

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; 7(4): 2947-2952 Received: 14-05-2018 Accepted: 18-06-2018

#### Yasmeen Begum

Department of Crop Physiology, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka India

#### **RP** Patil

Department of Crop Physiology, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka India

#### MK Meena

Department of Crop Physiology, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka India

#### A Amaregouda

Department of Crop Physiology, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka, India

#### Mahantashivayogayya

Department of Genetics and Plant breeding, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka, India

Correspondence Yasmeen Begum Department of Crop Physiology, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, Karnataka India

# Effect of foliar and soil application of different agrochemicals on phenology, physiological parameters and productivity of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)

# Yasmeen Begum, RP Patil, MK Meena, A Amaregouda and Mahantashivayogayya

#### Abstract

The experiment was carried out to study the influence of different agrochemicals on yield and yield components of rice variety GNV10-89 at Agricultural Research Station, Gangavati, UAS, Raichur, Karnataka. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design with three replications during *kharif* 2017. The experiment consisting of 10 treatment along with control *i.e.*, T<sub>1</sub>: 25 % extra nitrogen (N) soil application, T<sub>2</sub>: NPK (19:19:19) @ 1.0 %, T<sub>3</sub>: triacontanol (2.0 ml l<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>4</sub>: GA<sub>3</sub> (50 ppm), T<sub>5</sub>: nitrobenzene (20 ppm), T<sub>6</sub>: salicylic acid (500 ppm), T<sub>7</sub>: 6-BAP (20 ppm), T<sub>8</sub>: borax (0.2 %), T<sub>9</sub>: T<sub>3</sub> + T<sub>8</sub> foliar applications and T<sub>10</sub>: control. The results revealed that the yield and yield attributes of rice was differed significantly by foliar as well as soil application of different agrochemicals at 65 (10 days before panicle initiation) and 85 days after transplanting (10 days after panicle initiation). Foliar spray ofT<sub>2</sub> (NPK- 19:19:19 @ 1.0 %) recorded the significantly higher Phenology and physiological parameters, number of filled spikelets per panicle (232.9), percent increase of filled spikelet's over control (33.6), least number of unfilled spikelets per panicle (61.3), less per cent of chaffyness (20.9 %), 1000 grain weight (23.6 g), the highest grain yield (8709.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and percent increase of grain yield over T<sub>10</sub> (control) (22.9 %) as compared to rest of the treatments. Soil application of T<sub>1</sub> (N- 25 % extra) was the third highest among 10 different treatments.

Keywords: agrochemicals, foliar and soil application, phenology, physiological parameters and productivity

### Introduction

Rice is an important food crop of the world. It is the staple food of the people of South East-Asia. Presently more than half of the world population subsists on this crop. It is second most important cereal crop of the world as well as India after wheat ranks first in area and production in India. It is one of the most important crop of the world and forms the staple diet of about 2.7 billion people and it needs to be produced 50 per cent more than what produced now by 2050 to cope with the growing demand.

The crop plant requires a sufficient supply of essential mineral elements and growth regulators for optimal crop growth and final crop yield. An insufficient availability of essential nutrients (macro and micro) and hormones may cause stunted growth and limits the crop productivity. The growth and development of a plant is a complex process and is influenced by genetic, environmental and hormonal factors. In this experiment agrochemicals used which include plant growth regulators and nutrients (macro and micro) play an important role in modifying the growth parameters for producing potential yield of the crop. Foliar application of different agrochemicals gives immediate nutrition to the plant during peak growth period which in-turn improves the grain yield. In most of the circumstances foliar spray of nutrient is preferred because it gives quicker and better results than the soil application (Jamal et al. 2006)<sup>[8]</sup> but according to Alam et al. (2010)<sup>[1]</sup> soil application of N is better than foliar application because soil application gives higher grain yield and worthy economic performance. Method of nutrients or plant growth regulators application is a nonmonetary input which influences growth and consequently the crop yields. Foliar application of nutrients along with recommended dose of fertilizers increased the yield components due to foliar spray as it facilitates the higher photosynthates translocation to sink by increasing the photosynthesizing area and its capacity of particular crop (Thakur et al., 2017)<sup>[19]</sup>.

