



E-ISSN: 2278-4136  
P-ISSN: 2349-8234  
JPP 2019; SP2: 391-393

**R Gobi**

Department of Agronomy,  
Faculty of Agriculture,  
Annamalai University,  
Annamalainagar, Chidambaram,  
Tamil Nadu, India

**V Vaiyapuri**

Department of Agronomy,  
Faculty of Agriculture,  
Annamalai University,  
Annamalainagar, Chidambaram,  
Tamil Nadu, India

**SR Vinoth Kumar,**

Department of Agronomy,  
Faculty of Agriculture,  
Annamalai University,  
Annamalainagar, Chidambaram,  
Tamil Nadu, India

**G Murugan**

Department of Agronomy,  
Faculty of Agriculture,  
Annamalai University,  
Annamalainagar, Chidambaram,  
Tamil Nadu, India

**Correspondence****R Gobi**

Department of Agronomy,  
Faculty of Agriculture,  
Annamalai University,  
Annamalainagar, Chidambaram,  
Tamil Nadu, India

## Effects of nutrients and plant growth regulators on yield and quality characters of cotton

R Gobi, V Vaiyapuri, SR Vinoth Kumar and G Murugan

**Abstract**

India is one of the leading cotton producer and consumer in the world. Cotton is an important cash crop and it supplies a major share of raw materials to the textile industry and playing a key role in the economic and social affairs of the world. The objective of this field experiment was to study the effects of nutrients combination with growth regulators on yield and quality characters of cotton. The treatments consisted of plant growth regulators G<sub>0</sub> -Control (Water spray), G<sub>1</sub> – NAA @ 40 ppm (45<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> DAS) and G<sub>2</sub> – Mepiquat chloride @ 100 ppm (70<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> DAS) were assigned with sulphur, zinc and boron (N<sub>0</sub> – Control, N<sub>1</sub> – 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as gypsum + 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as zinc sulphate + 0.5 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> as borax, N<sub>2</sub> – 45 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as gypsum + 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as zinc sulphate + 0.5 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> as borax and N<sub>3</sub> – 60 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as gypsum + 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as zinc sulphate + 0.5 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> as borax). The application of 1-naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) @ 40 ppm recorded higher seed cotton yield and application of 60 kg sulphur (S) + 5 kg zinc (Zn) + 0.5 kg boron (B) ha<sup>-1</sup> had a remarkable influence on the yield and quality characters of cotton.

**Keywords:** Cotton, NAA, Mepiquat chloride, Sulphur, Zinc and Boron

**Introduction**

Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.), the ‘king of fibres’ or ‘white gold’ is an important cash crop and it supplies a major share of raw materials to the textile industry and playing a key role in the economic and social affairs of the world. In India, it plays a predominant role in the textile industry and economy of the country. The demand of textile market depends upon the quality of cotton fibre and governs by compound functions of mean fibre length, fibre fineness, fibre maturity and fibre strength. Nutritional deficiencies affect the vegetative as well as reproductive growth that ultimately lower down the seed cotton yields as well as fibre quality. Productivity of cotton can considerably be improved by judicious agronomic management. Fulfillment of nutritional requirements of the crop is essential for obtaining the higher yields and fibre quality (Kalaichelvi, 2009 and Kumar *et al.*, 2011) [8, 9].

Sulphur deficiencies have increasingly occurred in crops due to declined use of sulphur containing fertilizers and greater removal of sulphur by crops. The application of 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in increased fibre length (Sharma *et al.*, 2000) [19]. Quality characters *viz.*, fibre length, uniformity and fibre strength increased with increase in gypsum level from 0 to 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, compared to the untreated control (Makhdam *et al.*, 2001) [12]. Application of sulphur significantly improved the fibre quality *viz.*, ginning percentage, lint index, seed index, fibre length and fibre strength (Vaiyapuri *et al.*, 2010) [20]. Sulphur and zinc application significantly increased the fibre fineness (Xinhua Yin *et al.*, 2011) [21]. Crop yields are often limited by low soil levels of mineral micronutrients such as zinc (Cakmak, 2000) [3].

