

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2019; 8(4): 2969-2972 Received: 24-05-2019 Accepted: 28-06-2019

Yogita M Yadav

Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agricultural Botany PGI, Dr. PDKV., Akola, Maharashtra, India

TH Rathod

Senior Research Scientist, Cotton Research Unit, Dr. PDKV., Akola, Maharashtra, India

SB Deshmukh

Assistant cotton breeder, Cotton Research Unit, Dr. PDKV, Akola, Maharashtra, India

YS Wakode

Department of Agricultural Botany PGI, Dr. PDKV, Akola, Maharashtra, India

Correspondence Yogita M Yadav Ph.D. Scholar, Department of Agricultural Botany PGI, Dr. PDKV, Akola, Maharashtra, India

Evaluation of American cotton genotypes for yield and yield contributing parameters

Yogita M Yadav, TH Rathod, SB Deshmukh and YS Wakode

Abstract

The experiment was laid out in a Randomised block design with three replications. There were twenty seven hirsutum genotypes of cotton namely AKH-8660, AKH-31, AKH-073, AKH-976, AK-32, AKH-2006-2, AKH-09-5, AKH-10-2, AKH-10-3, AKH-10-10, AKH-2012-8, AKH-2012-9, AKH-2013-1, AKH-2013-2, AKH-2013-3, AKH-1301, AKH-1302, AKH-1303, CNHO-12, GV-22, IC-358555, IC-357342, IC-359088, AKH-081, AKH-9916, PKV-Rajat and AKH-8828 were applied. The gross plot size was 1.8×6 m2. The yield parameters like no. of monopodia, no. of sympodia, no. of bolls per plant, boll wt.(g), Seed cotton yield (g/plant), Lint yield (g/plant), Ginning % and seed index (g) were influenced significantly by genotypes. Genotype AKH-1303 recorded significantly higher no. of sympodia (15.73), no. of bolls per plant (16.47/plant), seed cotton yield (62.89 g/plant), Lint yield (22.19 g/plant) and seed index (10.35g).

Keywords: Genotype, yield parameters, American cotton

Introduction

Cotton (*Gossypium* spp.) is an important fibre crop and plays a vital role as a cash crop in commerce of many countries. Cotton, also known as "King of fibres" plays a remarkable role in Indian economy. In cotton, now the yield levels have reached a plateau. So, the increased productivity of cotton could be achieved in developing countries like India by adopting cotton cultivars with intensive management practices. Cotton improvement work in India is directed towards evolving high yielding varieties and hybrids. Crop productivity in general, depends on potentiality of the genotypes and environmental interactions. Its associated characters decide genotypic ability. A precise, understanding of the crop yield build up is necessary for improvement of yielding ability of crop and its genotypes. The present study would be helpful for providing an idea about the performance and breeding behaviour of different genotypes in different environments and also helpful in classifying available genotypes depending upon morpho-physiological and yield parameters.

Material and Method

The experiment was conducted at experimental field of Cotton Research Unit, Dr. P.D.K.V., Akola, during 2016-17 and 2017-18. The topography of experimental field was fairly uniform, levelled and with a good drainage. The experiment was laid out in RBD design with three replications. The recommended package of practices was followed during the course of the investigation. The observations of yield parameters were recorded at harvest stage. i.e. number of monopodia, number of sympodia, number of bolls plant-1, single boll weight, seed cotton yield plant-1, Lint yield plant⁻¹, ginning % and seed index, (g). The seed cotton yield from each net plot was picked and the same weighed separately at each picking. The single boll weight was also recorded. The total seed cotton yield (g/plant) worked out by summation of a quantity of seed cotton picked in all pickings. The collected Pooled data was statistically analysed by Panse and Sukhatme (1954) method.

Results and Discussion Yield contributing parameters Number of monopodia plant⁻¹

The branches that do not bear fruit directly are the monopodial branches. Monopodial branches are also called vegetative branches and are always formed at the base of the cotton plant. Monopodial branches give the plant a bushy framework. Genotype CNHO-12 and IC-359088 (1.00) recorded least number of monopodia plant⁻¹. Khokhar *et al.* (2017) ^[13] found number of monopodia from 1.17 to 2.50 while Jamrao *et al.* (2017) ranged from 1.13- 1.00

number of monopodia per plant. These results were further supported by Meena *et al.* (2007) ^[14], N.U. Khan and G. Hassan (2011) ^[16], Farooq *et al.* (2013).

