

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; 7(6): 1647-1653 Received: 19-09-2018 Accepted: 22-10-2018

Soumya KK

Plant Biotechnology Laboratory, Department of Biotechnology, Cochin University of Science and Technology, Cochin, Kerala, India

Padma Nambisan

Plant Biotechnology Laboratory, Department of Biotechnology, Cochin University of Science and Technology, Cochin, Kerala, India

Correspondence Soumya KK

Plant Biotechnology Laboratory, Department of Biotechnology, Cochin University of Science and Technology, Cochin, Kerala, India

Scanning electron microscopic evaluation with emphasis on *in vitro* antimicrobial activity of *Simarouba glauca* leaf extract against pathogenic bacterial strains

Soumya KK and Padma Nambisan

Abstract

Herbal medicines have attracted much public attention due to its structurally diverse bioactive compounds which have promising antibacterial, antifungal, antitumor and cytotoxic activities and Simarouba glauca is a medicinal plant belonging to the family Simaroubaceae. The bark extract of S. glauca is eminent for pharmacological uses such as antidiarrhea, antidysenteric, antimalaria haemostatic, antihelmentic, antiparasitic and antipyretic. But their leaves also can be used as medicine. In this study we show that this plant is highly efficient against pathogenic strains of bacteria. Dried plant leaves of the plant were extracted with various non-polar and polar solvents including hexane, chloroform, ethyl acetate, 70% acetone, methanol and water. The plant extracts were subjected to preliminary phytochemical analysis. Then the extracts were used for the antibacterial studies using disc diffusion method and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC). With the MIC concentration the scanning electron microscopy was done to see whether the cells destroying or reducing it's number. Phenolic content of extracts was estimated and methanol extract showed the highest of 95.32 ± 1.6 mg/g. The antioxidant activity of the samples was evaluated by free radical scavenging assay and the IC50 of methanol extract was found to be 10 µg. Zone of inhibition of plant extract were tested against pathogenic strains like Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella pneumonia, Salmonella typhimurium, Escherichia coli, and Proteus vulgaris. The minimum inhibitory concentration of each extract was determined for each sample. Scanning electron microscopy of MIC concentration was performed with each bacteria mentioned above to confirm the destruction of the bacterial cell wall as well as the cytoplasm. S. glauca methanolic extract could replace the conventional drugs used as antibacterial drugs.

Keywords: Antibacterial activity, Scanning electron microscopy, Simarouba glauca,

1. Introduction

The major issues of scientists face against the fight with contagious diseases are the evolution of phenomena called resistance to the agents used to control them. There has been remarkable improvement in the prevention, control and even eradication of infectious diseases with better hygiene and development of antimicrobials and vaccines. However, infectious diseases still endure and are a leading cause of global disease burden with high morbidity and mortality, especially in the developing world.

Herbal medicines have attracted much public attention due to its structurally diverse bioactive compounds which have promising antibacterial, antifungal, antitumor and cytotoxic activities ^[1, 4]. These properties can be exploited for the development of more effective and safe antimicrobial agents which has stimulated multidisciplinary investigations on plant- derived compounds ^[5, 3]. One such plant is *Simarouba glauca* DC commonly known as Lakshmi Taru in India, which is a flowering tree, native to Florida. It is an evergreen, polygamodioecious, oil seed crop native of tropical rainforest regions of South and Central America and was first introduced to India in 1966 ^[6].

Simarouba glauca belongs to the family *Simaroubaceae* with more than 170 species. Quassinoids are one of taxonomic marker of this family. The bark extract is important and for pharmacological uses and is reported to control diarrhea, dysenteric, malaria with haemostatic, antihelmentic, antiparasitic, antipyretic and anticancerous properties ^[7, 8]. The phytochemicals present in leaf, fruit, pulp and seed of *S. glauca* are well known to possess medicinal properties such as antimicrobial, antianalgesic, antiviral, astringent and needed as a stomach tonic, emmenagogue and vermifuge ^[7, 9]. Quassinoids from *S. glauca* seed including glaucarubin, glaucarubinone, glaucarubol and glaucarubolone have exhibited *in vitro* cytotoxic activity against KB cells (human oral epidermoid carcinoma), ^[10].

The esters of glaucarubolone, ailanthinone and glaucarubinone, show significant activity *in vivo* in the P388 lymphocytic leukemia model ^[11]. There are also a few reports of antimicrobial activity of *S. glauca* crude extracts ^[12, 13]. Petroleium ether and ethyl acetate extracts did not show any antibacterial properties in *S. gluaca*, ^[9].

