

E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2019; 8(5): 1218-1223 Received: 09-07-2019

Sahagufa Akter Usha

Accepted: 13-08-2019

Department of Agronomy, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh

FM Jamil Uddin

Department of Agronomy, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh

Md. Rashedur Rahman

Department of Agronomy, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh

Md. Robiul Islam Akondo

Department of Agronomy, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh

Correspondence FM Jamil Uddin Department of Agronomy, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, Bangladesh

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



Influence of nitrogen and sulphur fertilization on the growth and yield performance of French bean

Sahagufa Akter Usha, FM Jamil Uddin, Md. Rashedur Rahman and Md. Robiul Islam Akondo

Abstract

The experiment was conducted to study the effect of nitrogen and sulphur on the growth and yield of French bean. The experiment consisted of two factors namely; (i) Nitrogen level *viz*. N₀ (no nitrogen), N₁ (60 kg N/ha), N₂ (90 kg N/ha), N₃ (120 kg N/ha) and N₄ (150 kg N/ha) and (ii) Sulphur level *viz*. S₀ (no Sulphur), S₁ (10 kg S/ha), S₂ (15 kg S/ha) and S₃ (20 kg S/ha). The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design with three replications. The highest grain yield (1.78 t ha⁻¹) and (1.480 t ha⁻¹) were observed from 120 kg N ha⁻¹ (N₃) and 10 kg S ha⁻¹ (S₁), respectively. On the other hand, lowest grain yield (1.212 t ha⁻¹) and (1.332 t ha⁻¹) were found from 0 kg N ha⁻¹ (N₀) and 20 kg S ha⁻¹ (S₃), respectively. Considering the interaction it was found that the yield contributing characters and yield of French bean varieties were increased with the good combination of nitrogen and sulphur doses. The highest grain yield (1.97 t ha⁻¹) was observed from 120 kg N ha⁻¹ × 10 kg S ha⁻¹ (N₃S₁), whereas lowest grain yield (0.97 t ha⁻¹) was found from 0 kg N ha⁻¹ × 15 kg S ha⁻¹. Hence, the nitrogen and sulphur fertilizer management is very important agronomic management practices for higher and efficient production of French bean.

Keywords: French bean, nitrogen, sulphur, grain yield

Introduction

French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) is an important vegetable crop belongs to the family Fabaceae. It is known as kidney bean, bush bean or rajma bean. It is planted at hill and plain area in February-March and October-November, respectively. In Bangladesh, the crop is known in different names in different locations e.g. in Sylhet it is known as "Farashi Ori" and "Farash Choi" in Chittagong and in most parts of the country "Jhar Sheem". It is used as tender vegetable, shelled green beans, dry bean and pulses which is highly nutritious. French bean is cultivated popularly in Chittagong, Sylhet, North Bengal, Hill tracts and also many other places of Bangladesh on limited scale during the rabi (winter) season (FAO, 1998) ^[1]. Now days, it is considered as one of the most important exporting vegetables of Bangladesh to earn foreign currency and is being exported by Horticultural Export Development Foundation (HORTEX), Dhaka, Bangladesh (BARI, 2001) ^[2] in the extreme super market of European countries.

According to FAO (2012)^[3], the production of French bean in Bangladesh was 94,356 ton in 2011. French bean is valued for its protein rich (23%) seeds. It also contains K, Ca, Mg, Fe, P, vitamins A, B, D, starch and no fat. In Bangladesh, its yield is low compared to other French bean producing countries of the world due to lack of high yielding varieties, poor production technology, including optimum date of sowing and spacing, poor fertility and irrigation management (Saini and Negi, 1998)^[4] and also lack of marketing facilities, poor knowledge of the people on its use and lack of availability of quality seed.

Among the agronomic management practices, fertilizer management is one of the important factors that contribute to the production and yield of any crop. French bean being a high protein and energy crop and its productivity is often limited by the low availability of essential nutrients or imbalanced nutrition forming one of the important constraints to French bean productivity in Bangladesh.

French bean is highly responsive to fertilizer and has a marked response to nitrogen and Sulphur. French bean lacks biological N fixation because of poor or no nodulation. Hence it needs liberal N fertilization (100-120 kg/ha). It is also an important constituent of chlorophyll and takes part in protein synthesis and vegetative growth. But it is unfortunate that nitrogen content of Bangladesh soil is very low and supplementation of nitrogen fertilizer is essential for better growth and yield of French bean. On the other hand, sulphur is also an important macronutrient which takes part in synthesis of amino acids like cysteine, cystine, methionine

and vitamins (Parvin, 2011)^[5]. But Sulphur deficiency has been found to occur in soils of Bangladesh. To overcome these deficiencies, both N and S fertilizers should be applied in soil. In view of above mentioned information the research work was conducted to investigate into the role of nitrogen and sulphur and their interaction on the growth and yield of French bean.

Methods and Materials Experimental site

The research work was conducted at the Agronomy Field Department of Agronomy, Bangladesh Laboratory, Agricultural University, Mymensingh which is located at 24.75°N latitude, 90.50°E longitude and an average altitude of 18 m above the sea level and belongs to the Old Brahmaputra Floodplain (AEZ-9) soil (Islam et al., 2011) ^[6]. The experimental field was a medium high land with well drained clay loam textured soil having a pH value of 6.8. During the experimental period the maximum, minimum and average temperature ranges from 29.96 °C - 32.06 °C, 19.73 °C - 25.86 ^oC and 24.85 ^oC - 28.96 ^oC, respectively. While the average relative humidity, total sunshine and total rainfall ranged from 73.74-85.50%, 116.7-233.0 hours month⁻¹ and 32.6-413.7mm, respectively.