The variety GNV10-89 was selected for this experiment. It is a dual season (*kharif* and *summer*), high yielding, short duration and medium slender variety.

The specialty of GNV-10-89 is maximum number of spikelets up to 300-350 per panicle but generally 200-250 spikelets per panicle in other varieties *viz.*, Sona Masoori and Kaveri Sona which are also popular varieties in this region. But the problem found in GNV-10-89 is 35 per cent of spikelets are unfilled *i.e.*, chaffy grains it might be due to inefficient translocation of reserve photosynthates to the reproductive parts of the plant. Therefore, the response of yield and yield attributes to foliar and soil application of different agrochemicals was observed during *kharif* season related aspects are presented in this paper.

# **Material and Methods**

A field experiment was carried out at Agricultural Research Station (ARS), Gangavati, University of Agricultural Sciences, Raichur, during kharif season 2017 to study the effect of different agrochemicals on yield and yield attributes of rice variety GNV-10-89. The experimental site is situated in the Northern dry zone of Karnataka between 15'15'40" North latitude and 76'31'40" East longitude at an altitude of 419 meter above mean sea level. The experiment was laid out on medium black soil and the status of the soil indicates low in available N, medium in available P2O5 and high in available K2O.This study was laid out in randomized complete block design with 10 treatments replicated 3 times. The first treatment imposition was done at 10 days before panicle initiation (65 Days after transplanting) as the varietal character showed panicle initiation starts from 75 to 80 days after transplanting and second treatment imposition was at 10 days after panicle initiation (85 Days after transplanting). The foliar as well as soil application different agrochemicals where RDF (NPK- 150:75:75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was common for all the treatment. The following treatments: T<sub>1</sub> - 25 % extra N soil application as top dressing, T2 - foliar application of NPK (19:19:19) @ 1.0 %, T<sub>3</sub> - foliar application of triacontanol (2.0 ml/l),  $T_4$  - foliar application of  $GA_3$  (50 ppm),  $T_5$  - foliar application of nitrobenzene (20 ppm), T<sub>6</sub> - foliar application of salicylic acid (500 ppm), T7 - foliar application of 6-BAP (20 ppm), T<sub>8</sub> - foliar application of borax (0.2 %), T<sub>9</sub> - foliar application of  $T_3 + T_8$  and  $T_{10}$  - control.

Observations with respect to Phenology and physiological parameters, yield and yield attributing parameters *viz.*, filled spikelets and unfilled spikelets, per panicle, per cent chaffyness, grain yield and per cent increase of grain yield over  $T_{10}$  (control).Comparisons were made using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA)as specified by Panse and Sukhatme (1985)<sup>[16]</sup>.