The objective of the present study is to establish the antibacterial property of methanol extract of *Simarouba glauca* leaves as potential new agent for controlling bacterial pathogens.

2. Materials and methods

Chemicals and Reagents

1,1-Diphenyl -2-picryl hydrazyl, gallic acid, ascorbic acid and methanol (HPLC grade) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Sterile discs and other reagents were procured from Hi-media.

2.1. Preparation of plant material and extraction

Fresh and healthy plant leaves were collected from Kochi, Kerala. A specimen for the plant was submitted to Jawaharlal Nehru Tropical Botanical Garden Research Institute, Palode, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, identified and authenticated by Dr. E.S. Santhosh Kumar and submitted to Herbarium collection. (Herbarium Number TBGT 82861, submitted on 26.04.17).

Oven dried (40 °C) plant material (10 g) was crushed and powdered in an electric blender and extracted in hexane (100 ml), chloroform (100 ml), ethyl acetate (100 ml), methanol (100 ml), water (100 ml), and 70% acetone (100 ml) by agitation on rotary shaker (110 rpm) with the solvent for one week at 37°C. It is filtered and centrifuged (5000×g for 15 min), and dried to powder by lyophilization. The yield was calculated as% of yield = weight of the extract/dry weight x 100. The extracts were stored in the cold room (at 4 °C) for further use.

2.2 Test microorganisms

Bacterial cultures were procured from National Centre for Industrial Microorganisms (NCIM, Pune, India). Strains used in the study included *Staphylococcus aureus* NCIM 2127, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* NCIM 2863, *Klebsiella pneumonia* NCIM 2957, *Salmonella typhimurium* NCIM 2501, *Escherichia coli* NCIM 2343 and *Proteus vulgaris* NCIM 2027. The strains were chosen based on their clinical and pharmacological importance. The bacterial cultures were grown in Muller Hinton agar and broth for 24 hours at 37°C and stored in glycerol in the cold room (at 4°C) for further use.

2.3 Antimicrobial activity by disc diffusion method

In vitro antimicrobial activity of the methanol extract was investigated by the disc diffusion method. The plant extract was diluted in 10% DMSO to get 1, 0.1, 0.01, 0.001 and 0.0001 mg/ml concentrations and serially diluted with MH broth. For the test, 6 mm sterile discs were impregnated with 20 µl of plant extract on the MH agar plates. Standard inoculum of 5×108 cfu/ml, of each selected bacterium was used for the study. 30 µg/ml Cefotaxime and 10% DMSO were used as positive and negative control respectively. The zone of inhibitions was measured after 24 hours of incubation at 37°C ^[14].

2.4 Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

Dried plant extract of 1, 0.1, 0.01, 0.001 and 0.0001 mg/ml concentrations was serially diluted in 10% DMSO and MH

borth medium in 96 well plates and incubated with 10 μ l microbial cultures. The minimum inhibitory concentration was determined by resazurin method ^[15]. Each plate had a set of cefotaxime (0.31- 1.5 μ g/ml) and 10% DMSO as positive and negative controls. The tests were performed in triplicates.

2.5 Scanning Electron Microscope, SEM

Microbial culture was allowed to grow on a coverslip and subsequently fixed with 2.5% gluteraldehyde (Hi-media) for SEM analysis (JEOL Model JSM - 6390LV).

2.6 Qualitative analysis of phytochemicals

A preliminary phytochemical screening of the plant extracts were carried out for the presence of bioactive constituents of the plant by using standard methods as briefly described in [16].

2.7 Determination of Total Phenolic Content

Total phenolic content was estimated using Folin – Ciocalteu's reagent method. Total content of phenolic compounds of extracts was expressed in gallic acid equivalents (GAE) milligrams gallic acid per gram dry extract and was calculated by the following formula C = c.V/m, where,

- C= Total content of phenolic compounds, milligrams per gram plant extract, in GAE,
- c = Concentration of gallic acid established from the calibration curve, milligrams per ml
- V= volume of extract, milliliters
- M= weight of pure plant methanol extract (grams) [17].

2.8 DPPH (1, 1-Diphenyl -2-picryl hydrazyl) scavenging assay

The antioxidant activity was determined by DPPH method. Standard ascorbic acid was used as control. IC50 values were calculated from graph. Percentage of scavenging was calculated by $[AC - AT/ AC] \times 100$ where AC is the absorbance of control and AT is absorbance of test ^[18]. Assay was performed in triplicates.

