

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Both of them significantly differed from sample-one.

Available online at www.phytojournal.com

G Bharamappa, Suvarna VC, Harish K and Prasad HJ

Keywords: Study, microflora associated with pulses, the plants, legume family

Study of microflora associated with pulses and

their sprouts from different food marts

Pulses are the edible seeds of the plants in the legume family. Growing pulses Also promotes sustainable

agriculture, as pulse crops help decrease greenhouse gases, increase soil health, and use less water than

other crops. Pulses are the dried seeds of the legume plants. An experiment was conducted to see the

Microflora of raw Pulses and their sprouts collected from different Food Marts, at Department of

Agricultural Microbiology, University of Agricultural Sciences, G. K. V. K., Bengaluru during the year 2009-2011. In this study, Enumeration of microflora associated with damaged horse gram and green

gram samples collected from different places, showed that the Sample-two and three were statistically

non-significant with reference to bacterial population. But, both of them were significantly differing from

sample-one. Sample-two and three were statistically non-significant with reference to fungal population.

Pulse is derived from the latin words puls or pultis meaning "thick soup". Pulse crops are one of the most sustainable crops a farmer can grow. It takes just 43 gallons of water to produce one pound of pulses, they also contribute to soil quality by fixing nitrogen in the soil. Pulses are also known as grain legumes, are a group of 12 crops that includes dry beans, dry pea's chick peas and lentils. They are high in protein, fibre, and various vitamins, provide amino acids, and are hearty crops. They are most popular in developing countries, but are increasingly becoming recognized as an excellent part of a healthy diet throughout the world. Pulses are one of the important food crops globally due to higher protein content. Pulses are an important group of crops in India, which is also responsible for yielding large financial gains by amounting for a large part of the exports. pulses are the major sources of protein in the diet. of all categories of people pulses form an integral part of the Indian diet, providing much needed protein to the carbohydrate rich diet. India is the largest producer of pulses in the world. Pulses are 20 to 25percent protein by weight which is double the protein content of



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; 7(5): 2192-2194 Received: 13-07-2018 Accepted: 15-08-2018

#### G Bharamappa

University of Agricultural Sciences GKVK Bengaluru Karnataka, India

Abstract

Introduction

#### Suvarna VC

University of Agricultural Sciences GKVK Bengaluru Karnataka, India

#### Harish K

University of Agricultural Sciences GKVK Bengaluru Karnataka, India

#### Prasad HJ

University of Agricultural Sciences GKVK Bengaluru Karnataka, India

## using Nutrient agar and Martin's Rose Bengal agar respectively. Enumeration and isolation of bacteria and fungi from green gram and horse gram sprout samples collected from different food marts.

The food marts sprout samples were collected. These collected Sprouts samples were subjected for enumeration and isolation of bacteria and fungi by employing standard plate count method. Observations pertaining to colony forming units were recorded.

Upto to 25 percent of pulses are used as feed stuff, particularly for pigs and poultry. As a study source of nutrion, feed for animals, and soil sustainability, pulse crops play major role in food

Enumeration and isolation of bacteria and fungi from horse gram and green gram

Green gram and horse gram samples collected from different places were subjected to enumeration and isolation of bacteria and fungi by employing standard plate count method

#### **Experimental Results**

**Material Methods** 

samples

wheat and three times that of rice.

security, a role which will only grow in future.

The results, of the experiments conducted to enumerate and isolate micro flora from raw pulses namely horse gram, green gram samples collected from different places, and also the

Correspondence G Bharamappa University of Agricultural Sciences GKVK Bengaluru Karnataka, India

microbiology of horse gram, green gram sprouts samples collected from different food marts were studied under laboratory conditions are presented in this chapter.