Treatments and experimental design

Two sets of treatments included in the experiment viz. Factor A- nitrogen level (5); N₀ (no nitrogen), N₁ (60 kg N/ha), N₂ (90 kg N/ha), N₃ (120 kg N/ha) and N₄ (150 kg N/ha) and Factor B: sulphur level (4): S₀ (no Sulphur), S₁ (10 kg S/ha), S₂ (15 kg S/ha) and S₃ (20 kg S/ha). The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. The total number of plot was 60 (5×4×3). Each plot size was 5 m² (2m×2.5m).

Crop husbandry

BARI Jharsheem2 was used as experimental crop which was developed by Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI) as a variety of French bean. Seeds were sown in the month of October with a standard dose of biofertilizer (Uddin et al. 2018)^[7]. Seeds were sown maintaining a spacing of 25 $cm \times 15$ cm. The blocks and unit plots were separated by 1 m and 0.75 m spacing, respectively. Firstly, the land was ploughed with a power tiller and kept open to sunlight. Afterwards the experimental plot was prepared by several ploughing and cross ploughing followed by laddering to break the clods and to level the soil. Urea and gypsum were used as source of nitrogen and sulphur. The whole amount of TSP (165 kg ha⁻¹) and Urea and Gypsum (as per treatment) and MoP 50 kg ha⁻¹ as basal dose (BARC, 2012)^[8] were applied during final land preparation. Before seed sowing, Carbendazem @ 5g kg-1 seed were treated with the seed uniformly for controlling soil borne diseases. Two seeds were sown per hole at a depth of 5.0 cm. Weeding was done manually in the plots from time to time to keep the plots free from weeds and facilitated better soil aeration. One healthy seedling per hill was kept and excess seedling was uprooted after 15 days of emergence of seedlings. Irrigation was applied in the experimental plots in two times by watering cane to keep the soil moisture in field capacity. Ovide (Malathion 57E) and Sevin 85SP (1-naphthyl methylcarbamate) were sprayed at the rate of 2 mL litre⁻¹ at an interval of 7days in two times to protect the plants from insects and pests. Mature dry pods were harvested by hand picking and weighed to estimate the yield of dry seeds. The crop bundles were sun dried for five days by placing them on the open threshing floor. Seeds were separated from the plants by beating the bundles with bamboo sticks. The collected seeds were dried in the sun for reducing the moisture content at a constant level.

Data collection

Data was collected at different growth stages and finally at harvest stage. Data was recorded for yield contributing parameters such as plant height at harvest (cm), number of pods plant⁻¹, length of pod (cm), number of seeds pod⁻¹, number of seeds plant⁻¹, weight of seeds plant⁻¹, grain yield (t ha⁻¹), straw yield (t ha⁻¹), weight of 1000 seeds, harvest index (%). Harvest index was calculated with following formula:

Harvest Index (%) = $\frac{\text{Seed yield}}{\text{Seed yield} + \text{Stover yield}} \times 100$

Statistical analyses

The collected data were compiled and analyzed statistically using the analysis of variance technique using SAS PROC GLM (SAS Version 9.1; SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and the difference among treatment means were adjudged by Duncan's Multiple Range Test (Gomez and Gomez, 1984)^[9].

Results and Discussion

A. Effect of nitrogen on the yield contributing characters and yield of French bean

The nitrogen had significant influence on plant height, number of pods plant⁻¹number of seeds pod⁻¹, and number of seeds plant⁻¹, stover, grain and biological yield at harvest. The maximum plant height (39.95 cm) from 150 kg N ha⁻¹ (N₄), number of pods plant⁻¹ (7.485), and number of seeds pod⁻¹ (5.841) which was statistically similar with 60 kg N ha⁻¹ (N₁), number of seeds plant⁻¹ (26.55), grain yield (1.780 t ha⁻¹), stover yield (2.313 t ha⁻¹)and biological yield (4.095 t ha⁻¹) from 120 kg N ha⁻¹ (N₃) were observed. The minimum plant height (34.20 cm), number of pods plant⁻¹ (5.233), number of seeds plant⁻¹ (23.23), grain yield (1.212 t ha⁻¹), stover yield (1.625 t ha⁻¹), and biological yield (2.837 t ha⁻¹) were found from 0 kg N ha⁻¹ (N₀) (Table 1). The minimum number of seeds pod⁻¹ (5.549) found from 90 kg N ha⁻¹ (N₂), which was statistically similar with no nitrogen application (N₀). Harvest index was significantly variation due to different nitrogen on the yield of French bean. The highest harvest index (43.65 %) was found from 150 kg N ha⁻¹ (N₄), which was statistically similar all other treatments except N_1 (60 kg N ha⁻¹) (Table 1). Similar trend was followed in maximum number of pods plant⁻¹ (9.45) with the application of nitrogen level up to 150 kg N ha⁻¹(Kakon at el., 2016) ^[10]. Kakon et al., 2016 ^[10] reported from experiments conducted during rabi (winter) seasons of 2010-11 and 2011-12 at the Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Joydebpur, Gazipur that plant height increased with the increase in nitrogen level up to 150 kg N ha⁻¹. Shumi et al. (2018) ^[11] also confirmed the result of plant height in his experiment. Number of pods plant-1 increased due to sufficient supply of N for better growth of plant which might have led to higher photosynthesis and the development of higher number of pod bearing branches (Kumar et al., 2004; Reddy et al., 2010) [12, ^{13]}. The results are corroborated with the finding of Shukla *et* al., (2006) ^[14] their finding revealed that number of pods per plant had appositive contribution to seed yield. There was insignificant variation in length of dry pod and weight of 1000 seeds at harvest though the highest length of dry pod (11.58

