

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2019; SP1: 404-410

Veer Sain

Department of Agricultural Economics, Choudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

KK Kundu

Department of Agricultural Economics, Choudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India (Special Issue- 1) 2nd International Conference "Food Security, Nutrition and Sustainable Agriculture -Emerging Technologies" (February 14-16, 2019)

Price forecast for market information system (MIS) in maize crop in Ambala district of Haryana

Veer Sain and KK Kundu

Abstract

The present study was conducted in Ambala district, Sahzadpur and Naraingarh Blocks of Harvana which was selected purposively on the basis of maximum production under maize crop. Further, Sahazdpur, Mullana, Naraingarh and Ambala city markets were purposively selected for the market study. Finally, 4 regulated markets in Ambala district were purposively selected. Average prices in Haryana data for the period of 2005 to 2016 were analyzed the time series methods. Auto Correlation Function (ACF) and Partial Auto Correlation Function (PACF) were calculated for the data. Appropriate Box-Jenkins Auto Regressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model was fitted. Validity of the model was tested using standard statistical techniques. ARIMA (0, 1, 0) and ARIMA (1, 1, 1) model were used to forecast average prices in Ambala for one leading years. The results also shows average prices forecast for the year 2017 to be about 1433 per quintal with upper and lower limit 1438 and 1433 per quintal in Sahzadpur market, respectively. The results also shows average prices forecast for the year 2017 to be about 1439 per quintal with upper and lower limit 1447 and 1439 per quintal in Mullana market, respectively. The results also shows average prices forecast for the year 2017 to be about 1439 per quintal with upper and lower limit 1445 and 1439 per quintal in Naraingarh market, respectively. The results also shows average prices forecast for the year 2017 to be about 1435 per quintal with upper and lower limit 1435 and 1439 per quintal in Ambala city market, respectively.

Keywords: Forecasting average price, cotton, ARIMA, ACF, PACF, Ambala

Introduction

Marketing Information System as an interacting structure of people, equipments and procedures to gather sort, analyze, evaluate and distribute, timely and right information for use by proper marketing decision makers to improve their marketing design, implementation, and control. (Kotler and Keller 2012). The weekly wholesale maize price data for the period April, 2010 to March, 2014 from five markets in India, this study examined the extent of market integration. The overall results of the market integration analysis in India, indicated that, although, the five markets in India were co-integrated-meaning that they had a stable long run relationship. These markets were also integrated in the short run. The results from trace statistics show that there were four co-integrating vectors and four common trends, which suggested that maize markets were stationary in four directions and non-stationary in four directions. Granger-causality results indicated that Hoshiarpur market price had depicted a unidirectional causality on the prices of SBS Nagar. SBS Nagar market price had shown a unidirectional influence on the price of shown a bi-directional influence on the price of Ahmednagar. Vijayanagaram market price has Bengaluru. Bengaluru market price had shown uni-directional influence on the price of Ahmednagar. Ahmednagar market price had shown a bi-directional influence on the price of Bengaluru. The short-run results indicated that these maize markets were not well integrated while long-run integration was evident, suggesting that the markets did eventually move together in the long term Kaur et al. (2016). The specific objective of the study is as follows:

1. To estimate the price forecasts and long term relationship in prices among domestic markets.

Correspondence

Veer Sain Department of Agricultural Economics, Choudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, Haryana, India

Methodology

The annual data on average price for the period from 2005 to 2016 were used for forecasting the future values using ARIMA models. The ARIMA methodology is also called as Box Jenkins methodology. The Box-Jenkins procedure is concerned with fitting a mixed Auto Regressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model to a given set of data. The main objective in fitting this ARIMA model is to identify the stochastic process of the time series and predict the future values accurately. These methods have also been useful in many types of situation which involve the building of models for discrete time series and dynamic systems. But, this method was not good for lead times or for seasonal series with a large random component (Granger and Newbold, 1970)^[2]. Originally ARIMA models have been studied extensively by George Box and Gwilym Jenkins during 1968 and their names have frequently been used synonymously with general ARIMA process applied to time series analysis, forecasting and control. However, the optimal forecast of future values of a time-series are determined by the stochastic model for that series. A stochastic process is either stationary or non-stationary. The first thing to note is that most time series are non-stationary and the ARIMA model refer only to a stationary time series. Since the ARIMA models refer only to a stationary time series, the first stage of Box-Jenkins model is reducing non-stationary series to a stationary series by taking first order differences. The main stages in setting up a Box-Jenkins forecasting model are as follows.