# **Results and Discussion**

### Phenology

In this study significantly higher number of days to initiation of flowering was noticed in  $T_{10}$  (control) and the significantly lower number of days to initiation of flowering recorded in  $T_2$ (NPK- 19:19:19 @ 1.0 %) followed by  $T_7$  (6-BAP- 20 ppm) and  $T_1$  (25 % extra N soil application as top dressing). The treatments such as  $T_3$  (triacontanol- 2.0 ml/l)  $T_4$  (GA<sub>3</sub>- 50 ppm)  $T_6$  (salicylic acid- 500 ppm) showed nonsignificant differences. The foliar application of  $T_2$  (NPK- 19:19:19 @ 1.0 %) and  $T_7$  (6-BAP- 20 ppm) were also nonsignificant. The data on phonological parameters (Table, 1) particularly days to 50 per cent flowering and days to physiological maturity indicated numerical variations due to foliar spray of growth regulators and nutrients. The plant growth regulators certainly help to prevent the abscission of flowers and fruits, when applied at the time of flowering (Ramesh and Thirumuguran, 2001)<sup>[18]</sup>. Agrochemicals treatment significantly advanced 50 per cent flowering by 10-16 days and physiological maturity stage by 7-17 days over untreated plants. The treatments T<sub>2</sub> (NPK- 19:19:19 @ 1.0 %) and T<sub>7</sub> (6-BAP- 20 ppm) significantly reduced the time for 50 % flowering and physiological maturity. The number of days to 50 per cent flowering recorded highest in  $T_{10}$  (control) and lowest in  $T_2$ (NPK-19:19:19 @ 1.0 %) foliar spray. But it was onpar with T<sub>7</sub> (6-BAP- 20 ppm) foliar spray. The nonsignificant differences were observed in T<sub>3</sub> (triacontanol- 2.0 ml/l) and T<sub>4</sub> (GA<sub>3</sub>- 50 ppm); T<sub>5</sub> (nitrobenzene- 20 ppm) and T<sub>6</sub> (salicylic acid- 500 ppm); T<sub>2</sub> (NPK- 19:19:19 @ 1.0 %) and T<sub>7</sub> (6-BAP-20 ppm). Exogenous applied GA<sub>3</sub> showed early flowering and this early flowering was accompanied by more number of flower buds by increasing the floral stem length (Naeem *et al.*, 2004) <sup>[13]</sup>. Similarly, the more number of days to physiological maturity was recorded in  $T_{10}$  (control). The less number of days to physiological maturity was noticed in T<sub>2</sub> (NPK- 19:19:19 @ 1.0 %). But it was onpar with T<sub>5</sub> (nitrobenzene- 20 ppm). The non-significant differences was observed in T<sub>1</sub> (25 % extra N soil application as top dressing), T<sub>3</sub> (triacontanol- 2.0 ml/l), T<sub>7</sub> (6-BAP-20 ppm) and T<sub>9</sub> (triacontanol-2.0 ml/l + borax-0.2 %).

# Photosynthetic rate (µ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)

The plant performance is attributed to the genetic factors differences in the basic physiological and biochemical process are of great importance, as the plant metabolism depends on various biochemical constituents (Kashid, 2008)<sup>[9]</sup>. It is known that thousands of reactions are undergoing in the plants simultaneously which ultimately decide the growth and development and the final yield. In the present investigation the effect of different agrochemicals on photosynthetic rate is depicted in the Fig. 1. In this study the photosynthetic rate differed significantly among the treatments at 75 DAT. The photosynthetic rate was (Table 2 and Figure 1) significantly higher in plants sprayed with T<sub>2</sub> (NPK- 19:19:19 @ 1.0 %) over T<sub>10</sub> (control. The significant increase in photosynthesis may be due to agrochemicals treatment which in turn may cause prolonged chlorophyll synthesis and strengthening the physiological activity. The results of present investigation are in line with the findings of Khan et al. (2003) [11] and Anjum et al. (2013)<sup>[2]</sup> in soybean. At later *i.e.*, at 95 DAT the photosynthetic rate increased significantly in 1.0 % foliar spray of 19:19:19 followed by foliar spray of T<sub>7</sub> (6-BAP- 20 ppm). But at harvest the photosynthetic rate decreased significantly it might be due to ageing of leaves. Some of the treatments showed onpar results such as T<sub>5</sub> (nitrobenzene- 20 ppm) and T<sub>1</sub> (25 % extra N soil application as top dressing).  $T_3$  (triacontanol- 2.0 ml/l) and  $T_9$  (Triacontanol- 2.0 ml/l + borax- 0.2 %) were also onpar with each other.

# Transpiration rate (m mol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>)

The transpiration rate increased due to agrochemicals treatments. It increased from 75 DAT to 95 DAT but the significant decrease in transpiration rate was observed at harvest. Among the different agrochemicals treatments  $T_2$  (NPK- 19:19:19 @ 1.0 %) recorded higher transpiration rate as compared to other treatments at all growth stages. The lower transpiration rate was noticed in  $T_{10}$  (control) at all the growth stages (Table, 2). At 75 DAT the rate of transpiration was differed significantly in all the treatments.  $T_2$  (NPK-19:19:19 @ 1.0 %) recorded the higher (7.4 m mol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) transpiration rate over rest of the treatments and the significantly lower (2.8 m mol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) transpiration rate