cm) was obtained from 150 kg N ha⁻¹ (N₄) and minimum length of dry pod (11.31cm) was obtained from 120 kg N ha⁻¹ (N₃). There was about statistically similar weight of 1000 seeds for all dose of phosphorus (Table 1). Similar results reported by Coimbra *et al.* (1998) ^[15] in French bean varieties. Similar varietal effect on seed yield was found by Sharma *et al.* (2013) ^[16] who showed the highest seed yield in Contender (V₃) than other two varieties Arka Komal (V₁) and Swaran Priya (V₂) in India.

Jitendra et al., 2018 [17] also observed from en experiment that seeds obtained from treatment of nitrogen (120 kg ha-1 recommended dose) application with relatively bolder seeds with more number of seeds per pod, and higher bearing capacity per plant generally gives higher seed yield. Singh et al. 2018 [18] proved that nitrogen at the rate of 120 kg/ha was superior to 90, 60 and 30 kg/ha in respect of all growth and yield attributes which recorded highest grain yield in the field experiment at Agronomy Research Plot of Allahabad Agricultural Institute, Allahabad. Srinivas and Naik (1988)^[19] recommended the optimum fertilizer levels of 125.0 N ha⁻¹ from the field trial for French bean. Pod yield increased proportionately to N levels up to 160 kg/ha. Production increase in response to N fertilization increased weight and number of pods/plant and harvest index. Sharma et al. (1996) ^[20] found that increasing level of N significantly increased seed yield, number and weight of pods/plant and number of seeds/pod up to 120 kg N/ha. Application of N in three equal splits gave higher seed yield and yield attributes of the crop.

Rana et al. (1998) ^[21] conducted a field trial to study the effect of N (0, 40, 80 and 120 kg N/ha) with Phosphorus in French bean and observed that dry matter production (seed and straw) increased significantly up to 120 kg N/ha. Tewari and Singh (2000) ^[22] conducted a study to determine the optimum and economical dose of nitrogen (0, 40, 80, 120 or 160 kg/ha) and phosphorus (0, 20, 40 or 60 kg/ha) for better growth and seed yield of French bean and found that application of 120 kg N/ha produced significantly higher number of pods per plant, weight of seeds per plant, number of seeds per pod and seed yield. The differences in the plant growth characters may be due to the genetic variability within the genotype itself or may be due to the environmental effects. The results are corroborated with the finding of Shukla et al., (2006)^[14] their finding revealed that number of pods per plant had appositive contribution to seed yield. Prajapati et al. (2003)^[23] reported that application of 120 kg N/ha enhanced significantly higher growth and yield attributing parameters as well as seed yield of French bean. French bean is the main source of plant protein, of which nitrogen is the main constituent necessary for growth and chlorophyll synthesis and is a part of the chlorophyll. Nitrogen is essential constituent of amino acid and helps in protein synthesis, fruit formation. As compared to other leguminous crops, nodule formation in roots of French bean is very less or even absent in the plain land. Therefore it is high nutrient demanding crop and due to this the crop responds sharply to high doses of nitrogen (Ghosal et al., 2000)^[24]. It improves the quality of fruit, vegetable and grain crops.

B. Effect of sulphur on the yield contributing characters and yield of French bean

The sulphur had significant influence on plant height, number of pods plant⁻¹ and length of dry pod, number of seeds pod⁻¹, grain yield, stover yield, biological yield and harvest index of French bean. The maximum plant height (37.81 cm), number of pods plant⁻¹ (6.714), length of dry pod (11.62 cm), number

of seeds pod⁻¹ (6.531), grain yield (1.480 t ha⁻¹), stover yield $(1.976 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$, biological yield $(3.457 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$ from 10 kg S ha⁻¹ (S_1) and harvest index (44.95 %) from 15 kg S ha⁻¹ (S₂) were observed. Gokila et al. (2017)^[25] also ensured the findings of similar trend of plant height in his trial On the other hand, the minimum plant height (34.45 cm) from 0 kg S ha⁻¹(S₀) treatment which was statistically similar with 20 kg S ha-1 (S_3) , number of seeds pod⁻¹ (5.606) found from 0 kg S ha⁻¹ (S_0) that was statistically similar with 15 kg S ha⁻¹ (S₂), number of pods plant⁻¹ (5.693), grain yield (1.332 t ha⁻¹), biological yield (3.184 t ha⁻¹), harvest index (41.88 %) from 20 kg S ha⁻¹ (S₃), length of dry pod (11.24cm) from 15 kg S ha⁻¹ (S₂) and stover yield (1.756. t ha⁻¹) from 15 kg S ha⁻¹ (S₂) were obtained (Table 2). Islam (2015) ^[26] also recorded highest yield from 10 kg S ha⁻¹ treatment. There was significant variation in number of seeds plant 1at harvest due to different dose of Sulphur. There was about statistically similar number of seeds plant⁻¹ for all dose of sulphur except the highest (26.52) was found from 10 kg S ha⁻¹ (S₁) (Table 2). There was no significant variation in weight of 1000 seeds at harvest due to different dose of sulphur. There was about statistically similar weight of 1000 seeds for all dose of Sulphur (Table 2). Similar results reported by Coimbra et al. (1998)^[15] in French bean varieties.

