

E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2019; 8(3): 4150-4152 Received: 10-03-2019 Accepted: 12-04-2019

Ashwini HW

Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Vijayapura University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Bagali AN

Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Hanumanamatti University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Babu P

Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Hanumanamatti University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Soregaon CD

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Vijayapura University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Vijayalakshmi CL

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Vijayapura University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

Correspondence Ashwini HW Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Vijayapura University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka. India

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



Association study for seed yield and quality and their contributing traits in cluster bean [*Cymopsis tetragonoloba* (L.) Taub.] genotypes

Ashwini HW, Bagali AN, Babu P, Soregaon CD and Vijayalakshmi CL

Abstract

A correlation study was conducted using cluster bean genotypes collected from ACRIPIDA, RARS, Vijayapura. This study helps in identifying the characters which mainly contributing to the seed yield. Fifteen genotypes of cluster bean were evaluated during Kharif 2017 and observations recorded on growth and seed yield parameters. Correlation studies indicated that seed yield per plant had shown significant and highly positive correlation with parameters *viz.*, dry pod yield per plant (0.51), seed yield per hectare (0.47) and gum content (0.38) and shown positive correlation with number of seeds per pod and protein content. It is negative significantly and correlated with pod length (0.53), number of pods per plant (0.65), seed yield per plot (0.50) suggesting that which parameters may be considered as prime traits during the course of selection to have the higher potential of yield.

Keywords: Cyamopsis tetragonoloba, clusterbean, correlation, gum

Introduction

Cluster bean [Cyamopsis tetragonoloba (L.) Taub.] is popularly known as guar, is one of the most important kharif legumes and is well adapted to arid and semiarid regions of the world. The long deep taproot system enables the plant to grasp all the water in the soil making it an ultimate drought resistant crop. It is a self-pollinated crop belongs to family leguminosae having chromosome number 2n = 14. The seed pods grow in clusters giving guar the common name cluster bean. The origin of the crop has been suggested in India and Pakistan and tropical Africa. In India, this crop is mainly grown in Rajasthan, Gujarat, Haryana, Punjab and some parts of Uttar Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh and is an important component of cropping system in these regions. It is an annual plant, is grown for seed, green fodder, vegetable and green manuring. The endosperm of guar seed contains an important hydrocolloid named galactomannan. The galactomannan due to its specific qualities as thicker, binder and stabilizer has diversified industrial applications viz. paper, food, cosmetics, explosives, mining, petroleum, pharmaceuticals and well drilling etc. (Pathak et al., 2009) [15]. Recent rise in demand of guar in international market as a gum is attributed to its use in mining and petrochemical industries, where its use as viscous agent has been revolutionized the petrochemical industry and resulted in considerable increase in global natural gas production (Falasca et al., 2015)^[5].

Throughout the world, guar is grown mostly in tropical deserts of Indian sub-continent. India share 80% of world gum production and only 20% is produced by all other countries like Pakistan, USA, Australia, South Africa, Sudan and Argentina (Kumar *et al.*, 2013) ^[10]. Average gum production of cluster bean at national level is very low. Therefore, development of new cluster bean varieties having high gum content should be the major objective of the breeding program. Direct selection for gum content *per se* generally results in low genetic gain because of its low heritability in general, dictating plant breeders to realize the importance of component traits. Thus, for achieving rational improvement in gum content and its components, information about patterns of interrelationship among quantitative characters and knowledge of effective relationship provides a basis for formulating suitable selection methods for seed yield and gum components and pre-requisite to develop new varieties. The objective of present investigation was to assess the correlation among promising genotypes of cluster bean crop for seed yield and gum content. Hence, the present study was formulated to understand correlation.

Material and Methods

The present investigation was carried out at College of Agriculture Vijayapura, during Kharif-2017. The site is located at an altitude of 593 m above mean sea level and is geographically

positioned at 16⁰ 49' N latitude, 75⁰ 43' E longitude. It experiences hot humid summer and mild winter climate. The experimental material comprising of fifteen genotypes of cluster bean collected from All India Coordinated Research Project on Dry land Agriculture, [AICRP (DLA)], Regional Agricultural Research Station (RARS), Vijayapura. All recommended package of practices were followed to raise good crop. Experimental field was laid out in randomized block design with 15 genotypes and replicated thrice. The unit plot size was 3.6m x 2.4m, the row-to-row and plant-to-plant spacing was 45cm and 20cm, respectively. Fertilizers as 25 kg N₂, 75 kg P₂O₅ and 60 kg K₂O kg/ha were applied to rise good crop. All necessary cultural operations were done as and when required during the growing period. Data was recorded on five randomly selected plants per entry per replication for various characters namely, days to 50 per cent flowering, plant height (cm), pod length (cm), number of pods per plant, number of seeds per pod, number of seed per dry pod, 100 seed weight (g), dry pod yield per plant (g), seed yield per plant (g), seed yield per hectare (kg). The data were analyzed for estimation of phenotypic coefficient of variation. Simple correlation coefficients among the characters at phenotypic levels were analyzed following (Hayes et al, 1995 and Singh and Chaudhary 2003) [8, 18]. The phenotypic coefficient of variation was estimated according to the method of Panse and Sukhatme 1969 [13].