- 1. Identification
- 2. Estimating the parameters
- 3. Diagnostic checking and
- 4. Forecasting

Step 1. Identification

Appropriate value of P,d and q are found first. The tools used for identification are the Autocorrelation Functions (ACF) and Partial Autocorrelation Functions (PACF). The ARIMA process has the algebraic form :

Where, C is a constant term related to the mean of the process. This is called a mixed auto regressive moving average model of order (p,q). It contains both AR and MA terms.

The general functional forms of ARIMA model used are (i) Moving Average model of order q; MA (q)

$$Y_{t=C} \sum_{i=1}^{q} \theta_i a_{t-i} + a_t$$

Where, a_t belongs to NID (0, σ^2_a)

(ii) Autoregressive model of order p; AR (p)

$$Y_{t} = C_{-} \sum_{j=1}^{p} i Y_{t-j} + a_{t}$$

(iii) Autoregressive Moving Average Model ARMA (p,q)

$$Y_{t} = C_{+} \sum_{j=1}^{\rho} y_{t-j} - \sum_{i=1}^{q} \theta_{ia_{t-1}} + a_{t}$$

(iv) Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Model ARIMA (p,d,q)

$$\phi_p(B) \Delta^d Y_t = C + \theta_q(B) a_t$$

(v) Seasonal ARIMA model ARIMA (p,d,q)(P,D,Q)^s

 $\phi_p(B) \phi_p^*(B^s) \Delta^d \Delta^{sD} Y_t = \phi_Q^*(B^s) \theta_q(B) a_t$

Where,

| Yt | = | Variable under forecasting |
|-----------------|---|--|
| В | = | Lag operator |
| а | = | Error term $(Y_t-Y_t, where Y_t is the estimated value)$ |
| | | of Y _t) |
| t | = | time subscript |
| $\phi_p(B)$ | = | Non-seasonal AR |
| $\phi *_p(B^s)$ | = | seasonal AR operator |
| $(1-B)^{d}$ | = | Non-seasonal difference |
| $(1-B^{s})^{d}$ | = | seasonal difference |
| $\theta_q(B)$ | = | Non-seasonal MA |
| $\phi_p^*(B^s)$ | = | seasonal MA operator |
| S | = | order of season (4 in quarterly data, 12 in |
| | | monthly data etc.) |

The above model contains p+q+P+Q parameters, which need to be estimated. The model is non-linear in parameters.

Step 2: Estimation

For estimating the parameters of the ARIMA model, the algorithm is as follows:

For p, d, q, P, D and Q each =0 to 2

Execute SPSS ARIMA with the set parameters.

Records the parameters and corresponding fitting error until all possible combinations are tried. Select the parameters that produce the least fitting error. This algorithm tries all combinations of parameters, which are limited to an integer lying between zero and two. The combination with the least fitting error will be searched. The range limitations of the parameters are set to restrict the searched to a reasonable scope. Parameters greater than two are rarely used in practices as per literature.

Step 3: Diagnostic checking

Having chosen a particular ARIMA model and having estimated its parameters the fitness of the model is verified. One simple test is to see if the residuals estimated from the model are white noise, if not we must start with other ARIMA model. The residuals were analyzed using Box- Ljung statics.

Step 4: Forecasting

One of the reasons for the popularity of the ARIMA modeling is its success in forecasting. In many cases, the forecasts obtained by this method are more reliable than those obtained from the traditional econometrics modeling, particularly for short–term forecasts. An Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average process model is a way of describing how a time series variable is related to its own past value. Mainly an ARIMA model is used to produce the best weighted average forecasts for single time series (Rahulamin and Razzaque 2000). The accuracy of forecasts for both Ex-ante and Ex-post were using the following test (Markidakis and Hibbon, 1979) ^[3] such as Mean absolute percentage error (MAPE).

