

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2019; 8(6): 2112-2115 Received: 04-09-2019 Accepted: 08-10-2019

Vijay N Limbachiya

Department of Plant Pathology, C.P. College of Agriculture, S.D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Banaskantha, Gujarat, India

DS Patel

Department of Plant Pathology, C.P. College of Agriculture, S.D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Banaskantha, Gujarat, India

RP Raval

Department of Plant Pathology, C.P. College of Agriculture, S.D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Banaskantha, Gujarat, India

Corresponding Author: Vijay N Limbachiya Department of Plant Pathology, C.P. College of Agriculture, S.D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar, Banaskantha, Gujarat, India

Survey and screening of sorghum germplasms against anthracnose disease

Vijay N Limbachiya, DS Patel and RP Raval

Abstract

Sorghum is the fifth most important world cereal crop after wheat, rice, maize and barley. Anthracnose caused by *Colletotrichum graminicola* (Ces.) Wilson is one of the most destructive foliar diseases. Considering this, the present investigation was carried out through survey of anthracnose disease of sorghum to measure intensity and distribution of in three talukas of Banaskantha district *viz.*, Dantiwada, Deesa and Palanpur and screened the germplasms in the field conditions against anthracnose disease during *kharif*, 2017. The survey revealed that anthracnose disease was more severe in Dantiwada taluka (56.29 %) than Deesa (52.96 %) and Palanpur (47.78 %) talukas. Fifty sorghum germplasms were screened under field conditions against anthracnose disease of sorghum. Among them, twenty one germplasms were found susceptible, 6 germplasms were found highly susceptible, 16 germplasms were moderately resistant and seven germplasms showed resistant reaction [FM-303, 1013-4 (C-43 × UPMC-512), EJN-26, EJN-203, ER-23, ER-25 and CSV-17 × SPV-2133].

Keywords: Sorghum, anthracnose, Colletotrichum graminicola, germplasm

1. Introduction

Sorghum is one of the world's leading cereal crops. Sorghum is used for food, fodder and the production of alcoholic beverages (Reddy *et al.*, 2006)^[7]. Sorghum is grown in areas receiving 500 to 1000 mm annual rainfall with the temperature requirement of 26 °C to 32 °C. (Rao et al., 2004)^[6]. Sorghum is susceptible to many diseases, among them, foliar diseases of fungal origin prevalent in India are rust, downy mildew, anthracnose, zonate leaf spot, leaf blight, grey leaf spot, sooty stripe and tar spot (Sharma et al., 1978)^[8]. Anthracnose initially produced small, red, purple or brown spots with whitish or purple centers. The spots are elliptical or spindle shaped 2 to 4 mm long and 1 to 2 mm broad, surrounded by well-defined margin. The affected young seedling shows blighting. Infection is localized, fungus produce acervuli (Rangaswami and Mahadevan, 2010) ^[5]. Losses in grain yield of sorghum due to foliar diseases ranged from 32 to 60 per cent (Chesser et al., 1959)^[2]. The grain loss caused by anthracnose disease varies from region to region. It has been reported to be 1.2 to 16.4 per cent in India (Mishra and Siradhana, 1979)^[4]. So it is necessary to develop suitable management practices. Considering this, the present investigation on survey of anthracnose disease was carried out in three talukas of Banaskantha district of Gujarat. Eeconomic returns from this resource poor sorghum crop are meagre; growing resistant varieties is the only alternative for combating this important foliar disease. Therefore, it becomes necessary to screen the germplasms.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Survey of anthracnose disease of sorghum in Banaskantha district

The survey was conducted in second week of September during *kharif*, 2017 to know the incidence and severity of anthracnose of sorghum in the farmer fields of Dantiwada, Deesa and Palanpur talukas of Banaskantha district. In each taluka, three villages were covered. In each village, five farmer fields were observed. The severity of anthracnose of sorghum in each field was recorded by using 1 to 9 scales given by Wheeler (1969)^[11]. Per cent disease intensity was calculated by following formula (Wheeler, 1969)^[11].

| PDI | _ | Sum of numerical rating | $\times 100$ |
|------|---|---|--------------|
| I DI | _ | Total number of plants observed × Highest disease scale | ~ 100 |

2.2 Screening of sorghum germplasms against anthracnose disease

Fifty Sorghum germplasms were collected from the Sorghum Research Station, S.D. Agricultural University, Deesa. The germplasms were screened at Agronomy Instructional

