

E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; 7(6): 1695-1699 Received: 19-09-2018 Accepted: 21-10-2018

M Chandana

Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic crops, College of Horticulture, SKLTSHU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Veena Joshi

Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, SKLTSHU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

D Vijaya

Department of Soil Science and Analytical Chemistry, Grape Research Station, SKLTSHU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

D Lakshminarayana

Department of Horticulture, College of Horticulture, SKLTSHU, Mojerla, Telangana, India

Correspondence M Chandana Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic crops, College of Horticulture, SKLTSHU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



Effect of organic treatments and spacing on growth parameters of kalmegh (Andrographis paniculata) var. Cim-Megha

M Chandana, Veena Joshi, D Vijaya and D Lakshminarayana

Abstract

A field experiment conducted on the effect of organic treatments and spacing on growth parameters of kalmegh under *Rabi* season 2017-18 at college of Horticulture, Rajendranagar. The treatment M_3S_3 recorded maximum number of primary branches per plant (17.23), number of secondary branches per plant (5.78), number of leaves per plant (281.81), followed by M_1S_3 –FYM (30 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 (30 x 45 cm). Minimum was recorded in M_5S_1 . The treatment M_1S_3 –FYM (30 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 (30 x 45 cm) recorded maximum leaf area (890.60 cm²), LAI (1.75), leaf stem ratio (0.94), fresh weight of leaves per plant (60.63 g), dry weight of leaves per plant (30.26 g), crop growth rate (7.14 g/day/m²), relative growth rate (17.32 mg/g/day) at harvest, followed by the treatment M_3S_3 whereas minimum was recorded in the treatment M_5S_1 . Among all the interactions maximum growth parameters were recorded in the treatment M_1S_3 – FYM (30 t/ha) + Arka microbial consortium with spacing S_3 (30 x 45 cm).

Keywords: Kalmegh, FYM, neem cake, vermicompost, AMC, growth parameters

Introduction

Kalmegh (*Andrographis paniculata*) belonging to family Acanthaceae is one of the nineteen species of the genus Andrographis which is indigenous to India and has been in Indian systems of medicine since time immemorial. Kalmegh was recommended in "Charaka Samhita" in 175 BC for treatments of jaundice along with other plants in multi plant preparation. Kalmegh is widely used in Indian traditional system of medicine against different ailments. Kalmegh is one of the important ingredients in various ayurvedic preparations used for fever and liver disease, which are commonly used by ayurvedic physicians.

Organic farming provides several benefits to the growers. It reduces production cost and it is an environmentally friendly method of cultivation. Addition of organic manures, bio fertilizers improved soil structure and enhances activities of useful soil organism, to maintain flora and fauna and also known to improve the biodiversity (Enwall *et al.*, 2005; Birkhofer *et al.*, 2008)^[5, 2] and may prove a large depository for excess carbon dioxide (Lal, 2004)^[15].

Spacing is an important factor for better growth and yield of the plant. Optimum number of plants is required per unit area to utilize efficiently the available production factors such as water, nutrient, light and CO_2 . Maximum exploitation of these factors is achieved when the plant population puts forth maximum pressure on all the factors of production.

Resources and Research Methods

The field experiment was conducted at College of Horticulture, Rajendranagar during *Rabi* 2017-18. The soil type was loamy sand having pH 6, EC 0.06 dSm⁻¹, low in available N (175.61 kg ha⁻¹), low in available P (7.77 kg ha⁻¹) and medium in available potash (182.56 kg ha⁻¹). The experiment was laid out in Factorial randomized block design (FRBD) in three replications with 15 treatment combinations comprised of five levels of organic treatments *viz.*, $M_1 - FYM$ (30t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha), $M_2 - Vermicompost$ (6t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha), $M_3 - Neem$ cake (7.5t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha), $M_4 - Sheep$ manure (10t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha), $M_5 - Control$ and three levels of spacing *viz.*, $S_1 - 15x15$ cm, $S_2 - 30x30$ cm, $S_3 - 30x45$ cm. The treatment combinations include M_1S_1 : FYM (30 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_1 (15 x 15 cm), M_1S_2 : FYM (30 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_1 (15 x 15 cm), M_2S_2 : Vermicompost (6 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_2 (30 x 30 cm), M_2S_3 : Vermicompost (6 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 (30 x 45 cm), M_3S_1 : Neem cake (7.5 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_1 (15 x 15 cm), M_3S_3 : Neem cake (7.5 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_2 (30 x 30 cm), M_3S_3 : Neem cake (7.5 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 (30 x 45 cm), M_3S_3 : Neem cake (7.5 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 (30 x 45 cm), M_3S_3 : Neem cake (7.5 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 (30 x 45 cm), M_3S_3 : Neem cake (7.5 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 (30 x 45 cm), M_3S_3 : Neem cake (7.5 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 (30 x 45 cm), M_3S_3 : Neem cake (7.5 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 (30 x 45 cm), M_3S_3 : Neem cake (7.5 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_2 (30 x 30 cm), M_3S_3 : Neem cake