Result and discussion

The degree and direction of the inherent association (genotypic correlation) of characters apart from the observable correlation (phenotypic correlation) between two characters are important for the simultaneous selection of characters for genetic improvement. Correlation coefficients gave an idea about the mutual relationship between various plant characters and determined the component characters on which selection can be based for genetic improvement in yield. If a positive genotypic correlation was observed for pair of characters, certainly the improvement in one character will in turn improve the correlated character. If the improvement in one character, this will also help the breeder in the selection of characters if necessary.

Seed yield parameters

For days to 50 per cent flowering positive and highly significant correlation with dry pod yield per plant (0.51^{**}) , seed yield per hectare (0.47^{**}) and gum content (0.38^{**}) was observed. While, plant height at 90 DAS had positive correlation with all the parameters *viz.*, pod length (0.23), number of pods per plant (0.26), hundred seed weight (0.08),

number of seeds per pod (0.22), dry pod yield per plant (0.06), seed yield per plot (0.04), seed yield per hectare (0.05)and seed yield per plant (0.06). Whereas, pod length had positive and highly significant correlation with number of pods per plant (0.67^{**}) , dry pod yield per plant (0.53^{**}) , seed yield per plot (0.47^{**}) , seed yield per hectare (0.50^{**}) , gum content (0.40^{**}) and hundred seed weight (0.33^{**}) . Meanwhile, number of pods per plant had positive and highly significant correlation with pod length (0.67^{**}) , number of seeds per pod (0.41**), dry pod yield per plant (0.81**), seed yield per plot (0.80^{**}) and seed yield per hectare (0.80^{**}) . These findings are in line with the works of Kamleshwar Kumar (2013)^[10] in case of green gram and Shabarish and Dharmatti (2014)^[17] in cluster bean. Whereas, hundred seed weight had a positive and significant correlation with pod length (0.33^*) . These results are in conformity with those of Brindha et al. (1996) [3], Patel and Choudhari (2001) [14], Hanchinamani (2004) ^[7], Girish (2011) ^[6] and Malaghan (2012) ^[12] in cluster bean.

Number of seeds per pod had positive and highly significant correlation with number of pods per plant (0.41^{**}) and dry pod yield per plant (0.51^{**}) . Whereas, it had a positive and significant correlation with seed yield per plot (0.34^*) , seed yield per hectare (0.32^*) and gum content (0.03^*) . While, dry pod yield per plant had positive and highly significant correlation with days to 50 per cent flowering (0.51^{**}) , number of pods per plant (0.81**), pod length (0.53**), number of seeds per pod (0.51**), seed yield per plot (0.77^{**}) , seed yield per hectare (0.77^{**}) and protein content (0.52**). Obviously, dry pod yield per hectare registered positive and highly significant correlation with days to 50 per cent flowering (0.47^{**}) , pod length (0.50^{**}) , number of pods per plant (0.80^{**}) and seed yield per plot (0.98^{**}) . Similar kind of observations was made by Arumugarangarajan et al. (2000) ^[1], Hanchinamani (2004) ^[7] and Girish (2011) ^[6] in cluster bean.

Quality parameters

Protein content observed highly significant and positively correlation with dry pod yield per plant (0.52**). Whereas, it had positive correlation with plant height at 90 DAS (0.17), gum content (0.03) and seed yield per plant (0.52). Gum content on the other hand had, positive and highly significant correlation with days to 50 per cent flowering (0.38**) and pod length (0.40**). But, it had significant and positively correlated with number of seeds per pod (0.03*) and had positively correlated with seed yield per plot (0.18), seed yield per hectare (0.18), protein content (0.03) and seed yield per plant (0.12). Similar results were also reported by Biju *et al.* (2001) ^[2], Savitha *et al.* and (2012) ^[16] in dolichos bean.

Table 1: Phenotypic correlation coefficients analysis among growth, earliness and seed yield and quality parameters

Parameters	Days to 50% flowering	Plant height at 90 DAS	Pod length	Number of pods per plant	Hundred seed weight	Number of seeds per pod	Dry pod yield per plant	Seed yield per plot	Seed yield per hectare	Protein content	Gum content	Seed yield per plant
Days to 50% flowering	1.00	-0.10	-0.53**	-0.65**	-0.21	0.22	0.51**	-0.50**	0.47**	0.17	0.38**	-0.51
Plant height at 90 DAS		1.00	0.23	0.26	0.08	0.22	0.06	0.04	0.05	-0.05	-0.26	0.06
Pod length			1.00	0.67**	0.33*	0.17	0.53**	0.47**	0.50**	-0.26	0.40**	0.53
Number of pods per plant				1.00	0.21	0.41**	0.81**	0.80**	0.80**	-0.41**	-0.42**	0.81
Hundred seed weight					1.00	0.23	0.002	0.08	0.08	-0.03*	-0.51	0.002
Number of seeds per pod						1.00	0.51**	0.34*	0.32*	-0.49*	0.03*	0.51
Seed yield per							1.00	0.77**	0.77**	0.52**	-0.21	1.00

plant												
Seed yield per plot								1.00	0.98**	-0.43**	0.18	0.77
Seed yield per hectare									1.00	-0.43**	0.18	0.77
Protein content										1.00	0.03	0.52
gum content											1.00	0.12
Critical r_p value @ $1\% = 0.29$ ** \rightarrow Indicates significant at $p=0.01$												