Farm, C. P. College of Agriculture, S. D. Agricultural University, Sardarkrushinagar under natural condition during *kharif*, 2017. Germplasms were sown in the field with two replications. Observation on disease intensity of anthracnose was recorded at ten days intervals after germination on the

basis of disease severity 1 to 9 scales (Sharma, 1983)^[9]. Per cent disease intensity was calculated by following formula mentioned 2.1. Reaction of germplasm was categorized as below described by Kumari and Singh (2014)^[3].

| (A) Description of anthracnose rating scale | e 1-9 (Sharma, 1983) ^[9] |
|---|-------------------------------------|
|---|-------------------------------------|

| Score | Description |
|-------|---|
| 1 | No symptoms or presence of chlorotic flasks |
| 2 | 1-5 % leaf area covered with lesion |
| 3 | 6-10 % leaf area covered with lesion |
| 4 | 11-20 % leaf area covered with lesion |
| 5 | 21-30% leaf area covered with lesion |
| 6 | 31-40 % leaf area covered with lesion |
| 7 | 31-50 % leaf area covered with lesion |
| 8 | 51-75 % leaf area covered with lesion |
| 9 | > 75 % leaf area covered with lesion |

(B) Anthracnose disease severity rating scale (Kumari and Singh, 2014) $^{\left[3\right] }$

| Sr. No. | Per cent intensity | Reaction |
|---------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 1 | 0 to < 1.0 | Highly resistant |
| 2 | 1.1-5.0 | Resistant |
| 3 | 5.1-10.0 | Resistant |
| 4 | 10.1-20.0 | Moderately Resistant |
| 5 | 20.1-30.0 | Moderately Resistant |
| 6 | 30.1-40.0 | Susceptible |
| 7 | 40.1-50.0 | Susceptible |
| 8 | 50.1-75.0 | Highly Susceptible |
| 9 | 75.1 and above | Highly Susceptible |

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Survey of anthracnose disease of sorghum in Banaskantha district

3.1.1 Disease occurrence

The disease usually occurs in mid-July to October. The naturally infected plants were observed under frequent

rainfall, high RH and warm temperature enhance the development and spread of the disease. Disease severity was increased with increased in the age of plant. Weather conditions, in particulars rainfall play a critical role in the severity of sorghum anthracnose (Thakur and Mathur, 2000 and Chala *et al.*, 2010) ^[10].

3.1.2 Survey of anthracnose disease

The roving survey was conducted in three talukas of Banaskantha district in the second week of September during *Kharif*, 2017. Farmers of Dantiwada, Deesa and Palanpur talukas of Banaskantha district generally are growing Malvan and GJ-39 varieties of sorghum in the first to second week of July during *kharif* season. The survey revealed that anthracnose of sorghum was more in Dantiwada taluka. The highest disease intensity of anthracnose was observed in Nandotra village followed by Vaghrol village in Dantiwada taluka of Banaskantha district (Table -1).

| Sr. No. | Talukas | Villages | Anthracnose PDI (%) | Mean (%) |
|---------|-----------|----------|---------------------|----------|
| | | Nandotra | 60.37 | |
| 1 | Dantiwada | Sikariya | 52.96 | 56.29 |
| | | Vaghrol | 55.56 | |
| | | Latiya | 54.44 | |
| 2 | Deesa | Ranpur | 51.11 | 52.96 |
| | | Vasada | 53.33 | |
| | | Hebatpur | 50.00 | |
| 3 | Palanpur | Khemana | 44.07 | 47.28 |
| | | Songadh | 47.78 | |

Table 1: Survey of anthracnose disease of sorghum in Banaskantha district

In Dantiwada taluka, highest per cent disease intensity (PDI) (60.37%) was recorded in Nandotra village followed by Vaghrol (55.56%) and least PDI in Sikariya (52.96%). In Deesa taluka, highest per cent disease intensity (54.44%) was recorded in Latiya village followed by Vasada (53.33%) and least PDI in Ranpur (51.11%). In Palanpur taluka, highest per cent disease intensity (50.00%) was in Hebatpur village followed by Songadh (47.78%) and least PDI was recorded in Khemana (44.07%). Mean disease intensity of anthracnose was highest in Dantiwada taluka (56.29%) followed by Deesa taluka (52.96%) and least disease intensity was observed in Palanpur taluka (47.28%) of Banaskantha district.