Islam (2015) ^[26] observed that the highest number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, thousand seed weight, seed yield (1.494 tha⁻¹) from the application10 kg S ha⁻¹. Various vield contribution characters like number of pods plant⁻¹, number of seeds pod-1 and 1000 seed weight increased significantly as the dose of sulphur was increased by Ganie et al., (2014) ^[27]. Sulphur is an integral part of proteins, sulpholipids, enzymes etc. and has been found to be an indispensable element for higher pulse production (Das and Misra, 1991) ^[28], besides it is involved in various metabolic and enzymatic processes including photosynthesis, respiration and legume rhizobium symbiotic nitrogen fixation (Rao et al., 2001) ^[29]. Sulphur response has been observed for several legume crops including French bean and its application to sulphur deficient soils have been found to increase the crop yield and improve the quality of crop produce (Kumar et al., 2009) [30].

C. Interaction effect of nitrogen and sulphur on the yield contributing characters and yield of French bean

The interaction between nitrogen and sulphur was found to be significant effect on the studied yield contributing characters and yield of French bean. The highest plant height (48.20 cm), number of pods plant⁻¹ (8.07), length of dry pod (15.16 cm), number of seeds pod-1 (6.69) from the treatment combination of 120 kg N ha⁻¹ × 10 kg S ha⁻¹ (N₃S₁) which is statistically similar with (6.65) obtained from 120 kg N ha⁻¹ \times 20 kg S ha⁻¹ (N₃S₃), number of seeds plant⁻¹ (28.47), grain vield (1.97 t ha⁻¹), stover vield (2.53 t ha⁻¹) and biological yield (4.5t ha⁻¹) from combination of 120 kg N ha⁻¹ \times 10 kg S ha^{-1} (N₃S₁) were observed. The highest harvest index (48.53) %) was found from combination of 60 kg N ha⁻¹ \times 15 kg S ha⁻¹ 1 (N₁S₂) (Table 3). Again, the lowest plant height (27.07 cm) from combination 0 kg N ha⁻¹ \times 0 kg S ha⁻¹ (N₀S₀), number of pods plant⁻¹ (4.33), number of seeds plant⁻¹ (19.33), grain yield (0.97 t ha⁻¹), stover yield (1.37 t ha⁻¹), biological yield (2.34 t ha⁻¹) from combination of 0 kg N ha⁻¹ \times 15 kg S ha⁻¹ (N₀S₂), length of pod (10.89cm) from combination of 90 kg N $ha^{-1} \times 10 \text{ kg S} ha^{-1} (N_2S_1)$, number of seeds pod⁻¹ (5.12) from combination of 0 kg N ha⁻¹ \times 10 kg S ha⁻¹ (N₀S₁) and harvest index (37.85 %) from combination of 60 kg N ha⁻¹ \times 20 kg S

 ha^{-1} (N₁S₃) were obtained (Table 3). The interaction effect between nitrogen and sulphur was not significant on the weight of seeds plant⁻¹ at harvest. There was about statistically similar weight of 1000-seeds for all combination of nitrogen and sulphur (Table 3). Singh et al. (2018) [18] and Parvin (2011)^[5] partially confirmed the results in case of plant height and number of pods plant⁻¹ of French bean. Tewari and Singh (2000) ^[22] and Gokila et al. (2017) ^[25] assured the conclusion number of seeds pod-1 in their experiment. Shumi et al. (2018) [11] conducted an experiment in Ethiopia during 2016-17 to investigate the effect of blended NPS rates on growth, yield and yield components of common bean varieties and the result showed that significantly the highest number of primary branches per plant (2.77) and number of total pods (18.52) from 250 kg NPS ha⁻¹, number of total nodules (80.47) and effective nodules per plant (35.54) from 200 kg NPS ha⁻¹, grain yield (3260 kg ha⁻¹) from 250 kg NPS ha-1 were recorded. They concluded that combined application of 200 kg ha⁻¹ of blended NPS with variety Ibado proved to be superior with respect to economic advantage. Singh et al. (2018)^[18] conducted an experiment in India to investigate the effect of different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur on growth and yield of Rajmash and nitrogen 120 kg ha⁻¹ proved significantly superior to 90, 60

and 30 kg ha⁻¹ in respect of all growth and yield attributes which recorded highest grain yield 13.59 q ha⁻¹. Sulphur application proved beneficial in bringing about significant improvement in yield attributes. 30 kg S ha⁻¹ produced highest grain yield 11.47 q ha⁻¹. Sharma *et al.* (2013) ^[16] conducted a field experiment in India to study on French bean (*phaseolus vulgaris* 1.) varieties under different n, p, k and s levels for growth, yield and economics. Among fertilizer levels (100:80:80:50 kg ha⁻¹ NPKS) resulted in highest growth parameters, yield parameters and yield of pods.

