



E-ISSN: 2278-4136
P-ISSN: 2349-8234
JPP 2019; 8(6): 2156-2158
Received: 01-09-2019
Accepted: 03-10-2019

Swati Mehta
Ph.D. Scholar, Rapeseed and Mustard, Division of PBG, SKUAST Jammu, Chatha, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Dr. Rajeev Bharat
Junior Scientist (Agronomy) AICRP, Rapeseed and Mustard, Division of PBG, SKUAST Jammu, Chatha, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Effect of integrated use of nano and non-nano fertilizers on nutrient use efficiency of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in irrigated subtropics of Jammu

Swati Mehta and Dr. Rajeev Bharat

Abstract

An experiment entitled, "Effect of integrated use of nano and non-nano fertilizers nutrient use efficiency of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.)" was conducted at the research farm of Farming System Research Centre of Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Jammu, Chatha during the *rabi* season of 2015-2016. The experimental results revealed that among the applied treatments, T₈ (50% NPK + Nano NPK (L) sprays at 20, 30 & 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water) recorded maximum nitrogen use efficiency and the minimum nitrogen use efficiency was recorded in treatment T₁ (RDF whereas in case of Phosphorus use efficiency, among the applied treatments, T₆ (75% N + 50% P₂O₅ + 100% Nano-K (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha + Nano NPK (G) @ 37.5kg/ha + 2 Nano NPK (L) sprays at 25 and 45 DAS @ 3ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water) recorded maximum phosphorus use efficiency and the minimum phosphorus use efficiency was recorded in treatment T₄ (75% N + 100% P₂O₅ + 50% K + 50% Nano-K(G) @ 31.25 kg/ha + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water). Potassium use efficiency was recorded maximum in treatment T₂ (100% N + 100% P₂O₅ + 100% Nano-K (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha) and the minimum potassium use efficiency was recorded in treatment T₁ (RDF).

Keywords: Nano-fertilizers, nano-K, nano NPK, non-nano fertilizers, NUE and wheat

Introduction

Wheat is a cereal grain, originally from the Levant region (Feldman *et al.*, 2007) ^[5] but now cultivated worldwide. Wheat is an important source of carbohydrates (Shewry and Hey, 2015) ^[13]. Globally, it is the leading source of vegetal protein in human food, having a protein content of about 13%, which is relatively high compared to other major cereals, but relatively low in protein quality for supplying essential amino acids. When eaten as the whole grain is a source of multiple nutrients and fibre. In a small part of the general population, gluten - the major part of wheat protein can trigger coeliac disease, non-coeliac gluten sensitivity, gluten ataxia and dermatitis herpetiformis (Ludvigsson *et al.*, 2013). The wheat crop is grown in India in an area of about 30 million hectare with a production and productivity of 90.78 million tonnes and 2.99 tonnes per hectare respectively (Anonymous, 2016) ^[1]. It is the major *rabi* crop of Jammu and Kashmir state and is grown on acreage of 292 thousand hectares with an annual production of 602 thousand metric tonnes with an average productivity of 2.06 tonnes per ha (Anonymous, 2016a) ^[2] which is quite low as compared to national average productivity. The green revolution of 1970's triggering high growth in agriculture which paved the way for food security in India mainly relied on short statured high yielding varieties which were responsive to inorganic fertilizers namely, Urea, DAP and MOP, thereby ensuring food security to the 1.2 billion up to early 21st century. India is mainly dependent on inputs of fertilizers which are imported from other countries and the input costs are rising on day to day basis with subsequent reduction in subsidies on imported fertilizers by Govt. of India to save foreign exchange besides increasing the GDP of the country. Presently 35-40% of the crop productivity depends upon fertilizer, but some of the fertilizer affects the plant growth directly. Ironically, indiscriminate and imbalanced use of these inorganic fertilizers has adversely affected the soil health, human well-being besides reducing factor productivity. The application of urea, DAP and MOP have been found to have lower fertilizer efficiency which ranges from 20 to 50% for nitrogen and 10-25% for phosphorus and 70-80% potassium (Shaviv, 2000; Chinnamuthu and Boopathi, 2009) ^[12, 3] owing to leaching losses besides volatilization and denitrification losses which not only contribute to the greenhouse gases

Corresponding Author:
Swati Mehta
Ph.D. Scholar, Rapeseed and Mustard, Division of PBG, SKUAST Jammu, Chatha, Jammu and Kashmir, India