was recorded in  $T_{10}$  (control). The non-significant difference were observed in some of the treatments *i.e.*,  $T_7$  (6-BAP- 20 ppm) (6.3 m mol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and  $T_1$  (25 % extra N soil application as top dressing) (6.3 m mol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). The treatments  $T_9$  (triacontanol-2.0 ml/l + borax- 0.2 %) and  $T_3$ (triacontanol-2.0 ml/l) were also non-significant (4.4 and 4.5 m mol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). A similar trend was followed at harvest where the rate of transpiration was very less and the treatment  $T_2$  (NPK-19:19:19 @ 1.0 %) showed the highest (1.6 m mol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) among all the treatments and  $T_{10}$  (control) recorded the significantly lower (0.2 m mol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) transpiration rate. The results of present investigation are in line with the findings of Khan *et al.* (2003) <sup>[11]</sup> and Anjum *et al.* (2013) <sup>[2]</sup> in soybean.

# Yield and yield components

The data on test weight (Table. 3) was significantly higher (23.6 g) in T<sub>2</sub> (NPK- 19:19:19 @ 1.0 %). But in T<sub>1</sub> (N- 25 % extra) soil application was higher (18.1) than T<sub>10</sub> (control). The significantly lower (15.5 g) test weight was noticed in T<sub>10</sub> (control). Foliar spray of GA<sub>3</sub> at higher concentrations alone or at lower concentration in combination with urea or boric acid increased the test weight significantly over rest of the treatments (Biradarpatil and Shekhargouda, 2006) <sup>[3]</sup>. These chemicals might have facilitated better translocation and mobilization of metabolites from source to sink, resulting in better seed filling and higher test weight (Garg *et al.*, 1980 and Kaur and Singh, 1986) <sup>[6]</sup>.

The total number of spiklets are divided into filled spiklets and unfilled spikelets per panicle where the treatment  $T_2$ (NPK-19:19:19 @ 1.0 %) recorded higher number of filled spikelets and lower number of unfilled spikelets as compared to other treatments presented in the Fig. 1. But the treatment  $T_7$  (6-BAP- 20 ppm) and  $T_1$  (25 % extra N soil application as top dressing) showed onpar result. The significantly maximum total number of spikelets was recorded in T<sub>10</sub> (control) but the filled spikelets were less and unfilled spikelets were more (Table 3 and Figure 2). The similar results were obtained by Pan et al. (2013) [15] studied that the significant difference was found in the number of spikelets per panicle and grain filling percentage between GA<sub>3</sub> (20 mg 1<sup>-1</sup>) and 6-BA (30 mg 1<sup>-1</sup>) treatment in rice (cv. Peizataifeng and Huayou86). The treatment  $T_4$  (GA<sub>3</sub>- 50 ppm) and  $T_3$ (triacontanol- 2.0 ml/l) showed nonsignificant difference, these results are in conformity with the findings of Naik et al. (2015)<sup>[14]</sup> in hybrid rice (var. KRH-2) and Mu and Yamagishi (2001) indicated that GA<sub>3</sub> increased the number of spikelets. But in accordance with Gavino et al. (2008) [7] indicated that the total number of spikelets were less as compared to other treatments with GA<sub>3</sub> foliar application. The less per cent of chaffyness (20.9 %) was recorded in the treatment foliar spray of  $T_2$  (NPK- 19:19:19 @ 1.0 %) and other treatments viz.,  $T_7$ 

