

# Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2019; 8(4): 707-709 Received: 01-05-2019 Accepted: 03-06-2019

#### C Rodinpuia

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Vikram Singh

Associate Professor, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Dhananjay Tiwari

Ph.D. Scholar (Agronomy), Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Correspondence C Rodinpuia** M.Sc. Scholar, Dep

M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

# **Response of maize (***Zea mays* **L.) to foliar application of zinc and planting geometry**

# C Rodinpuia, Vikram Singh and Dhananjay Tiwari

#### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during *kharif* season of 2018 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Allahabad to study the growth and yield of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by foliar application of zinc and planting geometry. The experiment is comprised of Randomized Block Design with three replications and twelve treatments. It consisted of foliar applied Zn concentrations (control, 0.5%, 0.75% and 1.0%) and three planting geometry (30 x 30 cm, 45 x 20 cm and 60 x 15 cm). It was observed that  $T_{11}$  (1.0% Zn  $I^{-1}$  at 45 x 20 cm) gave highest plant height (174.99 cm). It also gave highest number of grains cob<sup>-1</sup> (302.45), grain yield (9.34 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), test weight (284.19 g) and highest net return (₹ 121399.67 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and B:C ratio (3.24) indicating better yield attributes as compared to other treatments. However,  $T_{10}$  (1.0% Zn at 30 x 30 cm) was found to be more effective for higher stover yield up to 10.11 t ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Keywords: Maize, nitrogen, zinc, planting geometry

### Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the most important staple food crops after rice and wheat, in the world as well as in India. It is a miracle crop and is also referred to as 'Queen of Cereals'. In India, maize is presently grown in an area of 8.69 million hectares, with production of 21.81 million tonnes and productivity of 2509 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Agricultural Statistics at a Glance, 2016) <sup>[7]</sup>. At present, about 35 per cent of the maize produced in the country is used for human consumption, 25 per cent each in poultry feed and cattle feed and 15 per cent in food processing (corn flakes, popcorn etc.) and other industries (mainly starch, dextrose, corn syrup, corn oil etc.).

Zn deficiency is a well-documented problem in food crops. Maize was also recognized by farmers for a long time as a crop of high response to Zn supply. Limited supply of Zn may result in poor root development, stunted growth, small leaves, short internodes, delayed tasseling, etc. Zn helps in the synthesis of growth hormones and proteins, production of chlorophyll and carbohydrate metabolism and cell elongation. Zn activates the plant enzymes by carbohydrate metabolism, both in photosynthesis and in the conversion of sugars to starch, maintaining the integrity of cellular membranes, protein synthesis and regulation of auxin synthesis. It was documented that foliar application of Zn is a simple way for quick correction of plant nutritional status. Foliar application of Zn significantly improves starch content of forage maize. Application of Zn as foliar alone or combination with basal application is also reported to improve the grain Zn content (Cakmak, 2008), and could solve Zn deficiency related health problems in humans.

### **Materials and Methods**

The experiment was conducted in *kharif* season during 2018 on a sandy clay loam soil having pH 7.4, organic carbon 0.961%, NPK (341.15, 36.3, 254.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), EC of 0.413 dS m<sup>-1</sup> at the Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P.). The Crop Research Farm is situated at 25°24'41.27" N latitude, 81°51'3.42" E longitude (*Google map*, 2016) and 98 m attitude above the mean sea level. It has a sub-tropical and semi-arid climate with the monsoon commencing from July and withdrawing by the end of September. The experiment consisted of a Randomized Block Design with 3 replications and 12 treatments. Four levels of Zn (control, 0.5%, 0.75% and 1.0%) were applied to three planting geometry (30 x 30 cm, 45 x 20 cm, 60 x 15 cm). Nutrients were applied through Urea, DAP, MoP and ZnSO<sub>4</sub> to supply the required amount of NPK and Zn. Full dose of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O, and 50% of N (60, 60 and 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were applied as basal. The rest 50% N was applied as split doses 20 DAS and 40 DAS as top dressing. Zn<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> was applied as foliar spray at vegetative stage and

reproductive stage (anthesis). The data on various growth and yield attributes were recorded and statistically analyzed.