3.1 Screening of sorghum germplasms against anthracnose disease

The use of resistant varieties provides the most effective method of managing the disease. Fifty sorghum germplasms were screened under field conditions against anthracnose disease during *kharif*, 2017. The observation was recorded at 25 days after sowing and then after 10 days interval up to harvesting. Among them, seven germplasms showed resistant reaction [FM-303, 1013-4 (C-43 × UPMC-512), EJN-26, EJN-203, ER-23, ER-25 and CSV-17 × SPV-2133], 16 germplasms were moderately resistant (SRF-305 (1079), EC-842912, EC-289489, EC-485031, HC-308, SRF-305 (1096)

etc., 21 germplasms were susceptible (EC-487508, EC-487541, EC-487837, EC-487509,DS-1178, DS-1181, DS-1161, DS-1165, DS-1162) *etc.* and six germplasms were

showed highly susceptible (EC-487502, EC-487507, EC-487495, EC-487347, EC-483112 and DS-1165) reaction against anthracnose disease (Table -2 and 3).

| | C 1 | 1 | • , | .1 | 1 | C 11 | 11.1 |
|--------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------|---------|------------|
| Table 2: Screening | of sorohum | germnlasms | against a | nthracnose | disease i | n field | conditions |
| Table 2. Servening | or sorghum | Sermptusmis | agamst a | munuenose | uiseuse i | in noiu | conditions |