It was found that French bean responded to 120 kg N ha⁻¹ and 10 kg S ha⁻¹ for obtaining the highest yield. Since, sulphur content was below the critical level in the soil of experimental field for the French bean plant growth, the additional sulphur supply with nitrogen in the soil therefore boosted yield in the plant. French bean can absorb sulfur in great quantities and it is necessary to maintain the relation of nitrogen and sulfur in the plant to produce protein (Hendrix, 1967) ^[31] and application of Sulphur between 10-20 kg ha⁻¹ can control sulfur deficiency (Van Schoonhaven and Voysests, 1991) ^[32]. Sulfur deficiency can be controlled by soil application of 25 kg ZnSo4 ha⁻¹ (6-9 kg S ha⁻¹) (Parthasharathy, 1993) ^[33]. A combined application of nitrogen and sulphur is always beneficial for increasing yield of French bean.

Level of nitrogen	Plant height (cm)	No. of pods plant ⁻¹	Length of pod (cm)	No. of seeds pod ⁻¹	No. of seeds plant ⁻¹	1000 grain weight (g)		Stover yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Biological yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index (%)
N_0	34.20 c	5.233 e	11.39	5.585 b	23.23 c	173.5	1.212 d	1.625 e	2.837 e	42.74 ab
N_1	34.95 c	5.517 d	11.48	5.813 a	23.49 с	174.8	1.290 c	1.803 c	3.092 c	42.00 b
N_2	34.53 c	6.100 c	11.37	5.549 b	22.97 c	174.6	1.273 c	1.713 d	2.985 d	42.56 ab
N3	37.93 b	7.485 a	11.31	5.841 a	26.55 a	172.2	1.780 a	2.313 a	4.095 a	43.54 a
N_4	39.95 a	6.601 b	11.58	5.727 ab	24.78 b	173.8	1.472 b	1.900 b	3.371 b	43.65 a
- Sx	0.485	0.071	0.079	0.070	0.227	1.72	0.018	0.030	0.029	0.371
Level of significance	**	**	NS	**	**	NS	**	**	**	**
CV (%)	4.63	3.96	2.38	4.25	3.26	3.43	4.49	5.53	3.11	3.00

Table 1: Effect of level of nitrogen on yield and yield contributing characters of French bean

Table 2: Effect of level of sulphur on yield and yield contributing characters of French bean

Level of sulphur	Plant height (cm)	No. of pods plant ⁻¹	0	No. of seeds pod ⁻¹	No. of seeds plant ⁻¹	1000 grain weight (g)	Grain yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Stover yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Biological yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index (%)
So	34.45 c	6.428 b	11.45 ab	5.606 c	24.17 b	175.1	1.379 c	1.896 b	3.275 b	41.93 b
S_1	37.81 a	6.714 a	11.62 a	6.531 a	26.52 a	174.7	1.480 a	1.976 a	3.457 a	42.84 b
S_2	36.31 b	6.454 b	11.24 c	5.686 c	24.01 b	171.8	1.430 b	1.756 c	3.188 c	44.95 a
S_3	36.68 ab	5.693 c	11.39 bc	5.989 b	24.11 b	173.5	1.332 d	1.854 b	3.184 c	41.88 b
- Sx	0.434	0.063	0.070	0.063	0.203	1.53	0.016	0.027	0.026	0.332
Level of significance	**	**	**	**	*	NS	**	**	**	**
CV (%)	4.63	3.96	2.38	4.25	3.26	3.43	4.49	5.53	3.11	3.00

In a column, figures with same letter (s) or without letter do not differ significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter differ significantly (as per DMRT), * =Significant at 5% level of probability, ** =Significant at 1% level of probability, NS = Not significant, N₀ = No nitrogen, N₁ = 60 kg N ha⁻¹, N₂ = 90 kg N ha⁻¹, N₃ = 120 kg N ha⁻¹, N₄ = 150 kg N ha⁻¹, S₀ = No sulphur, S₁ = 10 kg S ha⁻¹, S₂ = 15 kg S ha⁻¹, S₃ = 20 kg S ha⁻¹.

Table 3: Interaction effects of level of nitrogen and level of sulphur on yield and yield contributing characters of French bean

Interaction (Level of N x Level of S)	Plant height (cm)	No. of pods plant ⁻¹	Length of pod (cm)	No. of seeds pod ⁻¹	No. of seeds plant ⁻¹	1000 grain weight (g)	Grain yield (t ha ⁻¹)	• .	Biological yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index (%)
$N_0 \ge S_0$	27.07 k	4.47kl	11.17def	5.70 b	22.73gh	173.3	1.14 ij	1.52 fgh	2.66 jk	42.68 efgh
$N_0 \ge S_1$	37.87 def	6.00ef	11.60cd	5.12 c	25.40cde	172.7	1.37 efg	2.05 d	3.42 ef	40.05 ijk
$N_0 \ge S_2$	33.67 ghi	4.331	11.38cdef	5.79 b	19.33j	172.7	0.97 k	1.37 h	2.341	41.53fghij
N ₀ x S ₃	38.20 de	6.13ef	11.40cdef	5.73 b	25.47cde	175.3	1.37 efg	1.56 efgh	2.93 gh	46.70 abc
N1 x S0	35.93 efgh	5.47gh	11.77bc	5.43 bc	23.13fgh	177.0	1.16 ij	1.70 ef	2.86 hi	40.58 hij
$N_1 \ge S_1$	34.73fgh	5.80fg	11.45cde	5.69 b	24.27ef	174.3	1.40 def	2.01 d	3.40 f	41.04 ghij
$N_1 \ge S_2$	31.60 ij	5.80fg	11.34cdef	5.80 b	24.47def	174.0	1.32 fg	1.68 efg	2.73 ij	48.53 a
N1 x S3	37.53 def	5.00ij	11.34cdef	6.33ab	22.07hi	173.7	1.28 hg	2.10 cd	3.38 f	37.85 k
$N_2 \times S_0$	33.20 hij	6.33de	12.32b	5.66 b	22.07hi	174.7	1.11 ij	1.70 ef	2.81 hij	39.50 jk