Critical r_p value @ 5%= 0.38 * \rightarrow Indicates significant at p=0.05

Conclusion

The yield and its component characters were studied to know their relationship. Seed yield per plant were days to 50% flowering, plant height at 90 days after sowing, pod length, number of pods per plant, hundred seed weight, number of seeds per pod, dry pod yield per plant, seed yield per plot and seed yield per hectare. Protein content showed highly significant and positive correlation with dry pod yield per plant (0.52). However, it had positive correlation with plant height at 90 DAS (0.17), gum content (0.03) and seed yield per plant (0.52), while for gum content the parameters like days to 50 per cent flowering (0.38**) and pod length (0.40**) had positive and highly significant correlation.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the faculty at Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Vijayapura for providing the facilities to carry out this research and University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad for providing all the facilities required to conduct the research.

References

- 1. Arumugarangarajan P, Jebaraj S, Backiyarani S. Relationship among major economic characters in cluster bean [*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* (L.) Taub.]. South Indian Hort. 2000; 48(1-6):64-68.
- 2. Biju MG, Prasanna KP, Rajan S. Genetic divergence in Hyacinth bean Vegetable Science. Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2001; 28:163-64.
- 3. Brindha S, Ponnuswamy V, Thamburaj S. Correlation studies in cluster bean [*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* (L.) Taub.] South Indian Horticulture. 1996; 45(12):59-60.
- 4. Durgesh KR. Trends and Economic Dynamics of Guar in India, working paper 311. Indian Council for Research on International Economic Relation. New Delhi, 2015, 1-41.
- 5. Falasca SL, Miranda C, Pitta-Alvarez S. Modeling an agroclimatic zoning methodology to determine the potential growing areas of *Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* in Argentina. Advance Applied and Agriculture Sciences. 2015; 3:23-39.
- 6. Girish MH. Genetic variability and divergence studies in cluster bean [*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* (L.) Taub]. M. Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, UHS Bagalkot (India), 2011.
- Hanchinamani NC. Studies on genetic variability and genetic divergence in cluster bean [*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* (L.) Taub.]. M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, UAS Dharwad. (India), 2004.
- Hayes HK, Immer FR, Smith DC. Methods of plant breeding (2nd) McGraw Hill Book Co. Inc. New York, 1995, 551.
- Kamleshwar K, Yogendra P, Mishra SB, Pandey SS, Ravi K. Study on genetic variability, correlation and path analysis with grain yield and yield attributing traits in green gram [*Vigna radiata* (L.) wilczek]. The Bioscan. 2013; 8(4):1551-1555.

- Kumar V, Ram RB. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for yield and yield attributing traits in cluster bean [*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba* (L.) Taub.] Genotypes. Internation Journal of Pure and Applied Biosciences. 2013; 3:143-149.
- Lee JT, Connor AS, Haq AU, Bailey CA, Cartwright AL. Quantitative measurement of negligible trypsin inhibitors activity and nutrient analysis of guar mean fraction. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 2004; 52(21):6492-6495.
- Malaghan SN, Genetic variability and divergence studies in cluster bean [*Cyamopisis tetragonoloba* (L.) Taub]. M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, Univ. Hort. Sci., Bagalkot (India) 2012.
- 13. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research New Delhi, 1969.
- 14. Patel BV, Choudhari FP. Component analysis of yield in cluster bean. Forage Research. 2001; 27(2):123-125.
- 15. Pathak R, Singh SK, Sing Henry A. Molecular assessment of genetic diversity in cluster bean *(Cyamopsis tetragonoloba)* genotypes. Journal of Genetics. 2009; 89:243-246.
- 16. Savitha BN, Ravikumar RL, kumar DK. Characterization and genetic diversity analysis in Field bean [*Lablab Purpureus* (L.) Sweet] collections of Karnataka. Journal of Food Legumes. 2012; 25(1):18-24.
- 17. Shabarish Rai P, Dharmatti PR. Correlation and path analysis for cluster bean vegetable pod yield. The Bio scan. (Supplement on Genetics and Plant Breeding). 2014; 9(2):811-814.
- Singh NP, Choudhary AK, Choudhary SPS. Variability and correlation studies in some genotypes of cluster bean. Adv. Arid Legumes Res, 2003, 14-22
- 19. Undersander DJ, Putnam DH, Kaminski AR, Kelling KA, Doll JD, Oplinger ES *et al.* Alternative Field Crops Manual, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 2006, 34-38.