



E-ISSN: 2278-4136  
P-ISSN: 2349-8234  
JPP 2019; 8(5): 993-996  
Received: 07-07-2019  
Accepted: 09-08-2019

**Mandvi Srivastava**

Department of Genetics and  
Plant Breeding, Sardar Vallabh  
Bhai Patel University of  
Agriculture & Technology,  
Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India

**SK Singh**

Department of Genetics and  
Plant Breeding, Sardar Vallabh  
Bhai Patel University of  
Agriculture & Technology,  
Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Pooran Chand**

Department of Genetics and  
Plant Breeding, Sardar Vallabh  
Bhai Patel University of  
Agriculture & Technology,  
Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Mukesh Kumar**

Department of Genetics and  
Plant Breeding, Sardar Vallabh  
Bhai Patel University of  
Agriculture & Technology,  
Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Mukesh Kumar**

Department of Agricultural  
Biotechnology, Sardar Vallabh  
Bhai Patel University of  
Agriculture & Technology,  
Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Hemant Kumar Gangwar**

Department of Agronomy,  
Acharya Narendra Deva  
University of Agriculture &  
Technology, Kumarganj,  
Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Alka Dev**

Department of Genetics and  
Plant Breeding, Sardar Vallabh  
Bhai Patel University of  
Agriculture & Technology,  
Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Correspondence****SK Singh**

Department of Genetics and  
Plant Breeding, Sardar Vallabh  
Bhai Patel University of  
Agriculture & Technology,  
Meerut, Uttar Pradesh, India

## Studies on path analysis in forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench)

**Mandvi Srivastava, SK Singh, Pooran Chand, Mukesh Kumar, Mukesh Kumar, Hemant Kumar Gangwar and Alka Dev**

**Abstract**

Ten lines were crossed in diallel fashion (10x10) and resultant 45 hybrids were evaluated in randomized block design with three replications in *kharif* 2018 at Crop Research Center, Sardar Vallabh Bhai Patel University of Agriculture & Technology, Meerut for fodder yield and its components for path analysis. Analysis of variance showed highly differed significantly for all the attributes namely, days to 50% flowering, plant height, leaf breadth, leaf length, leaf area, stem girth, leaves per plant, leaf stem ratio, total soluble solids and green fodder yield, which indicated that presence of great deal of diversity among the parents with respect to fodder yield and yield contributing traits. Genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation was high (more than 25%) observed for leaves per plant, leaf stem ratio and green fodder yield, which indicating that more variability and scope for selection in improving these characters. High heritability accompanied with high genetic advance as percent of mean was noted for plant height, leaf area, stem girth, leaves per plant, leaf stem ratio, total soluble solids and green fodder yield per plant. This indicated that these traits were highly heritable and selection of high performing genotypes is possible to improve these attributes. Green fodder yield exhibited significant stable and positive correlation with stem girth, leaves per plant and leaf stem ratio at genotypic and phenotypic level. These characters may be considered as important yield component in forage sorghum. Leaf breadth displayed high order of direct effect on green fodder yield per plant followed by leaf area, plant height and leaves per plant at phenotypic and genotypic level, which indicated that the contribution of individual attributes to fodder yield is of importance in planning a sound breeding programme for developing for high yielding varieties.

**Keywords:** *Sorghum bicolor*, variability, character association, path analysis

**Introduction**

Sorghum is one of the most important fodder crops in the rainfed conditions of India as well as in Uttar Pradesh. Sorghum being a short duration, drought and salt tolerant, well adaptive to arid regions is considered promising fodder crop. It is a palatable and nutritious fodder crop for animals and there is enormous demand for green and dry fodder particularly during lean winter and summer seasons in the arid and semi arid region. It is estimated that sorghum fodder constitutes 20-45 per cent of the total dry weight of feed of dairy animals during normal seasons and up to 60 per cent during lean summer and winter season. During the last 30 years the role of sorghum as a major source of fodder has not diminished while it's important as a forage crop has increased. The average fodder yield of sorghum in Uttar Pradesh is low because major area is covered by local and out dated varieties and selection which are not responsive to improved high fodder yielding varieties for Uttar Pradesh. Low fodder production and lesser feed availability is the major limiting factor for increasing livestock productivity in India. Improvement in livestock production depends on the proper quality and quantity of feed and fodder. It is estimated that the 60-70 per cent of total cost in livestock production is due to feed and fodder. In India, hardly 5 per cent of the cropped area is utilized to grow fodder. India is deficit in dry fodder by 11 per cent, green fodder by 35 per cent and concentrates feed by 28 per cent. The common grazing lands too have been deteriorating quantitatively and qualitatively. This situation leads to poor feeding of the animal, resulting in low milk and meat yields. Due to low per capita availability of the quality products from livestock, our nation is facing the problems of malnourishment, high disease incidence and low life expectancy. Demand for animal products for human consumption is increase day by day because of expanding human population and improvement in life style of citizens (Jain and Patel, 2013) [8]. The average fodder yield of sorghum in Uttar Pradesh is low because major area covered by local and out dated varieties and selection which are not responsive to improved cultural and fertility practices. The total area, production and productivity of sorghum are 5.14 million hectare, 4.57 million tonnes and 889 Kg per hectare respectively in

