



E-ISSN: 2278-4136

P-ISSN: 2349-8234

JPP 2019; 8(6): 1686-1688

Received: 28-09-2019

Accepted: 30-10-2019

Johnson Naorem

M.sc., (Hort). Dept of
Horticulture Science, Faculty of
Agriculture, Annamalai
University, Chidambaram,
Tamil Nadu, India

Jonah Dakho

PhD., (Hort). Dept of
Horticulture, School of
Agricultural Sciences and Rural
Development, Nagaland
University, Medziphema
Campus, Nagaland, India

Effect of phosphorus and potassium on yield and quality characters of bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) ecotype 'Mithipagal'

Johnson Naorem and Jonah Dakho

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted at Experimental Farm, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Tamil Nadu, India during 2013-2014 on effect of Phosphorus and Potassium on growth and yield characters of bitter gourd ecotype 'Mithipagal'. The treatments comprised of four levels of Phosphorus (0, 30, 60 and 90 kg ha⁻¹) and three levels of Potassium (0, 40 and 80 kg ha⁻¹) comprised of twelve treatment combinations under Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replications. Nitrogen @90 kg ha⁻¹ was applied in all treatments as constant dose except the control. Among the graded levels, P₃K₂ (Phosphorus 90 kg ha⁻¹+ Potassium 80 kg ha⁻¹) registered the maximum values in yield parameters viz, number of fruits plant⁻¹, fruit weight, fruit length, fruit diameter, fruit volume, fruit yield plant⁻¹ and fruit yield ha⁻¹. However, it was closely followed by P₂K₂. The maximum values of quality characters were found with the treatment combination of P₃K₂, which registered the maximum Ascorbic acid content and Total soluble solids.

Keywords: Bitter gourd, *Momordica charantia*, yield and quality

Introduction

India is a country with different agro climatic zones ranging from cool temperate to hot tropical zones on which a large number of vegetable crops are grown. The nutritive values of vegetables are having great impact on our diet. They are excellent source of proteins, vitamins, carbohydrates and mineral like calcium and iron. They also have the noteworthy, medicinal values which are used in some traditional medicines. India is producing 93.92 million tones of vegetables from 624.5 million hectares enabling to secure the 2nd rank in the World next to china (Brij Bala and Sharma, 2006) [1]. Our country shares about 12 % of the world output of vegetables from the 2 % of the cropped area.

Bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.) is one of the most popularly grown warm season vegetable crops in South East Asia. It is a member of the Cucurbitaceae family along with cucumber, watermelon, snake gourd and musk melon. Bitter gourd is also widely grown in Tamil Nadu with an area of over 1,074 hectares with an annual production of 12.80 thousand tones. The average production is 10-15 tons per hectare under normal management practices. Depending on location, bitter gourd is also known as bitter melon, Karela or balsam pear. Apart from the small fruits, which are called as 'Mithipagal', it is cultivated in almost parts of the India including Tamil Nadu. It is a trailing climber annual, which branches freely, and semi angled monoecious crop duration of 100-120 days. The importance of bitter gourd has been long recognized due to its high nutritive value and medicinal properties.

It is monoecious and is available with range of male and female sex ratio. It exhibits different constraints in increasing the production, out of this sex expression is also one of the most important one. Earlier different efforts have been made to attain change in sex expression through genetically, environmental and nutritional manipulation. However the use of different inorganic fertilizers at recommended doses becomes important tools in the particular respect. The right combination of inorganic fertilizers at the recommended doses not only alters the sex ratio in cucurbits but also increases the yield to a significant level (Karuppaiah and Kathiravan, 2006) [6]. Of all the above factors, nutrient management forms the essential requirement for establishment of any crop (Singh *et al.*, 2005) [14]. Therefore present investigation was carried out to study the effect of inorganic nutrients at the adequate quantity on yield and quality characters of bitter gourd type "Mithipagal".