35.67 efgh	5.80fg	10.89f	5 42 1 -	24.07.6	177.7	1 20 6	156 61	2061	
	5.001g	10.691	5.43 bc	24.07efg	177.7	1.30 fg	1.56 efgh	2.86 hi	45.54 bcd
37.60 def	7.47bc	11.02ef	5.57 bc	24.53def	172.7	1.62 c	2.13 cd	3.75 d	43.14 efg
31.67ij	4.80jk	11.25cdef	5.53 bc	21.20i	173.3	1.06jk	1.46 gh	2.52 k	42.05 fghi
30.53 j	6.06d	11.54cde	5.60 b	27.07ab	176.0	1.74b	2.41b	4.15b	41.96 fghi
48.20 a	8.07a	15.16a	6.69 a	28.47a	174.7	1.97a	2.51a	4.5a	43.77 def
41.67 bc	6.07d	11.07def	5.52 bc	26.73abc	165.3	1.78b	2.27bc	4.05bc	43.97 def
36.73 defg	7.20c	11.45cde	6.65a	27.53ab	172.7	1.76b	2.40b	4.16b	42.34 fghi
45.53 ab	7.80ab	11.31cdef	5.64 b	25.87bcd	174.3	1.75b	2.15 cd	3.90 cd	44.92 cde
38.00 de	6.67d	12.16b	5.82 b	24.00efg	174.3	1.49 d	2.09 cd	3.58 e	41.68fghij
37.00 def	6.60d	11.36cdef	5.75 b	25.00de	174.3	1.46 de	1.61 efg	3.07 g	47.57 ab
39.27cd	5.33hi	11.49cde	5.70 b	24.27ef	172.3	1.19 h	1.75 e	2.93 gh	40.45 hij
0.971	0.141	0.157	0.140	0.455	3.44	0.037	0.061	0.058	0.742
**	**	**	**	**	NS	**	**	**	**
4.63	3.96	2.38	4.23	3.26	3.43	4.49	5.53	3.11	3.00
	31.67ij 30.53 j 48.20 a 41.67 bc 36.73 defg 45.53 ab 38.00 de 37.00 def 39.27cd 0.971 **	31.67ij 4.80jk 30.53 j 6.06d 48.20 a 8.07a 41.67 bc 6.07d 36.73 defg 7.20c 45.53 ab 7.80ab 38.00 de 6.67d 37.00 def 6.60d 39.27cd 5.33hi 0.971 0.141 ** **	31.67ij 4.80jk 11.25cdef 30.53 j 6.06d 11.54cde 48.20 a 8.07a 15.16a 41.67 bc 6.07d 11.07def 36.73 defg 7.20c 11.45cde 45.53 ab 7.80ab 11.31cdef 38.00 de 6.67d 12.16b 37.00 def 6.60d 11.36cdef 39.27cd 5.33hi 11.49cde 0.971 0.141 0.157 ** ** **	31.67ij 4.80jk 11.25cdef 5.53 bc 30.53 j 6.06d 11.54cde 5.60 b 48.20 a 8.07a 15.16a 6.69 a 41.67 bc 6.07d 11.07def 5.52 bc 36.73 defg 7.20c 11.45cde 6.65a 45.53 ab 7.80ab 11.31cdef 5.64 b 38.00 de 6.67d 12.16b 5.82 b 37.00 def 6.60d 11.36cdef 5.75 b 39.27cd 5.33hi 11.49cde 5.70 b 0.971 0.141 0.157 0.140 ** ** ** **	31.67ij 4.80jk 11.25cdef 5.53 bc 21.20i 30.53 j 6.06d 11.54cde 5.60 b 27.07ab 48.20 a 8.07a 15.16a 6.69 a 28.47a 41.67 bc 6.07d 11.07def 5.52 bc 26.73abc 36.73 defg 7.20c 11.45cde 6.65a 27.53ab 45.53 ab 7.80ab 11.31cdef 5.64 b 25.87bcd 38.00 de 6.67d 12.16b 5.82 b 24.00efg 37.00 def 6.60d 11.36cdef 5.75 b 25.00de 39.27cd 5.33hi 11.49cde 5.70 b 24.27ef 0.971 0.141 0.157 0.140 0.455 ** ** ** ** **	31.67ij 4.80jk 11.25cdef 5.53 bc 21.20i 173.3 30.53 j 6.06d 11.54cde 5.60 b 27.07ab 176.0 48.20 a 8.07a 15.16a 6.69 a 28.47a 174.7 41.67 bc 6.07d 11.07def 5.52 bc 26.73abc 165.3 36.73 defg 7.20c 11.45cde 6.65a 27.53ab 172.7 45.53 ab 7.80ab 11.31cdef 5.64 b 25.87bcd 174.3 38.00 de 6.67d 12.16b 5.82 b 24.00efg 174.3 37.00 def 6.60d 11.36cdef 5.75 b 25.00de 174.3 39.27cd 5.33hi 11.49cde 5.70 b 24.27ef 172.3 0.971 0.141 0.157 0.140 0.455 3.44 ** ** ** ** ** NS	31.67ij 4.80jk 11.25cdef 5.53 bc 21.20i 173.3 1.06jk 30.53 j 6.06d 11.54cde 5.60 b 27.07ab 176.0 1.74b 48.20 a 8.07a 15.16a 6.69 a 28.47a 174.7 1.97a 41.67 bc 6.07d 11.07def 5.52 bc 26.73abc 165.3 1.78b 36.73 defg 7.20c 11.45cde 6.65a 27.53ab 172.7 1.76b 45.53 ab 7.80ab 11.31cdef 5.64 b 25.87bcd 174.3 1.75b 38.00 de 6.67d 12.16b 5.82 b 24.00efg 174.3 1.49 d 37.00 def 6.60d 11.36cdef 5.75 b 25.00de 174.3 1.46 de 39.27cd 5.33hi 11.49cde 5.70 b 24.27ef 172.3 1.19 h 0.971 0.141 0.157 0.140 0.455 3.44 0.037 ** ** ** ** ** ** NS	31.67ij 4.80jk 11.25cdef 5.53 bc 21.20i 173.3 1.06jk 1.46 gh 30.53 j 6.06d 11.54cde 5.60 b 27.07ab 176.0 1.74b 2.41b 48.20 a 8.07a 15.16a 6.69 a 28.47a 174.7 1.97a 2.51a 41.67 bc 6.07d 11.07def 5.52 bc 26.73abc 165.3 1.78b 2.27bc 36.73 defg 7.20c 11.45cde 6.65a 27.53ab 172.7 1.76b 2.40b 45.53 ab 7.80ab 11.31cdef 5.64 b 25.87bcd 174.3 1.75b 2.15 cd 38.00 de 6.67d 12.16b 5.82 b 24.00efg 174.3 1.49 d 2.09 cd 37.00 def 6.60d 11.36cdef 5.75 b 25.00de 174.3 1.46 de 1.61 efg 39.27cd 5.33hi 11.49cde 5.70 b 24.27ef 172.3 1.19 h 1.75 e 0.971 0.141 0.157 0.140 0.45	31.67ij 4.80jk 11.25cdef 5.53 bc 21.20i 173.3 1.06jk 1.46 gh 2.52 k 30.53 j 6.06d 11.54cde 5.60 b 27.07ab 176.0 1.74b 2.41b 4.15b 48.20 a 8.07a 15.16a 6.69 a 28.47a 174.7 1.97a 2.51a 4.5a 41.67 bc 6.07d 11.07def 5.52 bc 26.73abc 165.3 1.78b 2.27bc 4.05bc 36.73 defg 7.20c 11.45cde 6.65a 27.53ab 172.7 1.76b 2.40b 4.16b 45.53 ab 7.80ab 11.31cdef 5.64 b 25.87bcd 174.3 1.75b 2.15 cd 3.90 cd 38.00 de 6.67d 12.16b 5.82 b 24.00efg 174.3 1.49 d 2.09 cd 3.58 e 37.00 def 6.60d 11.36cdef 5.75 b 25.00de 174.3 1.46 de 1.61 efg 3.07 g 39.27cd 5.33hi 11.49cde 5.70 b 24.27ef <