India and the total area, production and productivity are 0.18 million hectare, 0.18 million tonnes and 1000 Kg per hectare in U.P. respectively (Agriculture statistics at a glance, 2017)<sup>[1]</sup>. The area under high forage yielding varieties is negligible in western Uttar Pradesh. Hence, it is essential to develop superior varieties with a significant superiority in term of green fodder yield. Knowledge about variability, heritability and genetic advance under selection help the plant breeder in selection of elite genotype from diverse genetic population. Correlation coefficient and path analysis provide the mutual relationship between various plants and the association of these characters with yield. Hence the present study to find the genetic parameter, association of certain characters, their direct contribution to yield and indirect effects through other characters on yield of forage sorghum and their F<sub>1</sub> were carried out.

### Material and Methods

The experimental material of the present investigation comprising of 45 F<sub>1</sub>s along with 10 parents diallel fashion design were evaluated in a completely randomized block design with three replications during *khari* 2018 at Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University, Crop Research Centre, Meerut U.P. Each of 45 F<sub>1</sub>s was planted in five meter long two rows plot and the parents were planted in two rows. The rows were spaced 30 cm apart and plant to plant distance was maintained 10 cm. Observations were recorded on five competitive plants for days to 50% flowering, plant height, leaf length, leaf breadth, stem girth, leaves per plant, leaf area, leaf stem ratio, total soluble solids and green fodder yield per plant. The coefficients of variation, heritability in broad sense and expected genetic advance were estimated as suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1969), Burton (1952), Crumpacker and Allard (1962), Robinson *et al.* (1949) and Johnson *et al.* (1955)<sup>[19, 2, 2, 22, 10]</sup>. Correlation coefficients were calculated as per the methods suggested by Croxton and Couden (1964)<sup>[4]</sup> and path coefficient were worked out as per the method of Dewey and Lu (1959)<sup>[6]</sup>.

### Results and Discussion

The analysis of variance (Table-1) exhibited significant variability among the parents and hybrids for all the ten characters *i.e.*, days to 50% flowering, plant height, leaf breadth, leaf length, leaf area, stem girth, leaves per plant, leaf stem ratio, total soluble solids and green fodder yield studied. For efficient selection, presence of variability among the genotypes for the traits of interest is a prerequisite. High magnitude of variation in the experimental material was also reflected by wider range for all the attributes. High amount of genetic variability for these characters has also been reported earlier by Damor *et al.* (2018)<sup>[5]</sup> and wadikar *et al.* (2018)<sup>[24]</sup>. Genotypic and phenotypic variances are of little meaning as they do not have any clear limit or ceiling, and at the same time, the categorization of the genotypic variance as low or high is difficult, rendering them unsuitable for comparison of two populations with desired precision when expressed in absolute values. To overcome this difficulty, the genotypic and phenotypic coefficients of variation that are free from the unit of measurement, can be conveniently employed for making comparison between populations and different metric traits of population. Genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation was high (more than 25%) observed for leaves per plant (31.85 and 28.34), leaf stem ratio (36.82 and 37.69) and green fodder yield (37.15 and 27.51), which indicating that more variability and scope for selection in improving these