(7.5 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S₃ (30 x 45 cm), M₄S₁: Sheep manure (10 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S₁ (15 x 15 cm), M₄S₂: Sheep manure (10 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S₂ (30 x 30 cm), M₄S₃: Sheep manure (10 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S₃ (30 x 45 cm), M₅S₁: Control (without organic treatments) with spacing S₁ (15 x 15 cm), M₅S₂: Control (without organic treatments) with spacing S₂ (30 x 30 cm), M₅S₃: Control (without organic treatments) with spacing S₃ (30 x 45 cm)

Research Findings and Discussion

1. Number of primary branches

Interaction between organic treatments and spacing had significant effect on number of primary branches at harvest. Among all the interactions, M_3S_3 Neem cake (7.5 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 30 x 45 cm recorded maximum number of primary branches (17.23) followed by M_1S_3 (16.63). M_2S_3 Vermicompost (6 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with Spacing S_3 30 x 45 cm (16.16), M_4S_3 Sheep manure (10 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 30 x 45 cm (13.60) which were remained at par. Minimum number of primary branches was recorded in M_5S_1 Control with spacing S_1 -15 x 15 cm (6.01).

The increase in number of primary branches treated with organic manures resulted in more production of branches which might be attributed to sufficient quantity of nutrient flow in the plants as reported by Kale *et al.* 1987 ^[10]. Enchanced branching with wider spacing has also been reported by Rao *et al.* 2003 ^[21] in henna. This indicates that wider interspacing might have provide more congenial environment for branching owing to improved sunlight interception by the plants. Hangovan *et al.* (1990) ^[7] reported that wider spacing exhibited intense branching.

2. Number of secondary branches

Interaction between organic treatments and spacing had significant effect on number of secondary branches at harvest. M_3S_3 Neem cake (7.5 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 30 x 45 cm recorded maximum number of secondary branches (5.78) followed by M_1S_3 FYM (30 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 1/ha) with spacing S₃ 30 x 45 cm (5.57), M₂S₃ Vermicompost (6 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with Spacing S₃ 30 x 45 cm (5.01) and were at par. Minimum number of secondary branches was recorded in M_5S_1 Control with spacing S_1 -15 x 15 cm (2.25). Wider spacing promotes branching in mint, because of exposure of the plant to light, which affected the plants to promote maximum number of secondary branches. (Salim et al. 2014) ^[25]. The positive response with the application of Neem cake due to increased plant growth through improvement in soil conditions and increased availability of nutrients favoured maximum production of secondary branches in kalmegh. These results are in confirmity with the findings of Rizvi et al., (2013) [23], Kavitha and Vadivel (2006)^[11] and Ravi Kumar et al., (2013)^[22].

3. Number of leaves

Interaction between organic treatments and spacing had significant effect on number of leaves at Harvest. M_3S_3 Neem cake (7.5 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 30 x 45 cm recorded maximum number of leaves (281.81) followed by M_1S_3 FYM (30 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 30 x 45 cm (240.21), M_2S_3 Vermicompost (6 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with Spacing S_3 30 x 45 cm (231.42) are at par. Among all the interactions M_5S_1

Control with spacing S_1 -15 x 15 cm recorded minimum number of leaves (198.02).

The better performance of plants with neem cake was probably because it acted as natural fertilizer with pesticidal properties and performs as a nitrification inhibitor and prolongs the availability of nitrogen to short duration as well as long duration crops. Beside these, it improves the soil condition considerably and protects the soil during the droughts. The manure provided nutrients to the plants and may improved edaphic factors, which resulted in maximum number of leaves. These results are in good agreement with the findings of several researchers which revealed that organic manuring increased the number of leaves (Roy et al., 2010; Dinesh et al., 2010; Mohapatra & Das, 2009; Manikerri, 2006) ^[24, 5, 17]. Planting at wider spacing, resulted in increased photosynthetic activity due to more penetration of sunlight on the plants, ending up in more vigorous growth of foliage (Ram et al. 2008)^[20].

