

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2019; 8(1): 1501-1503 Received: 23-11-2018 Accepted: 25-12-2018

#### Sonali Biswas

Assistant Professor, AICRP on Maize, Directorate of Research, BCKV, Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal, India

#### Srabani Debnath

Assistant Professor, AICRP on Maize, Directorate of Research, BCKV, Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal, India

#### Abhijit Saha

Assistant Professor, College of Agriculture, Lembucherra, West Tripura, Tripura, India

### Anirban Maji

Assistant Professor, All India Coordinated Wheat and Barley Improvement, Directorate of Research, BCKV, Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal, India

Correspondence Sonali Biswas Assistant Professor, AICRP on Maize, Directorate of Research, BCKV, Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal, India

# Effect of different doses of phosphorus and liquid bio-fertilizers on maize in new alluvial zone of West Bengal

## Sonali Biswas, Srabani Debnath, Abhijit Saha and Anirban Maji

#### Abstract

A field experiment was conducted during kharif season of 2016 and 2017, to evaluate the effect of different doses of phosphorus and liquid bio-fertilizers on maize at District Seed Farm (AB Block), Kalyani, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Nadia, West Bengal on silty clay loam soil having pH 7.25, medium in available N (250.20 kg/ha), high in available P (26.20 kg/ha) and medium in available K (280.12 kg/ha). The experiment was laid down in RBD design with three replication having twelve treatments viz. T1: Control (Recommended N and K), T2: PSB I, T3: PSB II, T4: NPK consortia, T5: 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha, T<sub>6</sub>: 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB I, T<sub>7</sub>: 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB I, T<sub>8</sub>: 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB II, T<sub>9</sub>: 60 kg P2O5/ha + PSB II, T10: 30 kg P2O5/ha + NPK consortia, T11: 60 kg P2O5/ha + NPK consortia and T12: 90 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha. In maize field, highest grain yield (12.297 and 11,980 kg/ha), stover yield (14,232 and 13,678 kg/ha), net return (Rs. 1, 10173/- and 1, 38,099/-) and B: C ratio (3.94 and 4.06) were obtained in treatment  $T_{11}$  receiving 60 kg  $P_2O_5/ha + NPK$  consortia which was followed by  $T_{10}$  treatment (30 kg  $P_2O_5$ + NPK consortia) during both the years, respectively. The experimental results revealed that, 60 kg/ha P2O5 + NPK consortia was best treatment combination for maize in new alluvial zone of W. B which not only increased maize productivity, net income, supplementing balance nutrition to the maize but also improves the soil health by synthesize/assimilate atmospheric nitrogen, solubilizes phosphate, potash, unavailable micro-nutrient into available form and prevent environmental pollution.

Keywords: Maize, phosphorus, liquid bio-fertilizer, yield, economics

## Introduction

Maize (Zea mays L.) is one of the major cereal crop and is a versatile crop and ranks third following wheat and rice in world production as reported by Food and Agriculture Organization. Maize is a staple human food, a feed for livestock and raw material for many industrial products. About 59% of the total production is used as feed, while the remaining is used as industrial raw material (17%), food (10%) and other purposes (4%) (Kumar et al., 2013) <sup>[5]</sup>. For increasing the productivity and profitability of maize, farmers are cultivating the crop intensively with the huge use of chemical fertilizers, pesticides, weedicides etc. though these practices are helps to increase the temporary increase the production of crop; deterioration of natural resources (viz. land, water and air) is also high input intensive cultivation. Excessive use of chemical fertilizers has been associated with declines in soil physical and chemical properties and crop yield (Hepperly et al., 2009) [4]. Highest productivity of crops in sustainable manner without deteriorating the soil and other natural resources could be achieved only by applying appropriate combination of different organic manner and inorganic fertilizers (Chandrashekara et al., 2000)<sup>[1]</sup>. One of the most important means to achieve the goals of organic agriculture is to extent the application of biological fertilizers (Obid et al., 2016)<sup>[7]</sup>. Liquid bio-fertilizer is a special formation containing high number of desired micro-organism with high shelf life, zero contamination, cost effective, better survival on seed and soil, doses is 10 time loss than carried based powder bio-fertilizer and having very high enzymatic activity. These microbial inoculants help in increasing crop productivity through increased biological fixation, increased availability or uptake of nutrients by plants through solubilisation or increased absorption, stimulation of plant growth through hormonal action or antibiosis etc. (Sivamurugan et al., 2018)<sup>[10]</sup>. It is recognized that neither bio-fertilizers alone nor exclusive application of phosphorus through chemical fertilizers can sustain soil health as well productivity in modern farming where nutrient turn-over in soil plant system is quite high (Gautam et al., 2017)<sup>[2]</sup>. Considering the above facts, the present study was undertaken to evaluate effect of different doses of phosphorus with varied liquid bio-fertilizers on growth, yield attributes, yield and economies of maize in New Alluvial Zone of West Bengal.