characters (Table-2). Similar results were found by Shivani and Sreelakshmi (2013) and Nyadanu and Dikera (2014)<sup>[23, 17]</sup>. Genotypic coefficient of variation had generally higher than their corresponding phenotypic coefficient of variation for most of the characters studied, indicated that the variability existing in these traits was due to genetic factors and they were little affected by environmental factors. Earlier researchers Jain *et al.* (2017)<sup>[9]</sup> and Ranjith *et al.* (2017)<sup>[20]</sup> have reported similar findings with respect to phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation. Estimate of high (>60%) heritability (broad sense) was observed for all the characters *viz.*, days to 50% flowering (87.90), plant height (91.80), leaf breadth (89.08), leaf length (82.41), leaf area (87.55), stem girth (93.58), leaves per plant (92.17), leaf stem ratio (95.40), total soluble solids (86.95) and green fodder yield (95.90), suggested that these characters are under genotypic control (Table-2). Similar observations were also reported by Damor *et al.* (2018)<sup>[5]</sup> and wadikar *et al.* (2018)<sup>[24]</sup>. High (> 20%) estimates of genetic advance expressed as per cent of mean have been observed for plant height (57.28), leaf area (83.75), stem girth (3.65), leaves per plant (3.44), leaf stem ratio (0.28), total soluble solids (1.71) and green fodder yield per plant (145.36), thereby, suggesting good response for selection based on *per se* performance (Table-2). These findings were in agreement with those of Kadam *et al.* (2001)<sup>[11]</sup> and Kumar and Sahib (2003)<sup>[12]</sup>. High heritability accompanied with high genetic advance as percent of mean was noted for plant height, leaf area, stem girth, leaves per plant, leaf stem ratio, total soluble solids and green fodder yield per plant. This indicated that these traits were highly heritable and selection of high performing genotypes is possible to improve these attributes. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance for these characters have also been reported earlier by Khandelwal *et al.* (2015) and Malik *et al.* (2015)<sup>[14, 16]</sup>. Therefore, on the basis of study of all the variability parameters, it may be interpreted that maximum improvement through direct selection can be brought for these attributes. In general, phenotypic correlation estimates (Table-3) were similar in direction and slightly higher than genotypic correlation, which indicated influenced by the environmental factors, however the higher genotypic expression indicating the inherent relationship among the characters. Similar results were obtained by Jain and Patel (2012)<sup>[7]</sup> and Kumar and Singh (2012)<sup>[13]</sup>. Green fodder yield exhibited significant stable and positive correlation with stem girth (0.95 and 0.98), leaves per plant (0.89 and 0.86) and leaf stem ratio (0.98 and 0.87) at genotypic and phenotypic level. These characters may be considered as important yield component in forage sorghum (Table-3). These results are similar to earlier reports of Damor *et al.* (2018)<sup>[5]</sup> and Malaghan and Kajjidoni (2019)<sup>[15]</sup>. Leaf breadth (0.93 and 0.91) displayed high order of direct effect on green fodder yield per plant followed by leaf area (0.88 and 0.86), plant height (0.85 and 0.81) and leaves per plant (0.80 and 0.79) at phenotypic and genotypic level (Table-4), which indicated that the contribution of individual attributes to fodder yield is of importance in planning a sound breeding programme for developing for high yielding varieties. These findings are in accordance with the results obtained in sorghum by Patil *et al.* (2014) and Raza and Naheed (2014)<sup>[18, 21]</sup>. The high indirect contribution of days to 50 % flowering via plant height, leaf length and stem girth; Plant height through stem girth; Leaf length via stem girth; leaf area through stem girth and leaf length; Stem girth via days to 50% flowering, leaf breadth, leaf length, leaf area, leaves per plant and leaf stem ratio; Leaves per plant through

stem girth and leaf stem ratio via stem girth was also observed which is in line with Yadav *et al.* (2003) [25] and Kumar and Sahib (2003) [12]. In order to exercise a suitable selection programme it would be worth to concentrate traits like leaf breadth, leaf area, plant height and leaves per plant governing

fodder yield directly, while controlling the green fodder yield indirectly via stem girth. The contribution of residual effect was low at both genotypic and phenotypic levels in the present analysis, which indicated that almost all the important yield attributes were taken in to consideration.

**Table 1:** Analysis of variance for fodder yield its components in forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench)

Source of variation	d.f.	Days to 50% flowering	Plant height (cm)	Leaf breadth (cm)	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	Stem girth (mm)	Leaves per plant	Leaf stem ratio	Total soluble solids (%)	Green fodder yield (g/plant)
Parents	9	111.91**	3182.43**	1.90**	81.20**	9793.07**	9.67**	9.31**	0.81*	2.42**	20728.86**
Treatment	54	79.37**	2601.87**	1.25**	74.34**	5932.19**	10.27**	9.32**	0.78*	2.50**	15798.53**
Crosses	44	74.38**	2538.28**	1.14**	73.96**	5270.89**	10.59**	9.52**	0.65*	2.57**	13358.69**
Parent vs Cross	1	6.36**	176.90**	0.97**	28.31**	273.56**	1.57**	1.34**	0.07	0.99**	78765.48**
Replication	2	15.12	272.47	0.46	50.08	3647.14	7.04	2.60	0.15	0.05	3900.56
Error	108	3.48	75.22	0.05	4.94	268.59	0.23	0.26	0.20	0.12	221.99

\*, \*\* significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively

**Table 2:** Phenotypic coefficient of variation, genotypic coefficient of variation, heritability and genetic advance as % of mean in forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench)