4. Leaf area

Interaction between organic treatments and spacing had significant effect on leaf area at Harvest. Among all the interactions M_1S_3 FYM (30 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 (30 x 45 cm) recorded maximum leaf area (890.60) followed by M_3S_3 Neem cake (7.5 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 30 x 45 cm (788.10). Minimum leaf area was recorded in M_5S_1 Control with spacing S_1 -15 x 15 cm (586.70).

The increment of leaf area may be caused by the increasing of cell enlargement, cell number, number of leaves or all of them. These results may be attributed to the effect of organic manures and AMC in increasing levels of endogenous hormones in treated plants which could be interpreted by cell division and cell elongation (Khedr and Farid, 2000) ^[13]. Further, this may be due to the physiological roles of vitamins and amino acids in the effect of organic treatments and AMC which increased the metabolic processes role and levels of indogenous hormones, i.e., IAA and GA₃ (Chaliakhyan, 1957) ^[3]. The present investigated results were in accordance to those found by Jacoub (1999)^[8] on Ocimum basilicum and Thymus vulgaris, Abd Ellatif (2006) on Salvia officinalis. Light is important source of photosynthesis for plant growth, wider spaced plant get proper light intensity and nutrient as compare to the closely spaced plant So, maximum leaf area recorded under wider spacing. Similar results have been reported by Kahsay et al., (2014) ^[9] who found that wider spaced plant get more leaf area.

5. Leaf area index

Interaction between organic treatments and spacing had significant effect on leaf area index at Harvest. Among all the interactions M_1S_3 FYM (30 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 (30 x 45 cm) recorded maximum leaf area index (3.94) followed by M_3S_3 Neem cake (7.5 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 30 x 45 cm (2.83) and M_2S_3 Vermicompost (6 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with Spacing S_3 30 x 45 cm (2.83) and M_2S_3 Vermicompost (6 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with Spacing S_3 30 x 45 cm (0.46). Leaf area index (LAI) is a crucial growth in determining the capacity of plant to trap solar energy for photosynthesis and has marked effect on growth and yield of plant. The influence on leaf area index remained significant under different types of organic manure and spacing levels. (Detpiratmongkol, 2014) ^[14].

6. Leaf stem ratio

Interaction between organic treatments and spacing did not exhibit any significant effect on leaf stem ratio at Harvest.

7. Fresh weight of leaves per plant

Interaction between organic treatments and spacing did not exhibit any significant effect on fresh weight of leaves at Harvest.

8. Dry weight of leaves per plant

Interaction between organic treatments and spacing had significant effect on dry weight of leaves at Harvest. Among all the interactions M_1S_3 FYM (30 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 (30 x 45 cm) recorded the maximum dry weight of leaves (30.26) followed by M_3S_3 Neem cake (7.5 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 30 x 45 cm (29.04). Minimum dry weight of leaves was observed in M_5S_1 Control with spacing S_1 -15 x 15 cm (13.53).

Higher production of dry matter by the plant with application of organic manures and biofertilizers might be due to the fact that organic manures have high amounts of humus, which facilitate N-fixation by microbes, regulate the nitrogen supply to the plants and also helps in the production of plant growth promoters (Krishnamoorthy and Ravikumar, 1973) ^[14] in mint. The increasing dried leaf yield may be due to the compensation with growth of number of plants per unit area by efficient utilization of available resources viz., nutrients, water, light and space and having comparatively less competition between intra and inter row spacing under wider spacing. The same results have been supported by various workers (Khanda and Mishra, 1999, Santhi and Vijayakumar, 1997, and Pareek *et al.*, 1991) ^[12, 26, 18].

9. Crop growth rate

Interaction between organic treatments and spacing had significant effect on crop growth rate at Harvest. Among all

the interactions M_1S_3 - FYM (30 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 (30 x 45 cm) recorded the maximum crop growth rate (7.14) followed by M_3S_3 - Neem cake (7.5 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 30 x 45 cm (6.31). Minimum crop growth rate was observed in M_5S_1 Control with spacing S_1 -15 x 15 cm (0.97).

10. Relative growth rate

Interaction between organic treatments and spacing had significant effect on relative growth rate at Harvest. Among all the interactions M_1S_3 FYM (30 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 (30 x 45 cm) recorded the maximum relative growth rate (17.32) followed by M_3S_3 Neem cake (7.5 t/ha) + AMC (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 30 x 45 cm (16.82). Minimum relative growth rate was observed in M_5S_1 Control with spacing S_1 -15 x 15 cm (5.62).

