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#### Abstract

An expriment entitled "Effect of organic manures, bio-fertilizers, levels of nitrogen and phosphorus on soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merrill]" was conducted during 2014-15 at Tribal Research cum Training Centre, Anand Agricultural University, Devagadh Baria, Dist. Dahod, Gujarat. The soil of the experimental site was sandy loam in texture, free from any kind of salinity or sodicity hazards, having low in organic carbon and nitrogen, medium in available phosphorus and high in potassium. The experiment consisted of sixteen treatment combinations each of two organic manures (vermicompost @ $2.5 \mathrm{t} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ and FYM @ $10 \mathrm{t} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ), levels of nitrogen ( 22.5 and $45 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{ha}{ }^{-1}$ ), levels of phosphorus ( 30 and $60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5 \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ) and biofertilizers (No biofertilizer and Rhizobium + PSB). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with factorial concept. The soybean variety NRC-37 was used in the experiment as a test crop. Significantly the highest seed yield $\left(1760 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}, 1809 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}\right.$ and $1843 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ ) of soybean was found with the application of vermicompost @ $2.5 \mathrm{t} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}, 60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and seed treatment of Rhizobium + PSB, respectively.
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## Introduction

Soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merrill] is also known as "Chinese pea" or "Manchurian bean". Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Rajasthan, Gujarat and Uttar Pradesh are the leading producers of soybean. In Gujarat, the total area under cultivation was 0.742 lakh ha with the production and the productivity of 0.701 lakh tonne and $945 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$, respectively (SOPA, 2014). There is an increasing interest in the use of organic manures as a source of nutrient supply to crop production for sustainable soil productivity, ecological stability and to minimize the requirement for chemical fertilizers. Amongst the different sources of organic manures, FYM and vermicompost are easily available in the market. Integration of inorganic and organic manures not only sustain the crop production but also effective in improving soil health and enhancing the nutrient use efficiency (Verma et al., 2005) ${ }^{[16]}$. However, inadequate use of fertilizer is one of the most important reason for low productivity. In 2012, the area of soybean in Madhya Pradesh and Gujarat was 58.12 lakh ha and 0.83 lakh ha, respectively and productivity of soybean in Madhya Pradesh and Gujarat was $1150 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ and $1103 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$, respectively. While in 2013, the area of soybean in Madhya Pradesh and Gujarat was increased (62.60 lakh ha and 0.930 lakh ha, respectively) but productivity of soybean in Madhya Pradesh and Gujarat was decreased ( $950 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ and $1050 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$, respectively). It might be due to farmers of Madhya Pradesh and border area of Gujarat like Dahod and Panchmahal have started using urea instead of diammonium phosphate (DAP) in its cultivation due to rising prices of fertilizers (Dainikjagran, 2013). The price urea is comparatively less hence farmers are using it more often as compared to other fertilizers. Urea is a source of nitrogen only. So use of urea instead of DAP in soybean fields is scientifically improper practices because it may lead to a chemical imbalance in the fields and decreasing in crop production. During Kharif seasons of last couple of years, we are finding difficulties for buying fertilizers because of rises in prices. In 2011, a 50 kg sack of DAP cost was Rs 860 but at present, it is available at a cost of Rs 1,450 . In the year 2012, as per Dainik jagran, the consumption of DAP and super phosphate was $30-40$ percent less as of the normal because of rises in prices. As we all know, for having good harvest, right time and right mixing of fertilizers is very much necessary. So in this context rock phosphate is use as a source of phosphorus under this study which contains 28-30 per cent $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

## Material and Method

A field experiment was conducted at Tribal Research cum Training Center, Anand Agricultural University, Devgadhbaria, District:-Dahod, Gujarat during the kharif season of the year 2014 on sandy loam soil having pH 6.0 , low in organic carbon ( $0.33 \%$ ) and available nitrogen ( 241.50 $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ), while medium in available phosphorus ( $34.52 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ ) and high in potassium ( $260.50 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ). The experiment was laid out in a Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD) with three replications. There were four factor each have two level [Organic Manures ( $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ - Vermicompost @ $2.5 \mathrm{t} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ) and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ - Farm Yard Manure @ $10.0 \mathrm{t} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ), Levels of Nitrogen ( $\mathrm{N}_{1}-22.5 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{ha}{ }^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}-45 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{ha}{ }^{-1}$ ), Levels of Phosphorus $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}-30 \mathrm{~kg}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right.$ ha ${ }^{-1}$ and $\left.\mathrm{P}_{2}-60 \mathrm{~kg}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}\right)$ and Bio-fertilizers $\left[\mathrm{B}_{1}\right.$ - No biofertilizer and $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ - Rhizobium + PSB (Seed treatment)] total sixteen treatment combinations applied on preceding kharif soybean. Nitrogen was applied through urea. Phosphorus was applied through rock phosphate. Rhizobium japonicum @ $5 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ seed and Bacillus coagulans @ $5 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ seed was applied through seed treatment.