2.9 Statistical Analysis

All the experiments were performed in triplicate and the results were statistically analysed. Analysis of variance was performed using two-way ANOVA and the significant differences (p < 0.05) between the means were performed.

3. Results and discussion:

3.1 Extract yield and total phenolic content

Simarouba glauca leaves were extracted with n-Hexane, Chloroform, Ethyl acetate, 70% Acetone, Methanol and Water. The highest yield was found in 70% acetone, 37.1% and lowest yield was found in water, 1.1% (Table 1), values have significant variation (p < 0.05). Total phenolics content of *S. glauca* extracts (expressed terms of gallic acid equivalence) were solvent dependent. Methanol extract had the highest content of 94.32 ± 1.6 GAE mg/g and lowest was in chloroform extract 06.12 ± 1.8 mg/g (Table 1). Eloff ^[19] reported that methanol was the most effective solvent for plant extraction compared to ethanol, *n*-hexane and water. Variations in the yield of different solvents used (Table 1) might be due to the differential solubility of the constituents of the leaves in these solvents.

Table 1: Extract Yield (%) and total phenolics with respect to Gallic acid equivalence

Sample	Solvent	Extract yield (%)	GAE mg/ g
	Hexane	9.7 <u>+</u> 1.7	8.49 <u>+</u> 2.1
	Ethyl acetate	1.1 <u>+</u> 2.2	51.12 <u>+</u> 2.3
Simarouba glauca leaves	Chloroform	8.9 <u>+</u> 1.8	06.12 <u>+</u> 1.8
	Methanol	12.3 <u>+</u> 2.6	94.32 <u>+</u> 1.6
	70% Acetone	25.8 <u>+</u> 2.4	93.24 <u>+</u> 2.1
	H2O	17.1 <u>+</u> 1.8	92.88 <u>+</u> 2.0

3.2 Phytochemical Screening

The phytochemical screening of the plant extracts were done and it showed that leaves were rich in alkaloids, flavonoids, tannins and saponins as shown in Table 2, which is possibly responsible for the medicinal property as well as the physiological activity ^[16]. The methanol extract exhibited higher amount of terpenoids and cardiac glycerides but it lacks saponins.

 Table 2: Qualitative screening of phytochemicals in Simarouba glauca leaves extracted in various solvents, + indicates the intensity of the colour

S. No	Name of the constituent	Hexane	Ethyl acetate	Chloroform	Methanol	70% Acetone	Water
1	Proteins	+	+	-	+	-	+
2	Flavanoids	-	+	-	++	++	+
3	Tannins	-	+	-	++	++	++
4	Steroid	-	-	-	-	+	-
5	Terpenoids	-	+	-	+++	++	++
6	Saponins	-	-	+	-	+	+
7	Cardiac glycerides	++	-	+	+++	++	+
8	Alkaloids	++	++	++	++	+	+
9	Oil	+	-	+	-	-	-

Note: + shows the intensity of colour and - shows the absence of the colour

3.3 Free Radical Scavenging

In DPPH assay, IC50 value of the extract was calculated by plotting graph of% scavenging v/s concentration of the extract and found to be 10 μ g/ml as the IC₅₀ (Figure 1 (b), as opposed to that of ascorbic acid (IC50 20 μ g/ml) (Figure 1 a), which is much efficient antioxidant.

The result indicates that the plant extract may have an inherent compensatory mechanism against oxidative stress conferred tolerance to the plant causing damage and may be associated with their ability to remove antioxidant species. The presence of phenolic compounds and radical scavenging activities are positively correlated which is in agreement with Zhang and Hamauzu^[20]. There are several studies which have reported a strong and significant correlation between the scavenging activity and total phenolic compound, as well as the flavonoid content and its significant contribution toward the total antioxidant activity^[21].