Results and Discussion

In this study, we used the data average prices for the period 2005 to 2016. As we have earlier stated that development of ARIMA model for any variable involves four step: Identification, Estimation, Verification and Forecasting. Each of these four steps is now explained for maize average prices as follows.

Model Identification

For forecasting maize average price, ARIMA model estimated only after transforming the variable under forecasting into a stationary series. The stationary series is the one whose values over time only around a constant mean and constant variance. There are several ways to ascertain this. The most common method is to check stationary through examining the graph or time plot of the data is non-stationary. Non-stationary in mean is connected through appropriate differencing of the data. In this difference of order 1 was sufficient to achieve stationarity in mean. The newly constructed variable Xt can now be examined for stationary. The graph of Xt was stationaary in mean. The next steps is to identify the values of p, d and q. For this, the auto correlation and partial auto correlation coefficients of various orders of Xt are computed (Table 2). The model statistics showing goodness fit for Root Mean Square Error (RMSE), Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE), Normalized Bayesian Information Criterion (Normalized BIC), Ljung-Box statistics are depicted in table 1. The Box-Ljung statistics of ARIMA model for cotton in Sahzadpur, Mullana, Naraingarh and Ambala city markets of Ambala district are presented in table 2 and shown significant statistics. The model statistics are given in table 1. The table shows that the seasonal ARIMA models in orders of (0,1,1)(1,1,3) were found satisfactory for prices of maize crop.

Model Estimation and Verification

Maize average prices model parameters were estimated using SPSS package. Results of estimation are reported in Table 1. The model verification is concerned with checking the residual of the model to see if they contain any systematic pattern which still can be removed to improve on the chosen ARIMA. This is done through examing the auto correlations and Partial auto correlations of the residuals of various orders. The ACF and PACF of residual (table 1) also indicate good fit of the model.

The time series plot of the residual showed a scattered trend, therefore models were fitted properly by residual analysis. The model parameters have been presented in table 1. The results showing that there was no transformation accounted for the model fitting. It is showing that none of series was found stationary and having volatile trend. In Sahazdpur, Mullana, Naraingarh markets the data set only first order differentiation was found to be fit and seasonal seasonal MA(q) of Lag 1 was fitted with the degree of differentiation. The tentative models were identified based on Autocorrelation functions (ACF) and Partial Autocorrelation functions (PACF) at fixed interval, showing significant for price of maize crop in Sahzadpur, Mullana, Naraingarh and Ambala city of Ambala district (table3 to 6).

Forecasting With Arima Model

ARIMA models are developed basically to forecast the corresponding variable. To judges the forecasting ability of the fitted ARIMA model important measure of the sample period forecasts accuracy was computed. Forecasting

performance of the model was judged by computing Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE). The model with less MAPE was preferred for forecasting purposes. Forecasting was done through indentified models for the variable prices of maize crop in selected district markets. Using the obtained model, the ex-post forecasted values, considering the January 2005 to December 2016 were computed and have been presented in fig. 1 to 4. The table observed that the forecasted of price in Sahzadpur, Mullana, Naraingarh and Ambala city markets moved in same direction with observed values.

Price forecasts for maize

The price forecasts for the main market of Ambala district i.e. Sahzadpur market obtained for the year 2017 for arrival season in October to November were observed between Rs. 1433 to 1438 per quintal. While, in the forecasts for the remaining months i.e. from December to September were in the range Rs. 1381 to 1436 per quintal. Similarly, the price forecasts in reference market of Ambala district i.e. Mullana market was obtained in October to November Rs. 1439 to 1447 per quintal. While, in the forecasts for the remaining months i.e. from December to September were in the range Rs. 1456 to 1387 per quintal. Therefore, the farmers need not to store their produce in future and they have to dispose off the produce at the earlier.

The price forecasts in main market of Ambala district i.e. Naraingarh market obtained for the year 2017 for arrival season in October to November were observed between Rs. 1439 to 1445 per quintal. While, in the forecasts for the remaining months i.e. from December to September were in the range varied from Rs. 1456 to 1387 per quintal. Similarly, the price forecasts in reference market of Ambala district i.e. Ambala city market was obtained in October to November Rs. 1435 to 1439 per quintal. While, in the forecasts for the remaining months i.e. from December to September were in the range Rs. 1449 to 1378 per quintal. Therefore, the farmers need not to store their produce in future and they have to dispose off the produce at the earlier.