emission but also certain health hazards such as blue baby syndrome as a result of eutrophication and leaching losses of urea. Because of the shortage of arable land, limited water and nutrient resources, the development of agriculture sector is only possible by increasing resource use efficiency with the minimum damage to production bed through effective use of modern technologies (Naderi and Shahraki, 2013) [10]. To overcome all these drawbacks, nanotechnology holds promise and nano-fertilizers can go a long way in ensuring sustainable soil health and crop production (Lal, 2008) [7]. Fertilizers, nutrients encapsulated inside nano porous materials, coated with thin polymer film, or delivered as particle or emulsions of nano scales dimensions (Rai *et al.*, 2012) [11] are known as Nano-fertilizers. Nano-particles below 100 nm size can be used as fertilizer for efficient nutrient management besides an added advantage of stress tolerating ability. Nano-fertilizers provide the major nutrients to the crop as per the requirement in a phased manner as it contains nutrients and growth promoters encapsulated in nano scale polymers. These nano scale polymers ensure low and a target efficient release for providing the nutrients to the crop in a sustained manner during its life cycle thus ensuring increased nutrient use efficiency. These could also release their active ingredients in response to environmental triggers and biological demands more precisely and play a beneficial role in soil health by building up carbon uptake, improving soil aggregation and water holding capacity. Nano-fertilizers being encapsulated in nano-particles increase the uptake of nutrients (Tarafdar *et al.*, 2014) [14]. These fertilizers made through biological process, are eco-friendly and have been designed to match inorganic fertilizers in terms of nutrient composition and application rates. Nano-fertilizers are synthesized in order to regulate the release of nutrients depending on the requirements of the crops and are more efficient than ordinary fertilizers (Liu and Lal, 2015) [9]. The chelated & revolutionary nutritional nano-fertilizers formulated with organic & chelated micro nutrients, trace elements, vitamins, probiotics, seaweed extract and humic acid served as complete nutritional fertilizer for all the crops. These high performance and efficient fertilizers enhanced the crop production while protecting ecology. Also, the deficiency of potassium had been reported in large area of Jammu region, therefore the nano-potassium was also included in the experiment. Thus, the present study was undertaken to evaluate the response of wheat crop to eco-friendly granular as well as foliar Nano-NPK and Nano-K fertilizers under Jammu conditions so that a viable and economically feasible option can be given to the farmers of the region for maintaining sustainable crop production with improved quality of the wheat crop. It is pertinent to mention here that no work on this aspect had been initiated in Jammu region so far.

Materials and Methods

The field experiment was conducted during *rabi* season of 2015-2016 at the Research Farm, FSR Centre, SKUAST-J, Main campus Chatha, Jammu. Geographically, the experimental site was located at 32° - 40' N latitude and 74° - 58' E longitude with an altitude of 332 meters above mean sea level in the Shiwalik foothills of North-Western Himalayas. The climate of the experimental site was mainly sub-tropical in nature endowed with hot and dry early summers followed by hot and humid monsoon seasons and cold and dry winters. The mean annual rainfall of the location varies from 1050 - 1115 mm of which 70% rainfall is received from June to September, whereas the remaining 30%

of rainfall is received in few scanty showers of cyclonic winter rains from December to March due to western disturbances. However, the total rainfall and its distribution are subjected to large variations. The soil of experimental site was clay loam in texture, slightly alkaline in reaction, low in organic carbon, available nitrogen and potassium but medium in available phosphorus. The experiment was laid out in randomized-block design with eight treatments and three replications at the research farm of Farming System Research Centre of Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Jammu, Chatha. Urea, DAP and MOP were used as chemical sources of fertilizer and for nano-fertilizer treatments, Nano NPK and Nano-K in granular (G) and liquid forms (L) were used in the experiment. The experiment consisted of 8 treatments *viz.* T₁: RDF (Control), T₂: 100% N + 100% P₂O₅ + 100% Nano-K (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha, T₃: 100% N + 100% P₂O₅ + 50% K + 50% Nano-K (G) @ 31.25 kg/ha + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water, T₄: 75% N + 100% P₂O₅ + 50% K + 50% Nano-K (G) @ 31.25 kg/ha + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water, T₅: 75% N + 50% P₂O₅ + 50% Nano-K (G) @ 31.25 kg/ha + Nano NPK (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha, T₆: 75% N + 50% P₂O₅ + 100% Nano-K (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha + Nano NPK (G) @ 37.5kg/ha + 2 Nano NPK (L) sprays at 25 and 45 DAS @ 3ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water, T₇: 100% NPK + Nano NPK (L) sprays at 20, 30 & 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water and T₈: 50% NPK + Nano NPK (L) sprays at 20, 30 & 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water which was arranged in Randomized Block design with three replications. The crop variety WH-1105 was sown on 30th November, 2015. Full dose of P and K along with one third of N was applied as basal dose at the time of sowing through inorganic sources of nutrients *viz.* Urea, DAP and MOP, respectively and remaining two third was applied in two equal splits at CRI stage and pre booting stage. Granular as well as foliar forms of Nano-NPK and Nano-K were applied as per the treatments. Nutrient use efficiency is the response in grain yield per unit of fertilizer nutrient applied and was calculated by the following formula (Devasenapathy *et al.*, 2008) [14]. It is expressed in kg of grain/kg of nutrient.

$$\text{Nutrient use efficiency} = \frac{\text{Grain yield}}{\text{Quantity of fertilizer nutrient applied (kg/ha)}}$$