Materials and methods

An experiment was carried out at Experimental Farm, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Tamil Nadu, India during 2013-2014 to study the effect

Corresponding Author:**Johnson Naorem**

M.sc., (Hort). Dept of
Horticulture Science, Faculty of
Agriculture, Annamalai
University, Chidambaram,
Tamil Nadu, India

of Phosphorus and Potassium on yield and quality characters of bitter gourd ecotype 'Mithipagal' consisting of four levels of Phosphorus (0, 30, 60 and 90 kg ha⁻¹) and three levels of Potassium (0, 40 and 80 kg ha⁻¹) comprised of twelve treatment combinations under Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with three replications. Nitrogen @ 90 kg ha⁻¹ was applied in all treatments as constant dose except the control. Seeds are sown in pits with a spacing of 100 x 75cm. One third of Nitrogen and full doses of Phosphorus and Potassium were applied as basal dose as per the treatment. The remaining doses of Nitrogen were applied on 30 and 45 days after sowing. The data were statistically analyzed using the method suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1987)^[10].

Results and Discussion

Individual effect of Phosphorus on yield attributes

The results revealed that the treatments varied significantly for the yield attributing characters. Among the treatments the maximum number of fruits plant⁻¹, fruit weight, fruit length, fruit diameter, fruit volume, fruit yield plant⁻¹ and fruit yield ha⁻¹ was recorded with the application of P₃ (90 kg ha⁻¹) of 36.53 fruits plant⁻¹, 28.65 g fruit⁻¹, 3.59 cm, 2.41 cm, 6.44 cm³, 1.05 kg plant⁻¹ and 13.74 t ha⁻¹ (Table 1). The increased trend in number of fruits plant⁻¹ might be due to the absorption of more nutrients by plants by the higher dose of P which in turn enhances the number of branches and node numbers. It was concurrence with the findings of Parikh and Chandra (1969)^[11] in cucumber, who envisaged the enhancement of yield attributes in their respective crops due to the enhancement in applied phosphorus level. Also it was supported by Kumar *et al.* (2004)^[8] in ridge gourd and it was opined that the higher levels of phosphorus might have influenced the net assimilation rate and increased the source to sink ratio which might have attributed to the increments in yield characters. Similarly there was significant increase in fruit weight, fruit length, fruit diameter and fruit volume by the increased application of phosphorus. This might be due to the application of higher dose of phosphorus levels which accelerated the source-sink relationship and leads to enhancement of fruit weight, length, diameter and volume. The findings are in line with the results of Singh and Chonkar (1996)^[12] who reported that increased dose of phosphorus (60 kg ha⁻¹) fertilization showed significant increase in fruit weight in musk melon. Significantly the highest ascorbic acid content (1.61 mg g⁻¹) and TSS (3.02⁰ Brix) which received the phosphorus level P₃ (90 kg ha⁻¹) when compared to control (P₀) given in Table 1.

Individual effect of Potassium on yield attributes

The results revealed that with the increasing dose of nutrients the yield of the plant increases among the treatments. Among the treatments the maximum number of fruits plant⁻¹, fruit weight, fruit length, fruit diameter, fruit volume, fruit yield plant⁻¹ and fruit yield ha⁻¹ was recorded with the application of K₂ (80 kg ha⁻¹) of 35.01 fruits plant⁻¹, 27.41 g fruit⁻¹, 3.37 cm, 2.38 cm, 5.89 cm³, 0.97 kg plant⁻¹ and 12.47 t ha⁻¹ (Table 1). The increasing trend of the above yield parameters due to the application of K₂ could be attributed due to its important role in rapid cell division and cell elongation which enhances the production of more number of female flowers and fruits plant⁻¹. Further, its role in photosynthesis, energy storage, cell division and enlargement contributed to the increased biomass production and productive source component which is an attributing factor for source-sink relationship (Kumar *et al.* 2004)^[8]. It was in conformity with the reports of Khurana *et al.* (1990)^[7] in cauliflower, Singh (2000)^[13] in hybrid tomato, and Das *et al.* (2005)^[3] in vegetable pea who evinced increment in yield attributes due to higher levels of potassium application. The highest yield plant⁻¹ and ha⁻¹ may be due to the increased trend in number fruits plant⁻¹, fruit weight, fruit length, fruit diameter and volume by the application of potassium 80 kg ha⁻¹. Similar increase in yield due to the 'K' application has been reported by Das *et al.* (1987)^[2] in pointed gourd and Goswamy and Sharma (1997)^[5] in spine gourd. Significantly the highest ascorbic acid content (1.75 mg g⁻¹) and TSS (3.26⁰ Brix) which received the phosphorus level K₂ (80 kg ha⁻¹) when compared to control (K₀).