Fig 1: DPPH radical scavenging (a) Standard- standard ascorbic acid and (b) the plant extract, values were represented as mean, R² showing the correlation

3.4 Disc Diffusion method for Antibacterial activity

The *in vitro* antibacterial activity of the methanol extract of *S. glauca*, against the selected bacteria was qualitatively measured based on the presence or absence of inhibition zones. Results of antibacterial activity of *S. glauca* methanol extracts are presented in Table 3. Methanolic extract exhibited moderate potential for antibacterial activity against *S. aureus*, *K. pneumoniae*, *P. aeroginosa*, *S. typhimurium* and *P. vulgaris* at all concentrations of 4 µg/ml, 20 µg/ml and 40 µg/ml where E. coli has showed the activity from 20 µg/ml (Table 3). The control did not inhibit the growth of any of the

tested bacteria. Cefotaxime 5mg/ml used as positive control ^[22] where 10% DMSO as the negative control. The results are in agreement with Jangale *et al* ^[12]. There are several reports shown that plant compounds show antioxidant and antibacterial effects against a broad spectrum of microorganisms ^[23, 26]. For correlation of concentration with the zone of inhibition, 4 µg/ml actively exhibit a zone of inhibition with a minimal concentration for all the test organisms except *E. coli*, which showed a correlation r² in percentage, 86% and it is 99% correlated with 40 µg concentration and the values are significant as $p \le 0.05$.

NCIM No.	Bacterial strains	Extract 4 µg (cm)	Extract 20 µg (cm)	Extract 40 µg (cm)	10% DMSO	30 µg /ml Cefotaxime
2957	K. pneumoniae	1.2	1.3	2.0	0	2.2
2863	P. aeruginosa	0.9	1.3	1.4	0	1.9
2343	E. coli	0	1.1	1.3	0	1.7
2127	S. Typhimurium	1.35	1.4	1.67	0	1.9
2501	S. aureus	0.9	1.6	1.8	0	1.9
2027	P. vulgaris	0.8	1.4	1.8	0	2.2

Table 3: Zone of inhibition in centimeter on varying the bacterial strains and the concentration of the extract

3.5 Minimum Inhibitory Concentration

The Minimum inhibitor concentration (MIC) values varied from $0.16 \sim 1.25 \ \mu g/ml$, respectively for the *S. glauca* methanolic extracts (Table 4). All the six microorganisms used were susceptible to methanolic extract but the MIC was different. MIC $0.16 \mu g/ml$ value was obtained with *S. typhimurium* and *S. aureus* which was the lowest and most effective concentration among the methanolic extracts. Here, *S. typhimurium* is a gram negative and *S. aureus* is gram positive bacteria. As reported by Nikaido ^[27] the bacterial membrane allows molecules penetrate and disturb cellular function/ metabolism and loss of cellular constituents, leading their death. Cefotaxime showed MIC of a range 0.31- 1.5 μ g/ml against these bacteria which served as a positive control. As per different reports 0.6 ~ 5000 μ g/ml plant extracts completely inhibit the growth of the bacteria ^[28, 29]. Jangale *et al.* ^[12] reported that methanolic extract of *S. glauca* was effective against for food borne spoilage and pathogenic bacteria. Among the six bacteria tested, *S. aureus* and *S. typhimurium* were more sensitive to *S. glauca* methanolic extract but this is in discordance with Janglae ^[12] reported that *B. subtilis* was the most sensitive to *S. glauca* methanolic extract. At higher concentrations of methanolic extract with increasing concentrations with different bacteria showed significant results (p value ≤ 0.05).

S. No	NCIM No.	Bacterial strains used	MIC (µg/ml)	Cefotaxime (µg/ml)	
1	2957	K. pneumoniae	1.25	0.62	
2	2863	P. aeruginosa	1.25	0.31	
3	2343	E. coli	1.25	1.25	
4	2127	S. typhimurium	0.156	0.62	
5	2501	S. aureus	0.156	1.25	
6	2027	P. vulgaris	1.25	0.62	

Table 4: MIC of S. glauca for the test microorganisms and standard

3.6 Scanning Electron Microscopy

Scanning electron microscopy revealed the structural changes when treated with the plant extract. In the control, cells displayed a smooth and intact surface texture (Fig. 1 a-f) where as in the test the texture was morphologically disturbed (Fig. 1 g- 1). The treated cells showed deep craters with visible cell debris indicating the ultrastructural damages on both cell wall and cytoplamatic membrane of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria ^[30]. The underline mechanism could be as suggested by Miller ^[31]. When the cells are exposed to medium and plant extract, cells start to swell leading to the opening of mechanosensitive channels in the inner membrane which leads to release water, solutes, and ions into the periplasm and ultimate cell burst due to extreme turgor pressure, and death ^[31, 34].