Number of sympodia plant⁻¹

Number of sympodial branches per plant recorded a significant differences among all cotton genotypes. The genotype AKH-1303 produced the higher number of sympodia (15.73) per plant. The lowest number of sympodia (7.60) per plant was recorded by AKH-8660. The differences in number of sympodia per plant can be attributed to differences in genetic makeup of cotton genotypes. Jamrao *et al.*, (2017) recorded number of sympodia in the range of 18.47 to 18.98 and also Khokhar *et al.*, (2017) ^[13] ranged from 11.20 to 22.43. Similar results obtained by Meena *et al.* (2007) ^[14], Giri *et al.* (2008) ^[8], Bhongle and Patil (2011) ^[4], Kaliyaperumal Ashok kumar (2011) ^[10].

Number of Bolls plant-1

Hirsutum genotypes significantly influenced the number of bolls plant-1. Genotype AKH-1303 recorded significantly more number of bolls plant-1 (16.47). Number of bolls plant-1 was significantly influenced due to different hirsutum genotypes. Khokhar *et al.*, (2017) ^[13] observed the range of 36.13- 22.03 bolls plant⁻¹ in different American cotton genotypes. Similar results were also reported by Shakeel *et al.* (2015) ^[16], Singh *et al.* (2015) ^[20], Ullah *et al.*, (2015) ^[21], Shahnaz memon *et al.* (2017) ^[15].

Single boll weight (g)

Boll weight has direct influence on seed cotton yield. The genotypes were significantly differed for boll weight. Maximum boll weight was reported in AKH-2012-9 (3.12 g) and minimum boll weight (2.20 g) was maintained by genotype AKH 8660. The differences among genotypes for boll weight might have been due to the difference in genetic potential of the cotton genotypes. The significant differences among genotypes for boll weight had also been recorded by Kaliyaperumal Ashok kumar (2011) ^[10], Singh *et al.*, (2012), Baloch *et al.*, (2014) ^[2], Dewan (2014) ^[5]. Farooq *et al.*, (2017) ^[7].

Seed cotton yield plant-1 (g)

On an average of 62.89 (g) seed cotton yield plant-1 was collect in three picking. Treatment differences in respect of seed cotton yield plant-1 due to different genotypes were observed to be significant. Genotype AKH-1303 (62.89 g) recorded significantly higher weight of seed cotton yield plant-1. Yielding ability could be achieved through physiological characters i.e. larger leaf area index, higher chlorophyll content, higher dry matter production. The highest seed cotton yield produced by genotypes AKH-1303

might be due to the genetic ability and better photosynthetic efficiency through better source sink relations as reflected in harvest index. Kaliyaperumal Ashokkumar and Rajasekaran Ravikesavan (2013) reported maximum seed cotton yield observed in cotton genotype MCU (95.33 g/plant). Sekloka *et al.*, (2018) who observed 50.8 g seed cotton yield plant⁻¹.

Lint yield plant⁻¹(g)

Lint yield was significantly related to open boll number at harvest. In a short season environment, the retention of early squares and their development into open bolls was an important factor in lint yield production. In present investigation, the highest value (22.81 g/plant) of lint yield was recorded in genotype AKH-8828 and lowest value of lint yield 10.08 g/plant maintained by AKH-073. The results are in agreement with Singh *et al.*, (2011) who recorded lint yield per ha. In the range of 761.1 to 823.3 kg/ha and Dewan (2014)^[5] recorded lint yield per ha. From 681 to 809 kg/ha.