Interaction effect of Phosphorus and Potassium on yield attributes

The significance of variance due to interaction effects of phosphorus and potassium for number of fruits plant⁻¹ (38.32), fruit weight (30.10), fruit length (3.85), fruit diameter (2.40), fruit volume (6.78), fruit yield plant⁻¹ (1.14 kg) and yield ha⁻¹ (15.01 t) were found with the application of P₃K₂ combination. Corroborative results were put forth by Deswaid and Patil (1984)^[4] in water melon, Mohanty *et al.* (2001) in chilli, Kumar *et al.* (2004)^[8] in ridge gourd who envisaged the influence of interaction between P and K in increasing the dry matter production and yield parameters of ridge gourd and opined that the increment in yield parameters due to interaction of P and K might be attributed by the complimentary effect of one over other in the interaction. Significantly the highest ascorbic acid content (1.98 mg g⁻¹) and TSS (3.65⁰ Brix) which received the interaction level of P₃K₂ when compared to control (P₀K₀) showed in Table 2.

Table 1: Effect of Phosphorus and Potassium on yield and quality characters of Bitter gourd ecotype 'Mithipagal'

Treatments	Fruit plant ⁻¹	Fruit weight (g)	Fruit Length (cm)	Fruit diameter (cm)	Fruit volume (cm ³)	Fruit yield plant ⁻¹ (kg)	Fruit yield ha ⁻¹ (t)	Ascorbic acid (mg g ⁻¹)	TSS (⁰ Brix)
Phosphorus levels									
P ₀	29.30	22.89	2.50	2.25	4.38	0.66	8.56	1.11	2.24
P ₁	31.77	24.82	2.89	2.31	5.02	0.81	10.28	1.40	2.74
P ₂	35.66	27.95	3.46	2.42	6.06	1.01	12.93	1.51	2.85
P ₃	36.53	28.65	3.59	2.41	6.44	1.05	13.74	1.61	3.02
SED	0.46	0.36	0.15	0.03	0.25	0.02	0.33	0.05	0.09
CD (p = 0.05)	0.95	0.75	0.32	0.05	0.49	0.04	0.68	0.11	0.19
Potassium levels									
K ₀	31.59	24.71	2.85	2.31	4.98	0.79	10.21	0.97	2.03
K ₁	33.36	26.12	3.11	2.36	5.55	0.89	11.45	1.51	2.85
K ₂	35.01	27.41	3.37	2.38	5.89	0.97	12.47	1.75	3.26
SED	0.41	0.31	0.12	0.01	0.16	0.01	0.29	0.04	0.06
CD (p = 0.05)	0.83	0.63	0.23	NS	0.33	0.02	0.62	0.08	0.12

Table 2: Interaction effect of Phosphorus and Potassium on yield and quality characters of Bitter gourd ecotype 'Mithipagal'