# **Results and Discussion**

# Growth and growth attributes

Foliar application of Zn at different planting geometry significantly affected the plant height. Significantly higher plant height was observed in  $T_{11}$  (1.0% Zn at 45 x 20 cm) at 174.99 cm, whereas significantly higher dry weight was observed in  $T_{10}$  (1.0% Zn at 30 x 30 cm) at 54.33 g, as seen in Table 1.

Foliar application of Zn at 1.0% considerably increased the plant height and other growth attributes. This may be due to the positive effect of Zn applied at higher doses. Zn aids in the synthesis of growth hormones and plays a vital role in cell elongation. Increase in plant height with respect to increased Zn application rate indicates maximum vegetative growth of plant under higher Zn availability. This finding is in relevance with Yasin *et al.*, 2018 <sup>[16]</sup>.

The increase in growth and growth attributes with difference in planting geometry shows the competition among plants for available resources. The results are in close accordance with findings of Mathukia *et al.*, 2014 <sup>[10]</sup>.

# Yield

# Grain Yield

Maximum grain yield (9.34 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed under  $T_{11}$  (1.0% Zn at 45 x 20 cm) as shown in Table 2. This was 21.84% higher as compared to lowest grain yield (7.30 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded from  $T_2$  (Control at 45 x 20 cm). However,  $T_{10}$  (1.0% Zn at 30 x 30 cm) was found to be statistically on par with the treatment.

The increase in grain yield may be due to the adequate supply of Zn during the various growth stages of the plant, which might have led to increased photosynthetic activity for longer period and their beneficial effect on metabolism of plants thereby, increasing dry-matter accumulation. Proper and adequate supply of Zn as foliar spray results in direct absorption of Zn, which increased the uptake of N during the grain formation stage and ultimately improved the yield component of maize (Siddiqui *et al.*, 2009)<sup>[15]</sup>. The results are in close accordance with the findings of Mohsin *et al.*, 2014<sup>[11]</sup>.

# **Stover Yield**

Maximum stover yield (10.11 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed under  $T_{10}$  (1.0% Zn at 30 x 30 cm) as shown in Table 2. This was 22.16% higher as compared to lowest stover yield (7.87 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded from  $T_2$  (Control at 45 x 20 cm). However, the different treatment combinations had no significant difference on the stover yield of the crops.

Application of Zn at adequate doses helps in regulation of various physiological and metabolic activities. It also results in better accumulation of N, leading to higher plant height, dry weight and ultimately higher stover yield. Foliar application of Zn as sole or in combination improves growth, increase yield and yield components of maize even under moisture stress condition, because foliar application of Zn provides the essential nutrients to the plants (Yasin *et al.*, 2018)<sup>[16]</sup>.

## Economics

Maximum net return ( $\Box$  121399.67 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and benefit cost ratio (3.24) were recorded in T<sub>11</sub> (1.0% Zn at 45 x 20 cm). These findings were respectively higher as compared with the lowest net return ( $\Box$  86935.7 ha-1) and benefit cost ratio (2.33) observed in T<sub>2</sub> (Control at 45 x 20 cm).

Latha *et al.*, 2001 <sup>[9]</sup> also reported that increased Zn availability at all stages of maize crop with enrichment of manure with  $ZnSO_4$  is enough for maximum net returns.