| Sr. No. | Nome of commission | Days After Sowing | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Sr. No. | Name of germplasm | 25 | 35 | 45 | 55 | 65 | 75 | 85 | 95 |
| 1 | EC-487508 | 22.22 | 27.78 | 33.33 | 37.78 | 42.22 | 44.44 | 45.56 | 48.89 |
| 2 | EC-487502 | 25.56 | 27.78 | 36.67 | 52.22 | 67.78 | 70.00 | 73.33 | 76.67 |
| 3 | EC-487541 | 24.44 | 28.89 | 31.11 | 33.33 | 36.67 | 41.11 | 44.44 | 46.67 |
| 4 | EC-487507 | 25.56 | 33.33 | 45.56 | 54.44 | 63.33 | 61.11 | 64.44 | 72.22 |
| 5 | EC-487495 | 27.78 | 33.33 | 44.44 | 58.89 | 70.00 | 72.22 | 74.44 | 75.56 |
| 6 | EC-487347 | 31.11 | 42.22 | 52.22 | 58.89 | 67.78 | 74.44 | 78.89 | 80.00 |
| 7 | EC-487837 | 20.00 | 26.67 | 28.89 | 33.33 | 37.78 | 42.22 | 45.56 | 50.00 |
| 8 | EC-487509 | 23.33 | 28.89 | 34.44 | 37.78 | 40.00 | 43.33 | 46.67 | 47.78 |
| 9 | DS-1178 | 20.00 | 24.40 | 30.00 | 33.33 | 37.78 | 40.00 | 42.22 | 44.44 |
| 10 | DS-1181 | 22.22 | 27.78 | 34.44 | 38.89 | 41.11 | 43.33 | 46.67 | 47.78 |
| 11 | DS-1161 | 24.44 | 28.89 | 31.11 | 34.44 | 38.89 | 40.00 | 41.11 | 43.33 |
| 12 | DS-1165 | 24.44 | 28.89 | 38.89 | 53.33 | 58.89 | 60.00 | 67.78 | 70.00 |
| 13 | DS-1162 | 26.67 | 30.00 | 34.44 | 36.67 | 38.89 | 42.22 | 44.44 | 45.56 |
| 14 | SRF-305 (1079) | 10.00 | 13.33 | 13.33 | 16.67 | 16.67 | 17.78 | 18.89 | 20.00 |
| 15 | FM-303 | 6.67 | 7.78 | 7.78 | 8.89 | 8.89 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| 16 | 1013-4 (C-43 × UPMC-512) | 6.67 | 6.67 | 7.78 | 7.78 | 7.78 | 8.89 | 8.89 | 8.89 |
| 17 | 1030-5(UPMC-503 × SFRIL-75) | 23.33 | 25.56 | 32.22 | 36.67 | 40.00 | 43.33 | 45.56 | 48.89 |
| 18 | 1030-4 | 20.00 | 24.44 | 28.89 | 33.33 | 36.67 | 38.89 | 40.00 | 41.11 |
| 19 | 1030-5 (C-43 × UPMC-512) | 12.22 | 13.33 | 13.33 | 15.56 | 16.67 | 17.78 | 18.89 | 21.11 |
| 20 | 1013-4 | 22.22 | 25.56 | 30.00 | 32.22 | 37.78 | 40.00 | 41.11 | 43.33 |
| 21 | EC-483990 | 22.22 | 25.56 | 28.89 | 30.00 | 33.33 | 36.67 | 38.89 | 40.00 |
| 22 | EC-483436 | 24.44 | 26.67 | 31.11 | 34.44 | 37.78 | 38.89 | 40.00 | 42.22 |
| 23 | EC-842912 | 13.33 | 15.56 | 16.67 | 16.67 | 17.78 | 18.89 | 20.00 | 22.22 |
| 24 | EC-843004 | 23.33 | 28.89 | 31.11 | 34.44 | 37.78 | 40.00 | 43.33 | 45.56 |
| 25 | EC-485202 | 24.44 | 26.67 | 30.00 | 33.33 | 36.67 | 38.89 | 41.11 | 44.44 |
| 26 | EC-289768 | 25.56 | 28.89 | 31.33 | 36.67 | 40.00 | 42.22 | 44.44 | 47.78 |
| 27 | EC-483112 | 26.67 | 41.11 | 48.89 | 54.44 | 61.11 | 67.78 | 71.11 | 74.44 |
| 28 | EC-289489 | 24.44 | 26.67 | 32.22 | 34.44 | 37.78 | 40.00 | 43.33 | 46.67 |
| 29 | EC-485031 | 11.11 | 13.33 | 15.56 | 16.67 | 18.89 | 20.00 | 21.11 | 21.11 |
| 30 | EC-488239 | 22.22 | 25.56 | 28.89 | 33.33 | 38.89 | 41.11 | 44.44 | 48.89 |
| 31 | EJN-203 | 20.00 | 24.44 | 26.67 | 30.00 | 33.00 | 36.67 | 38.89 | 40.00 |
| 32 | EJN-26 | 6.67 | 6.67 | 7.78 | 7.78 | 8.89 | 8.89 | 8.89 | 10.00 |
| 33 | FM-624 | 7.78 | 7.78 | 7.78 | 8.89 | 8.89 | 8.89 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| 34 | HC-308 | 8.89 | 10.00 | 11.11 | 12.22 | 13.33 | 15.56 | 16.67 | 17.78 |
| 35 | FM-238 | 23.33 | 27.78 | 34.44 | 38.89 | 41.11 | 42.22 | 45.56 | 50.00 |
| 36 | SRF-305(1096) | 12.22 | 15.67 | 16.67 | 17.78 | 20.00 | 21.11 | 23.33 | 24.44 |
| 37 | ER-23 | 6.67 | 6.67 | 6.67 | 7.78 | 7.78 | 7.78 | 8.89 | 8.89 |
| 38 | FM-363 | 24.44 | 28.89 | 33.33 | 36.67 | 40.00 | 41.11 | 44.44 | 47.78 |
| 39 | ER-25 | 6.67 | 6.67 | 7.78 | 7.78 | 7.78 | 8.89 | 8.89 | 8.89 |
| 40 | 09-132(UPMC-503 × AKR-150) | 11.11 | 12.22 | 15.56 | 18.89 | 21.11 | 23.33 | 24.56 | 25.56 |
| 41 | CSV-27 × SPV-2109-7 | 15.56 | 16.67 | 18.89 | 18.89 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 22.22 | 23.33 |
| 42 | CSV-27 × SPV-2109-16 | 13.33 | 14.44 | 16.67 | 18.89 | 20.00 | 21.11 | 23.33 | 24.44 |
| 43 | $CSV-17 \times SPV-2133$ | 6.67 | 7.78 | 7.78 | 8.89 | 8.89 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| 44 | $SPV-2110 \times GFS-5$ | 14.44 | 14.44 | 17.78 | 18.89 | 20.00 | 22.22 | 24.44 | 26.67 |
| 45 | SPV-2110 × GJ-39 | 15.56 | 16.67 | 18.89 | 18.89 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 22.22 | 24.44 |
| 46 | SPV-2113 × GJ-39 | 14.44 | 15.56 | 16.67 | 18.89 | 20.00 | 21.11 | 22.22 | 23.33 |
| 47 | $SPV-2113 \times SSV-84$ | 15.56 | 16.67 | 18.89 | 22.22 | 22.22 | 24.44 | 25.56 | 27.78 |
| 48 | SPV-2113 × GFS-5 | 15.56 | 15.56 | 17.78 | 18.89 | 20.00 | 21.11 | 23.33 | 25.56 |
| 49 | 2501(AKR-354 × RS-627) | 13.33 | 15.56 | 18.89 | 20.00 | 21.11 | 22.22 | 24.44 | 26.67 |
| 50 | 2571(NSSB-15 × NSSB-1005) | 14.44 | 16.67 | 17.78 | 18.89 | 20.00 | 22.22 | 24.44 | 27.78 |
| Check | Malvan | 29.09 | 35.76 | 41.61 | 48.28 | 55.25 | 60.40 | 66.16 | 71.11 |