## Result

## 1. Effect of organic manures

Generally, economic yield depends on fruiting organs produced by plant. The major factors of total seasonal yields of paramount importance are number of pods plant ${ }^{-1}$ and pod size. In the present study, number of pod plant ${ }^{-1}$, pod length and seed index were taken as a component related with the seed yield, straw yield as well as harvest index. Based on results, it can be concluded that number of pods plant ${ }^{-1}$ were significantly influenced due to organic manures application. In the present study, highest number of pods plant ${ }^{-1}$ were produced in the vermicompost @ $2.5 \mathrm{t} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ because most nutrients are found continuously available in vermicompost such as nitrates, phosphates, soluble potassium as well as micronutrients. This finding is in close conformity with the findings of Thanunathan et al. (2002) ${ }^{[15]}$ and Maheshbabu et $a l$. (2008) ${ }^{[8]}$. The increase in pod length due to effect of vermicompost improves soil physical properties by decreasing bulk density and increasing the soil water holding capacity. Similar results were also reported by Laharia et al. (2013) ${ }^{[7]}$. Dry weight of root nodules plant ${ }^{-1}$ was found significant in treatment FYM @ $10 \mathrm{t} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$. It might be due to higher microbial activity in root nodules. Similar results were also reported by Paradkar and Deshmukh (2004) ${ }^{[11]}$. Significantly the highest seed yield of soybean was found with the application of vermicompost @ $2.5 \mathrm{tha}{ }^{-1}$. It might be due to soil physical properties by increasing water holding capacity with greater increase in soil organic carbon and plant nutrients which are in available forms. It increases cation exchange capacity and improve soil structure, bacterial population and biological activity. Vermicompost also increase the yield attributes which reflected in yield. These findings are in close conformity with the findings of Maheshbabu et al. (2008) ${ }^{[8]}$, Laharia et al. (2013) ${ }^{[7]}$ and Konthoujam et al. (2013) ${ }^{[6]}$.

## 2. Effects of nitrogen

In the present investigation, application of different levels of nitrogen was significantly increase the plant height at 60 days and at harvest. This might be due to adequate supply of nitrogen with application of $45 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ treatment. Nitrogen being on essential constituent of essential metabolites including protein and amino acids as well as structural constituents of the plant cell which might have influenced
different physiological process such as cell division and elongation which ultimately reflected on plant height. Similar results are also reported by the Kaisi and David (2007). The data on seed and straw yield of soybean presented in Table 1 revealed that the highest value of seed yield ( $1702 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ) and straw yield ( $1745 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ) obtained with the application of $45 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{ha}{ }^{-1}$. It might be due to higher plant height due to better utilization of plant nutrients and phased release of nutrients as per requirement of crop. These results are in close conformity with the results of Morshed et al. (2008) ${ }^{[9]}$.

## 3. Effect of phosphorus

Phosphorus is major plant nutrient needed for increasing seed yield for soybean crop. Phosphorus is called key of life and nitrogen governs the above earth growth whereas ' P ' governs the root growth i.e. below earth growth (Rotaru, 2010) ${ }^{[12]}$. Significantly the highest pods plant ${ }^{-1}$ (131) was recorded under treatment $60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$. This might be due to higher quantity of phosphorus application, created favourable conditions for supplying more nutrients by developing congenial conditions for development of higher number of pods plant ${ }^{-1}$. These findings are in close agreement with the results of Gupta et al. (2006) ${ }^{[3]}$. Significantly the highest pod length ( 3.75 cm ) was recorded in treatment $60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ as compared to $30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$. It might be due to more congenial conditions for plant growth and better absorption of plant nutrients from soil results greater dry matter production from photosynthesis and consequently large effective pod length. Similar results are also reported by Ijgude and kadam (2008) ${ }^{[4]}$. The increase in soybean yield might be due to the effect of phosphorus availability and enhanced growth, yield attributes and ultimately higher seed yield. These are supported by Gupta et al. (2006) ${ }^{[3]}$ and Paliwal et al. (2009) ${ }^{[10]}$. The data on straw yield are presented in Table 1 revealed that the highest straw yield of $1742 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ was found with application of $60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$. The better vegetative growth might have obviously resulted into superior straw yield. Sufficient soil moisture in the soil profile under higher application of plant nutrients were more available and translocated to produce more green matter is one of the reason for the same. These findings were confirmed by Ijgude and Kadam (2008) ${ }^{[4]}$, Gupta et al. (2006) ${ }^{[3]}$ and Paliwal et al. (2009) ${ }^{[10]}$.