(6-BAP- 20 ppm) and T<sub>1</sub> (25 % extra N soil application as top dressing) registered onpar results. The untreated plants showed the higher percentage of chaffyness (41.8 %). The per cent increase in filled spikelets per panicle in different agrochemicals treatments (Table 3). The treatment T<sub>2</sub> (NPK-19:19:19 @ 1.0 %) recorded 34.2 per cent increase in filled spikelets per panicle as compared to other treatments. Foliar spray of  $T_7$  (6-BAP- 20 ppm) increased the filled spikelets upto 33.5 per cent followed by 25 per cent extra N soil application as top dressing 32.4 per cent over  $T_{10}$  (control). The data on grain yield depicted in the Table 3 and Figure 3 indicated that foliar spray of T<sub>2</sub> (NPK- 19:19:19 @ 1.0 %) recorded the significantly higher (8709.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) grain yield as compared to soil application of  $T_1$  (N-25 % extra) (8419.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). However, the significantly lower (7080.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) grain yield was recorded in  $T_{10}$  (control). The treatments such

as T<sub>7</sub> (6-BAP-20 ppm) (8509.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>1</sub> (25 % extra N) soil application as top dressing (8419.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) showed nonsignificant difference. The per cent increase of grain yield in each treatment presented in the Table 1. Foliar spray of T<sub>2</sub> (NPK- 19:19:19 @ 1.0 %) increased the grain yield upto 22.9 % over control. The foliar spray of T<sub>7</sub> (6-BAP- 20 ppm) recorded the second highest increase in grain yield (20.6 %) over T<sub>10</sub> (control). The percent increase of grain yield in soil application of T<sub>1</sub> (N-25 % extra) presented third highest (18.8 %) among the treatments. These results are in conformity with the findings of Dakshina et al. (2014) [4]. Who revealed that higher dose of major nutrients (NPK) might have facilitated to increase grain yield. The foliar spray of NPK was the suitable application for maximum yield of blackgram (Rahman et al., 2014) <sup>[17]</sup> and Das and Jana (2015) <sup>[5]</sup> who also reported the effect of water soluble fertilizer (NPK) spray on growth and vield of pulses showed the significantly higher seed yield.

# Conclusions

The present investigation revealed that among foliar and soil application of different agrochemicals in addition to recommended dose of fertilizers foliar application having positive effects on Phenology and physiological parameters viz., photosynthetic rate and transpiration rate and all are having direct co relation with the final yield of the crop plants due to which all the yield components such as the number of filled spikelets per panicle and reduced number of unfilled spikelets per panicle has increased as compared to soil application. Foliar spray of agrochemicals showed reduced chaffyness percentage, higher test weight, grain yield and percent increase of grain yield over  $T_{10}$  (control). It might be due to foliar application provides immediate nutrition to the crop during peak growth period promotes vegetative growth, helpful in giving the vigor to the crop and also acts as yield booster and foliar spray of NPK (19:19:19) provides nutrients necessary for higher yield.

Table 1: Effect of agrochemicals on days to initiation of flowering, days to 50 % flowering and days to physiological maturity of rice

Treatments	Days to initiation of flowering (days)	Days to 50 % flowering (days)	Days to physiological maturity (days)
T <sub>1</sub> - 25 % extra N soil application as top dressing	65.5	90.2	117.2
T <sub>2</sub> - Foliar application of NPK (19:19:19) @ 1.0 %	64.7	86.5	110.5
T <sub>3</sub> - Foliar application of triacontanol (2.0 ml/l)	70.2	96.3	116.3
T <sub>4</sub> - Foliar application of GA <sub>3</sub> (50 ppm)	70.1	97.4	114.1
T <sub>5</sub> - Foliar application of nitrobenzene (20 ppm)	67.9	93.1	111.7
T <sub>6</sub> - Foliar application of salicylic acid (500 ppm)	70.6	92.2	120.1
T <sub>7</sub> - Foliar application of 6-BAP (20 ppm)	64.2	87.7	116.4
$T_8$ - Foliar application of borax (0.2 %)	71.4	99.2	123.5
T <sub>9</sub> - Foliar application of $T_3 + T_8$	69.3	94.3	116.2

T <sub>10</sub> - Control	73.2	102	127.3
Mean	68.7	93.9	117.3
S.Em (±)	0.33	0.81	0.62
C.D. at 5 %	0.98	2.43	1.85