## **Materials and Methods**

The experiment was conducted at the District Seed Farm (AB Block), Kalyani, Bidhan Chandra krishi viswaviyalaya, West Bengal (Latitude 22°57' N, Longitude 88°20' E and at of 9.75 m above sea level) during kharif seasons of 2016 and 2017. The experiment was designed to study the effect of different doses of phosphorus with varied liquid bio-fertilizers on growth, yield attributes, yield and economies of maize. The soil of experiment plots was silty clay loam in texture having pH neutral in reaction (7.32) with medium in organic carbon content (0.54) and medium in available nitrogen, potassium and high in phosphorus content. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) and replicated thrice with 12 treatments viz. T1: Control (Recommended N and K), T2: PSB I, T3: PSB II, T4: NPK consortia, T5: 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha, T6: 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB I, T7: 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB I, T8: 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB II, T9:60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + PSB II, T10: 30 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + NPK consortia, T11: 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/ha + NPK consortia and T12: 90 kg P2O5/ha. The liquid bio-fertilizers were applied through seed treatment at the rate of 50 ml per acre. Phosphorus and potash fertilizers were applied as basal as per treatment wise and 1/3th nitrogen fertilizer was applied at the time of sowing and 1/3<sup>th</sup>+13<sup>th</sup> at 30 and 45 day after sowing. The maize variety was JKMH 502 sown in second week of June. The spacing of maize was 60X20 cm row to row and plant to plant. At harvesting following characters were measured included: plant height, cob length, cob girth, grain rows/cob, grains/row, 100 seed weight, grain yield and stover yield. The collected data of the two seasons were statistically analysed separately according to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) by using MSTAT-C computer software packages. Mean comparisons were worked out by Ducan's Mutiple Range Test (DMRT) at 5% level of probability according to Gomez and Gomez, 1984 <sup>[3]</sup>.

# **Results and Discussion**

# Growth and Yield attributes

Results showed that effect of different phosphorus doses and liquid bio-fertilizers on plant height was significant (Table-1). Among the treatments, T11: 60 kg  $P_2O_5/ha + NPK$  consortia recorded highest plant height (230 and 247.30 cm) in 2016 and 2017, respectively which was statistically at par with treatment T10: 30 kg  $P_2O_5/ha + NPK$  consortia in 2016. This may be due to prolonged vegetative growth which increased the plant height. Application of different phosphorus doses and bio-fertilizers significantly influenced yield attributing characters. During 2016 and 2017 yield attributes like cob length, 100 seed weight were significantly influenced by different phosphorus rate and bio-fertilizer but cob girth, grain rows/cob and grains per row were not significant. Among the different treatments, T11: 60 kg P2O5/ha + NPK consortia recorded highest cob length (24.4 cm and 16.3 cm), cob girth (16.3 and 15.3 cm), grain rows/cob (18.0 and 15.5), grains per row (31 and 31.7) and 100 seed weight (33.8 and 36.3 g) during 2016 and 2017, respectively. This might be due to higher levels of phosphorus and bio-fertilizers which induced the uptake ability of the roots to nutrients and positive increased in the yield parameters because of improving the root system as a source-sink relationship to the reproductive part (shoot), that agree with Sheraz Mahdi et al. 2010 [9] and Mohammed *et al.* 2001 <sup>[6]</sup>.