Zinc is an essential micronutrient and a co-factor of over 300 enzymes and proteins involved in cell division, nucleic acid metabolism and protein synthesis (Marschner 1986) [14]. Combined application of sulphur, iron and zinc recorded maximum ginning percentage and seed index (Mamatha, 2007) [13]. Ahmed *et al.* (2011) [1] observed that Zn is needed to optimize irrigated cotton productivity. Foliar application of 0.5 per cent ZnSO<sub>4</sub> combined with 1 per cent MgSO<sub>4</sub> at 45 and 60 DAS recorded significantly higher lint index (45.3) and ginning percentage (37.1) (Sankaranarayanan *et al.*, 2010) [18]. Boron is one of the most important micronutrient that cotton requires throughout crop growth, particularly during reproductive growth. Christos (2006) [5] opined that boron application significantly increased the yield and yield components of cotton. Fibre length and fibre fineness was significantly influenced by boron application (Majid Rashidi *et al.*, 2011) [10]. Majid Rashidi and Mohsen Seilsepour (2011) [11] reported that application of boron recorded maximum fibre length (31.7 mm). Plant growth regulators (PGR) are used to control excessive vegetative growth and

promote higher seed cotton yield. In the recent years, growth regulators considered as new generation agrochemicals after fertilizers and pesticides.

Bynum *et al.* (2007) [2] reported that plant growth regulators increased the number of bolls, boll weight and seed cotton yield. Plant growth regulators such as Pix and Turbopamuk increased seed cotton yield, but did not affect fibre quality (Gencsoylu, 2009) [6]. Pix and nitrogen application increased the seed cotton yield when compared to control (Yasari and Vahedi, 2012) [22].

### Materials and Methods

The field experiments were conducted at Experimental Farm (11°24' N, 79° 44' E, +5.79 m MSL), Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Chidambaram, Tamil Nadu, India. The Climate of study area is sub-tropical, with a mean annual temperature between 23.2 °C and 33.2 °C, the mean hours of bright sunshine per day was 9.5 and an average annual rainfall of 1500 mm. The experiments were laid out in factorial randomized block design with three replications and plot size of 20 m<sup>2</sup>. The treatments consisted of plant growth regulators G<sub>0</sub> -Control (Water spray), G<sub>1</sub> – NAA @ 40 ppm (45<sup>th</sup> and 60<sup>th</sup> DAS) and G<sub>2</sub> – Mepiquat chloride @ 100 ppm (70<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> DAS) were assigned with sulphur, zinc and boron (N<sub>0</sub> – Control, N<sub>1</sub> – 30 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as gypsum + 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as zinc sulphate + 0.5 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> as borax, N<sub>2</sub> – 45 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as gypsum + 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as zinc sulphate + 0.5 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> as borax and N<sub>3</sub> – 60 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> as gypsum + 5 kg Zn ha<sup>-1</sup> as zinc sulphate + 0.5 kg B ha<sup>-1</sup> as borax). Thinning and gap filling were done 10 days after sowing. The recommended dose of chemical fertilizer 80:40:40 kg nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium (NPK) ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied uniformly to all the plots through urea, di-ammonium phosphate (DAP) and muriate of potash (MOP), respectively. The soil of the experimental fields were clay loam in texture, low in available nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus, high in available potassium and deficient in sulphur, zinc and boron.

### Results and Discussion

Application of plant growth regulators significantly influenced the seed cotton yield. Among the different treatments, application of NAA @ 40 ppm excelled other treatments by registering the maximum seed cotton yield. The reason for the increased yield might be due to the increased photosynthetic efficiency through stabilization of chlorophyll, higher production of photosynthesis, which resulted in increased translocation of organic material from source to sink. Thus partitioning of photosynthates move towards the development of reproductive parts than to the vegetative growth and would have resulted in higher seed cotton yield. These results are in close agreement with the finding obtained in cotton by Rajagowthaman (2007) [17].