N - Nitrogen, GA<sub>3</sub>- Gibberellic acid, 6-BAP – Benzylaminopurine

Treatments	Photosynthetic rate (µ mol CO <sub>2</sub> m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )Transpiration rate (m mol H <sub>2</sub> O m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )					
	75 DAT	95 DAT	At harvest	75 DAT	95 DAT	At harvest
T1 - 25 % extra N soil application as top dressing	7.6	13.6	3.9	6.3	15.7	1.2
T2 - Foliar application of NPK (19:19:19) @ 1.0 %	8.4	15.4	4.8	7.4	16.2	1.6
T3 - Foliar application of triacontanol (2.0 ml/l)	7.1	11.3	2.7	4.5	13.3	0.5
T4 - Foliar application of GA3 (50 ppm)	6.7	10.7	2.4	3.7	13.1	0.6
T5 - Foliar application of nitrobenzene (20 ppm)	7.4	12.5	3.5	4.9	14.6	0.9
T6 - Foliar application of salicylic acid (500 ppm)	5.5	8.9	1.7	3.1	12.4	0.3
T7 - Foliar application of 6-BAP (20 ppm)	8.1	14.2	4.2	6.3	16.2	1.4
T8 - Foliar application of borax (0.2 %)	6.3	9.6	1.9	3.6	12.7	0.4
T9 - Foliar application of T3 + T8	6.9.	11.7	2.8	4.4	14.3	0.7
T10 - Control	5.2	7.4	0.8	2.8	8.5	0.2
Mean	6.90	11.5	2.90	4.70	13.7	0.80
S.Em (±)	0.079	0.24	0.10	0.07	0.074	0.044
C.D. at 5 %	0.23	0.70	0.30	0.21	0.22	0.12

DAT= Days after transplanting, N - Nitrogen, GA3- Gibberellic acid, 6-BAP - Benzylaminopurine



Fig 1: Effect of agrochemicals on photosynthetic rate (µ mol CO2 m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) at different growth stages of rice



Fig 2: Effect of agrochemicals on number of filled spikelets and number of unfilled spikelets per panicle after harvest of rice



Fig 3: Effect of agrochemicals on grain yield of rice (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Treatment	Filled spikelets panicle <sup>-1</sup>	Unfilled spikelets panicle <sup>-1</sup>	Per cent chaffyness (%)	Test weight (g)	Yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Per cent increase of yield over control (%)
T <sub>1</sub> - 25 % extra N soil application as top dressing	231.2	62.2	21.3	18.1	8419.9	18.8
T <sub>2</sub> - Foliar application of NPK (19:19:19) @ 1.0 %	232.9	61.3	20.9	23.6	8709.8	22.9
T <sub>3</sub> - Foliar application of triacontanol (2.0 ml/l)	202.1	74.0	26.8	17.4	8080.0	14.1
T <sub>4</sub> - Foliar application of GA <sub>3</sub> (50 ppm)	201.7	77.3	27.7	17.3	7890.2	11.3
T <sub>5</sub> - Foliar application of nitrobenzene (20 ppm)	222.4	63.1	22.1	18.1	8350.1	17.9
T <sub>6</sub> - Foliar application of salicylic acid (500 ppm)	190.5	106.9	33.8	16.9	7540.4	6.5
T <sub>7</sub> - Foliar application of 6-BAP (20 ppm)	231.8	61.5	21.0	19.5	8509.9	20.6
T <sub>8</sub> - Foliar application of borax (0.2 %)	198.0	86.9	30.5	17.2	7730.0	8.8
T <sub>9</sub> - Foliar application of $T_3 + T_8$	203.1	70.6	25.6	17.8	8219.7	16.2
T <sub>10</sub> - Control	172.7	123.7	41.8	15.5	7080.3	-
Mean	208.6	78.75	27.15	18.14	8053.0	-
S.E.m (±)	0.80	1.50	0.20	0.20	0.80	-
C.D. at 5 %	2.40	4.50	0.60	0.60	2.40	-