## 4. Effect of biofertilizers

In recent years, bio-fertilizers have been emerged as a supplement to mineral fertilizers and hold a promise to improve the crop production in several crops. The biofertilizers were found to have positive impact on soil fertility, resulting in an increase in crop yield without causing any environment and water on soil hazards (Shubhangi et al., 2010) ${ }^{[14]}$. The increase in the dry weight of root nodule might be due to more number of nodules per plant. Phosphorus has a specific role in nodule initiation, growth and function in addition to its role in host plant growth. Microorganisms with phosphate solubilizing potentially increase the availability of soluble phosphate and enhance the plant growth by improving biological nitrogen fixation. Phosphorus deficiency has been shown to restrict the nodulation process severely in soybean (Konthoujam et al., 2013) ${ }^{[6]}$.

## 5. Interaction effect

In the present study, several interactions were found significant in respect of growth and yield parameters. In case of pod length, $\mathrm{O} \times \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ was found
significant. Interaction effect of phosphorus and bio fertilizer was found significant in case of seed yield of soybean. It may be due to bio-fertilizers are improve soil structure, texture, chemical properties, cation exchange capacity and also made
phosphorus available from near root zone which can easily available to plant. Same trends are also observed by Morshed et al. (2008) ${ }^{[9]}$, Sawarkar et al. (2009) ${ }^{[13]}$ and Maheshbabu et al. (2012).

Table 1: Growth parameters and yield of soybean as influenced by different treatments

| Treatment | Plant height (cm) |  |  | Number of branches plant ${ }^{-1}$ | Number of pods plant ${ }^{-1}$ | Pod length (cm) | Dry weight of root nodules plant $^{-1}$ (mg) | Seed index (g) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Seed } \\ \text { Yield } \\ \left(\mathbf{k g ~ h a}^{-1}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Straw } \\ \text { Yield }(\mathbf{k g} \\ \left.\mathbf{h a}^{-1}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 30 } \\ \text { DAS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { DAS } \end{gathered}$ | At harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{O}_{1}=\text { Vermicompost @ } \\ 2.5 \mathrm{t} \mathrm{ha}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | 31.78 | 48.36 | 61.47 | 3.93 | 133 | 3.71 | 124.20 | 13.05 | 1760 | 1680 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{FYM}$ @ $10 \mathrm{tha}^{-1}$ | 31.59 | 47.36 | 60.55 | 3.79 | 122 | 3.52 | 134.25 | 12.50 | 1606 | 1648 |
| S.Em + | 0.60 | 0.74 | 1.13 | 0.093 | 2.27 | 0.06 | 3.43 | 0.18 | 38.77 | 50.00 |
| CD ( $\mathrm{P}=0.05$ ) | NS | NS | NS | NS | 6.56 | 0.17 | 9.92 | 0.51 | 112 | NS |
| $\mathrm{N}_{1}=22.5 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{ha}{ }^{-1}$ | 31.12 | 45.84 | 57.58 | 3.81 | 126 | 3.61 | 128.79 | 12.79 | 1663 | 1583 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}=45 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | 32.24 | 49.88 | 64.43 | 3.91 | 129 | 3.62 | 129.67 | 12.81 | 1702 | 1745 |
| S.Em $\pm$ | 0.60 | 0.74 | 1.13 | 0.093 | 2.27 | 0.06 | 3.43 | 0.18 | 38.77 | 50.00 |
| CD ( $\mathrm{P}=0.05$ ) | NS | 2.14 | 3.27 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | 144 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | 32.05 | 47.65 | 60.89 | 3.80 | 124 | 3.49 | 126.96 | 12.64 | 1557 | 1586 |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | 31.31 | 48.06 | 61.12 | 3.92 | 131 | 3.75 | 131.50 | 12.91 | 1809 | 1742 |
| S.Em $\pm$ | 0.60 | 0.74 | 1.13 | 0.093 | 2.27 | 0.06 | 3.43 | 0.18 | 38.77 | 50.00 |
| CD (P=0.05) | NS | NS | NS | NS | 6.56 | 0.17 | NS | NS | 112 | 144 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{1}=$ No Biofertilizer | 31.96 | 47.25 | 59.73 | 3.79 | 124 | 3.55 | 105.29 | 12.69 | 1522 | 1620 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}_{2}=\text { Rhizobium }+ \text { PSB } \\ \text { (Seed Treatment) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 31.40 | 48.47 | 62.98 | 3.93 | 131 | 3.68 | 153.17 | 12.85 | 1843 | 1708 |
| S.Em + | 0.60 | 0.74 | 1.13 | 0.093 | 2.27 | 0.06 | 3.43 | 0.18 | 38.77 | 50.00 |
| CD ( $\mathrm{P}=0.05$ ) | NS | NS | NS | NS | 6.56 | NS | 9.92 | NS | 112 | NS |
| C.V. \% | 9.36 | 7.61 | 9.08 | 11.76 | 8.71 | 7.98 | 13.02 | 6.77 | 11.29 | 14.72 |
| Interaction | NS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PxB, } \\ \text { OxPx } \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | NS | NS | NS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{O} \times \mathrm{P}, \\ \mathrm{~N} \times \mathrm{P}, \\ \mathrm{NxPxB} \end{gathered}$ | NS | O x P | P x B | NS |