Cointegration between selected markets for maize agricultural commodities in Ambala district of Haryana (at zero order integration)

Cointegration is a statistical property obtained by given time series data set that is defined by the concepts of stationarity and the order of integration of the series. The stationary series is one with a mean value which will not change during the sampling period. For an illustration, the mean of a subset of a given series does not vary significantly from the mean of any other subset of the same series. Further, the series will constantly return to its mean value as fluctuations occur. In other words, a non-stationary series will shows a time-varying mean. The order of integration of a series is given by the number of times the series must be differenced in order to produce a stationary series.

Cointegration analysis was carried out to study the long run relationship of average price of maize for Ambala districts in all four markets. The Dickey-Fuller test was used to study the order cointegration of prices among different markets.

The table 7 revealed that dickey-fuller test 'tau' was found highly significant for all the Ambala district markets of the maize. That is clearly shown that there is a long relationship and this shows that the price will remain constant over the change of time.

| Saha | Ljung | Box(18 | 8) | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|--------|-------|------------|--------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Model | Model RMSE MAPE N-BIC | | | | | | | | | |
| Model(0,1,0)(1,1,1) | 35.099 | 2.392 | 7.228 | 35.644 | 16 | .003 | | | | |
| Mu | Mullana market | | | | | | | | | |
| Model | RMSE | MAPE | N-BIC | Statisitcs | df | Sig. | | | | |
| Model(0,1,0)(1,1,1) | 34.738 | 2.408 | 7.207 | 37.038 | 16 | 0.002 | | | | |
| Nara | ingarh marke | t | | Ljung | Box(18 | 8) | | | | |
| Model | RMSE | MAPE | N-BIC | Statisitcs | df | Sig. | | | | |
| Model(0,1,0)(1,1,1) | 34.816 | 2.359 | 7.212 | 38.099 | 16 | 0.001 | | | | |
| Α | Ljung | Box(18 | 8) | | | | | | | |
| Model | RMSE | MAPE | N-BIC | Statisitcs | df | Sig. | | | | |
| Model(0,1,0)(1,1,1) | 35.475 | 2.490 | 7.249 | 34.943 | 16 | 0.004 | | | | |

Table 1: Model parameters of different markets in Ambala district of Haryana

| Table 2: Model parameters of different markets of Ambala district of H | Iaryana |
|--|---------|
|--|---------|

| Sahazdpur market | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Model | Parameters | Estimated Parameters | Asymptotic S.E. | Asymptotic t-value | р | | | | | | |
| $M_{ada}(0, 1, 0)(1, 1, 1)$ | SAR1 | -0.074 | 0.118 | -0.629 | 0.530 | | | | | | |
| Model(0,1,0)(1,1,1) | SMA1 | 0.922 | 0.236 | 3.905 | 0.000 | | | | | | |
| | | Mullana mark | tet | | | | | | | | |
| Model | Parameters | Estimated Parameters | Asymptotic S.E. | Asymptotic t-value | р | | | | | | |
| $M_{\rm ada}(0.1.0)(1.1.1)$ | SAR1 | -0.047 | 0.120 | -0.390 | 0.697 | | | | | | |
| Model(0,1,0)(1,1,1) | SMA1 | 0.963 | 0.506 | 1.903 | 0.059 | | | | | | |
| | | Naraingarh mar | rket | | | | | | | | |
| Model | Parameters | Estimated Parameters | Asymptotic S.E. | Asymptotic t-value | р | | | | | | |
| $M_{odel}(0, 1, 0)(1, 1, 1)$ | SAR1 | -0.074 | 0.118 | -0.630 | 0.530 | | | | | | |
| Widdei(0,1,0)(1,1,1) | SMA1 | 0.920 | 0.234 | 3.929 | 0.000 | | | | | | |
| | | Ambala city | | | | | | | | | |
| Model | Parameters | Estimated Parameters | Asymptotic S.E. | Asymptotic t-value | р | | | | | | |
| $M_{ada}(0, 1, 0)(1, 1, 1)$ | SAR1 | -0.047 | 0.119 | -0.396 | 0.693 | | | | | | |
| widder(0,1,0)(1,1,1) | SMA1 | 0.979 | 0.884 | 1.108 | 0.270 | | | | | | |

