



E-ISSN: 2278-4136
P-ISSN: 2349-8234
JPP 2019; 8(3): 2156-2159
Received: 07-03-2019
Accepted: 09-04-2019

Pawar VY

PhD Scholar and Senior
Research Assistant (Botany),
Bajra Research Scheme, College
of Agriculture, Dhule,
Maharashtra, India

Magar NM

Senior Research Assistant
(Botany), Agricultural Research
Station, College of Agriculture,
Dhule, Maharashtra, India

Awari VR

PhD Scholar and Senior
Research Assistant (Botany),
Sorghum Improvement Project,
Mahatma Phule Krushi
Vidyapeeth, Rahuri,
Maharashtra, India

Kute NS

Principal Scientist, Pulses
Improvement Project, Mahatma
Phule Krushi Vidyapeeth,
Rahuri, Maharashtra, India

Patil HT

Professor (Bajra Breeding),
Bajra Research Scheme, College
of Agriculture, Dhule,
Maharashtra, India

Deshmukh GP

Assistant Professor (Plant
Pathology), Pulses Improvement
Project, Mahatma Phule Krushi
Vidyapeeth, Rahuri,
Maharashtra, India

Kanawade DG

PhD Scholar and Asst. Professor,
Agricultural Research Station,
Buldhana, Maharashtra, India

Correspondence**Pawar VY**

PhD Scholar and Senior
Research Assistant (Botany),
Bajra Research Scheme, College
of Agriculture, Dhule,
Maharashtra, India

Genotype × environment interactions for grain yield in pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.]

Pawar VY, Magar NM, Awari VR, Kute NS, Patil HT, Deshmukh GP and Kanawade DG

Abstract

The pearl millet 55 crosses along with eleven inbreds and two checks were used to study stability over four environments *viz.*, E₁: *Kharif*-2013, Dhule, E₂: *Kharif*-2013, Rahuri, E₃: Summer-2014, Dhule and E₄: Summer-2014, Rahuri. In regards to G × E interaction studies, the mean sum of squares due to genotypes was significant for all the eleven characters studied across the environments, which indicated the presence of substantial variation in the material studied. The analysis also indicated significant variation among the environments for all the characters. The values of G × E interaction were significant for grain yield which indicated that genotypes interacted differently with environmental variations for the said characters. Among the 11 parental genotypes, two parents DHLBI 731 and S-12/30060 had average stability for grain yield and would be well adapted to array of environments. The cross combinations six crosses *viz.* RHRBI 138 × RHRBI 458, RHRBI 138 × S-12/30060, DHLBI 967 × DHLBI 731, DHLBI 967 × ICMB 98222, DHLBI 967 × S-12/30088, S-12/30060 × S-12/30074 and check Shanti had average stability and well adaption to all types of environment for grain yield. Hybrid S-12/30109 × S-12/30060 and check Dhanshakti had above average stability and adapted to poor environment, while five cross combination had below average stability for grain yield. Out of six stable cross combinations, three had one of the parent either DHLBI 731 or S-12/30060 which may have genes for average stability for grain yield which can further utilized in crossing programme in order to generate wide genetic variability for developing high yielding and stable hybrids/varieties/population of pearl millet.

Keywords: Grain yield, pearl millet, stability, genotypes, G × E interaction

Introduction

Inbreds concern with the importance of homeostasis in living organism has stimulated plant breeders awareness for the need to develop well-buffered varieties. This has led to a greater emphasis on phenotypic stability in breeding programmes. Pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R.Br.] is the most important component of dryland system and grow extensively in *Kharif* season in Maharashtra. Maharashtra, pearl millet covers an area of 6.47 lakh hectares producing 4.20 lakh tones with productivity of 632 kg/ha (Anon., 2019) [3]. The varietal adaptability to environmental fluctuations is important for stabilization of crop production, both over location and seasons. Thus, stability reflects the suitability of a variety/hybrid for general cultivation over wide range of environments. In the evolutionary terms, the breeders objective is to produce populations/varieties/hybrids that are better adapted to given environment (Simmonds 1962) [9]. Therefore, efforts are required to increase production and productivity of pearl millet crop across the diverse environments by providing seed of suitable population/variety/hybrids. Keeping this view in mind, the present investigation was carried out.