In a column, figures with same letter (s) or without letter do not differ significantly whereas figures with dissimilar letter differ significantly (as per DMRT), ** =Significant at 1% level of probability, NS = Not significant, N₀ = No nitrogen, N₁ = 60 kg N ha⁻¹, N₂ = 90 kg N ha⁻¹, N₃ = 120 kg N ha⁻¹, N₄ = 150 kg N ha⁻¹ and S₀= No sulphur, S₁ = 10 kg S ha⁻¹, S₂ = 15 kg S ha⁻¹, S₃ = 20 kg S ha⁻¹.

Conclusion

From the experiment it is concluded that BARI Jhar Sheem-2 can be planted with 120 kg nitrogen ha⁻¹ and 10 kg sulphur ha⁻¹ for obtaining higher and good quality grain yield production of French bean. However, the research should be conducted in different Agro-ecological zone of Bangladesh to draw a valid conclusion.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to the University Grants Commission (UGC) of Bangladesh for providing the grants that really helped in conducting the research.

References

- 1. FAO. Land resources appraisal of Bangladesh for agricultural development report-2. Agroecological Regions of Bangladesh. United Nations Development Program. And Food and Agricultural organization, 1998, p.212-221.
- 2. BARI (Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute). Basat Barite Sabji Utpadan (In Bangla). Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Gazipur, Bangladesh, 2001, p.239.
- 3. FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization). Production of Green Bean by countries. UN Food and Agriculture Organization, 2011-2012, Retrieved 2015-02-02.
- Saini JP, Negi SC. Effect of cultivar and date of sowing on growth and yield of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) under dry temperate condition. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1998; 43(3):110-113.
- 5. Parvin A. Effect of Variety, Nitrogen and Sulphur Application on Seed Yield of Sesame. MS Thesis, Department of Agronomy, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh, 2011, pp.54-55.
- 6. Islam AKMM, Meirvenne V, Islam MM. Proximal soil sensing system for paddy field variability mapping: the potential of a proximal soil sensor for soil properties mapping. Saarvucken, Germany: VDM Verlag Dr. Mulleer, 2011.
- Uddin FMJamil, Hasan N, Rahman MAR, Uddin MR. Effect of biofertilizer and weeding regimes on yield performance of bush bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science. 2018; 3(3):226-231.
- 8. BARC (Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council). Fertilizer Recommendation Guide (FRG). Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council, Framgate, Dhaka, 2012, 1215, p.274.

