(1):19-21.
21. Rokhsareh S, Seyed KM. Effect of split application of nitrogen fertilizer on quantitative and qualitative characteristics of maize (s.c.704) under water deficit condition. *Indian Journal of Fundamental and Applied Life Science*. 2015; 5(1):172-178.
22. Sangoi L, Paulo RE, Paulo RFD. Maize response to nitrogen fertilization timing in two tillage systems in a soil with high organic matter content. *R. Bras. Ci. Solo*. 2007; 31:507-517.
23. Sankaran N, Meena S, Sakthivel N. Input management in maize. *Madras Agricultural Journal*. 2005; 92(7-9):464-468.
24. Schmidt JP, Dwjoia AJ, Ferguson RB, Taylor RK, Young RK Halvin JL. Corn yield response to nitrogen at multiple locations in field. *Agronomy Journal*. 2002; 94:798-806.
25. Soliman FH, Morshed GA, Ragheb MM, Osman MK. Correlations and path coefficient analysis in four yellow maize hybrids grown under different levels of plant population densities and nitrogen fertilization. *Bulletin-*

- Faculty of Agriculture University of Cairo. 1999; 50:639-58.
26. Stone PJ, Sorensen IB, Reid JB. Effect of plant population and nitrogen fertilizer on yield and quality of super sweet corn. Proc. Ann. Conf. Agron. Soc., New Zealand. 1998; 28:1-5.
 27. Subedi KD, Ma BL. Nitrogen uptake and partitioning in stay green and leafy maize hybrids. Crop Science. 2005; 45:740-7.
 28. Turgut I. Effects of plant populations and nitrogen doses on fresh ear yield and yield components of sweet corn grown under Bursa conditions. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry. 2000; 24(3):341-347.
 29. Walsh OS. Effect of delayed nitrogen fertilization on corn grain yields. M. Sc. Thesis. Graduate College. Oklahoma State Uni., Oklahoma, 2006.
 30. Zeidan MS, Amany A, Bahr-El-Kramany MF. Effect of N-fertilizer and plant density on yield and quality of maize in sandy soil. Research Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science. 2006; 2:156-161.