Ginning out turn (%)

Significantly highest ginning outturn was recorded by the check AKH-8828 (41.31%) in *G. hirsutum* and lower (31.42%) ginning outturn observed in IC-359088. Ginning out turn was significantly influenced due to different genotypes. Similar results were obtained by Kaliyaperumal Ashok kumar (2011) ^[10] recorded highest value (36.2%) of ginning % in case of cotton cultivar surabhi and Baloch *et al.*, (2014) ^[2] who reported cotton cultivar IR-3701 ginned significantly i.e. 36.25 to 44.31% ginning outturn. Other researchers also reported the same results *viz.* Ullah *et al.*, (2010), N.U. Khan and G. Hassan (2011) ^[11], Jamro *et al.*, (2017) ^[9], Khokhar *et al.*, (2017) ^[13] and Orawu *et al.*. (2017)^[17].

Seed index (g)

There was significant differences among the genotypes in case of seed index. In genotypes significantly highest value (10.35 g). of seed index was recorded in AKH-1303 and lowest value (5.38 g) of seed index was found in AKH-10-10. The results are in agreement with Aziz *et al.*, (2011) ^[1] who recorded seed index in the range of 6.00 to 10.10 g while Bharati *et al.*, (2014) observed range from 10.35 to 10.76 g. and similar results were earlier reported by Ullah *et al.*, 2010), Kaliyaperumal Ashok kumar. (2011) ^[10], Kamel sajed and abbas ranjbar (2012) ^[12], Elayan Sohair *et al.* (2015) ^[6] and Martin Orawu *et al.*, (2017) ^[17] in cotton genotypes.

Conclusion

Among the genotypes,AKH-1303 recorded significantly higher in no. of sympodia (15.73), no. of bolls per plant (16.47/plant), seed cotton yield (62.89 g/plant), Lint yield (22.19 g/plant) and seed index (10.35g). It may highly suitable for climatic condition of Vidarbha region.

Table 1: Mean performance of seed cotton yield and yield contributing characters of different hirsutum cotton genotypes.

| Sr.no. | Genotypes | No. of monopodia | No. of sympodia | No. of bolls | Boll wt.(g) | Seed cotton yield/plant(g) | Lint yield per plant (g) | Ginning % | Seed index (g) |
|--------|------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| 1 | AKH-8660 | 1.10 | 7.60 | 7.85 | 2.20 | 30.96 | 11.81 | 37.86 | 8.65 |
| 2 | AKH-31 | 1.10 | 13.13 | 7.08 | 2.80 | 29.35 | 10.37 | 35.17 | 8.48 |
| 3 | AKH-073 | 1.03 | 13.60 | 11.85 | 2.77 | 28.34 | 10.08 | 35.35 | 6.52 |
| 4 | AKH-976 | 1.17 | 11.47 | 14.92 | 2.72 | 39.01 | 13.91 | 35.56 | 9.15 |
| 5 | AK-32 | 1.47 | 12.47 | 13.03 | 2.65 | 49.90 | 19.78 | 39.27 | 7.30 |
| 6 | AKH-2006-2 | 1.13 | 12.47 | 8.45 | 2.77 | 51.48 | 18.4 | 35.63 | 6.91 |
| 7 | AKH-09-5 | 1.10 | 13.60 | 10.98 | 2.87 | 50.79 | 19.03 | 37.42 | 7.34 |
| 8 | AKH-10-2 | 1.07 | 12.20 | 9.65 | 2.20 | 51.06 | 20.96 | 37.98 | 7.27 |