Results and Discussion

The treatment wise nutrient use efficiency was worked out with the help of available data of grain yield (q/ha) and amount of nutrient used in each treatment. The data so obtained has been given in Table 1. The highest nitrogen and phosphorus use efficiency were recorded in treatment T₈ (50% NPK + Nano NPK (L) sprays at 20, 30 & 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage @ 4 ml/litre of water) whereas, highest potassium use efficiency was recorded in treatment T₂ (100% N + 100% P₂O₅ + 100% Nano-K (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha). This might be due to the fact that nano-fertilizers have large surface area and particle size, less than the pore size of root and leaves of the plant which can increase penetration into the plant from applied surface and improve uptake and nutrient use efficiency of the nano-fertilizer. Reduction of particle size

results in increased specific surface area and number of particles per unit area of a fertilizer that provide more opportunity to contact of nano-fertilizer which leads to more penetration and uptake of the nutrient and thus results in high nutrient use efficiency (Liscano *et al.*, 2000) [8]. Below 100

nm nano-fertilizers makes plant use fertilizers more efficiently, reduces pollution, environmentally friendly, dissolve in water more effectively thus increase its metabolic activities (Joseph and Morrison, 2006) [6].

Table 1: Effect of integrated use of nano and non-nano fertilizers on nutrient use efficiency w.r.t. NPK

Treatments		Nitrogen use efficiency	Phosphorus use efficiency	Potassium use efficiency
T ₁	RDF (control) (N:P:K @ 100:50:25 kg/ha)	0.37	0.74	1.48
T ₂	100% N + 100% P ₂ O ₅ + 100% Nano-K (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha	0.40	0.80	2.56
T ₃	100% N + 100% P ₂ O ₅ + 50% K + 50% Nano-K (G) @ 31.25 kg/ha + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water	0.42	0.85	2.06
T ₄	75% N + 100% P ₂ O ₅ + 50% K + 50% Nano-K (G) @ 31.25 kg/ha + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water	0.47	0.70	1.70
T ₅	75% N + 50% P ₂ O ₅ + 50% Nano-K (G) @ 31.25 kg/ha + Nano NPK (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha	0.40	1.06	2.51
T ₆	75% N + 50% P ₂ O ₅ + 100% Nano-K (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha + Nano NPK (G) @ 37.5kg/ha + 2 Nano NPK (L) sprays at 25 and 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water	0.44	1.23	1.80
T ₇	RDF + 3 Nano NPK (L) sprays at 20, 35 and 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water	0.44	0.88	1.73
T ₈	50% RDF + 3 Nano NPK (L) sprays at 20, 35 and 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water	0.56	1.12	2.14

*Granular – (G)

*Liquid – (L)

On the basis of one year study, it is concluded that among the different integrated nano and non-nano fertilizers, the maximum nitrogen and phosphorus use efficiency was recorded in treatment T₈ (100% NPK + Nano NPK (L) sprays at 20, 30 & 45 DAS @ 3 ml/litre of water + 2 Nano-K (L) sprays at grain development stage at 110 and 125 DAS @ 4 ml/litre of water). However, treatment T₂ (100% N + 100% P₂O₅ + 100% Nano-K (G) @ 62.5 kg/ha) recorded maximum potassium use efficiency.

References

- Anonymous. Agricultural Statistics at a glance. Directorate of Economics & Statistics, Ministry of Agriculture Department of Agriculture & cooperation. Government of India, 2016.
- Anonymous. Economic survey. Directorate of Economics & Statistics, Government of Jammu & Kashmir, 2016a.
- Chinnamuthu CR, Boopathi PM. Nano-technology and Agroecosystem. Madras Agricultural Journal. 2009; 96:17-31.
- Devasenapathy P, Ramesh T, Gangwar B. Efficiency indices for agriculture management research. New India Publishing Agency, New Delhi, 2008.
- Feldman, Moshe, Kislev, Mordechai E. Domestication of emmer wheat and evolution of free-threshing tetraploid wheat in "A Century of Wheat Research- from Wild Emmer Discovery to Genome Analysis. Israel Journal of Plant Sciences. 2007; 55(3):207-221.
- Joseph T, Morrison M. Nanoforum: Nano-technology in agriculture and food. European Nano-technology Gateway, 2006.
- Lal R. Promise and limitations of soils to minimize climate change. Journal of Soil Water Conservation. 2008; 63:113A-118A.
- Liscano JF, Wilson CE, Norman RJ, Slaton NA. Zinc availability to rice from seven granular fertilizers. AAES Research Bulletin. 2000; 963:1-31.
- Liu R, Lal R. Potentials of engineered nano particles as fertilizers for increasing agronomic productions. Science of the Total Environment. 2015; 514:131-139.
- Naderi M, Shahraki A. Nano-fertilizers and their roles in sustainable agriculture. International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Science. 2013; 5(19):2229-2232.
- Rai V, Acharaya S, Dey N. Journal of Biomaterials and Nano-Biotechnology. 2012; 3:315-324.
- Shaviv A. Advances in controlled release of fertilizers. Advanced Agronomy Journal. 2000; 71:1-49.
- Shewry PR, Hey SJ. Review: The contribution of wheat to human diet and health. Food and Energy Security. 2015; 4(3):178-202.
- Tarafdar JC, Sharma S, Raliya R. Nano-technology: Interdisciplinary science of applications. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2014; 12(3):219-226.