The increasing crop growth rate and relative growth rate due to application of FYM and biofertilizers may be due to the compensation with growth of number of plants per unit area by efficient utilization of available resources *viz.*, nutrients, water, light and space and having comparatively less competition between intra and inter row spacing resulting optimum crop growth rate under wider spacing. The same results have been supported by various workers (Khanda and Mishra, 1999; Santhi and Vijayakumar, 1997, and Pareek *et al.*, 1991)^[12, 26, 18].

Conclusion

Interaction between organic treatments and spacing significantly influenced growth parameters. Among all the interactions maximum growth parameters were recorded in the treatment M_1S_3 – FYM (30 t/ha) + Arka microbial consortium with spacing S_3 (30 x 45 cm), followed by M_3S_3 – Neem cake (7.5 t/ha) + Arka microbial consortium (7.5 l/ha) with spacing S_3 (30 x 45 cm). Minimum was recorded in the treatment M_5S_1 Control with spacing S_1 -15 x 15 cm.

Treatments	Number of	Number of secondary branches	Number of leaves	Leaf	Leaf	Leaf	Fresh weight	Dry weight of	Crop growth rate g/day/m ²	Relative
	primary			area	area	stem	of leaves per	leaves per		growth rate
	branches			(cm ²)	index	ratio	plant (g)	plant (g)		mg/g/day
M_1S_1	8.01	2.41	204.80	653.90	0.54	0.54	32.39	18.16	1.87	8.56
M_1S_2	12.60	3.33	210.02	683.60	0.77	0.67	38.08	19.36	2.53	14.04
M_1S_3	16.63	5.57	240.21	890.60	3.94	0.94	60.63	30.26	7.14	17.32
M_2S_1	7.86	2.39	201.21	635.40	0.52	0.53	31.57	16.07	1.26	8.34
M_2S_2	12.01	3.34	209.29	669.40	0.74	0.64	36.70	18.62	2.16	10.07
M_2S_3	16.16	5.01	233.23	714.00	2.83	0.88	58.14	27.72	5.09	16.13
M_3S_1	8.30	2.61	207.02	645.30	0.53	0.54	31.95	17.16	1.36	8.54
M_3S_2	12.79	3.48	214.63	670.20	0.74	0.66	37.34	19.21	2.36	13.29
M ₃ S ₃	17.23	5.78	281.81	788.10	2.83	0.92	59.43	29.04	6.31	16.82
M_4S_1	6.84	2.53	199.80	629.10	0.52	0.48	31.51	14.93	1.26	7.56
M_4S_2	7.02	3.03	209.01	668.90	0.73	0.62	36.55	15.63	1.96	8.78
M_4S_3	13.60	4.02	231.42	701.40	2.66	0.76	56.12	26.44	2.93	15.77
M_5S_1	6.01	2.25	198.02	586.70	0.46	0.35	30.70	13.53	0.97	5.62
M_5S_2	6.78	3.02	208.61	667.20	0.56	0.55	35.34	14.82	1.93	8.56
M5S3	13.46	3.62	230.62	684.20	0.77	0.85	54.74	24.54	2.52	14.55
S.Em±	0.36	0.20	3.75	7.38	0.081	0.05	1.55	0.18	0.20	0.34
C.D	1.06	0.58	10.87	21.39	0.236	N.S	N.S	N.S	0.59	0.99

Table 1: Effect of organic treatments and spacing on growth parameters of kalmegh at harvest



Fig1: Effect of organic treatments and spacing on growth parameters of kalmegh at harvest