| 9 | AKH-10-3 | 1.20 | 13.67 | 10.28 | 2.94 | 54.12 | 18.15 | 33.34 | 8.22 |
|----------|-------------------|------|-------|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 10 | AKH-10-10 | 1.43 | 12.93 | 11.02 | 2.59 | 41.54 | 14.93 | 35.77 | 5.38 |
| 11 | AKH-2012-8 | 1.17 | 13.53 | 5.38 | 3.03 | 30.84 | 18.12 | 32.26 | 8.18 |
| 12 | AKH-2012-9 | 1.43 | 14.13 | 11.36 | 3.12 | 48.29 | 20.7 | 34.49 | 5.97 |
| 13 | AKH-2013-1 | 1.27 | 15.13 | 14.92 | 2.50 | 55.49 | 18.56 | 34.68 | 10.22 |
| 14 | AKH-2013-2 | 1.50 | 15.40 | 15.52 | 2.69 | 55.78 | 14.32 | 35.29 | 9.54 |
| 15 | AKH-2013-3 | 1.13 | 12.00 | 9.75 | 3.09 | 55.78 | 18.72 | 33.40 | 7.39 |
| 16 | AKH-1301 | 1.03 | 15.13 | 12.67 | 2.78 | 54.03 | 18.08 | 33.28 | 8.36 |
| 17 | AKH-1302 | 2.00 | 13.93 | 6.75 | 2.60 | 32.88 | 10.54 | 32.06 | 6.11 |
| 18 | AKH-1303 | 1.03 | 15.73 | 16.47 | 3.11 | 62.89 | 22.12 | 35.17 | 10.35 |
| 19 | CNHO-12 | 1.00 | 13.20 | 10.41 | 2.62 | 53.18 | 19.31 | 34.64 | 8.90 |
| 20 | GV-22 | 1.30 | 11.53 | 9.89 | 2.52 | 46.40 | 16.57 | 35.62 | 7.57 |
| 21 | IC-358555 | 1.70 | 13.47 | 7.35 | 2.87 | 46.26 | 16.83 | 36.24 | 7.89 |
| 22 | IC-357342 | 1.83 | 13.33 | 9.02 | 2.67 | 47.02 | 17.53 | 38.10 | 6.11 |
| 23 | IC-359088 | 1.00 | 14.50 | 12.65 | 2.58 | 53.62 | 18.5 | 31.42 | 5.94 |
| 24 | AKH-081(check) | 1.07 | 14.57 | 13.83 | 2.58 | 50.14 | 18.94 | 37.66 | 7.86 |
| 25 | AKH-9916 | 1.10 | 14.50 | 10.64 | 2.69 | 36.63 | 14.34 | 38.71 | 8.44 |
| 26 | PKV-Rajat (check) | 1.03 | 14.17 | 7.51 | 2.96 | 49.73 | 18.93 | 37.77 | 8.29 |
| 27 | AKH-8828(check) | 1.10 | 13.23 | 8.11 | 2.94 | 55.22 | 22.81 | 41.31 | 7.45 |
| | 'F' Test | Sig | Sig | Sig | Sig | Sig | Sig | Sig | Sig |
| SE(m) ± | | 0.51 | 0.17 | 0.51 | 0.45 | 0.15 | 2.68 | 1.13 | 1.26 |
| CD at 5% | | 1.45 | 0.49 | 1.45 | 1.28 | 0.42 | 7.62 | 3.2 | 3.59 |

References

- Aziz M, Ahmed JU, Mortuza MGG, Rahman MT, Jabber A. Yield and fibre quality of some cotton genotypes as affected by population density. International Journal of Agricultural Environment and Biotechnology. 2011; 4(3):185-191.
- Baloch MJ, NU Khan, MA Rajput, WA Jatoi, S Gul, IH Rind *et al.* Yield related morphological measures of short duration cotton genotypes. J Anim. Plant Sci Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences. 2014; 24(4):1198-1211. ISSN: 1018-7081.
- 3. Bharathi S, Kumari SR, Reddy VC. Growth, yield and quality of Bt cotton under varied plant geometry and nutrient management in rainfed vertisols. Journal of Cotton Research and Development. 2014; 28(1):49-51.
- 4. Bhongle SA, Patil BR. Yield evaluation of Bt cotton hybrids under rainfed conditions. Annals of Plant Physiology. 2011; 25(1):81-83.
- Dewan KM. Genotype-environment interaction in yield of hill cotton genotypes M.Sc (Agriculture) thesis. Shere-bangla agricultural university dhaka-1207 (Bangladesh), 2014.
- ED Elayan, Sohair AMA, Abdalla Abdel-Gawad, SD Nadia, AE Faramawy Wageda. Effect of Delaying Planting Date on Yield, Fiber and Yarn Quality Properties in Some Cultivars and Promising Crosses of Egyptian Cotton. American-Eurasian J Agric. & Environ. Sci. 2015; 15(5):754-763.
- Farooq J, Muhammad Anwar, Muhammad Riaz, Abid Mahmood, Amjad Farooq, Muhammad Saeed Iqbal, Muhammad Shahid Iqbal. Association and path analysis of earliness, yield and fiber related traits under cotton leaf curl virus (CLCuV) intensive conditions in Gossypium hirsutum L. Plant Knowledge Journal. 2017; 2(1):43-50.
- 8. Giri AN, Aundhekar RL, Kapse PS, Suryavanshi SB. Response of Bt cotton hybrid to plant densities and fertilizer levels. Journal of Cotton Research and Development. 2008; 22(1):45-47.
- Jamro SA, Muhammad Usman Ali, Mahmooda Buriro, Mohammad Irfan Ahmad, GM Jamro, Aaqil Khan, *et al.* Impact of Various Sowing Dates on Growth and Yield Parameters of Different Cotton Varieties. J Appl. Environ. Biol. Sci. 2017; 7(8):135-143.

