

# Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; 7(5): 1791-1793 Received: 24-07-2018 Accepted: 25-08-2018

### VW Bramhankar

Department of Botany, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri

#### **VD Shende**

Department of Botany, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri

#### HS Deshmukh

Department of Botany, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri

### **RV** Chahande

Department of Botany, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri

# Effect of plant growth regulators to enhance the yield contributing character of soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merill]

# VW Bramhankar, VD Shende, HS Deshmukh and RV Chahande

#### **Abstract**

A soybean variety Phule Agrani was evaluated for foliar sprays of Etheral @ 150ppm (T1), Etheral @ 200ppm (T2), SNP @ 150 $\mu$ M (T3), SNP @ 200 $\mu$ M (T4), CCC @ 500ppm (T5), CCC @ 1000 ppm (T6), FeSO4 @ 0.5% (T7), FeSO4 @ 0.10% (T8), Water Spray (T9) and Absolute Control (T10) in randomized block design with three replication at MPKV, Rahuri during *Kharif*, 2015. The foliar sprays of CCC @ 500 ppm and @ 1000 ppm delayed the flowering period, arrested plant height, profuse branching, and maximum leaf area and leaf area index (LAI). According, the foliar sprays of CCC at lower followed by higher concentration @ 500 ppm and @ 1000 ppm and SNP @ 200  $\mu$ M and FeSO4 @ 0.5% were found better for recording higher yield and yield components, harvest index and oil and protein content. Therefore, the foliar sprays of CCC @ 500 ppm and @ 1000 ppm might be considered as better plant growth regulator for maintaining growth and yield improvement in soybean.

**Keywords:** Plant growth regulator, retardant, crop phenology, vegetative growth, growth function and yield components

# Introduction

Soybean (*Glycine max* L.) is often designated as "Golden bean" and has become miracle crop of 20<sup>th</sup> century. It is a triple beneficial crop, which contains about 20% oil, 38 to 42% protein except methionine and cysteine. It also contains 26% carbohydrates, 4% minerals and 2% phospholipids. It is a rich source of vitamin A, B and D. The biological value of the soybean protein is as good as meat and fish protein. During 2015-16, the area under in India was 116.28 lakh/ha with production 73.797 lakh millions tones. Though it is grown in many states in India, Madhya Pradesh alone is producing 80 per cent of total production, Productivity of soybean in India is very low as compared to Brazil (2032 kg/ha) and U.S.A. (2441 kg/ha). Low yield of soybean under Indian conditions is attributed to many

Factors i.e. lack of location specific and disease and pest resistant varieties, lack of long shell life of soybean and production and sufficient quantity and quality oil seeds of high yielding varieties. Plant growth regulators and micronutrients are known to enhance the source-sink relationship and stimulate the translocation of photo-assimilates thereby helping in effective flower formation, fruit and seed development and ultimately enhance productivity of the crops. Plant Growth regulators can improve the physiological efficiency including photosynthetic ability and can enhance the effective partitioning of accumulates from source and sinks in the field crops. The use of plant growth regulators either as foliar spray or as seed treatment has brought spectacular results in both yield and quality of many vegetable crops. There is possibility that if plant growth regulators are used, the plant maturity may be hastened considerably. Some plant growth regulators like sodium nitroprusside (SNP) promote the cell elongation and hence hasten the maturity of plant. One of the most important roles of micronutrients is keeping balanced crop physiology. Zinc and iron take over different roles in crop, such as formation, partitioning and utilization of photosynthetic assimilates. Therefore, the present investigation was undertaken to study the effect of plant growth regulator and micronutrient on morpho-physiological and growth and yield variation in soybean.

## **Material and Methods**

A soybean variety Phule Agrani was evaluated for foliar sprays of Etheral @ 150ppm (T1), Etheral @ 200ppm (T2), SNP @ 150 $\mu$ M (T3), SNP @ 200 $\mu$ M (T4), CCC @ 500ppm (T5), CCC @ 1000 ppm (T6), FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.5% (T7), FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.10% (T8), Water Spray (T9) and Absolute Control (T10) in randomized block design with three replication at PG Farm, Department of Botany, MPKV, Rahuri during *Kharif*, 2015. The gross and net plot sizes were 5 x 2.70 m² and 4.80 x 1.80 m², respectively by adopting 45 x 10 cm spacing.