Materials and method

The experimental material for the present study comprised of 11 inbred lines obtained from Bajra Research Scheme, College of Agriculture, Dhule and International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, Telangana. These inbreds were crossed in diallel fashion to obtain F₁'s excluding reciprocals during Summer 2013. Sufficient quantity of seed for 55 cross combinations was obtained.

These 55 crosses along with eleven inbreds and two checks were used to study stability over four environments *viz.*, E₁: *Kharif*-2013, Dhule, E₂: *Kharif*-2013, Rahuri, E₃: Summer-2014, Dhule and E₄: Summer-2014, Rahuri. The 68 genotypes were raised in a randomized block design with three replications and two rows (of 3.0m length) plots per replication. Standard agronomic practices were followed for raising and maintenance of plants.

Stability analyses based on the Eberhart and Russell, (1966)^[5] model were undertaken using Indostat statistical package at Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri.

Results and discussion

The stability analysis following Eberhart and Russell model undertaken to study the behaviour of grain yield indicated that the G x E interaction studies, the mean sum of squares due to genotypes was significant across the environments (Table 1), which indicated the presence of substantial variation in the material studied. The analysis also indicated significant variation among the environments for all the characters. The values of G x E interaction were significant for grain yield which indicated that genotypes interacted differently with environmental variations for the said characters. Highly significant values of mean squares due to environments (linear) for grain yield indicated that the linear responses of genotypes to environment differed significantly for the said characters; while mean square values due to G x E (pooled error) were also significant for all the characters. However, relative magnitude of linear component of G x E interaction was higher than non linear component for all characters. Thus, the present findings are in consonance with those of Baviskar (1990)^[4], Suryavanshi *et al.* (1991)^[10] and Anarase *et al.* (2000 & 2002)^[1, 2] and Patil *et al.* (2014)^[7].

Out of 68 genotypes, 27 genotypes had non-significant deviation from linear regression and 35 had higher grain yield per plot than respective mean, out of these 18 genotypes were identified ($bi > 1$ and significant: five, $bi = 1.00$ ns: eight and $bi < 1$ and significant: five) as well adapted to different environments (Table 2). Among the parental genotypes,

DHLBI 731 and S-12/30060 had higher mean than parental mean and non-significant regression coefficient and deviation from regression (Mean > population mean, $bi = 1.00$ ns and $S^2d_i = 0$ ns) and grouped as average stable for grain yield and would be well adapted to array of environments. The three parents RHRBI 458, ICMB 98222 and S-12/30074 had higher mean than parental mean, significant regression coefficient lower than unity and non-significant deviation from regression (Mean > population mean, $bi < 1.00$ and significant and $S^2d_i = 0$ ns) showed above average stability and might be adopted to poor environment for grain yield.

Among hybrids six hybrids *viz.*, RHRBI 138 x RHRBI 458, RHRBI 138 x S-12/30060, DHLBI 967 x DHLBI 731, DHLBI 967 x ICMB 98222, DHLBI 967 x S-12/30088, S-12/30060 x S-12/30074 and check Shanti had its mean higher than hybrid with check mean, bi and S^2d_i are non-significant Shanti and grouped as average stable and well adaption to all types of environment for grain yield (Table 3). Whereas five hybrids *viz.*, RHRBI 458 x ICMB 98222, DHLBI 967 x S-12/30109, DHLBI 731 x S-12/30109, DHLBI 731 x S-12/30088 and ICMB 98222 x S-12/30069 showed below average stability and suitable for poor environment (Mean > population mean, $bi > 1.00$ and significant and $S^2d_i = 0$ ns), however hybrid S-12/30109 x S-12/30060 and check Dhanshakti had below average stability and suitable for poor environments (Mean > population mean, $bi < 1.00$ and significant and $S^2d_i = 0$ ns). Suryavanshi *et al.* (1991)^[10], Anarase *et al.* (2000)^[1], Pawar *et al.* (2012)^[8], Patil *et al.* (2014)^[7] and Patel *et al.* (2015)^[6] also reported the same result in pearl millet.