Table 3: Effect of different agrochemicals on yield and yield attributes of rice

N - Nitrogen, GA<sub>3</sub>- Gibberellic acid, 6-BAP – Benzylaminopurine

# References

- Alam SS, Moslehuddin AZM, Islam MR, Kamal AM. Soil and foliar application of nitrogen for Boro rice (BRRIdhan 29). J Bangladesh Agric. Univ. 2010; 8(2):199-202.
- 2. Anjum SA, Ehsanullah Xue L, Wang L, Saleem MF, Huang C. Exogenous benzoic acid (BZA) treatment can induce drought tolerance in soybean plants by improving gas-exchange and chlorophyll contents. Australian J crop sci. 2013; 7(5): 555-560.
- 3. Biradarpatil NK, Shekhargouda M. Cost effective techniques for enhancing seed yield and quality of hybrid rice. Karnataka J of Agric. Sci. 2006; 19(2):291-297.
- Dakshina KMM, Upendra AR, Vijay D, TV Sridhar. Effect of levels of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium on performance of rice. Indian J Agric. Res. 2014; 49(1):83-87.
- 5. Das SK, Jana K. Effect of foliar spray of water soluble fertilizer at pre flowering stage on yield of pulses. Agric. Sci. Digest. 2015; 35(4):275-279.
- Garg OK, Sharma AN, Kona GRSS. Effect of boron on the pollen vitality and yield of rice plant. Plant and Soil. 1980; 52:591-594.
- Gavino BR, Pi Y, Abon JCC. Application of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) in dosage for three hybrid rice seed production in the Philippines. J Agric. Tech. 2008; 4(1):183-192.

- Jamal Z, Hamayun M, Ahmad N, Chaudhary MF. Effect of soil and foliar application of different concentrations of NPK and foliar application of (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> on different parameters in wheat. J Agron. 2006; 5(2):251-256.
- Kashid DA. Effect of growth retardants on growth, physiology and yield in sunflower (*Helianthus annus* L.) M. Sc. (Agri.) Thesis, Agric. Sci. Dharwad, Karnataka, India, 2008.
- Kaur J, Singh G. Harmonal regulation of grain filling in relation to peduncle anatomy in rice cultivars. Indian J. of Experimental Biol. 1986; 37:146-149.
- 11. Khan W, Balakrishnan P, Donald LS. Photosynthetic responses of corn and soybean to foliar applications of salicylates. J pl. physiol. 2003; 160(5):485-492.
- Mu C, Yamagishi J. Effects of gibberellic acid application on panicle characteristics and size of shoot apex in the first bract differentiation stage in rice. Pl. Prod. Sci. 2001; 4(3):227-229.
- Naeem M, Bhatti I, Ahmad RH, Ashraf MY. Effect of some growth hormones (GA<sub>3</sub>, IAA and Kinetin) on the morphology and early or delayed initiation of bud of lentil. Pakistan J of Bot. 2004; 36(4):801-809
- 14. Naik MC, Meena MK, Suma TC, Palthe V, Sreedevi CS, Murthy K. *et al.* Assessment of yield and physiological parameters in (KRH-2) hybrid rice seed production (A×R) by using exogenous gibberellic acid. Int. J Pl. Sci. 2015; 10(2):113-117.

- 15. Pan S, Rasul F, Li W, Tian H, Mo Z, Duan M. *et al.* Roles of plant growth regulators on yield, grain qualities and antioxidant enzyme activities in super hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). 2013; 6(2):9-15.
- 16. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. Indian Council of Agriculture Research, New Delhi, Fourth Ed, 1985, 145-150.
- 17. Rahman UR, Inayat Afzal A, Iqbal Jafar, Liaz farhana, Manan Shafiul, Sohail, Ali. *et al.* Growth and yield of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. as influenced by different nutrient treatments. Int. J. Agron. Agric. Res. 2014; 4(3):20-26.
- Ramesh K, Thirumurugan V. Effect of seed pelleting and foliar nutrition on growth of soybean. Madras Agric. J. 2001; 88:465-468.
- 19. Thakur V, Teggelli RG, Meena MK. Influence of foliar nutrition on growth and yield of pulses grown under north eastern dry zone of Karnataka: A Review, Int. J Pure App. Biosci. 2017; 5(5):787-795.