Two spraying were given at the time of Initiation of flowering (38-40 Days) and at pod formation (58-60 Days) stage of the crop. The observations were recorded on crop phenology and vegetative growth, dry matter production and its distribution in component parts of plant, growth parameters and yield components. Five plants were randomly selected and uprooted for recording the observations on dry matter studies and growth parameters. Another five plants were tagged for recording the observations on leaf area, vegetative growth characters and yield component traits. Data were analyzed as per the method suggested by Panse and Sukhatme (1985) [13].

# **Results and Discussion**

The vegetative phase governs the overall phenotypic expression of the plant and prepares the plant for next important reproductive phase. The root, stem, branches and leaves, all these parts constitute vegetative phase and perform specific functions. The treatment differences were statistically significant for days to 50% flowering, whereas, it was nonsignificant for days to initiation of flowering and physiological maturity (Table 1). The narrow range of variation was observed for days to initiation of flowering which is ranged between 38.20 (SNP @ 150 μM and 200 μM) and 39.10 days (Absolute Control). The foliar sprays of water (45.20 days) and absolute control (45.40 days) had required minimum number of days, whereas, foliar sprays of CCC @ 1000 ppm (49.77 days) and @ 500 ppm (49.10 days) required significantly higher number of days to 50% flowering. The days to physiological maturity exhibited narrow range variation which is ranged between 92.07 (Etheral @ 150 ppm) and 93.97 days (CCC @ 500 ppm). It indicated that, the foliar sprays of CCC delay the crop phenology. Similar results were reported by Akao et al. (1982) [1] in soybean.

In the present investigation, the foliar sprays of CCC @ 500 ppm (66.17 cm) and @1000 ppm (66.53 cm) recorded significantly arrested plant height as compared to rest of the treatments. The foliar of sprays PGR's and micronutrients except CCC maintained significantly higher plant height over absolute control (70.30 cm) and water sprays (70.55 cm). It indicated that, CCC acts as a growth retardant, whereas, other PGR's and micronutrient FeSO<sub>4</sub> acts as growth promoters (Umezaki et al., 1992). The foliar sprays of growth retardants CCC @ 500 ppm (6.57) and micronutrient FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.10% (6.07) and @0.05% (6.00) maintained profuse branching. Jagmeet kaur et al., (2015) reported the more number of branches plant<sup>-1</sup> by application of CCC 500 mg l<sup>-1</sup>. The foliar sprays of CCC @ 500 ppm 3.89 dm<sup>2</sup>), Etheral @ 200 ppm (3.83 dm²) and SNP @ 150  $\mu M$  (3.83 dm²) recorded the highest leaf area plant<sup>-1</sup> as against Absolute Control (2.70 dm<sup>2</sup>) and water spray (2.91 dm<sup>2</sup>). The plant derives food and energy for its metabolic activities from a source. The primary function of leaves is carbon assimilation. Thus, leaf is the photosynthetic apparatus of the plant. On the basis of above results, It revealed that, the foliar sprays of CCC @ 500 ppm was found better for maintaining arrested plant height with profuse branching, higher leaf area and prolonged reproductive growth. The foliar sprays of PGR's and micronutrients exhibited statistically significant result for leaf area index at 60 DAS, 90 DAS and at harvest, however it was non significant at 30 DAS (Table 1). The foliar sprays of CCC @ 1000 (5.01) and @ 500 ppm (4.83) at 60 DAS, CCC @ 500 ppm (3.61 & 0.864) and @1000 ppm (3.34 & 0.844) at 90 DAS and at harvest maintained higher leaf area index plant<sup>-1</sup>.

Table 1: Crop phenology and vegetative growth of soybean influenced by various treatments of plant growth regulator and micronutrients