# Bacterial and fungal population of horse gram and green gram samples

The bacterial population of horse gram and green gram samples is presented in Table1. Horse gram sample-three had the highest bacterial population  $(47.33 \times 10^5 \text{cfu/g})$ . The lowest bacterial population was observed in sample-one  $(30 \times 10^5 \text{ cfu/g})$ . Green gram sample-two had the highest bacterial population  $(51.33 \times 10^5 \text{ cfu/g})$ . The lowest bacterial population of green gram was observed in sample-1 ( $36 \times 10^5 \text{ cfu/g}$ ).

The Sample-two and three were statistically non-significant with reference to bacterial population. But, both of them were significantly differing from sample-one.

The fungal population in horse gram and green gram samples is presented in Table 1. Horse gram sample-three had the highest fungal population of  $(16.66 \times 10^3 \text{ cfu/g})$ . The lowest fungal population was observed in sample No. one  $(9.33 \times 10^3 \text{ cfu/g})$ . Green gram sample-three had the highest fungal population of  $20.33 \times 10^3 \text{ cfu/g}$ . The lowest fungal population was observed in the sample-three  $(11.33 \times 10^3 \text{ cfu/g})$ .

Sample-two and three were statistically non-significant with reference to fungal population. Both of them significantly differed from sample-one.

#### Bacterial and fungal population of horse gram sprout samples procured from different food marts at different intervals of storage

The bacterial and fungal population of different food mart horse gram sprout samples at different intervals is represented in Table 2.

The highest bacterial population  $(36.33 \times 10^5 \text{cfu/g})$  was observed in horse gram sprout sample-one on third day

collected from food mart. The lowest bacterial population  $(31 \times 10^5 \text{cfu/g})$  was observed in the sample-three on third day collected from food marts. The highest and the lowest fungal population  $(6.33 \times 10^3 \text{cfu/g})$  and  $(3.66 \times 10^3 \text{cfu/g})$  were observed in horse gram sprout samples-three and one respectively on the third day. Similar observations were recorded on fifth and seventh day in sample one, two and three respectively.

The sample-two and three were statistically non-significant with reference to bacterial population. But, both of them were differing significantly from sample-one

With reference to fungal population, there was a significant difference between the sample-one and three. But, there was no significant difference between sample-two and three, they were on par with each other.

### Bacterial and fungal population of green gram sprout samples collected from different food marts at different intervals of storage.

The bacterial and fungal population of green gram sprout sample collected from food mart at different intervals is represented in Table 3. The highest bacterial population of  $34.66 \times 10^5$  cfu/g was observed in green gram sprout sampleone on third day collected from food mart. The lowest bacterial population  $(30.00 \times 10^5 \text{ cfu/g})$  was observed in the sample-two on third day collected from food mart. The highest and the lowest fungal population of  $5 \times 10^3$  and  $3 \times 10^3$  cfu/g were observed in green gram sprout samples three and two respectively which were collected from food marts. Similar observations were recorded on fifth and seventh day.

With reference to bacterial population, there was a significant difference between the sample-one and three. But, sample-two and three were on par with each other.

The sample-two and three were statistically non-significant with reference to fungal population. But, both of them were differing significantly with sample-one.

Pulses samples	Bacterial popula	ation (×10 <sup>5</sup> cfu/g)	Fungal population (×10 <sup>3</sup> cfu/g)		
	Horse gram	Green gram	Horse gram	Green gram	
Sample 1	30.00	36.00	09.33	11.33	
Sample 2	46.33	51.33	15.33	17.66	
Sample 3	47.33	50.00	16.66	20.33	
SEm±	01.98	01.98 00.93		00.92	
CD @ 5%	06.63	03.11	02.73	03.09	
	Pulses samples Sample 1 Sample 2 Sample 3 SEm± CD @ 5%	Bacterial popula   Horse gram   Sample 1 30.00   Sample 2 46.33   Sample 3 47.33   SEm± 01.98   CD @ 5% 06.63	Bacterial population (×10 <sup>5</sup> cfu/g)   Horse gram Green gram   Sample 1 30.00 36.00   Sample 2 46.33 51.33   Sample 3 47.33 50.00   SEm± 01.98 00.93   CD @ 5% 06.63 03.11	Bacterial population (×10 <sup>5</sup> cfu/g) Fungal population   Horse gram Green gram Horse gram   Sample 1 30.00 36.00 09.33   Sample 2 46.33 51.33 15.33   Sample 3 47.33 50.00 16.66   SEm± 01.98 00.93 00.81   CD @ 5% 06.63 03.11 02.73	