Among the various treatments imposed in the study, application of sulphur, zinc and boron significantly influenced the seed cotton yield. Application of micronutrients significantly increased the yield attributing characters and seed cotton yield. Similar results were recorded by Chhabra *et al.* (2004) [4] in cotton. Supply of sulphur in addition to recommended NPK might be the lifting factor behind the increased seed cotton yield. These results are in accordance with the observation of Gobi *et al.* (2006) [7].

Application of plant growth regulators did not significantly affect the fibre quality. Application of sulphur, zinc and boron significantly influenced the quality characters *viz.*, ginning percentage, fibre length and fibre bundle strength. This might be due to favorable effect of sulphur, which played greater role in making the nutrients available to crops there by contributing significantly for better fibre quality. Similar findings were reported by Prasad (2000) [16]. Micronutrient application significantly influenced the quality characters. This might be due to application of these nutrients that could have increased the rate of photosynthesis along with active absorption of various nutrients and translocation of photosynthates to the site of storage organ. This present finding is in consonance with that of Pawar *et al.* (2005) [15].

**Table 1:** Effect of nutrients and plant growth regulators on yield and quality characters of cotton

Treatments	Seed cotton yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Ginning percentage		Fibre length (mm)		Fibre bundle strength (g tex <sup>-1</sup> )	
	First crop	Second crop	First crop	Second crop	First crop	Second crop	First crop	Second crop
<b>Growth regulators</b>								
G <sub>0</sub>	19.24	19.03	36.03	35.99	28.98	28.86	27.54	27.51
G <sub>1</sub>	21.71	21.49	36.04	36.03	28.97	28.90	27.49	27.49
G <sub>2</sub>	20.77	20.70	36.04	36.01	29.98	28.89	27.48	27.48
S.Ed.	0.33	0.30	0.07	0.06	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.10
CD (P=0.05)	0.66	0.62	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
<b>Nutrients</b>								
N <sub>0</sub>	16.02	15.93	34.09	34.02	27.19	27.10	25.14	25.11
N <sub>1</sub>	20.81	20.58	36.36	36.35	28.88	28.71	27.30	27.32
N <sub>2</sub>	22.70	22.52	36.83	36.81	29.87	29.82	28.74	28.73
N <sub>3</sub>	22.76	22.58	36.85	36.84	29.96	29.91	28.83	28.81
S.Ed.	0.39	0.36	0.10	0.09	0.15	0.13	0.17	0.15
CD (P=0.05)	0.79	0.74	0.20	0.19	0.30	0.27	0.35	0.31

### Conclusion

The application of 1-naphthalene acetic acid (NAA) @ 40 ppm was highly impressive which had a remarkable effect on the seed cotton yield and application of 60 kg sulphur (S) + 5 kg zinc (Zn) + 0.5 kg boron (B) ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly influenced the seed cotton yield and quality characters.

### References

- Ahmed N, Abid M, Rashid A, Ahmad F, Ali MA. Impact of residual and cumulative zinc on cotton-wheat productivity in an irrigated Aridisol. Abs. In: 3rd International Zinc Symposium "Improving Crop Production and Human Health", 10–14 October 2011, Hyderabad, India, 2011.

