



E-ISSN: 2278-4136
P-ISSN: 2349-8234
JPP 2018; 7(4): 3065-3068
Received: 21-05-2018
Accepted: 25-06-2018

Anurag Dwivedi
Department of Horticulture
Naini Agricultural Institute
Sam Higginbottom University of
Agriculture, Technology and
Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Prasad VM
Department of Horticulture
Naini Agricultural Institute
Sam Higginbottom University of
Agriculture, Technology and
Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Mohd Shabi
Department of Horticulture
Naini Agricultural Institute
Sam Higginbottom University of
Agriculture, Technology and
Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Yogendra Tripathi
Department of Horticulture
Naini Agricultural Institute
Sam Higginbottom University of
Agriculture, Technology and
Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Piyush Pandey
Department of Horticulture
Naini Agricultural Institute
Sam Higginbottom University of
Agriculture, Technology and
Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Correspondence
Anurag Dwivedi
Department of Horticulture
Naini Agricultural Institute
Sam Higginbottom University of
Agriculture, Technology and
Sciences, Allahabad, Uttar
Pradesh, India

Effect of plant growth regulators on growth, flowering and fruit set of 4 year old Kinnow Mandarin (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco.) plant

Anurag Dwivedi, VM Prasad, Mohd Shabi, Yogendra Tripathi and Piyush Pandey

Abstract

The present experiment was carried out during December 2017 to May 2018 in Central Research Field of Department of Horticulture, SHUATS, Allahabad. The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design (RBD), with thirteen treatments, replicated thrice. The treatments were T₀ (Control), T₁ (NAA @ 25 ppm), T₂ (NAA @ 50 ppm), T₃ (NAA @ 75 ppm), T₄ (NAA @ 100 ppm), T₅ (NAA @ 125 ppm), T₆ (NAA @ 150 ppm), T₇ (GA₃ @ 25 ppm), T₈ (GA₃ @ 50 ppm), T₉ (GA₃ @ 75 ppm), T₁₀ (GA₃ @ 100 ppm), T₁₁ (GA₃ @ 125 ppm) and T₁₂ (GA₃ @ 150 ppm). Based on the present investigation it is found that treatment T₁₂ (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) found maximum (199.64, 205.477, 209.190, 214.51 and 216.887 cm) Plant height, (84.293, 86.983, 89.670, 94.233 and 100.450 cm) Spread of Canopy, (24.417, 24.760, 25.167, 25.590 and 26.150 cm²) Leaf area, (28.487, 30.103, 31.670 33.100 and 33.770) Number of Branches, (4.663, 5.300, 5.657, 6.190 and 6.833 cm) Stem Diameter, (6.837, 7.013, 7.193, 7.410 and 7.783 cm) Length of Internodes at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days respectively after spray of Plant growth regulators, the maximum Number of Flowers (199.510), Number of Fruits (48.643), minimum Number of Fruits drops (7.317), Average Fruit weight (43.693 g), Polar Length (4.293 cm) and minimum Pest incidence percent (1.590 %) was also found in treatment T₁₂, Followed by Treatment T₁₁ in all the parameters, whereas minimum was recorded in Treatment Control.

Keywords: kinnow, plant growth regulators, NAA, GA₃

Introduction

Kinnow mandarin (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco), member of family Rutaceae, is a very popular fruit crop among various citrus species. The fruits are known for its good processing quality, excellent source of vitamin C, fresh consumption, aromatic flavor and low content of saturated fat, cholesterol and sodium. Kinnow mandarin is reported to be a hybrid between King tangor and Willow leaf mandarin. Among various citrus species, it has remarkable heat tolerance capability, a character inherited from its parent cultivar King and this helps it to survive in harsh hot summer with maximum temperatures around 48 °C (Shirgure, 2012) [8].

Kinnow is an economically important cash crop of India with great deal of production and export. Mandarin (*C. reticulata*) is the leading cultivated citrus crop in India (Srivastava and Singh, 2003) [9]. Fruits have loose skin with hollow central core and segments are easily separated from skin. Pulp is very fine and 10-14 segmented. The fruit juice is sweet, used for drinking and as table fruit. Squash, crush, syrup and concentrate are also prepared from mandarin (Obreza and Rouse, 2006) [5]. Kinnow is an important citrus fruit grown in South Indian states like Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu and Karnataka.