| Table 3: Reaction | of sorghum | germplasms | against | anthracnose | disease | in field | conditions |
|-------------------|------------|------------|---------|-------------|---------|----------|------------|
| | | | | | | | |

| Sr. No. | Disease Reaction | Number of germplasms | Name of Germplasm |
|---------|-------------------------|----------------------|--|
| 1 | Resistant | 7 | FM-303, 1013-4 (C-43 × UPMC-512), EJN-26, FM-624, ER-23, ER-25, CSV-17 |
| 1 | Resistant | 1 | × SPV-2133 |
| | | | SRF-305 (1079), EC-842912, EC-485031, HC-308, SRF-305(1096), 1013-4, 09- |
| | | tant 16 | 132 (UPMC-503 × AKR-150), CSV-27 × SPV-2109-7, CSV-27 × SPV-2109-16, |
| 2 | Moderately resistant | | SPV-2110 × GFS-5, SPV-2110 × GJ-39, SPV-2113 × GJ-39, SPV-2113 × SSV- |
| 2 | | | 84, |
| | | | SPV-2113 × GFS-5, 2501(AKR-354 × RS-627), 2571(NSSB-15 × NSSB-1005) |
| | | | EC-487508, EC-487541, EC-487837, EC-487509, DS-1178, DS-1181, DS-1161, |
| 2 | Susceptible | 21 | DS-1162, 1030-5(UPMC-503 × SFRIL-75), 1030-5 (C-43 × UPMC-512), 1030-4, |
| 5 | | Susceptible 21 | EC-483990, EC-483436, EC-843004, EC-485202, EC-289768, EC-289489, EC- |
| | | | 488239, EJN-203, FM-238, FM-363 |
| 4 | Highly susceptible | 6 | EC-487502, EC-487507, EC-487495, EC-487347, DS-1165, EC-483112 |

4. References

- 1. Chala A, Brurberg MB, Tronsmo AM. Incidence and severity of sorghum anthracnose in Ethiopia. Plant Pathology Journal. 2010; (1):23-30.
- 2. Chesser KS, Horsfall JG, Dimond AE. How sick is the plant? In: Plant Pathology. Academic Press, New York, London, 1959, 1.
- 3. Kumari R, Singh Y. Screening of Sorghum germplasms for resistance to anthracnose caused by *Colletotrichum graminicola*. International Journal of Basic and Applied Agricultural Research. 2014; 12(1):144-146.
- 4. Mishra A, Siradhana BS. Studies on the survival of sorghum anthracnose (*Colletotrichum graminicola*) pathogen. Philippines Agriculture. 1979; 62 (1):149-152.
- 5. Rangaswami G, Mahadevan A. Diseases of cereals. Diseases of Crop Plants in India. 4th Ed. Prentice-Hall of India Publication. 2010, 223-224.
- Rao BD, Rana BS, Jyothi S, Hyma L, Karthikeyan K, Kumar KAB *et al.* Importance and Economics of Sorghum and Pearl millet Production in Asia in alternative uses of sorghum and pearl millet in Asia, proceedings of the expert meeting ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, India 1st to 4th July (2003). CFC Technical Paper No. 34. 2004, 14-41.
- Reddy AR, Gowda C, Reddy B, Rai KN, Waliyar F, Alur AS *et al.* Enhanced Utilization Of Sorghum And Pearl Millet Grains In Poultry Feeds. An Indian Perspective. Department of Poultry Science, College of Veterinary Science, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, India International Crops Research Institute for Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru, Hyderabad, India, 2006.
- 8. Sharma HC, Puranik KK, Jadhav MR, Jain NK. Sorghum diseases in Madhya Pradesh. Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Viswa Vidyalaya Research Journal. 1978, 10:913.
- Sharma HLA. Technique for identifying and rating resistance to foliar diseases of sorghum under field conditions. Proceedings Indian Academy of Science. 1983; 42 (2):278-283.
- Thakur RP, Mathur K. Anthracnose. In: Compendium of sorghum disease. The American Phytopathological Society. MN, U.S.A. 2000, 10-12.
- 11. Wheeler BEJ. An Introduction to Plant Disease. John Wiley and Sons Ltd., London. 1969, 301.