Table 1: Analysis of variance and variance components for grain Fe and Zn content in pearl millet (based on Eberhart and Russell model).

Source	DF	Grain yield (kg/plot)
Rep. within Env.	8	0.001
Genotypes	67	0.175@ @###**
Environments	3	3.858@ @###**
Geno. x Env.	201	0.041###**
Env. + (Geno. x Env.)	69	0.097@ @###**
Environments (Lin.)	67	11.574###**
Geno. x Env. (Lin.)	65	0.077###**
Pooled deviation	63	0.023**
Pooled error	204	0.003
Total	1	0.116

@, @@ Significant tested against genotypes x environments (Gx E) at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

Significant tested against pooled deviation at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

*, ** Significant tested against pooled error at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

Table 2: Stability parameters for grain yield per plot (kg).

S. No.	Parents / Crosses	Code	Grain yield per plot (kg)		
			Mean	b_i	S^2d_i
1	RHRBI 138	P ₁	0.504	0.073**	0.0027
2	RHRBI 458	P ₂	0.660	0.77**	-0.0026
3	DHLBI 967	P ₃	0.460	0.218**	0.0061
4	DHLBI 731	P ₄	0.599	0.787	0.0052
5	ICMB 98222	P ₅	0.637	0.381**	0.00
6	S-12/30069	P ₆	0.402	0.238**	-0.003
7	S-12/30109	P ₇	0.484	-0.336**	0.0018
8	S-12/30071	P ₈	0.608	0.779**	-0.0013
9	S-12/30060	P ₉	0.673	0.89	0.0051
10	S-12/30074	P ₁₀	0.511	-0.248**	0.0116*
11	S-12/30088	P ₁₁	0.485	0.233**	-0.0019
	Parental Mean		0.548		
12	RHRBI 138 x RHRBI 458	P ₁ x P ₂	0.972	1.175	-0.0015
13	RHRBI 138 x DHLBI 967	P ₁ x P ₃	0.876	0.772	0.0273**
14	RHRBI 138 x DHLBI 731	P ₁ x P ₄	1.130	0.589	0.0137**