Plant growth regulators have been used in citrus fruit production for influencing flowering, fruit set and fruit drop and play a major role in fruit growth and abscission. These regulators have also been used to influence fruit quality factors like peel quality and colour, fruit size, juice quality and to improve total soluble solids in different citrus species. This review may serve as a complete treatise on the possible roles of growth promoting substances on the physiological processes of citrus plant. (Harsimrat *et al.* 2015) [4].

Materials and Methods

The details of the various materials used and methods adopted in laid out the experiment are presented below:

Experimental site

The experiment was carried out using 4-year-old Kinnow plants at the Cenral Research field of Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad, during the year 2017-2018.

Climatic condition of experimental area

The area of Allahabad district comes under subtropical belt in the South East of Uttar Pradesh, which experience extremely hot summer and fairly cold winter. The maximum temperature of the location reaches up to 46 °C – 48 °C and seldom falls as low as 4 °C – 5 °C. The relative humidity ranged between 20 – 94 percent. The average rainfall in this area is around 1013.4mm annually. However, occasional precipitation is also not uncommon during winter months.

Results and Discussion

The present investigation entitled “Effect of Plant growth regulators on growth, flowering and fruit set of 4 year old Kinnow Mandarin Plant (*Citrus reticulata*).” was carried out during December 2017 to May 2018 in Central Research Field of Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Allahabad (U.P.) India. The results of the present investigation, regarding the effect of Plant growth regulators on growth, Flowering and Fruit set of Kinnow, have been discussed and interpreted in the light of previous research work done in India and abroad. The experiment was conducted in Randomized block design with 13 treatments, and three replications.

The results of the experiment are summarized below.

Growth Parameters

Plant height was recorded maximum in treatment T₁₂ (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) with (199.64, 205.477, 209.190, 214.51 and 216.887 cm) plant height at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days respectively after spray of Plant growth regulators followed by T₆ (NAA @ 150 ppm) (191.267, 195.773, 201.787, 204.213 and 207.187 cm) at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days respectively, where as minimum Plant height (153.793, 175.577, 161.080, 162.773 and 163.547 cm) was found with treatment T₀ (Control) at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days respectively. Similar findings were also reported by Hifny *et al.*, (2017)^[3] in Washington Novel Orange.

Spread of Canopy was recorded maximum in treatment T₁₂ (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) with (84.293, 86.983, 89.670, 94.233 and 100.450 cm) Spread of Canopy at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days respectively after spray of Plant growth regulators followed by T₈ (GA₃ @ 50 ppm) (76.338, 79.150, 81.563, 83.817 and 86.370 cm) at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days respectively, where as minimum Spread of Canopy (57.300, 60.147, 61.537, 62.827 and 64.833 cm) was found with treatment T₀ (Control) at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days respectively. Similar findings were also reported by Hifny *et al.*, (2017)^[3] in Washington Novel Orange.

In terms of Leaf Area it is found that the treatment T₁₂ (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) found maximum (24.417, 24.760, 25.167, 25.590 and 26.150 cm²) Leaf area at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days respectively after spray of Plant growth regulators followed by T₇ (GA₃ @ 25 ppm) (24.073, 24.507, 24.823, 25.140 and 25.697 cm²) at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days respectively, where as minimum Leaf Area (20.193, 20.357, 20.670, 20.970 and 21.383 cm²) was found with treatment T₀ (Control) at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days respectively. Similar findings were also reported by Hifny *et al.*, (2017)^[3] in Washington Novel Orange.

Number of Branches was recorded maximum in treatment T₁₂ (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) with (28.487, 30.103, 31.670 33.100 and 33.770) Number of Branches at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days respectively after spray of Plant growth regulators followed by T₁₁ (GA₃ @ 125 ppm) (24.767, 26.127, 27.540, 29.807 and

31.903) Number of Branches at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days respectively, where as minimum Number of Branches (15.347, 16.200, 17.030, 17.760 and 19.150) was found with treatment T₀ (Control) at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days respectively.

Stem Diameter was recorded maximum in treatment T₁₂ (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) found maximum (4.663, 5.300, 5.657, 6.190 and 6.833 cm) Stem Diameter at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days respectively after spray of Plant growth regulators followed by T₁₁ (GA₃ @ 125 ppm) (4.583, 5.070, 5.590, 6.137 and 6.590 cm) Stem Diameter at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days respectively, where as minimum Stem Diameter (2.180, 2.430, 2.677, 3.077 and 3.413 cm) was found with treatment T₀ (Control) at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days respectively. Similar findings were also reported by Hifny *et al.*, (2017)^[3] in Washington Novel Orange.