Table 1: Enumeration of microflora associated with damaged horse gram and green gram samples collected from different places

Note: Mean values indicates average of 5 replications

Table 2: Bacterial and fungal population of horse gram sprout samples collected from food marts at different intervals

Treatments	Bacterial population (×10 <sup>5</sup> cfu/g) in horse gram				Fungal population (×10 <sup>3</sup> cfu/g) in horse gram			
	1 <sup>st</sup> day	3 <sup>rd</sup> day	5 <sup>th</sup> day	7 <sup>th</sup> day	1 <sup>st</sup> day	3 <sup>rd</sup> day	5 <sup>th</sup> day	7 <sup>th</sup> day
Sample 1	-	36.33	39.66	43.00	-	03.66	07.33	16.66
Sample 2	-	32.66	35.00	36.00	-	05.66	11.66	20.33
Sample 3	-	31.00	34.66	35.00	-	06.33	12.66	20.33
SEm±	-	00.65	00.68	01.00	-	00.30	00.69	00.47
CD @ 5%	-	02.20	02.28	03.37	-	01.03	02.30	01.57

Note: Mean values indicate average of 5 replications

Table 3: Bacterial and fungal population of green gram sprout samples collected from food marts at different intervals

Treatments		Bacteria (×10 <sup>5</sup> cfu/g) in green gram			Fungi (×10 <sup>3</sup> cfu/g) in green gram			
	1st day	3 <sup>rd</sup> day	5 <sup>th</sup> day	7 <sup>th</sup> day	1 <sup>st</sup> day	3 <sup>rd</sup> day	5 <sup>th</sup> day	7 <sup>th</sup> day
Sample 1	-	34.66	39.00	40.66	-	04.66	07.66	10.00
Sample 2	-	30.00	34.00	36.00	-	03.00	04.66	06.66
Sample 3	-	31.00	34.00	35.00	-	05.00	08.00	10.00
SEm±	-	00.62	00.73	00.74	-	00.27	00.46	00.44
CD @ 5%	-	02.09	02.45	02.48	-	00.91	01.53	01.49

Note: Mean values indicate average of 5 replications

#### References

- 1. Gabriel, Mirasol GA, Marie PB, Estrad MA, Angelica AG, Gilbert BL, *et al.* Microbiology of retail mung bean sprouts vended in public markets of national capital region. Philippines Food. Control. 2007; 18:1307-1313.
- 2. National Advisory Committee on Microbiological Criteria for Foods. Microbiological safety evaluations and recommendations on sprouted seeds. Int. J Food Microbiol. 1999; 52:123-153.
- 3. Noguchi Y, Kaumagai S. Studies on the enterohaemorrhagic *E. coli* O157; h7 present in radish sprouts Appl. Envt. Microbiol. 1998; 64:1532-1535.
- Robertson LJ, Johannessen GS, Gjerde BK, Loncarevic S. Microbiological analysis of seed sprouts in Norway. Int. J Food. Microbiol. 2001; 75:119-126.
- 5. Shipard. Introduction to sprouts, 2005, www.science direct.com
- Vandan N, Jayant R, Bandekar. Studies on the microbiological quality of packed sprouts from supermarkets in Mumbai, India. Inter. National J Food. Safety, Nutrition. Publ. Health. 2009; 2:165-175.
- 7. Young HO, Seo JI, Hyunjang, Kwang Deog Moon. Studies on the microbial evaluation of minimally processed vegetables and sprouts produced in Seoul. Korea. Food. Sci. Biotechonol. 2005; 19:1283-1288.