Table 3: Autocorrelation and partial autocorrelation functions of average monthly price in Sahzdapur market of Ambala district

| | Au | tocorrelation | | Partial Autocorrelations | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-----|--------------------------|------------|
| Las | | Ct.J. annou? | Box-Ljung statistic | | | Las | Douticl costs completion | G4.1 |
| Lag | Autocorrelation | Sta. error" | Value | Df | Sig. ^b | Lag | Partial autocorrelation | Sta. error |
| 1 | 0.971 | 0.082 | 138.592 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.971 | 0.083 |
| 2 | 0.938 | 0.082 | 268.839 | 2 | 0.000 | 2 | -0.083 | 0.083 |
| 3 | 0.905 | 0.082 | 391.008 | 3 | 0.000 | 3 | -0.009 | 0.083 |
| 4 | 0.877 | 0.082 | 506.385 | 4 | 0.000 | 4 | 0.054 | 0.083 |
| 5 | 0.852 | 0.081 | 616.157 | 5 | 0.000 | 5 | 0.046 | 0.083 |
| 6 | 0.827 | 0.081 | 720.326 | 6 | 0.000 | 6 | -0.029 | 0.083 |
| 7 | 0.802 | 0.081 | 819.096 | 7 | 0.000 | 7 | -0.001 | 0.083 |
| 8 | 0.783 | 0.080 | 913.819 | 8 | 0.000 | 8 | 0.085 | 0.083 |
| 9 | 0.763 | 0.080 | 1004.545 | 9 | 0.000 | 9 | -0.022 | 0.083 |
| 10 | 0.743 | 0.080 | 1091.135 | 10 | 0.000 | 10 | -0.026 | 0.083 |
| 11 | 0.723 | 0.080 | 1173.684 | 11 | 0.000 | 11 | 0.006 | 0.083 |
| 12 | 0.702 | 0.079 | 1252.189 | 12 | 0.000 | 12 | -0.007 | 0.083 |
| 13 | 0.685 | 0.079 | 1327.522 | 13 | 0.000 | 13 | 0.047 | 0.083 |
| 14 | 0.667 | 0.079 | 1399.574 | 14 | 0.000 | 14 | -0.031 | 0.083 |
| 15 | 0.651 | 0.078 | 1468.675 | 15 | 0.000 | 15 | 0.025 | 0.083 |
| 16 | 0.636 | 0.078 | 16 | 0.008 | 0.083 | | | |
| ^a . The | e underlying process | s assumed is in | ndependenc | e (w | hite nois | e). | | |
| ^b . Bas | sed on the asymptot | ic chi-square a | approximati | on. | | | | |

| Table 4: Autocorrelation and | l partial autocorrelation | functions of average i | monthly price in | Mullana market of | Ambala district |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|

| | Au | itocorrelation | Partial Autocorrelations | | | | | |
|-----|-------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-----|-------------------------|------------|
| Lan | | 64.J | Box-Lju | Box-Ljung statistic | | | | C4J amon |
| Lag | g Autocorrelation | Std. error ^a | Value | Df | Sig. ^b | Lag | Partial autocorrelation | Std. error |
| 1 | 0.970 | 0.082 | 138.429 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.970 | 0.083 |
| 2 | 0.938 | 0.082 | 268.594 | 2 | 0.000 | 2 | -0.067 | 0.083 |
| 3 | 0.905 | 0.082 | 390.824 | 3 | 0.000 | 3 | -0.006 | 0.083 |
| 4 | 0.877 | .00082 | 506.217 | 4 | 0.000 | 4 | 0.041 | 0.083 |
| 5 | 0.852 | 0.081 | 615.911 | 5 | 0.000 | 5 | 0.045 | 0.083 |