Fig 2 (a-f): SEM micrographs of untreated in (a) *E. coli*, (b) *K. pneumoniae*, (c) *P. aeruginosa*, (d) *P. vulgaris*, (e) *S. aureus*, (f) *S. typhimurium*, and treated in (g) *E. coli*, (h) *K. pneumonia*, (i) *P. aeruginosa*, (j) *P. vulgaris*, (k) *S. aureus*, (l) *S. typhimurium* In LB medium the cells are long, intact, and evenly shaped (a-f), whereas in treated with plant extract MIC in medium they disrupted (g-l). After treatment, the cells appeared completely disrupted.

4. Conclusions

In the present investigation revealed the potentials for developing antimicrobial pharmaceutical substances from the leaf extract of *S. glauca*. Basic phytochemicals present are confirmed for triterpenoids, polyphenols, tannins and flavonoids. This study demonstrates that SEM images as well as the zone of inhibition are techniques to get insight into the antimicrobial effect of *S. glauca* plant extract. The lack of relevant literature has limited our ability to compare results. The results suggest that dried *S. glauca* leaves extracted with methanol can be used as a potential source of antibacterial drug which could be useful in controlling the growth of various pathogenic bacteria. Further studies are required to identify the compounds.

6. Acknowledgments

We thank Sophisticated testing and instrumentation facility at CUSAT for the Scanning electron microscopy. We are also thankful to microbial genetics laboratory, DBT, CUSAT for all the technical assistance. For financial assistance we thank Cochin University of Science and technology for providing Postdoctoral fellowship to Soumya K.K. We thank Dr. Ajith Vengallur for the careful revision of manuscript.

7. Compliance with ethical standards

All the authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

8. References

- 1. Kim JB, Koo HN, Joeng HJ. Introduction of apoptosis by Korean medicine Gagam-whanglyun-haedoktang through activation of capase-3inhuman leukemia cellline, HL-60 cells. J Pharmacol Sci. 2005; 97:138-145
- Kinghorn AD, Pan L, Fletcher JN, Chai H. The relevance of higher plants in lead compound discovery programs. J Nat Prod. 2011; 74:1539-1555
- 3. Newman DJ, Cragg GM. Natural products as sources of new drugs over the 30 years from 1981 to 2010. J Nat Prod. 2012; 75:311-335
- 4. Srinivasan S, Wankhar W, Rathinasamy S, Rajan R. Free radical scavenging potential and HPTLC analysis of *Indigofera tinctorialinn* (Fabaceae). J of Pharma Anal. 2016, 6125-131
- 5. Mahady GB. Medicinal plants for the prevention and treatment of bacterial infections. Curr Pharm Design. 2005; 19:2405-2423
- 6. Kumar J, Agrawal V. Analysis of genetic diversity and population genetic structure in *Simarouba glauca* DC (an important bio-energy crop) employing ISSR and SRAP markers. Industrial crops and Products. 2017; 100:198-207
- Sharanya VK, Gayathiri K, Sangeetha M, Shyam PG, Gopi SKJ, Vimalavathini R, *et al.* Inter J of Pha Res & Review. 2016; 5(6):32-36
- Patil MS, Gaikwad DK. A Critical Review on Medicinally Important Oil Yielding Plant Laxmitaru (*Simarouba glauca* DC.). India J Pharm Sci & Res. 2011; 3(4):1195-1213
- 9. Santhosh SK, Venugopalm A, Radhakrishnan MC. South Ind J of Bio scie. 2016; 2(1):119-124
- 10. Polonsky J, Varon Z, Jacquemin H, Pettit GR. The isolation and structure of 13, 18-dehydroglaucarubinone, a new antineoplastic quassinoid from Simarouba amara. Experientia. 1978; 34(9):1122-1123.
- 11. Monseur X, Motte JC. Quantitative high performance liquid chromatographic analysis of the bitter quassinoids compounds from *Simarouba glauca* seeds. J

Chromatography. 1983; 264:469-473.