In terms of Length of Internodes it is found that the treatment T₁₂ (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) found maximum (6.837, 7.013, 7.193, 7.410 and 7.783 cm) Length of Internodes at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days respectively after spray of Plant growth regulators followed by T₁₁ (GA₃ @ 125 ppm) (6.490, 6.740, 7.060, 7.287 and 7.473 cm) Length of Internodes at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days respectively, where as minimum Length of Internodes (4.340, 4.520, 4.750, 5.043 and 5.217 cm) was found with treatment T₀ (Control) at 30, 60, 90, 120 and 150 days respectively. Similar findings were also reported by Hifny *et al.*, (2017)^[3] in Washington Novel Orange.

Flowering and fruiting parameters

The Number of Flowers/plant is found maximum in treatment T₁₂ (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) with (199.510) Number of Flowers after spray of Plant growth regulators followed by T₁₁ (GA₃ @ 125 ppm) (198.310) Number of Flowers where as minimum Number of Flowers (115.210) was found with treatment T₀ (Control). Similar findings were also reported by Ullah *et al.*, (2014)^[10] in Sweet orange.

Number of Fruits/plant was recorded maximum in treatment T₁₂ (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) with (48.643) Number of Fruits/plant after spray of Plant growth regulators followed by T₁₁ (GA₃ @ 125 ppm) (44.187) Number of Fruits where as minimum Number of Fruits (15.800) was found with treatment T₀ (Control). Similar findings were also reported by Ullah *et al.*, (2014)^[10] in Sweet orange.

Number of Fruits drops is found minimum in treatment T₁₂ (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) with (7.317) Number of Fruits drops after spray of Plant growth regulators closely followed by T₁₁ (GA₃ @ 125 ppm) (7.887) Number of Fruits drops where as maximum Number of Fruits drops (21.147) was found with treatment T₀ (Control). Similar findings were also reported by Ullah *et al.*, (2014)^[10] in Sweet orange.

Average Fruit weight was recorded maximum in treatment T₁₂ (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) found maximum (43.693 g) Fruit weight after spray of Plant growth regulators closely followed by T₁₁ (GA₃ @ 125 ppm) (40.643 g) Fruits weight where as minimum Fruits weight (21.587 g) was found with treatment T₀ (Control). Similar findings were also reported by Ullah *et al.*, (2014)^[10] in Sweet orange.

Polar Length (cm) of fruits was recorded maximum in treatment T₁₂ (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) found maximum (4.293 cm) Polar Length after spray of Plant growth regulators closely followed by T₁₁ (GA₃ @ 125 ppm) with (4.113 cm) Polar Length where as minimum (1.697 cm) Polar Length was recorded in treatment T₀ (Control).

In terms of Pest incidence percent it is found that the treatment T₁₂ (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) found minimum (1.590 %)

Pest Incidence after spray of Plant growth regulators followed by T₁₁ (GA₃ @ 125 ppm) with (3.093 %) Pest Incidence

Percent where as maximum (10.523 %) Pest Incidence was recorded in treatment T₀ (Control).

Table 1: Effect of Plant growth regulators on Plant height (cm), Spread of Canopy (cm) and Leaf area (cm²) of Kinnow.