| 6 | 0.827 | .00081 | 719.987 | 6 | 0.000 | 6 | -0.021 | 0.083 |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----|-------|----|--------|-------|
| 7 | 0.801 | 0.081 | 818.567 | 7 | 0.000 | 7 | -0.009 | 0.083 |
| 8 | 0.782 | 0.080 | 913.118 | 8 | 0.000 | 8 | 0.089 | 0.083 |
| 9 | 0.763 | 0.080 | 1003.753 | 9 | 0.000 | 9 | -0.014 | 0.083 |
| 10 | 0.743 | 0.080 | 1090.260 | 10 | 0.000 | 10 | -0.031 | 0.083 |
| 11 | 0.722 | 0.080 | 1172.765 | 11 | 0.000 | 11 | 0.006 | 0.083 |
| 12 | 0.702 | 0.079 | 1251.358 | 12 | 0.000 | 12 | 0.001 | 0.083 |
| 13 | 0.686 | 0.079 | 1326.875 | 13 | 0.000 | 13 | 0.045 | 0.083 |
| 14 | 0.668 | 0.079 | 1399.076 | 14 | 0.000 | 14 | -0.040 | 0.083 |
| 15 | 0.652 | 0.078 | 1468.254 | 15 | 0.000 | 15 | 0.024 | 0.083 |
| 16 | 0.636 | 0.078 | 1534.721 | 16 | 0.000 | 16 | 0.017 | 0.083 |
| ^a . The | e underlying process | | | | | | | |
| ^b . Bas | sed on the asymptot | ic chi-square a | approximati | on. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| Table 5: Autocorrelation and partial autocorrelation functions of average monthly price in Naraingarh market of Ambala dist | trict |
|---|-------|
|---|-------|

| | Au | tocorrelation | Partial Autocorrelations | | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-------|-------------------|-----|-------------------------|------------|
| Las | A | Std. annon? | Box-Ljung statistic | | | Tag | Deutiel auto comulation | Std. annon |
| Lag | Autocorrelation | Sta. error. | Value | df | Sig. ^b | Lag | Partial autocorrelation | Sta. error |
| 1 | 0.971 | 0.082 | 138.561 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.971 | 0.083 |
| 2 | 0.938 | 0.082 | 268.816 | 2 | 0.000 | 2 | -0.078 | 0.083 |
| 3 | 0.905 | 0.082 | 391.067 | 3 | 0.000 | 3 | -0.007 | 0.083 |
| 4 | 0.877 | 0.082 | 506.573 | 4 | 0.000 | 4 | 0.052 | 0.083 |
| 5 | 0.852 | 0.081 | 616.404 | 5 | 0.000 | 5 | 0.039 | 0.083 |
| 6 | 0.827 | 0.081 | 720.596 | 6 | 0.000 | 6 | -0.025 | 0.083 |
| 7 | 0.802 | 0.081 | 819.300 | 7 | 0.000 | 7 | -0.005 | 0.083 |
| 8 | 0.783 | 0.080 | 913.965 | 8 | 0.000 | 8 | 0.090 | 0.083 |
| 9 | 0.763 | 0.080 | 1004.685 | 9 | 0.000 | 9 | -0.018 | 0.083 |
| 10 | 0.743 | 0.080 | 1091.312 | 10 | 0.000 | 10 | -0.027 | 0.083 |
| 11 | 0.723 | 0.080 | 1173.915 | 11 | 0.000 | 11 | 0.004 | 0.083 |
| 12 | 0.702 | 0.079 | 1252.507 | 12 | 0.000 | 12 | -0.006 | 0.083 |
| 13 | 0.686 | 0.079 | 1327.942 | 13 | 0.000 | 13 | 0.047 | 0.083 |
| 14 | 0.668 | 0.079 | 1400.060 | 14 | 0.000 | 14 | -0.035 | 0.083 |
| 15 | 0.651 | 0.078 | 1469.195 | 15 | 0.000 | 15 | 0.026 | 0.083 |
| 16 | 0.636 | 0.078 | 16 | 0.011 | 0.083 | | | |
| ^a . The | e underlying process | s assumed is in | ndependenc | e (wł | nite nois | e). | | |
| ^b . Bas | sed on the asymptot | ic chi-square a | approximati | on. | | | | |

| | Table 6: Autocorrelation and | partial autocorrelation | functions of average | monthly price in | Ambala city marke | t of Ambala district |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
|--|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|