Parameters	PCV	GCV	Heritability (%)	Genetic Advance	Genetic Advance as percent of mean
Days to 50% flowering	6.17	7.78	87.90	9.71	11.16
Plant height (cm)	21.42	24.94	91.80	57.28	21.60
Leaf breadth (cm)	9.95	9.99	89.08	1.23	18.25
Leaf length (cm)	7.49	7.80	82.41	8.99	12.71
Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	13.75	14.86	87.55	83.75	24.79
Stem girth (mm)	10.80	11.45	93.58	3.65	20.82
Leaves per plant	28.34	31.85	92.17	3.44	23.43
Leaf stem ratio	37.69	36.82	95.40	0.28	74.07
Total soluble solids (%)	11.37	12.60	86.95	1.71	20.36
Green fodder yield (g/plant)	27.51	37.15	95.90	145.36	34.60

**Table 3:** Estimates genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients for different characters in forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench)

Parameters		Days to 50% flowering	Plant height (cm)	Leaf breadth (cm)	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	Stem girth (mm)	Leaves per plant	Leaf stem ratio	Total soluble solids (%)	Green fodder yield (g/plant)
Days to 50% flowering	G	1.00	0.16	-0.30**	0.12	0.98*	0.07	-0.05	0.22	0.40**	-0.49
	P	1.00	0.20	-0.37**	0.13	0.99*	0.08	-0.11	0.25	0.55**	-0.45
Plant height (cm)	G		1.00	0.74**	0.88**	0.24	0.77**	0.65**	0.62**	0.61**	-0.10
	P		1.00	0.76**	0.89**	0.41	0.79**	0.68**	0.66**	0.67**	-0.11
Leaf breadth (cm)	G			1.00	0.71**	0.79**	0.15	0.09	-0.09	0.06	0.13
	P			1.00	0.73**	0.82**	0.19	0.10	-0.10	0.07	0.12
Leaf length (cm)	G				1.00	0.67**	0.11	0.72**	0.14	0.67**	-0.03
	P				1.00	0.66**	0.16	0.75**	0.15	0.88	-0.05
Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	G					1.00	-0.14	0.71**	-0.07	0.15	0.11
	P					1.00	-0.17	0.74**	-0.02	0.16	0.12
Stem girth (mm)	G						1.00	0.82**	0.46	0.32	0.95**
	P						1.00	0.87**	0.48	0.34	0.98**
Leaves per plant	G							1.00	0.09	0.53**	0.86**
	P							1.00	0.10	0.56**	0.89**
Leaf stem ratio	G								1.00	0.04	0.87**
	P								1.00	0.05	0.89**
Total soluble solids (%)	G									1.00	0.04
	P									1.00	0.08
Green fodder yield (g/plant)	G										1.00
	P										1.00

\*significant at 5% probability level

\*\* significant at 1% probability level

**Table 4:** Estimates of direct and indirect effect of different characters on forage yield per plant in forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench)

Parameters		Days to 50% flowering	Plant height (cm)	Leaf breadth (cm)	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	Stem girth (mm)	Leaves per plant	Leaf stem ratio	Total soluble solids (%)
Days to 50% flowering	G	-0.17	-0.53	0.07	-0.42	-0.08	0.54	0.02	0.19	0.29
	P	-0.20	-0.58	0.08	-0.45	-0.10	0.58	0.03	0.23	0.31
Plant height (cm)	G	0.75	0.81	0.01	-0.42	0.04	0.21	-0.28	0.02	0.07
	P	0.86	0.85	0.08	-0.48	0.06	0.24	-0.31	0.08	0.10
Leaf breadth (cm)	G	0.16	0.34	0.91	-0.71	0.02	0.77	-0.03	-0.02	0.05
	P	0.18	0.46	0.93	-0.73	0.09	0.78	-0.05	-0.05	0.08

Leaf length (cm)	G	0.61	-0.36	-0.06	-0.01	0.76	0.70	-0.41	0.08	0.05
	P	0.89	-0.37	-0.08	-0.08	0.93	0.77	-0.45	0.05	0.02
Leaf area (cm <sup>2</sup> )	G	0.13	-0.78	-0.08	-0.21	0.86	0.65	-0.07	-0.06	0.15
	P	0.14	-0.80	-0.09	-0.23	0.88	0.74	-0.08	-0.05	0.20
Stem girth (mm)	G	0.65	0.83	0.31	0.68	0.92	0.09	0.66	0.69	0.20
	P	0.94	0.85	0.32	0.70	0.98	0.10	0.69	0.70	0.25
Leaves per plant	G	0.22	-0.53	-0.45	-0.02	0.05	0.40	0.79	0.02	0.09
	P	0.26	-0.62	-0.50	-0.05	0.08	0.45	0.80	0.07	0.12
Leaf stem ratio	G	-0.02	-0.68	0.37	-0.34	-0.04	0.56	-0.07	0.04	0.33
	P	-0.05	-0.87	0.38	-0.40	-0.06	0.78	-0.03	0.06	0.39
Total soluble solids (%)	G	-0.01	-0.17	-0.61	-0.04	0.92	0.46	-0.32	0.09	-0.02
	P	-0.05	-0.37	-0.69	-0.08	0.98	0.88	-0.47	0.04	-0.05