| Treatment Symbol | Treatment Details | Plant height (cm) | | | | | Spread of Canopy (cm) | | | | | Leaf area (cm ²) | | | | |
|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| | | 30 DAS | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | 120 DAS | 150 DAS | 30 DAS | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | 120 DAS | 150 DAS | 30 DAS | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | 120 DAS | 150 DAS |
| T ₀ | Control (spray of water) | 153.797 | 157.577 | 161.080 | 162.773 | 163.547 | 57.300 | 60.147 | 61.537 | 62.827 | 64.833 | 20.193 | 20.357 | 20.670 | 20.970 | 21.383 |
| T ₁ | NAA @ 25 ppm | 169.577 | 170.310 | 174.003 | 176.760 | 181.163 | 66.123 | 68.527 | 70.577 | 72.860 | 74.963 | 22.100 | 22.487 | 22.833 | 23.043 | 23.563 |
| T ₂ | NAA @ 50 ppm | 176.683 | 179.143 | 182.887 | 185.973 | 187.517 | 64.093 | 66.560 | 69.363 | 71.820 | 74.850 | 22.787 | 23.320 | 23.597 | 23.870 | 24.327 |
| T ₃ | NAA @ 75 ppm | 159.363 | 162.843 | 166.043 | 169.233 | 172.067 | 60.693 | 63.407 | 65.937 | 68.390 | 70.550 | 21.700 | 22.090 | 22.330 | 22.567 | 23.010 |
| T ₄ | NAA @ 100 ppm | 163.833 | 164.360 | 168.297 | 173.350 | 175.380 | 63.273 | 65.533 | 68.353 | 71.523 | 73.893 | 21.887 | 22.207 | 22.537 | 22.973 | 23.397 |
| T ₅ | NAA @ 125 ppm | 181.933 | 184.377 | 189.587 | 194.917 | 199.880 | 72.580 | 75.057 | 77.240 | 80.133 | 82.187 | 21.620 | 21.953 | 22.290 | 22.660 | 23.150 |
| T ₆ | NAA @ 150 ppm | 191.267 | 195.773 | 201.787 | 204.213 | 207.187 | 75.300 | 77.993 | 79.900 | 82.533 | 85.183 | 24.197 | 24.483 | 24.717 | 25.060 | 25.300 |
| T ₇ | GA ₃ @ 25 ppm | 172.577 | 172.167 | 176.667 | 179.210 | 184.177 | 75.220 | 77.370 | 79.960 | 82.480 | 84.827 | 24.073 | 24.507 | 24.823 | 25.140 | 25.697 |
| T ₈ | GA ₃ @ 50 ppm | 177.203 | 179.813 | 183.693 | 185.033 | 191.010 | 76.383 | 79.150 | 81.563 | 83.817 | 86.370 | 22.957 | 23.337 | 23.607 | 23.887 | 24.773 |
| T ₉ | GA ₃ @ 75 ppm | 165.960 | 167.427 | 169.530 | 173.040 | 176.690 | 70.463 | 73.627 | 76.043 | 78.123 | 80.207 | 22.370 | 22.740 | 22.973 | 23.420 | 24.043 |
| T ₁₀ | GA ₃ @ 100 ppm | 167.210 | 169.257 | 172.873 | 175.560 | 178.723 | 69.223 | 72.053 | 74.483 | 76.510 | 79.367 | 23.347 | 23.750 | 24.033 | 24.420 | 24.690 |
| T ₁₁ | GA ₃ @ 125 ppm | 187.000 | 192.993 | 195.237 | 200.900 | 204.447 | 75.063 | 77.667 | 80.687 | 83.517 | 86.833 | 21.750 | 22.053 | 22.560 | 22.873 | 23.303 |
| T ₁₂ | GA ₃ @ 150 ppm | 199.640 | 205.477 | 209.190 | 214.510 | 216.887 | 84.293 | 86.983 | 89.670 | 94.233 | 100.450 | 24.417 | 24.760 | 25.167 | 25.590 | 26.150 |
| F-test | | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| SE(d) | | 5.342 | 3.699 | 2.792 | 5.465 | 4.712 | 3.458 | 3.406 | 3.289 | 3.408 | 3.643 | 1.265 | 1.253 | 1.296 | 1.272 | 1.268 |
| C.D. | | 11.092 | 7.679 | 5.796 | 11.346 | 9.784 | 7.179 | 7.072 | 6.828 | 7.076 | 7.564 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Table 2: Effect of Plant growth regulators on Number of Branches, Stem Diameter (cm) and Length of internodes (cm) of Kinnow.