Table 1: Effect of different doses of phosphorus and bio-fertilizers on growth and yield attributes of maize

| Treatments      | Plant Height (cm) |       | Cob length (cm) |      | Cob girth (cm) |      | Grain rows/cob |      | Grains/row |      | 100-seed weight (g) |      |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------|-----------------|------|----------------|------|----------------|------|------------|------|---------------------|------|
|                 | 2016              | 2017  | 2016            | 2017 | 2016           | 2017 | 2016           | 2017 | 2016       | 2017 | 2016                | 2017 |
| T1              | 215.7             | 193.3 | 20.0            | 10.8 | 14.2           | 14.9 | 14.0           | 13.2 | 29.0       | 23.6 | 31.4                | 25.5 |
| T <sub>2</sub>  | 187.3             | 199.0 | 13.7            | 10.8 | 12.0           | 14.1 | 11.3           | 14.3 | 23.7       | 24.9 | 28.2                | 27.8 |
| T <sub>3</sub>  | 191.3             | 210.7 | 14.7            | 13.1 | 12.1           | 13.8 | 10.7           | 14.1 | 24.0       | 26.0 | 28.6                | 28.5 |
| T4              | 220.3             | 192.0 | 21.2            | 14.1 | 14.6           | 14.9 | 15.3           | 14.7 | 28.5       | 27.8 | 32.0                | 31.0 |
| T5              | 193.3             | 207.3 | 16.9            | 13.8 | 12.2           | 14.7 | 11.3           | 14.7 | 24.7       | 25.8 | 31.3                | 28.7 |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | 199.0             | 209.0 | 16.3            | 14.0 | 12.5           | 14.4 | 11.3           | 14.1 | 25.0       | 27.0 | 29.4                | 29.3 |
| T7              | 204.0             | 214.0 | 17.2            | 16.0 | 12.7           | 14.9 | 12.7           | 14.7 | 25.3       | 27.3 | 29.9                | 29.7 |
| T8              | 207.3             | 213.3 | 18.2            | 12.8 | 13.1           | 14.3 | 12.7           | 14.4 | 26.5       | 24.7 | 30.4                | 30.4 |
| T9              | 210.0             | 221.3 | 19.1            | 15.1 | 13.5           | 14.3 | 13.7           | 14.1 | 27.0       | 27.2 | 30.8                | 31.2 |
| T10             | 223.3             | 216.3 | 21.3            | 14.3 | 15.3           | 15.0 | 15.3           | 14.4 | 29.5       | 26.4 | 32.8                | 30.7 |
| T <sub>11</sub> | 230.0             | 247.3 | 24.4            | 16.3 | 16.3           | 15.3 | 18.0           | 15.5 | 31.0       | 31.7 | 33.8                | 36.3 |
| T <sub>12</sub> | 195.0             | 219.0 | 15.5            | 13.6 | 12.2           | 15.3 | 12.0           | 14.7 | 25.0       | 28.1 | 27.7                | 27.7 |
| CD at 5%        | 17.0              | 25.5  | 2.2             | 2.4  | 0.7            | 1.6  | 2.3            | 1.5  | 1.7        | 5.8  | 1.8                 | 4.8  |
| Significance    | S                 | S     | S               | S    | S              | NS   | NS             | NS   | S          | NS   | S                   | S    |

# Yield

The grain yield and stover yield of maize were significantly influence by different doses of phosphorus and bio-fertilizers (Table-2). The highest grain yield (12,297 and 11, 980 kg/ha) and stover yield (14,232 and 13,678 kg/ha) during 2016 and 2017 were obtained with application of 60 kg  $P_2O_5$  + NPK consortia. This might be due to remarkable improvement in growth and yield attributes in response to increasing levels of phosphorus and bio-fertilizers (Paramsivan *et al*, 2011)<sup>[8]</sup>. A good and optimum supply of Phosphorus and bio-fertilizers are associated with increased root growth due to which plants

explore more soil nutrients and moisture which increased plant growth and yield of crop. (Gautam *et al*, 2017)<sup>[2]</sup>.

## Economics

Economics of maize during 2016 and 2017, respectively (Table-2) was varied significantly by different treatments. The highest net return (1, 10,173 and 1, 38,099) and B: C ratio (3.94 and 4.06) were found in treatment receiving 60 kg  $P_2O_5/ha + NPK$  consortia which was followed by treatment T10 during both the year, respectively. Similar finding was also reported by Sivamurugan *et al.*, 2018 <sup>[10]</sup>.