References

- 1. Abd El-Latif ES. Effect of chemical, organic and spraying with active dry yeast on growth, oil production and plant constituents of sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.) plants. M.Sc. Thesis, Fac. Agric, Cairo Univ. Egypt. 2006.
- Birkhofer K, Bezemer TM, Bloem J, Bonkowski M, Christensen S, Dubois D, *et al.* Long-term organic farming fosters below and aboveground biota: implications for soil quality, biological control and productivity. Soil Biol Biochem. 2008; 40(9):2297-2308.
- Chaliakhyan M Kh. Effect of Vitamins on growth and development of plants. Dokly Akad. Nauk. SSSK, 1957; 111:894-897.
- 4. Detpiratmongkol S, Ubolkerd T, Yoosukyingstaporn. Effects of chicken, pig and cow manures on growth and yield of kalmegh (*Andrographis paniculata* Nees). Journal of Agricultural Technology. 2014; 10(2):475-482.
- Dinesh R, Srinivasan V, Hamja S, Mahjusha A. Short term incorporation of organic manures and fertilizers influences biochemicals and microbial characteristics of soils under an annual crop turmeric. Bioresource Technology. 2010; 101(12):4697-702.
- Enwall K, Laurent P, Sara H. Activity and Composition of the Denitrifying Bacterial Community Respond Differently to Long-Term Fertilization. Applied and Environmental Microbiology (American Society for Microbiology). 2005; 71(2):8335-8343.
- Hangovan R, Subbiah R, Naiarajan S. Effect of spacing, nitrogen and phosphorus on certain growth parameters of senna (*Cassia angustifolia*). South Indian J Hort. 1990; 38(1):53-54.
- Jacoub RW. Effect of some organic and non-organic fertilizers on growth, oil yield and chemical composition of *Ocimum basilicum*, L. and *Thymus vulgaris*, L. plants. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Egypt, 1999.
- 9. Kahsay Y, Belew D, Abay F. Effect of intra-row spacing on plant growth and yield of onion Varieties (*Allium cepa*

L.). African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2014; 9(10):931-940.

- Kale RD, Bano K, Sreenivas MN, Bagyaraj DJ. Influence of worm cast (Vee Comp. EUAS 83) on the growth and mycorrhizae colonization of two ornamental plants. South Indian Hort. 1987; 35:433-437.
- 11. Kavitha C, Vadivel E. Effect of organic manures and inorganic fertilizers on yield and yield attributing characters of Mucuna pruriens. Journal of Medicinal and Aromatic Plant Sciences. 2006; 28:18-22.
- 12. Khanda CM, Mishra PK. Effect of plant density and nitrogen fertilization on growth and yield of rice bean (*Vigna umbellata*). Indian J Agron. 1999; 43(4):700-703.
- Khedr ZM, Farid S. Response of naturally virus infectedtomato plants to yeast extract and phosphoric acid application. Ann. Sci. Moshtohor, Egypt. 2000; 38:927-939.
- 14. Krishnamoorthy KK, Ravikumar V. Comparative effectiveness of organic and mineral fertilizers on demopodzolic soils. Soil Fertl. 1973; 30:2920.
- Lal R. Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security. Science J. 2004; 304:1623-1627.
- 16. Mannikeri IM. Studies on Production Technology of Termeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) Doctor of Philosophy Thesis, Dept. of Horticulture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka, India, 2006, 1-125.
- 17. Mohapatra SC, Das TK. Integrated effect of biofertilizers and organic manure on turmeric (*Curcuma longa*). Environment and Ecology. 2009; 27(3A):1444-1445.
- Pareek SK, Saxena RK, Kidwal MA, Gupta R. Effect of sowing date, stage of harvest and spacing on henbane crop. Indian J Agron. 1991; 36(2):247-250.
- Prabu M, Shakila A. Studies on organic nutrition in growth and yield of Japanese mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.) Asian journal of horticulture. 2013; 8:126-128.
- 20. Ram D, Chandra R, Kumar B. Effect of spacing and organics on growth and herbage yield of kalmegh (*Andrographis paniculata* Wall. Ex. Nees). Progressive

Horticulture. 2008; 40(1):69-73.

- 21. Rao SS, Roy PK, Regar PL. Effect of crop geometry, nitrogen on henna (*Lawsonia inermis*) leaf production in arid fringes. Indian journal of agricultural sciences. 2003; 73(5):283-5.
- 22. Ravikumar M, Venkatesha J, Niranjana KJ, Gurumurthy BR. Integrated nutrient management on yield and economics of Coleus (*Coleus forskohlii* Briq.). Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2013; 26(1):119-123.
- 23. Rizvi R, Mahmood I, Tiyagi SA. Potential role of organic matters and phosphate solubilising bacteria (PSB) on the growth and productivity of Fenugreek. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology. 2013; 15:639- 647.
- Roy SS, Hore JK. Vermiculture can be practised in all plantation crops. A report of Department of Spices and Plantation Crops, Faculty of Horticulture, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur – 741 252, Nadia, West Bengal. 2010, 20-39.
- 25. Salim EA, Hassan GME, Khalid HES. Effect of Spacing and Seasonal Variation on Growth Parameters, Yield and Oil Content of Mint Plants. Journal of forest products & industries. 2014; 3(2):71-74
- 26. Santhi VP, Vijayakumar M. Yield and yield attributing parameters as influenced by spacing in palmarosa (*Cymbopogon martini* Var. *Motia*). South Indian J Hort. 1997; 45(3&4):148-150.