Table 2: Plant height of soybean at 60 DAS as influenced by $\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ interaction

| Plant height (cm) at 60 DAS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatments | $\mathbf{B}_{1}=$ No Biofertilizer | $\mathbf{B}_{2}=$ Rhizobium + PSB (Seed Treatment) |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | 46.35 | 47.16 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | 48.15 | 49.78 |  |
| $\mathrm{~S} . E m \pm \pm$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CD}(\mathrm{P}=0.05)$ | 3.05 |  |  |

Table 3: Plant height of soybean at 60 DAS as influenced by $\mathrm{O} \times \mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{B}$ interaction

| Plant height (cm) at 60 DAS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatments |  | $B_{1}=$ No Biofertilizer | $\mathbf{B}_{2}=$ Rhizobium + PSB (Seed Treatment) |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}=$ Vermicompost @ $2.5 \mathrm{t} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | 47.77 | 47.83 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | 48.87 | 48.97 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{FYM} @ 10 \mathrm{tha}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | 43.73 | 48.47 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | 46.55 | 50.70 |
| S.Em + |  |  | 1.49 |
| CD ( $\mathrm{P}=0.05$ ) |  |  | 4.29 |

Table 4: Pod length as influenced by O x P interaction

| Pod length (cm) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatment | $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}=$ Vermicompost @ $2.5 \mathrm{t} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | 3.49 | 3.94 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{FYM}$ @ $10 \mathrm{tha}^{-1}$ | 3.48 | 3.55 |
| S.Em $\pm$ | 0.08 |  |
| CD ( $\mathrm{P}=0.05$ ) | 0.24 |  |

Table 5: Pod length as influenced by N x P interaction

| Pod length (cm) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatment | $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{l}}=22.5 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{ha}{ }^{-1}$ | 3.57 | 3.65 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}=45 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | 3.40 | 3.84 |
| S.Em + | 0.08 |  |
| CD ( $\mathrm{P}=0.05$ ) | 0.24 |  |

Table 6: Pod length as influenced by N x P x B interaction

| Pod length (cm) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatment |  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}=$ No Biofertilizer | $\mathbf{B}_{2}=$ Rhizobium + PSB (Seed Treatment) |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{l}}=22.5 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{ha}{ }^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | 3.47 | 3.67 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | 3.70 | 3.60 |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}=45 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{ha}{ }^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | 3.46 | 3.35 |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | 3.59 | 4.10 |
| S.Em + |  | 0.118 |  |
| CD ( $\mathrm{P}=0.05$ ) |  | 0.34 |  |

Table 7: Seed index as influenced by O x P interaction

| Seed index (g) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatment | $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{1}=$ Vermicompost @ $2.5 \mathrm{t} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | 11.76 | 11.99 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{FYM}$ @ $10 \mathrm{tha}{ }^{-1}$ | 12.24 | 12.66 |
| S.Em $\pm$ | 0.25 |  |
| CD (P=0.05) | 0.72 |  |

Table 8: Seed yield of soybean as influenced by P x B interaction

| Seed yield (kg ha ${ }^{-1}$ ) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Treatment | $\mathbf{B}_{1}=$ No Biofertilizer | $\mathbf{B}_{2}=$ Rhizobium + PSB (Seed Treatment) |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{1}=30 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | 1463 | 1651 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2}=60 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ | 1582 | 2035 |  |
| $\mathrm{~S} . E m \pm$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CD}(\mathrm{P}=0.05)$ |  |  |  |
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