Treatments	Fruit plant ⁻¹	Fruit weight (g)	Fruit Length (cm)	Fruit diameter (cm)	Fruit volume (cm ³)	Fruit yield plant ⁻¹ (kg)	Fruit yield ha ⁻¹ (t)	Ascorbic acid (mg g ⁻¹)	TSS (^o Brix)
T ₁ : P ₀ K ₀ (0:0 kg ha ⁻¹)	27.95	21.88	2.28	2.21	3.99	0.58	7.65	0.76	1.76
T ₂ : P ₀ K ₁ (0:40kg ha ⁻¹)	29.12	22.77	2.46	2.25	4.33	0.65	8.46	1.23	2.37
T ₃ : P ₀ K ₂ (0:80 kg ha ⁻¹)	30.84	24.04	2.76	2.29	4.82	0.76	9.57	1.36	2.61
T ₄ : P ₁ K ₀ (30:00 kg ha ⁻¹)	30.37	23.72	2.68	2.28	4.69	0.74	9.35	0.91	2.03
T ₅ : P ₁ K ₁ (30:40 kg ha ⁻¹)	31.91	24.93	2.92	2.32	5.04	0.82	10.34	1.50	2.83
T ₆ : P ₁ K ₂ (30:80 kg ha ⁻¹)	33.05	25.81	3.09	2.35	5.35	0.89	11.17	1.81	3.36
T ₇ : P ₂ K ₀ (60:0 kg ha ⁻¹)	33.51	26.20	3.15	2.36	5.49	0.91	11.53	1.05	2.07
T ₈ : P ₂ K ₁ (60:40 kg ha ⁻¹)	35.68	27.96	3.45	2.42	6.06	1.02	13.13	1.63	3.06
T ₉ : P ₂ K ₂ (60:80 kg ha ⁻¹)	37.79	29.69	3.78	2.48	6.64	1.12	14.15	1.85	3.42
T ₁₀ : P ₃ K ₀ (90:0 kg ha ⁻¹)	34.55	27.04	3.32	2.39	5.78	0.96	12.34	1.18	2.28
T ₁₁ : P ₃ K ₁ (90: 40 kg ha ⁻¹)	36.74	28.83	3.61	2.45	6.78	1.07	13.89	1.68	3.14
T ₁₂ : P ₃ K ₂ (90:0 kg ha ⁻¹)	38.32	30.10	3.85	2.40	6.78	1.14	15.01	1.98	3.65
SED	0.51	0.41	0.26	0.05	0.32	0.03	0.35	0.06	0.11
CD (p = 0.05)	1.02	0.82	0.76	0.15	0.94	0.09	0.71	0.12	0.21

References

- Bala B, Sharma. Production trends and marketing of vegetables to fetch dollars. Science Tech. Entrepreneur, 2006, 5-10.
- Das MK, Maity TK, Som MG. Growth and yield of Pointed gourd as influence by Nitrogen and Phosphorus fertilization. Veg. sci. 1987; 14(1):18-26.
- Das A, Patnaik US, Sudhishri S. Response of vegetable pea (*Pisum Sativum*) to sowing date and Phosphorus under on farm conditions. Indian J Agron. 2005; 50(1):64-66.
- Deswal IS, Patil VK. Effect of NPK on the fruit yield of water Melon. J Maharashtra. Agric. Univ. 1984; 9(3):308-309.
- Goswamy RK, Sharma S. Effect of P and K on yield of Spine gourd. Hort. J. 1997; 10:101-106.
- Karuppaiah P, Kathiravan J. Effect of fertilizers on the performance of Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) under rice fallow condition. Plant Archives. 2006; 6(2):573-576.
- Khurana DS, Singh H, Singh J, Cheema DS. Effect of NP and plant population on yield and its components in Cauliflower. Indian J Hort. 1990; 47:70-71.
- Kumar PSC, Krishnappa KS, Reddy NS, Anianappa A. Effect of varying levels of NPK on growth and yield of Ridge gourd in Southern dry region of Karnataka. Mysore J Agric. Sci. 2004; 38(4):446-453.
- Mohanty BK, Hossain MM, Nanda SK. Response of Chilli cv. Utkal Rashmi to NPK. Orissa J Hort. 2001; 29(2):51-53.
- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. ICAR Publications, New Delhi, 1987, 225.
- Parikh HS, Chandra A. Effect of Nitrogen on male and female flowers in Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). Allahabad. Fmg. 1969; 43:337-338.
- Singh DN, Chonkar VS. Effect of NPK and spacing on growth and yield of Musk melo (*Cucumis melo* L.). Indian J Hort. 1996; 24:265-269.
- Singh SP. A note on the effect of urea on the growth and yield of hybrid tomato cv. Rashmi. Orissa J Hort. 2000; 28(20):115-116.
- Singh S, Sharda V, Sharda A, Bector V. Water conservation through tillage. J Res. Punjab Agric. Univ. 2005; 42(4):479-485.