- 10. Kaliyaperumal Ashok kumar. Morphological Diversity and per se Performance in Upland Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) Published by Canadian Center of Science and Education 107 Journal of Agricultural Science. 2011 3(2):2011.
- Kaliyaperumal Ashok kumar, Rajasekaran Ravikesavan. Genetic variation and heterotic effects for seed oil, seed protein and yield attributing traits in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) African Journal of Biotechnology. 2013; 12(33):5183-5191.
- 12. Kamel Sajed Gollojeh, Abbas Ranjbar. Evaluation of physiological characteristics and yield components of chickpea genotypes under rain-fed condition Current Opinion in Agriculture Curr. Opin. Agric. 2012; 1(1):13-18.
- Khokhar ES, Amir Shakeel, Muhammad Amir Maqbool, Muhammad Waheed Anwar, Zoraiz Tanveer, Muhammad FahadIrfan. Genetic Study of Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) Genotypes for Different Agronomic, Yield and Quality Traits. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research. 2017; 30(4):363.
- 14. Meena RA, Monga D, Kumar R. Undescriptive cotton cultivars of north zone. An Evaluation Journal of Cotton Research and Development. 2007; 21(1):2123.
- 15. Memon Shahnaz, Wajid Ali Jatoi, Nasreen Fatima Veeser, Nabila Kaleri, Samreen Khanzada, Nazia Kamboh1 *et al.* Characterization of Elite Upland Cotton Genotypes for Earliness and Yield Traits. Journal of Basic & Applied Sciences. 2017; 13:508-513.
- NU Khan, G Hassan. Genetic effects on morphological and yield traits in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research. 2011; 9(2):460-472.
- Orawu M, Gladys Amoding, Lastus Serunjogi, George Ogwang, Chris Ogwang. Yield Stability Of Cotton Genotypes At Three Diverse Agro-Ecologies Of Uganda. J Plant Breed. Genet. 2017; 5(03):101-114.
- Sekloka E, Albert Kora SABI, Valérien Amégnikin ZINSOU, Abib ABOUDOU, Cyrille Kanli Ndogbe, Léonard Afouda, *et al.* Morphological and agronomic characterization of sixteen genotypes of cotton plant (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) in rainfed condition in Benin J. Plant Breed. Crop Sci. 2018; 10(2):33-40.

- Shakeel A, Irfan Talib, Muhammad Rashid, Asif Saeed, Khurram Ziaf, M Farrukh Saleem. Genetic diversity among upland cotton genotypes for quality and yield related traits. Pak. J Agri. Sci. 2015; 52(1):73-77. ISSN (Print) 0552-9034, ISSN (Online) 2076-0906.
- 20. Singh DB, Ramandeep Kaur M Malhi, G Sandhya Kiran. Assessing the impact of agronomic spacing conditions on biophysical and biochemical parameters along with yield and yield components in cotton International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research (IJAAR), 2015. ISSN: 2223-7054
- 21. Ullah K, Zahid Usman, Niamatullah Khan, Rehmat Ullah, Fazal Yazdan Saleem, Shahid Iqbal Khattak *et al.* Genetic diversity for yield and related traits in upland cotton genotypes. Pakistan J Agric. Res, 2015, 28.