15	RHRBI 138 x ICMB 98222	P ₁ x P ₅	0.743	-0.335**	0.0082*
16	RHRBI 138 x S-12/30069	P ₁ x P ₆	0.610	0.433**	-0.0029
17	RHRBI 138 x S-12/30109	P ₁ x P ₇	0.578	-0.121*	0.0333**
18	RHRBI 138 x S-12/30071	P ₁ x P ₈	0.730	1.421	0.0382**
19	RHRBI 138 x S-12/30060	P ₁ x P ₉	1.038	0.395	-0.0019
20	RHRBI 138 x S-12/30074	P ₁ x P ₁₀	0.752	0.647	0.0466**
21	RHRBI 138 x S-12/30088	P ₁ x P ₁₁	0.583	-0.375**	0.0168**
22	RHRBI 458 x DHLBI 967	P ₂ x P ₃	0.957	1.005	0.0175**
23	RHRBI 458 x DHLBI 731	P ₂ x P ₄	1.095	1.638	0.1464**
24	RHRBI 458 x ICMB 98222	P ₂ x P ₅	1.239	1.284**	-0.0031
25	RHRBI 458 x S-12/30069	P ₂ x P ₆	0.733	1.081	0.0231**
26	RHRBI 458 x S-12/30109	P ₂ x P ₇	0.862	0.955	0.1031**
27	RHRBI 458 x S-12/30071	P ₂ x P ₈	0.843	1.039	0.0168**
28	RHRBI 458 x S-12/30060	P ₂ x P ₉	1.168	1.413	0.0337**
29	RHRBI 458 x S-12/30074	P ₂ x P ₁₀	0.974	1.811	0.0305**
30	RHRBI 458 x S-12/30088	P ₂ x P ₁₁	0.968	1.849*	0.0225**
31	DHLBI 967 x DHLBI 731	P ₃ x P ₄	1.017	0.436	-0.0024
32	DHLBI 967 x ICMB 98222	P ₃ x P ₅	1.115	1.521	0.0048
33	DHLBI 967 x S-12/30069	P ₃ x P ₆	0.885	1.57	0.023**
34	DHLBI 967 x S-12/30109	P ₃ x P ₇	0.904	1.431*	0.0048
35	DHLBI 967 x S-12/30071	P ₃ x P ₈	0.779	1.325	0.012**
36	DHLBI 967 x S-12/30060	P ₃ x P ₉	1.119	1.708	0.0339**
37	DHLBI 967 x S-12/30074	P ₃ x P ₁₀	1.018	1.275	0.0652**
38	DHLBI 967 x S-12/30088	P ₃ x P ₁₁	0.904	1.195	-0.0008
39	DHLBI 731 x ICMB 98222	P ₄ x P ₅	0.844	0.839	0.0275**
40	DHLBI 731 x S-12/30069	P ₄ x P ₆	0.933	2.164**	0.0122**
41	DHLBI 731 x S-12/30109	P ₄ x P ₇	1.018	1.728**	0.0018
42	DHLBI 731 x S-12/30071	P ₄ x P ₈	0.833	1.446	0.0081*
43	DHLBI 731 x S-12/30060	P ₄ x P ₉	1.217	2.272**	0.0334**
44	DHLBI 731 x S-12/30074	P ₄ x P ₁₀	0.970	1.961*	0.0247**
45	DHLBI 731 x S-12/30088	P ₄ x P ₁₁	1.038	1.681**	-0.0028
46	ICMB 98222 x S-12/30069	P ₅ x P ₆	0.918	1.664*	0.0052
47	ICMB 98222 x S-12/30109	P ₅ x P ₇	0.869	0.662	0.0409**
48	ICMB 98222 x S-12/30071	P ₅ x P ₈	0.846	1.603**	-0.0018
49	ICMB 98222 x S-12/30060	P ₅ x P ₉	1.239	2.109*	0.0384**
50	ICMB 98222 x S-12/30074	P ₅ x P ₁₀	0.863	1.047	0.065**
51	ICMB 98222 x S-12/30088	P ₅ x P ₁₁	0.983	1.64*	0.0137**
52	S-12/30069 x S-12/30109	P ₆ x P ₇	0.535	0.49	0.0122**
53	S-12/30069 x S-12/30071	P ₆ x P ₈	0.543	0.116**	0.0121**
54	S-12/30069 x S-12/30060	P ₆ x P ₉	0.920	2.219**	0.0227**
55	S-12/30069 x S-12/30074	P ₆ x P ₁₀	0.772	1.128	0.0018
56	S-12/30069 x S-12/30088	P ₆ x P ₁₁	0.652	0.13	0.0555**
57	S-12/30109 x S-12/30071	P ₇ x P ₈	0.708	0.984	0.0242**
58	S-12/30109 x S-12/30060	P ₇ x P ₉	0.949	0.318**	0.0001
59	S-12/30109 x S-12/30074	P ₇ x P ₁₀	0.853	0.862	0.0292**
60	S-12/30109 x S-12/30088	P ₇ x P ₁₁	0.701	0.835	0.0345**
61	S-12/30071 x S-12/30060	P ₈ x P ₉	0.972	1.816*	0.0228**
62	S-12/30071 x S-12/30074	P ₈ x P ₁₀	0.889	1.325	0.0331**
63	S-12/30071 x S-12/30088	P ₈ x P ₁₁	0.701	1.398*	0.0034
64	S-12/30060 x S-12/30074	P ₉ x P ₁₀	1.077	1.356	0.005
65	S-12/30060 x S-12/30088	P ₉ x P ₁₁	0.949	1.254	0.044**
66	S-12/30074 x S-12/30088	P ₁₀ x P ₁₁	0.814	0.255*	0.0086*
67	Shanti (Check)		1.136	1.112	0.0049
68	Dhanshakti (Check)		0.993	0.664**	-0.0026
	Hybrid with check Mean		0.900		

*, **Significant at 5% and 1 % level, respectively

Table 3: Classification of pearl millet parents and hybrids based on their well adaptation in average, poor and better environments.