	Days to	Days to 50%	Day to	Plant	Number of	leaf area	Leaf area index plant <sup>-1</sup> (LAI)			
Treatments	initiation of first flower	Flow-erring	Physiological maturity	height (cm)	branches plant <sup>-1</sup>	plant <sup>-1</sup> (dm²)	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	Harvest
Etheral 150 ppm	38.47	47.07	92.07	74.54	5.23	3.20	1.39	2.62	2.41	0.711
Etheral 200 ppm	38.23	46.80	93.67	76.95	5.93	3.83	1.45	2.86	2.49	0.852
SNP 150 μM	38.20	47.27	93.73	77.50	5.73	3.83	1.49	3.29	2.70	0.852
SNP 200 μM	38.20	47.17	93.67	79.40	5.93	3.80	1.56	3.92	2.93	0.844
CCC 500 ppm	38.13	49.10	93.97	66.17	6.57	3.89	1.47	4.83	3.61	0.864
CCC 1000 ppm	38.90	49.77	93.70	65.53	5.67	3.70	1.49	5.01	3.34	0.822
FeSO <sub>4</sub> 0.5%	38.90	45.83	93.29	73.30	6.00	3.08	1.53	2.95	2.45	0.685
FeSO <sub>4</sub> 0.10%	38.23	45.57	93.80	74.43	6.07	3.04	1.63	3.19	2.56	0.675
Water Spray	38.87	45.20	92.88	70.55	4.60	2.91	1.48	2.42	2.29	0.646
Absolute Control	39.10	45.40	92.65	70.30	4.50	2.70	1.59	2.34	2.13	0.600
GM	38.52	46.92	93.34	72.88	5.62	3.40	1.51	3.34	2.69	0.760
SE (M) <u>+</u>	0.341	0.345	0.46	0.26	0.220	0.067	0.067	0.143	0.089	0.015
CD at 5%	NS	1.024	NS	0.76	0.654	0.199	NS	0.425	0.264	0.046

The pattern of dry matter production and its distribution in plant parts has been of phenomenal interest to the research workers engaged in yield analysis. This method has been accepted as one of the standard methods of yield analysis. The data collected on dry matter at different time intervals would give the picture in quantitative terms as regards to accumulation and partitioning of the total dry matter among the plant parts thought the growth periods of the crop. In view of this, it was envisaged to know the pattern of dry matter accumulation and its distribution in component parts of plant. The overall functioning of the plant ultimately leads to formation and progressive accumulation of dry matter. All the physiological processes results into a net balance and accumulation of dry matter and hence, the biological productivity of plant is judged from their actual ability to produce and accumulate dry matter.

Yield is compound character and is sum of the contributions made by a number of physiological characters. To the Plant Scientists, it is the net economic gains from the source and sinks capacity. Yield improvements have been achieved through directional selections for yield contributing traits (Akbar and Kamran, 2006) [2]. Pods, seed yield and 100 seed weight have been reported among the prominent grain yield determinants of cowpea (Brolmann and Stoffella, 1986; Siddique and Gupta, 1991) [4, 15]. They have been found to have reliable predictability on grain yields in grain legumes (Singh and Malhotra, 1970; Narsinghani *et al.*, 1978; Dani, 1979) [16, 11, 5]. In the present investigation, the treatment differences were statistically significant for yield contributing characters as well as biochemical traits (Table 4).

Table 2: Yield components and chemical characters influenced by various treatments of plant growth regulator and micronutrients in soybean

Treatments	Pods plant <sup>-1</sup>	Seeds pod-1	100 seed weight (g)	Grain yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest index (%)	Oil %	Protein %
Etheral 150 ppm	48.4	2.73	11.0	2431	37.78	18.3	37.78
Etheral 200 ppm	50.1	2.93	11.5	2677	38.00	18.4	38.00
SNP 150 μM	50.9	2.87	13.5	2546	38.16	18.1	38.16
SNP 200 μM	57.5	2.87	13.6	2932	38.45	18.7	38.45
CCC 500 ppm	59.7	3.00	14.6	3144	41.08	19.0	41.08
CCC 1000 ppm	56.3	2.93	13.4	2566	39.31	18.6	40.01
FeSO <sub>4</sub> 0.5%	51.2	2.67	13.2	2527	38.12	20.4	38.12
FeSO <sub>4</sub> 0.10%	51.7	2.73	11.9	2512	38.32	19.5	38.32
Water Spray	40.7	2.13	10.5	1948	36.97	17.8	36.97
Absolute Control	39.5	1.93	9.7	1813	36.85	17.4	36.85
GM	50.6	2.68	12.3	2510	38.30	18.62	38.30
SE (M) <u>+</u>	0.52	0.15	0.38	79.52	1.061	0.15	0.22
CD at 5%	1.57	0.46	1.14	236	3.152	0.45	0.67

The foliar sprays of CCC @ 500 ppm recorded higher number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (59.7), seed pod<sup>-1</sup> (3.00), 100 seed weight (14.6 g), grain yield (3144 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), harvest index (41.08%) and protein content (41.08%). The higher dose of CCC @ 1000 ppm was also found better for seed pod-1 (2.93), 100 seed weight (13.4 g), harvest index (39.31%) and protein content (40.01%). The foliar spray of SNP 200 @ µM was good for seed yield (2932 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) due to higher number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (57.5). The foliar sprays of FeSO4 @ 5% (20.4%) and @ 10% (19.5%) were better for oil content. Devi *et al.* (2011) [6] observed that ethrel @ 200 ppm gave highest number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, 100 seed weight and seed yield ha<sup>-1</sup>. Grewal et al., (1993) [8] reported that cycocel improves the translocation of photosynthates and more protein content stored in the seeds might be due to improvement of translocation of photosynthates to the seeds.