| 60 DAS                                  |                      |                   |                 |   |  |  |  |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---|--|--|--|
| Treatment                               | Plant height<br>(cm) | Dry weight<br>(g) | Leaf Area Index | CGR<br>(g m <sup>-2</sup> day <sup>-1</sup> ) |  |  |  |
| T <sub>1</sub> (Control at 30 x 30 cm)  | 165.09               | 47.70             | 6.86            | 17.27   |  |  |  |
| T <sub>2</sub> (Control at 45 x 20 cm)  | 159.72               | 46.28             | 6.45            | 15.84   |  |  |  |
| T <sub>3</sub> (Control at 60 x 15 cm)  | 160.71               | 47.43             | 6.19            | 17.20   |  |  |  |
| T <sub>4</sub> (0.5% Zn at 30 x 30 cm)  | 161.43               | 48.61             | 6.60            | 17.08   |  |  |  |
| T <sub>5</sub> (0.5% Zn at 45 x 20 cm)  | 160.87               | 49.17             | 6.66            | 17.76   |  |  |  |
| T <sub>6</sub> (0.5% Zn at 60 x 15 cm)  | 168.48               | 49.17             | 6.58            | 17.82   |  |  |  |
| T <sub>7</sub> (0.75% Zn at 30 x 30 cm) | 158.81               | 49.64             | 6.34            | 18.26   |  |  |  |
| T <sub>8</sub> (0.75% Zn at 45 x 20 cm) | 167.60               | 48.97             | 7.13            | 17.72   |  |  |  |
| T <sub>9</sub> (0.75% Zn at 60 x 15 cm) | 165.23               | 53.34             | 7.06            | 18.87   |  |  |  |
| T <sub>10</sub> (1.0% Zn at 30 x 30 cm) | 172.41               | 54.33             | 8.25            | 19.83   |  |  |  |
| T <sub>11</sub> (1.0% Zn at 45 x 20 cm) | 174.99               | 50.89             | 7.70            | 17.34   |  |  |  |
| T <sub>12</sub> (1.0% Zn at 60 x 15 cm) | 170.41               | 53.14             | 8.10            | 19.32   |  |  |  |
| F test                                  | S                    | S                 | NS              | NS  |  |  |  |
| SE (d)                                  | 4.27742              | 2.19801           | 0.81014         | 1.35707                                       |  |  |  |
| CD (P=0.005%)                           | 8.87136              | 4.55867           | -               | -   |  |  |  |
| C.V.                                    | 3.1658               | 5.39599           | 14.1902         | 9.30606                                       |  |  |  |

Table 1: Effect of foliar application of Zn and planting geometry on growth of maize (Zea mays L.)

| Table 2: Effect of foliar application o | of Zn and planting geometry of | n yield of maize (Zea mays L.) |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|   |                                |                                |

| Treatment                               | Grain yield<br>(t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Stover yield<br>(t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | Net Return<br>(□ ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | B:C ratio |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| $T_1$ (Control at 30 x 30 cm)           | 7.58                                 | 8.20                                  | 91639.00                            | 2.46      |
| T <sub>2</sub> (Control at 45 x 20 cm)  | 7.30                                 | 7.87                                  | 86935.67                            | 2.33      |
| T <sub>3</sub> (Control at 60 x 15 cm)  | 7.68                                 | 8.91                                  | 93339.00                            | 2.51      |
| T <sub>4</sub> (0.5% Zn at 30 x 30 cm)  | 8.09                                 | 9.03                                  | 100201.00                           | 2.68      |
| T <sub>5</sub> (0.5% Zn at 45 x 20 cm)  | 8.19                                 | 8.93                                  | 101901.00                           | 2.73      |
| T <sub>6</sub> (0.5% Zn at 60 x 15 cm)  | 8.24                                 | 9.47                                  | 102694.33                           | 2.75      |
| T <sub>7</sub> (0.75% Zn at 30 x 30 cm) | 7.93                                 | 8.38                                  | 97483.67                            | 2.61      |
| T <sub>8</sub> (0.75% Zn at 45 x 20 cm) | 8.12                                 | 8.74                                  | 100600.33                           | 2.65      |
| T <sub>9</sub> (0.75% Zn at 60 x 15 cm) | 8.44                                 | 9.18                                  | 106040.33                           | 2.84      |
| T <sub>10</sub> (1.0% Zn at 30 x 30 cm) | 9.17                                 | 10.11                                 | 118509.67                           | 3.17      |
| T <sub>11</sub> (1.0% Zn at 45 x 20 cm) | 9.34                                 | 9.43                                  | 121399.67                           | 3.24      |
| T <sub>12</sub> (1.0% Zn at 60 x 15 cm) | 8.83                                 | 9.62                                  | 112616.33                           | 3.01      |
| F test                                  | S                                    | NS                                    |                                     |           |
| SE (d)                                  | 0.2374                               | 0.73265                               |                                     |           |
| CD (P= 0.005%)                          | 0.49236                              | -                                     |                                     |           |
| C.V.                                    | 3.52745                              | 9.9791                                |                                     |           |