| Treatment Symbol | Treatment Details | Number of Branches | | | | | Stem Diameter (cm) | | | | | Length of Internodes (cm) | | | | |
|------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| | | 30 DAS | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | 120 DAS | 150 DAS | 30 DAS | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | 120 DAS | 150 DAS | 30 DAS | 60 DAS | 90 DAS | 120 DAS | 150 DAS |
| T ₀ | Control (spray of water) | 15.347 | 16.200 | 17.030 | 17.760 | 19.150 | 2.180 | 2.430 | 2.677 | 3.077 | 3.413 | 4.340 | 4.520 | 4.750 | 5.043 | 5.217 |
| T ₁ | NAA @ 25 ppm | 18.463 | 19.463 | 20.593 | 21.527 | 22.960 | 2.380 | 2.800 | 3.073 | 3.477 | 3.823 | 4.497 | 4.690 | 5.000 | 5.227 | 5.507 |
| T ₂ | NAA @ 50 ppm | 18.900 | 20.117 | 21.113 | 22.423 | 23.777 | 2.707 | 3.073 | 3.277 | 3.660 | 4.013 | 4.783 | 4.967 | 5.243 | 5.627 | 5.890 |
| T ₃ | NAA @ 75 ppm | 20.153 | 20.743 | 21.853 | 22.707 | 24.633 | 2.513 | 2.910 | 3.310 | 3.753 | 4.120 | 4.890 | 5.167 | 5.450 | 5.763 | 5.987 |
| T ₄ | NAA @ 100 ppm | 19.627 | 20.923 | 22.127 | 23.253 | 24.003 | 3.040 | 3.387 | 3.593 | 4.117 | 4.450 | 5.123 | 5.313 | 5.663 | 5.890 | 6.093 |
| T ₅ | NAA @ 125 ppm | 21.293 | 22.430 | 23.403 | 24.293 | 25.497 | 2.930 | 3.217 | 3.653 | 3.787 | 4.343 | 5.450 | 5.713 | 5.993 | 6.213 | 6.563 |
| T ₆ | NAA @ 150 ppm | 22.643 | 23.787 | 25.057 | 26.220 | 27.607 | 3.583 | 3.970 | 4.320 | 4.690 | 5.067 | 5.880 | 6.070 | 6.340 | 6.563 | 6.757 |
| T ₇ | GA ₃ @ 25 ppm | 26.253 | 27.467 | 28.820 | 29.880 | 30.250 | 4.173 | 4.537 | 4.830 | 5.057 | 5.593 | 5.623 | 5.833 | 6.163 | 6.557 | 6.800 |
| T ₈ | GA ₃ @ 50 ppm | 24.340 | 25.617 | 26.753 | 28.293 | 30.653 | 4.390 | 4.817 | 5.200 | 5.653 | 6.057 | 5.720 | 6.067 | 6.393 | 6.950 | 7.183 |
| T ₉ | GA ₃ @ 75 ppm | 28.347 | 29.277 | 29.960 | 30.183 | 30.937 | 4.460 | 4.877 | 5.383 | 5.827 | 6.153 | 5.970 | 6.273 | 6.697 | 7.027 | 7.220 |
| T ₁₀ | GA ₃ @ 100 ppm | 26.150 | 27.787 | 29.047 | 30.393 | 31.140 | 4.503 | 5.073 | 5.530 | 6.030 | 6.307 | 6.133 | 6.400 | 6.793 | 7.067 | 7.400 |
| T ₁₁ | GA ₃ @ 125 ppm | 24.767 | 26.127 | 27.540 | 29.807 | 31.903 | 4.583 | 5.070 | 5.590 | 6.137 | 6.590 | 6.490 | 6.740 | 7.060 | 7.287 | 7.473 |
| T ₁₂ | GA ₃ @ 150 ppm | 28.487 | 30.103 | 31.670 | 33.100 | 33.770 | 4.663 | 5.300 | 5.657 | 6.190 | 6.833 | 6.837 | 7.013 | 7.193 | 7.410 | 7.783 |
| F-test | | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| SE(d) | | 1.671 | 1.620 | 1.730 | 1.717 | 1.802 | 0.388 | 0.397 | 0.341 | 0.316 | 0.317 | 0.337 | 0.323 | 0.318 | 0.294 | 0.296 |
| C.D. | | 3.469 | 3.364 | 3.592 | 3.564 | 3.741 | 0.805 | 0.825 | 0.707 | 0.657 | 0.659 | 0.701 | 0.671 | 0.661 | 0.610 | 0.615 |

Table 3: Effect of Plant growth regulators on Number of Flowers/Plant, Number of fruits/plant, Fruit drop (%), Avg. Fruit weight (g), Polar length (cm) and Pest incidence (%) of Kinnow.