| | Au | itocorrelation | | Partial Autocorrelations | | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------|-------------------------------|------------|
| T | | C4.1 | Box-Ljung statistic | | | τ | Destining the second strength | C() |
| Lag | Autocorrelation | Sta. error" | Value | df | Sig. ^b | Lag | Partial autocorrelation | Sta. error |
| 1 | 0.970 | 0.082 | 138.395 | 1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0.970 | 0.083 |
| 2 | 0.937 | 0.082 | 268.498 | 2 | 0.000 | 2 | -0.067 | 0.083 |
| 3 | 0.905 | 0.082 | 390.565 | 3 | 0.000 | 3 | -0.011 | 0.083 |
| 4 | 0.876 | 0.082 | 505.844 | 4 | 0.000 | 4 | 0.050 | 0.083 |
| 5 | 0.851 | 0.081 | 615.506 | 5 | 0.000 | 5 | 0.046 | 0.083 |
| 6 | 0.827 | 0.081 | 719.592 | 6 | 0.000 | 6 | -0.024 | 0.083 |
| 7 | 0.802 | 0.081 | 818.214 | 7 | 0.000 | 7 | -0.009 | 0.083 |
| 8 | 0.782 | 0.080 | 912.816 | 8 | 0.000 | 8 | 0.089 | 0.083 |
| 9 | 0.763 | 0.080 | 1003.450 | 9 | 0.000 | 9 | -0.018 | 0.083 |
| 10 | 0.743 | 0.080 | 1089.966 | 10 | 0.000 | 10 | -0.028 | 0.083 |
| 11 | 0.722 | 0.080 | 1172.474 | 11 | 0.000 | 11 | 0.006 | 0.083 |
| 12 | 0.702 | 0.079 | 1250.973 | 12 | 0.000 | 12 | -0.006 | 0.083 |
| 13 | 0.685 | 0.079 | 1326.347 | 13 | 0.000 | 13 | 0.048 | 0.083 |
| 14 | 0.668 | 0.079 | 1398.424 | 14 | 0.000 | 14 | -0.035 | 0.083 |
| 15 | 0.651 | 0.078 | 1467.481 | 15 | 0.000 | 15 | 0.021 | 0.083 |
| 16 | .636 | 0.078 | 16 | 0.017 | 0.083 | | | |
| ^a . The | e underlying process | s assumed is in | ndependenc | e (w | hite nois | se). | | |
| ^b . Bas | sed on the asymptot | ic chi-square | approximati | on. | | | | |











Fig 2: Forecasting of maize for average monthly price of Mullana market of Ambala district (Reference market).





Fig 3: Forecasting of maize for average monthly price of Naraingarh market of Ambala district (Main market).





Fig 4: Forecasting of maize for average monthly price of Ambala city market of Ambala district (Reference market).

| Name of district | Name of Market | Name of Crops | Dickey-Fuller test | Dickey- Fuller value | Order of integration |
|------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Ambala | Sahzadpur | Maize | -2.350 | -0.836 | 0 |
| | Mullana | | -2.340 | -0.836 | 0 |
| | Naraingarh | | -2.350 | -0.836 | 0 |
| | Ambala city | | -2.335 | -0.836 | 0 |

Conclusion

In our study the developed model for average prices for maize in Ambala district was found to be ARIMA (0,1,0) (1,1,1)respectively. From the forecast variable by using the developed model, it can be seen that forecasted average price increases the next years. The validity of the forecasted value can be checked when data for the lead periods become available. The model can be used by researchers for forecasting average prices in Ambala. However, it should be updated from time to time with incorporation of current data.

References

- Box GEP, Jenkin GM. Time Series of Analysis, Forecasting and Control, Sam Franscico, Holden-Day, California. USA, 1976.
- 2. Granger CWJ, Newbold P. Spectral methods. *Econometrica*. 1970; 30:424-438.
- Makridakis S, Hibbon M. Accuracy of forecasting: An empirical investigation. J Roy. Statist. Soc. A. 1979; 41(2):97-145.
- 4. Rahulamin MD, Razzaque MA. Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Modeling for Monthly Potato Prices in Bangladesh, Journal of Financial Management and Analysis 2000; 13(1):74-80.
- 5. Pindhyck SR, Rubinfeld DL. Econometric Models and Economic Forecasts, New York: McGraw Hill, Inc. 1991.