- 12. Jangale BL, Ugale TB, Aher PS, Toke NR, Shivangikar AN, Sanap NT. Antibacterial activity of *Simarouba glauca* leaf extracts against food borne spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms. Int J Of Pharmace Scien And Res. 2012; 90(24):497-500
- Vasait DR, Khandare K. Preliminary Assessment of Phytochemical Constituents and Antibacterial Activity of Crude Leaves Extracts of *Simarouba glauca*. Biosc Dis. 2017; 8(1):30-34
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk Susceptibility Tests; Approved Standard M2-A8; Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI): Wayne, PA, USA, 2003.
- 15. Sarker SD, Nahar L, Kumarasamy Y. Microtitre platebased antibacterial assay incorporating resazurin as an indicator of cell growth, and its application *in vitro* in the antibacterial screening of phytochemicals. Methods A. 2007; 42(4):321-4
- Tyagi, Agarwal. Phytochemical screening and GC-MS analysis of bioactive constituents in the ethanolic extract of *Pistia stratiotes* L. and *Eichhornia crassipes* (Mart.) solms Journal of Pharmacog and Phyto chem. 2017; 6(1):195-206
- 17. Temraz A, Tantawy WH. Characterization of antioxidant activity of extract from *Artemisia vulgaris*. Pak J of Pharm Sci. 2008; 21:321–326
- Braca A, Tommasi ND, Bari LD, Pizza C, Politi M, Morelli I. Antioxidant principles from *Bauhinia* terapotensis. J Natl Prod. 2001; 64:892-5
- 19. Eloff JN. Which extractant should be used for the screening and isolation of antimicrobial components from plants? J Ethnopharmacol. 1998; 60:1-8
- Zhang Dong-Lin, Hamauzu Y. Phenolic compounds, ascorbic acid, carotenoids and antioxidant properties of green, red and yellow bell peppers. J of Food Agri and Enviro. 2003; 1:22-27
- 21. Lim SM, Loh SP. *In vitro* antioxidant capacities and antidiabetic properties of phenolic extracts from selected citrus peels. Int Food Res J. 2016; 23(1):211-9
- 22. Fuchs PC, Barry AL, Thornsberry C, Jones RN, Gavan TL, Gerlach EH. Sommers HM. Cefotaxime: *in vitro* activity and tentative interpretive standards for disk susceptibility testing. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 1980; 18(1):88-93
- Bjelakovic G, Nikolova D, Gluud LL, Simonetti RG, Gluud C. Antioxidant supplements for prevention of mortality in healthy participants and patients with various diseases. Sao Paulo Med J. 2015; 133(2):164-5
- 24. Cartron ML, England SR, Chiriac AI, Josten M, Turner R, Rauter Y. Bactericidal activity of the human skin fatty acid cis-6-hexadecanoic acid on *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2014; 58:3599-609
- 25. del Valle P, García-Armesto MR, de Arriaga D, Gonz´alez-Donquiles C, Rodríquez- Fern´andez P, Rúa J. Antimicrobial activity of kaempferol and resveratrol in binary combinations with parabens or propyl gallate against *Enterococcus faecalis*. Food Control. 2016; 31(61):213-20
- 26. Tatsimo SJ, Tamokou JD, Havyarimana L, Csupor D, Forgo P, Hohmann. J Antimicrobial and antioxidant activity of kaempferol rhamnoside derivatives from *Bryophyllum pinnatum*. BMC Res Notes. 2012; 5:158
- 27. Nikaido H. Multidrug efflux pumps of gram-negative

bacteria. J Bacteriol. 1996; 178(20):5853-5859.

- 28. Kuete V, Nguemeving JR, Penlap Beng V, *et al.* Antimicrobial activity of the methanolic extracts and compounds from Vismia laurentii De Wild (Guttiferae). Journal of Ethno pharmacology. 2007; 109:372-379.
- 29. Kotzekidou P, Giannakidis P, Boulamatsis A. Antimicrobial activity of some plant extracts and essential oils against food borne pathogens *in vitro* and on the fate of inoculated pathogens in chocolate. Food Sci. Tech. 2008; 41:119-127.
- Hammer MU, Brauser A, Olak C, Brezesinski G, Goldmann T, Gutsmann T, Andra J. Lipopolysaccharide interaction is decisive for the activity of the antimicrobial peptide NK-2 against *Escherichia coli* and Proteus mirabilis. Bio chem. J. 2010; 427:477-88.
- 31. Miller KJ, Kennedy EP, Reinhold VN. Osmotic adaptation by gram- negative bacteria: possible role for periplasmic oligosaccharides. Science. 1986; 231:48-51
- 32. Morbach S, Kramer R. Body shaping under water stress: osmosensing and osmoregulation of solute transport in bacteria. Chem bio chem. 2002; 3:384-397.
- 33. Gunaratna KR, Anderson M, Good L. Microbial membrane permeating peptides and their applications *In* U Langel (ed.), 2002, 377-396.
- 34. Yeaman MR, Yount NY. Mechanisms of antimicrobial peptide action and resistance. Pharmacol Rev. 2003; 55:27-55.