| Treatments      | Grain yie | eld (kg/ha) | Stover yield | eld (kg/ha) | Net return | <b>B:C ratio</b> |      |      |
|-----------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------|------------------|------|------|
|                 | 2016      | 2017        | 2016         | 2017        | 2016       | 2017             | 2016 | 2017 |
| T1              | 10106     | 6452        | 12196        | 8359        | 80748      | 56929            | 2.99 | 2.30 |
| T2              | 6711      | 8170        | 8833         | 10077       | 46193      | 82207            | 2.33 | 2.86 |
| T3              | 6898      | 7972        | 8940         | 9917        | 48801      | 79314            | 2.39 | 2.79 |
| $T_4$           | 10303     | 7866        | 12452        | 9831        | 89250      | 77863            | 3.59 | 2.76 |
| T5              | 7271      | 7640        | 9401         | 9569        | 50013      | 68798            | 2.34 | 2.38 |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | 7811      | 7571        | 9876         | 9497        | 57895      | 71920            | 2.61 | 2.58 |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | 8013      | 9200        | 10039        | 11152       | 58821      | 96404            | 2.57 | 2.83 |
| T <sub>8</sub>  | 8101      | 8245        | 10158        | 10199       | 61366      | 82078            | 2.71 | 2.80 |
| T9              | 9109      | 9749        | 11165        | 11713       | 71971      | 100001           | 2.92 | 3.07 |
| T <sub>10</sub> | 11295     | 9405        | 13332        | 11295       | 99649      | 99355            | 3.77 | 3.18 |
| T11             | 12297     | 11980       | 14232        | 13678       | 110173     | 138099           | 3.94 | 4.06 |
| T <sub>12</sub> | 7500      | 9232        | 9442         | 11138       | 51258      | 89724            | 2.32 | 2.70 |
| CD at 5%        | 208.7     | 1865.0      | 199.1        | 1905.4      | 2538.4     | 28019.3          | 0.07 | 0.57 |
| Significance    | S         | S           | S            | S           | S          | S                | S    | S    |

Table 2: Effect of different doses of phosphorus and bio-fertilizers on yield and economics of maize

#### Conclusion

Based on the results obtained from this study, it could be concluded that the using of 60 kg  $P_2O_5/ha + NPK$  consortia are the best treatment combination of maize in new alluvial zone of West Bengal which not only increased maize productivity, net income, supplementing balance nutrition to the maize but also improves the soil health by synthesize/assimilate atmospheric nitrogen, solubilizes phosphate, potash, unavailable micro-nutrient into available form and prevent environmental pollution.

### References

- 1. Chandrashekara CP, Harlapur SI, Murlikrishna S, Girijesh GK. Response of maize (*Zea maize* L.) to organic manures with inorganic fertilizers. Karnataka J Agric. Sci. 2000; 13(1):144-146.
- 2. Gautam Pragya, Dashora LN, Solanki NS, Meena RH, Upadhyay B. Effect of Carrier Based and Liquid Biofertilizers at Different phosphorus Levels on productivity of hybrid maize. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. sci. 2017; 6(12):922-927.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. John Wiley. New York, 1984.
- 4. Hepperly Paul, Lotter Don, Ulsh Christine Ziegler, Seidel Rita, Reider Carolyn. Compost, Manure and synthetic fertilizer influences crop yields, soil properties, nitrate leaching and crop nutrient content, Compost Sci. Utilization. 2009; 17(2):117-126.
- Kumar R, Srinivas K, Sivaramane N. Assessment of the maize situation, outlook and investment opportunities in India. Country Report – Regional Assessment Asia (MAIZE-CRP), National Academy of Agricultural Research Management. Hyderabad, India, 2013.
- Mohammed AS, Abdel Monem MA, Khalifa HE, Beider M, El-Ghandour IA, Galal YGM. Using bio-fertilizers for maize production: response and economic return under different irrigation treatments. J Sustain. Agricultur. 2001; 19:41-48.
- 7. Obid Safa Ahmed, Idris Atif Elsadig, Ahmed Badr Eldin, Abdelgadir Mohamed. Effect of bio-fertilizer on growth and yield of two maizes (*Zea mays* L.) cultivars at shambat, Sudan. Scholars Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences. 2016; 3(40):313-317.
- 8. Paramasivan M, Kumaresan KR, Malarvizhi S, Thiyageswari S, Mahimairaja, Velayudham K. Nutrient optimization strategy for sustainable productivity of

hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.) in palaviduthi (Pvd) series of soil of Tamil Nadu. Res. Crops. 2011; 12(1):39-44.

- Sheraz Mahdi S, Hassan GI, Samoon SA, Rather HA, Showkat Dar A, Zehra B. Biofertilizers in Organic Agriculture. J Phytol. 2010; 2(10):42-54.
- Sivamurugan AP, Ravikesavan R, Sing AK, Jat SL. Effect of different levels of P and Liquid Biofertilizers on growth, yield attributes and yield of maize. Chemical Science Review and Letters. 2018; 7(26):520-523.