| Treatment Symbol | Treatment Details | Number of flowers/Plant | Number of fruits/plant | Fruit drop %/plant | Average fruit weight 30 Days | Polar length (cm) | Pest Incidence % |
|------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| T ₀ | Control (spray of water) | 115.210 | 15.800 | 21.147 | 21.587 | 1.697 | 10.523 |
| T ₁ | NAA @ 25 ppm | 145.287 | 29.263 | 15.670 | 25.567 | 2.040 | 6.490 |
| T ₂ | NAA @ 50 ppm | 163.250 | 30.493 | 13.593 | 29.533 | 2.567 | 5.807 |
| T ₃ | NAA @ 75 ppm | 167.870 | 31.317 | 11.887 | 28.770 | 3.033 | 3.847 |
| T ₄ | NAA @ 100 ppm | 170.383 | 32.337 | 11.803 | 29.340 | 2.863 | 6.370 |
| T ₅ | NAA @ 125 ppm | 172.810 | 34.890 | 12.240 | 29.213 | 3.140 | 4.683 |
| T ₆ | NAA @ 150 ppm | 176.720 | 36.380 | 11.293 | 32.490 | 3.177 | 4.917 |
| T ₇ | GA ₃ @ 25 ppm | 179.393 | 32.397 | 12.123 | 31.453 | 3.230 | 4.537 |
| T ₈ | GA ₃ @ 50 ppm | 186.553 | 35.113 | 10.340 | 32.840 | 3.493 | 3.310 |
| T ₉ | GA ₃ @ 75 ppm | 189.960 | 39.177 | 11.150 | 36.557 | 3.617 | 3.607 |
| T ₁₀ | GA ₃ @ 100 ppm | 194.140 | 42.327 | 10.640 | 38.047 | 4.077 | 3.160 |
| T ₁₁ | GA ₃ @ 125 ppm | 198.310 | 44.187 | 7.887 | 40.643 | 4.113 | 3.093 |
| T ₁₂ | GA ₃ @ 150 ppm | 199.510 | 48.643 | 7.317 | 43.693 | 4.293 | 1.590 |
| F-test | | S | S | S | S | S | S |
| SE(d) | | 8.706 | 2.082 | 1.610 | 3.059 | 0.345 | 1.569 |
| C.D. | | 18.075 | 4.323 | 3.343 | 6.351 | 0.717 | 3.257 |

Conclusion

Based on the present investigation it is concluded that treatment T₁₂ (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) is found to be best in terms of maximum Plant height (cm), Spread of Canopy (cm), Leaf

area (cm²), Number of Branches/plant, Stem Diameter (cm), Length of Internodes (cm) at 150 days respectively after spray of Plant growth regulators, the maximum Number of Flowers/plant, Number of Fruits/plant, minimum Number of

Fruits drops/plant, Average Fruit weight (g), Polar Length (cm) and minimum Pest incidence percent was also found in treatment T₁₂ (GA₃ @ 150 ppm) Followed by Treatment T₁₁ (GA₃ @ 125 ppm), whereas minimum was recorded in Treatment T₀ (Control).

References

1. Anawal VV, Narayanaswamy P, Ekabot SD. Effects of Plant Growth Regulators on Fruit Set and Yield of Pomegranate Cv. Bhagwa, Int. J Scientific Res. 2015; 4(9):220-222.
2. Bramhchari VS, Rubi. Effect of growth substances on fruit yield and physico chemical composition of litchi fruit. Prog. Hort. 2000; 32(1):50-55.
3. Hifny HA, Khalifa SM, Hamdy AE, Abd El-Wahed AN. Effect of GA₃ and NAA on Growth, Yield and Fruit Quality of Washington Navel Orange. Egypt. J Hort. 2017; 44(1):33- 43.
4. Harsimrat Bons K, Nirmaljit Kaur, Rattanpal HS. Quality and Quantity Improvement of Citrus: Role of Plant Growth Regulators, International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology. 2015; 8(2):433-447.
5. Obreza TA, Rouse RE. Long-term response of 'Hamlin' orange trees to control release fertilizers. Hort. Science. 2006; 41(2):423-426.
6. Patil VN, Chauhan PS, Panchbha DM, Shivank the RS, Tannirw such as AVI. Effects of different growth regulators on rooting of hardwood cuttings of some commercial grape varieties. Journal-of-Soils-and-Crops. 2000; 10(2):295-297.
7. Prasad B, Ray RN, Prasad KK, Chowdhary BM, Brahmachari VS. Effect of growth regulators on flowering, fruit set and fruit retention in Mango. J Res. Birsa Agricultural University. 2006; 18(2):257-260.
8. Shirgure PS. Micro-irrigation systems, automation and fertigation in Citrus. Sci. J Rev. 2012; 1(5):156-169.
9. Srivastava AK, Singh S. Plant and soil diagnostic norms for optimum productivity of Nagpur mandarin (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco). Fert. News. 2003; 48:47-63.
10. Ullah R, Sajid M, Ahmad H, Luqman M, Razaq M, Nabi G, Fahad S *et al.* Association of Gibberellic Acid (GA₃) with Fruit Set and Fruit Drop of Sweet Orange, Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare. 2014; 4(2):54-59.
11. Wang CF, Y You, F Chen, XS Lu, Wang J, Wang J. Adjusting effect of brassinolide and GA₃ on the orange growth. Acta Agr. 2004; 26(5):759-762.