### Conclusion

The experiment "Response of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) to Foliar application of Zn and Planting Geometry" conducted during *kharif* season of 2018 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj showed best performance in treatment T<sub>11</sub> (1.0% Zn 1<sup>-1</sup> of water at 45 cm x 20 cm) on the parameters observed, *viz.*, plant height (174.99 cm), number of grains cob<sup>-1</sup> (302.45), grain yield (9.34 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), test weight (284.19 g). It also gave highest net return (₹ 121399.67 ha<sup>-1</sup>) and B:C ratio (3.24) compared to the other treatments. These findings are based on a four months trial, therefore it may be repeated to confirm the findings.

#### References

- 1. Amanullah M, Saleem A, Iqbal A, Fahad S. Foliar Phosphorus and Zinc application improve growth and productivity of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) under moisture stress conditions in Semi-arid climates, Journal of Microbial & Biochemical Technology. 2016; 8:5.
- Anees MA, Ali A, Shakoor U, Ahmed F, Hasnain Z, Hussain A. Foliar applied potassium and zinc enhances growth and yield performance of maize under rainfed conditions, International Journal of Agriculture & Biology. 2016; 18:1025-1032.
- 3. Avtar S, Choudhary ML, Kang JS. Influence of nitrogen and crop geometry on seed yield and quality of forage maize, Indian Journal of Crop Science. 2008; 3(1): 63-65.
- 4. Cakmak I. Enrichment of cereal grains with zinc: Agronomic or genetic biofortification? Plant and Soil. 2008; 302(1-2):1-17.
- 5. Devi HS, Ghosh G. Effect of planting geometry, nitrogen levels and zinc application on growth and yield of hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.), Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phyto chemistry. 2017; 6(4):1067-1069.
- Ehsanullah Tariq A, Randhawa MA, Anjum SA, Nadeem M, Naeem M. Exploring the role of Zinc in Maize (*Zea mays* L.) through soil and foliar application, Universal Journal of Agricultural Research. 2015; 3(3):69-75.
- GOI. Agricultural statistics at a glance: Ministry of Agriculture, Govt. of India, 2016. http://eands.dacnet.nic. in/PDF/Glance-2016.pdf
- Kumar R, Rathore DK, Meena BS, Ashutosh Singh M, Kumar U, Meena VK. Enhancing productivity and quality of fodder maize through soil and foliar zinc nutrition, Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2016; 50(3):259-263.

- Latha MR, Savithri P, Indirani R, Kamaraj S. Influence of Zn enriched organic manures on availability of micronutrients in soil, Madras Agricultural Journal. 2001; 88(1 and 3):165-167.
- 10. Mathukia RK, Choudhary RP, Shivran A, Bhosale N. Response of Rabi sweet corn to plant geometry and fertilizer, Current Biotica. 2014; 7(4):294-298.
- 11. Mohsin AU, Ahmad AUH, Farooq M, Ullah S. Influence of Zn application through seed treatment and foliar spray on growth, productivity and grain quality of hybrid maize, J. Anim. Plant Sci. 2014; 24(5):1494-1503.
- Potarzycki J, Grzebisz W. Effect of Zinc foliar application on grain yield of maize and its yielding components, Plant soil Environment. 2009; 55(12):519-527.
- 13. Sangoi L. Understanding plant density effects on maize growth and development: an important issue to maximize grain yield, Ciencia Rural. 2001; 31:159-168.
- Sangtam S, Gohain T, Kikon N. Assessment of Nitrogen doses and planting densities for optimizing growth and yield performance of rainfed maize (*Zea mays L.*), Indian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2017; 51(5):473-477.
- Siddiqui MH, Oad FC, Abbasi MK, Gandahi AW. Zn and boron fertility to optimize physiologicalparameters, nutrient uptake and seed yield of sunflower, Sarhad J. Agric. 2009; 25(1):53-57.
- 16. Yasin MU, Zulfiqar U, Ishfaq M, Ali N, Durrani S, Ahmad T *et al.* Influence of foliar application of Zn on yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) under water stress at different stages, J Glob. Innov. Agric. Soc. Sci. 2018; 5(4):165-169.