- 9. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedure for agricultural research. Procedure for agriculture work, 1984, p.207-215.
- Kakon SS, Bhuiya MSU, Hossain SMA, Naher Q, Bhuiyan MDF. Effect of Nitrogen and Phosphorus on Growth and Seed yield of French bean. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research. 2016; 41(4):759-772.
- Shumi D, Alemayehu D, Afeta T, Debelo B. Response of Common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) Varieties to Rates of Blended NPS Fertilizer in Adola District, Southern Ethiopia. Journal of Plant Biology and Soil Health. 2018; 5(1):1-11.
- 12. Kumar M, Sinha KK, Roy Sharma RP. Effect of organic manure, NPK and boron application on the productivity of French bean in sandy loam soil of north Bihar. Indian J. Pulses Res. 2004; 17:42-44.
- Reddy M, Malla Padmaja, Reddy B, Ram R. Response of French bean to irrigation schedules and nitrogen levels in Talangana region of Andhra Pradesh. J. Food legumes. 2010; 23(1):38-40.
- Shukla SK, Mahajan V, Majumdar ND, Tiwari V, Prasad SVS, Gupta HS. Evaluation ofrajmah (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) Genotypes in mid-altitudes North-West Himalayas. Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding. 2006; 66(4):345-346.
- 15. Coimbra JL, Guidolin M, Carvalho AF, De FIF, Duarte IA. Quantitative analysis of genetic and phenotypic parameters in bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Pesquisa Agropecuaria Gaucha. 1998; 4(2):157-165.
- Sharma BK, Kushwah SS, Verma KS, Singh OP. Studies on French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) varieties under different N, P, K and S levels for growth, yield and economics. Journal of Horticultural Science. 2013; 8(2):268-270.
- Jitendra Meena, Chamola BP, Rana DK, Singh KK. Studies on Performance of French Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) cv. Contender for Seed Production under Garhwal Himalayas. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2018; 7(02):676-681.
- Singh VK, Kumar C, Kumar M, Nirala DP, Singh RK. Effect of different levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and Sulphur on growth and yield of Rajmash (*Phaseolus Vulgaris* L.) Variety HUR 15. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. SP1: 1138-1141, 2018.
- 19. Srinivas K, Naik LB. Response of vegetable french bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) to nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 1988; 58(9):707-708.

- 20. Sharma HM, Singh RNP, Singh H, Sharma RPR. Effect of rate and timings on N application on growth and yield of winter rajmash (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Indian Journal of Pulses Research. 1996; 9(1):25-30.
- 21. Rana NS, Singh R, Ahlawat IPS. Dry matter production and nutrient uptake in French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) as affected by nitrogen and phosphorus application. Indian Journal of Agronomy. 1998; 43(1):114-117.
- 22. Tewari JK, Singh SS. Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on growth and seed yield of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Vegetable Science. 2000; 27(2):172-175.
- Prajapati MP, Patidar LR, Patel BM. Effect of integrated weed management and nitrogen levels on weed and productivity of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Under north Gujarat condition. Legume Res. 2003; 26(2):79-84.
- 24. Ghosal S, Singh ON, Singh RP. Effect of rate and time of application of nitrogen on growth and productivity of french bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). Legume Research. 2000; 23(2):110-113.
- 25. Gokila B, Baskar K, Saravanapandian P. Nutritional Significance of Sulphur on Growth, Yield and Quality of Blackgram in Major Contrasting Soil Series of Tamil Nadu, India B. International Journal of Current Microbiolology and Applied Science. 2017; 6(11):3139-3149.
- 26. Islam Md Jibon. MS Thesis Effect of Potassium and Sulphur on growth and yield of BARIMash-1, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Soil Science, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh, 2015.
- 27. Ganie MA, Akhter F, Bhat MA, Najar GR. Growth, Yield and quality of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) as influence by Sulphur and boron application on inceptisols of Kashmir, The Biscan. 2014; 9(2):513-518.
- Das NR, Misra RS. Effect of sulphur and variety on yield of summer groundnut in West Bengal. Ind. J. Agric. 1991; 36:604-605.
- 29. Rao S, Singh KK, Ali M. Sulphur: A key nutrient for higher pulse production, Fertilizer News. 2001; 46:31-48.
- 30. Kumar RP, Singh ON, Singh Y, Dwivedi S, Singh JP. Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and economics of French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2009; 79:122-128.
- 31. Hendrix JE. The effect of pH on the uptake and accumulation of phosphate and sulphate ions by bean plants. Amer J Bot. 1967; 54(5):500-564.
- 32. Van Schoonhoon A, Voysests O. Common Bean: Research for Crop Improvement".CAB International, Oxon, 1991, 980.
- Parthasharathy VA. French bean. In Vegetable Crops in India (T. K. Bose, M. G. Som, and J. Kabir, Eds.), Nayaprokash, Calcutta, 1993, pp.591-602.