2. Bynum JB, Tom Cothren J, Robert Lemon G, Dan Fromme D, Randal Boman K. Field evaluation of Nitrophenolate plant growth regulator (Chaperone) for the effect on cotton lint yield. *J Cotton. Sci.* 2007; 11:20-25.
3. Cakmak I. Possible roles of zinc in protecting plant cells from damage by reactive oxygen species. *New Phytol.* 2000; 146:185-205.  
doi: 10.1046/j.1469-8137.2000.00630.x.
4. Chhabra KL, Bishnoi LK, Bhanoo MS. Effects of macro and micronutrients on the productivity of cotton genotypes. Intl. Symp. "Strat. Sust. Cot. prod.- A G. Vis." 2 Crop Prod. 23-25. Univ. Agric. Sci., Karnataka, 2004.
5. Christos D. Foliar boron application affects lint and seed yield and improves seed quality of cotton grown on calcareous soils. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 2006; 76:19-28.
6. Gencsoylu I. Effect of plant growth regulators on agronomic characteristics, lint quality, pests, and predators in Cotton. *J Pl. Growth Regulator.* 2009; 28:147-153.
7. Gobi R, Vaiyapuri V, Sriramachandrasekharan MV, Kalaiyaran C. Effect of sulfur on seed yield, sulfur nutrition and SUE in cotton. *Intl. J Trop. Agri.* 2006; 24(1-2):253-256.
8. Kalaichelvi K. BT cotton response to plant geometry and fertilizer levels. *J Cotton Res. Dev.* 2009; 23(1):96-99.
9. Kumar Mandeep, Pannu RK, Nehra DS, Dhaka AK. Effect of spacing and fertilizer on growth, yield and quality of different cotton genotypes. *J Cotton Res. Dev.* 2011; 25(2):236-239.
10. Majid Rashidi, Mohsen Seilsepour. Effect of different application rates of boron on yield and quality of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*). *Middle-East J Scientific Res.* 2011; 7(5):758-762.
11. Majid Rashidi, Mohsen Seilsepour, Mohammad Gholami. Response of yield, yield components and fibre properties of cotton to different application rates of nitrogen and boron. *Am.-Eur. J Agric. And Environ. Sci.* 2011; 10(4):525-531.
12. Makhdam MI, Malik MNA, Chaudhry FI, Shabab D Ud. Effects of gypsum as a sulphur fertilization in cotton production (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Intl. J Agric. and Biol.* 2001; 3(4):375-377.
13. Mamatha N. Effect of sulphur and micronutrients (iron and zinc) on yield and quality of cotton in a vertisol. M.Sc. (Ag) Thesis, Univ. Agric. Sci., Dharwad, 2007.
14. Marschner H. Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. New York: Academic Press, 1986.
15. Pawar GS, Waybase TK, Bhatade SS, More SS. Influence of supplementary foliar spray of micronutrients on seed and seed quality characters of cotton. *J Cot. Res. Dev.* 2005; 19(2):211-212.
16. Prasad M. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and Sulphur on yield and quality of cotton and their residual effect on succeeding wheat. *Fert. News.* 2000; 45(8):63-64.
17. Rajagowthaman P. Studies on the effect of graded levels of sulphur and phytohormones in rice fallow cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Chidambaram, Tamil Nadu, India, 2007.
18. Sankaranarayanan KS, Praharaj CS, Kalyani P, Bandyopadhyay KK, Gopalakrishnan N. Effect of magnesium, zinc, iron and boron application on yield and quality of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Indian J Agric. Sci.* 2010; 80(8):699-703.
19. Sharma TC, Sharma AP, Amarpal Tanesa AP, Daankhar TS. Response of sulphur and its sources, phosphorus and nitrogen on seed cotton yield and fibre quality in American cotton. *J Indian Soc. Cot. Improv.* 2000; 25(1):33-36.
20. Vaiyapuri V, Gobi R, Sriramachandrasekharan MV, Kalaiyaran C. Effect of sulphur fertilization on cotton seed yield and quality grown on clay loam soil deficient in sulphur. *Ad. Pl. Sci.* 2010; 23(1):335-336.
21. Xinhua Yin, Owen Gwathmey, Christopher Main, Amy Johnson. Effects of sulphur application rates and foliar zinc fertilization on cotton lint yields and quality. *Agron. J.* 2011; 103(6):1794-1803.
22. Yasari E, Vahedi A. Impact of Urea as Nitrogen Source and Pix as Growth Regulator on Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). *Intl. J Biol.* 2012; 4(3):140-147.