It concluded that, the foliar sprays of CCC @ 500 ppm and @ 1000 ppm delayed the flowering period, arrested plant height, profuse branching, and maximum leaf area and leaf area index (LAI). According, the foliar sprays of CCC at lower followed by higher concentration @ 500 ppm and @ 1000 ppm and SNP @ 200 µM and FeSO<sub>4</sub> @ 0.5% were found better for recording higher yield and yield components, harvest index and oil and protein content. Therefore, the foliar sprays of CCC @ 500 ppm and @ 1000 ppm might be considered as better growth regulator for yield improvement in soybean.

# References

- 1. Akao S, Ishil K, Konas T. Growth and seed production of soybean plants treated with growth retardant including N-dimethyl amino-succeinic acid (B-995). Soil Sci. and Plant Nutrition. 1982; 28(2):275-27
- 2. Akbar AA, Kamran M. Relationship among yield components and selection criteria for yield improvement of Safflower (*Carthamus tinctorious* L.). J Appl. Sci. 2006; 6:2853-2855.
- 3. Briggs GE, Kidd F, West C. A quantitative analysis of crop growth. Ann. Appl. Biol. 1920; 7:202-223.
- Brolmann JB, Stoffella PJ. Differences in yield stability among cowpea cultivars. Soil and crop Sci. Soc. Fla. Proc. 1986; 45:118-120.
- 5. Dani RG. Variability and association between yield and yield components in pigeon pea. Indian J Agric. Sci. 1979; 49:507-510.
- 6. Devi KN, Vyas AK, Singh MS, Singh NG. Effect of bioregulators on growth, yield and chemical constituents of soybean (*Glycine max*). J Agric. Sci. 2011; 3(4):151-159.

- 7. Gregory FG. The effect of climatic conditions on the growth of barley. Ann. Bot. 1926; 40:1-26.
- 8. Grewal HS, Kolar JS, Cheema SS, Singh G. Studies on the use of growth regulators in relation to nitrogen for enhancing sink capacity and yield of gobhi-season (*Brassica napus*). Indian J Plant Physiol. 1993; 36:1-4.
- 9. Jagmeet kaur, Hari Ram, Gill BS. Agronomic performance and economic analysis of soybean (*Glycin max*) in erlation to growth regulating substance. Legume res. 2015; 38(5):603-608.
- 10. Mishrinky JF, NL-Fadlay KA, Badwai MA. Effect of gibberllic acid and cloromequat (CCC) on growth and yield quality of pea. Bulletin of faculty of Agril. Univ. of Cairo. 1990; 41(3):785-797.
- 11. Narsinghani VG, Kanwal KS, Singh SP. Character correlations in pea. Indian J Agric. Sci. 1978; 48:390-394.
- 12. Nichiporovich AA. Photosynthesis and the theory of obtaining high crop yield. An abstract with commentary by Black, T. M. and Watson, D. J. 1960. Field Crop Abstr. 1964; 13:169-175.
- 13. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. ICAR Rev. Ed. By Sukhatme, P. V. and Amble, V. N, 1985, 145-156.
- 14. Ravichandran VK, Ramaswami C. Source and sink relationship in soybean as influenced by TIBA. Indian J Pl. Physiol. 1991; 34(1):80-83.
- 15. Siddique A, Gupta SN. Genetic and phenotypic variability for seed yield and other traits in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp) Int. J Trop. Agric. 1991; 9:144-148.
- 16. Singh KB, Malhotra RS. Interrelationships between yield and yield components in mung bean. Indian J Genet. Plant breed. 1970; 30:244-250.
- 17. Umezaki I, Shimano I, Matsumoto S. Studies on Internode elongation in soybean Plant. VI. Effect of gibberellin biosynthesis inhibition on Internode elongation. Japanese J of Crop Sci. 1992; 60(1):20-24.
- 18. Watson DJ. The dependence of net assimilation rate on leaf area index. Ann. Bot. 1958; 22:37-54.
- 19. Watson DJ. The dependence of net assimilation rate on leaf area index. Ann. Bot. 1958; 22:37-54.