\*Significant at 5% probability level

\*\* Significant at 1% probability level

## References

1. Agriculture statistics at a glance. Ministry of Agriculture, 2017, 97-99.
2. Allard RW. Principle of plant breeding. John Wiley and sons Inc. New York, 1960, 185.
3. Burton GW. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proc. 6<sup>th</sup> Int. Grassland Cong. 1952; 1:227-283.
4. Croxton and Cowden. Applied General Statistics, New Delhi, Prentice-Hall of India, 1964.
5. Damor HI, Parmar HP, Gohil DP, Patel AA. Genetic variability, character association, path coefficient in forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench), Green Farming. 2018; 9(2):218-233.
6. Dewey DR, Lu KH. A correlation and path coefficient analysis components of crested wheat grass. Journal of Agronomy. 1959; 51:515-518.
7. Jain SK, Patel PR. Genetic variability in land races of forage sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] collected from different geographical origin of India. International Journal of Agricultural Science. 2012; 4(2):182-185.
8. Jain SK, Patel PR. Variability correlation and path analysis studies in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench). Forage Research. 2013; 39(1):27-30.
9. Jain SK, Elangovan M, Patel NV. Correlation and path coefficient analysis for agronomical traits in forage sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench]. Indian Journal. Plant Genet. Resou. 2017; 23(1):15-18.
10. Johnson HW, Robinson HF, Camstock F. Genotypic and phenotypic correlation in soybean and their implications in selection. Agron. J. 1955; 47:477-483.
11. Kadam DE, Patil FB, Bhor JJ, Harer PN. Line x tester analysis in sweet sorghum hybrids. Journal of Maharashtra Agriculture Universities. 2001; 25(3):318-319.
12. Kumar MH, Sahib KH. Genetic studies and correlations of biomass related characters in forage sorghum. Journal of Research ANGRAU. 2003; 31(3):35-39.
13. Kumar A, Singh U. Fertility status of Haryana cow. Indian Veterinary Journal. 2012; 86:807-809.
14. Khandelwal V, Shukla M, Jodha BS, Nathawat VS, Dashora SK. Genetic Parameters and character association in sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench). Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2015; 8(22):974-988.
15. Malaghan, Shilpa, Kajjidoni ST. Character association and path analysis of grain yield in rabi sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) International journal of Chemical Studies. 2019; 7(1):2309-2313.
16. Malik A, Singh SK, Chand P, Singh B, Singh DK. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance studies on forage sorghum. Progressive Agriculture. 2015; 15(1):92-94.
17. Nyadanu D, Dikera E. Exploring variation, relationships and heritability of traits among selected accessions of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) in the upper east region of Ghana. Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics. 2014; 2(3):101-107.
18. Patil CN, Rathod AH, Vaghela PO, Yadav SR, Patade SS, Shinde AS. Study of correlation and path analysis in dual purpose sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench]. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2014; 10(2):608-611.
19. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, 1967.
20. Ranjith P, Ghorade RB, Kalpande VV, Dange AM. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance for grain yield and yield components in sorghum. International Journal of Farm Sciences. 2017; 7(1):90-93.
21. Raza I, Naheed S. Relationship among biometrical characters of sorghum hybrid. Science Technology and Development. 2014; 33(4):175-177.
22. Robinson HF, Comstock RE, Harvey PH. Estimates of heritability and the degree of dominance in corn. Agron. J. 1949; 41:353-359.
23. Shivani D, Sreelakshmi CH. Genetic analysis of yield and agro-morphological traits in cms based hybrids of rabi sorghum. Forage Research. 2013; 38(4):234-238.
24. Wadikar PB, Ubale DL, Magar MR, Thorat GS. Genetic variability studies in sweet sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2018; 6:920-923.
25. Yadav R, Pahuja SK, Grewal RPS, Yadav R. Evaluation of phenotypic variability in forage sorghum genotypes. Forage Research. 2003; 29(3):123-128.