	Average stability and wide/ general adaptability	Above average stability and adapted to poor environment	Below average stability and adapted to better environment
Parents	DHLBI 731, S-12/30060	RHRBI 458, ICMB 98222, S-12/30071	--
Hybrids	RHRBI 138 x RHRBI 458, RHRBI 138 x S-12/30060, DHLBI 967 x DHLBI 731, DHLBI 967 x ICMB 98222, DHLBI 967 x S-12/30088, S-12/30060 x S-12/30074, Shanti (Check)	S-12/30109 x S-12/30060, Dhanshakti (Check)	RHRBI 458 x ICMB 98222, DHLBI 967 x S-12/30109, DHLBI 731 x S-12/30109, DHLBI 731 x S-12/30088, ICMB 98222 x S-12/30069

E₁: Kharif-2013, Dhule, E₂: Kharif-2013, Rahuri, E₃: Summer-2014, Dhule and E₄: Summer-2014, Rahuri.

Conclusion

To conclude, the $G \times E$ interactions play a significant role in the expression of grain yield in pearl millet. Though linear response to environmental conditions was observed in some of the genotypes, nonlinear response was also equally evident in other genotypes, necessitating multi-location as well as multi season evaluation of genotypes before identifying stable genotypes that can be used as donor parents in breeding for yield in pearl millet. Out of six stable cross combinations, three had one of the parent either DHLBI 731 or S-12/30060 which may have genes for average stability for grain yield which can further utilized in crossing programme in order to generate wide genetic variability for developing high yielding and stable hybrids/varieties/population of pearl millet.

Authors' contribution

Conceptualization of research (VYP, NSK, HTP, GPD); Designing of experiments (VYP, NSK,HTP,GPD); Contribution of experimental material (VYP, HTP); Execution of field experiments and data collection (VYP, NMM, VRA, DGK); Analysis of data and interpretation (VYP, NMM,VRA); Preparation of manuscript (VYP, NSK).

Declaration

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge Associate Dean, Post Graduate Institute, MPKV, Rahuri and Dr. H. T. Patil, Professor (Bajra Breeding), Bajra Research Scheme, College of Agriculture, Dhule for growing the crop in their respective experimental stations and providing the grain samples. The authors express sincere thanks to Dr. K. N. Rai, Ex-Principal Scientist (Pearl Millet) and Director, HarvestPlus Programme, ICRISAT, Patancheru, Telangana for providing the inbreds used in the study.

References

1. Anarase SA, Ugale SD, Moholkar ND. Phenotypic stability of yield and yield components of pearl millet. J Maharashtra agric. Univ. 2000; 25(3):258-261.
2. Anarase SA, Ugale SD, Moholkar ND. Stability Parameters in pearl millet. J Maharashtra agric. Univ. 2002; 25(3):258-261.
3. Anonymous. Research review committee meeting of field and forage crops, 2018-19, held at MPKV, Rahuri on 9-10th January, 2019, 2-3.
4. Baviskar AP. Genetic studies on grain yield and its components in pearl millet [*Pennisetum americanum* (L.) keeke.] Ph.D. Thesis M.P.K.V., Rahuri, 1990.
5. Eberhart SA, Russel WA. Stability parameters for comparing varieties. Crop Science. 1966; 6(1):36-40.
6. Patel BC, Nanavati JI, Patel JA. G X E Interaction and Stability analysis are of major important to sort out high yielding and stable pearl millet hybrids. J Trends in Biosci. 2015; 8(19):5405-5410.
7. Patil HT, Pawar VY, Gavali RK. Stability for grain yield in pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.]. J Agric. Res. and Technol. 2014; 38(3):233-236.
8. Pawar VY, Patil HT, Patil HS. AMMI analysis for grain yield stability of pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.] Genotypes. Indian J Genet. 2012; 72(1):79-82.
9. Simmonds NW. Variability in crop plants, it's use and conservation. Biological Reviews. 1962; 37:314-318.

10. Suryavanshi YB, Ugale SD, Patil RB. Phenotypic stability of yield and yield components in pearl millet. J Maharashtra agric. Univ. 1991; 16(2):218-221.