

# Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; 7(4): 2719-2723 Received: 14-05-2018 Accepted: 18-06-2018

#### Mahendra Chaudhary

Department of Horticulture, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### **DP** Singh

Department of Horticulture, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Jagendra Pratap Singh

Department of Horticulture, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### Saurabh Tomar

Department of Horticulture, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

Correspondence Mahendra Chaudhary Department of Horticulture, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

# Effect of foliar application of PGRs and mineral nutrients on physico-chemical characteristics of Aonla fruit cv. NA-7

# Mahendra Chaudhary, DP Singh, Jagendra Pratap Singh and Saurabh Tomar

#### Abstract

The present study was conducted with aim to assess the effect of plant growth regulators and micronutrients on physical and biochemical properties of aonla. The present investigation was carried out during two consecutive years ( $Y_1=2015-16 \& Y_2=2016-17$ ) and pooled analysis was also carried out. The physical and biochemical characters of fruit viz., specific gravity, fruit pulp weight, fruit juice content, fruit pulp stone ratio, acidity, TSS, ascorbic acid, reducing sugars, non-reducing sugars, total sugars and stone weight were significantly varied due to foliar spray of micronutrients and plant growth regulators during both the years and in pooled analysis. The maximum specific gravity, fruit pulp weight, fruit juice content, fruit pulp stone ratio, acidity, TSS, ascorbic acid, reducing sugars, non-reducing sugars, total sugars and stone weight were recorded in treatment of GA<sub>3</sub> (50 ppm) + NAA (50 ppm) (T<sub>10</sub>) followed by spray of GA<sub>3</sub> (25 ppm) + NAA (25 ppm) (T<sub>9</sub>) as compared with other treatments. However, the lowest reducing, non-reducing and total sugars were obtained in control during both the years and in pooled analysis.

Keywords: Aonla, quality traits, mineral nutrients and PGRs

#### Introduction

*Indian gooseberry* (Aonla) is one of the most important fruits of arid tropics, which has high medicinal and nutritional values and has vast potential to grow under diverse soil-agroclimatic conditions. The aonla trees are hardy in nature, adopt well under varying soil (sodic and saline) and climatic conditions (arid and semi arid to dry hot and cold arid regions, rain fed to rainfall area), have low water requirement and exhibit salt tolerance. The wastelands which do not otherwise support arable crops may be put to productive use by planting aonla orchards. Tree characteristics such as deep root system, sparse foliage and dormancy of fruitlets make aonla a promising fruit species for arid and semi-arid regions.

In recent past, a set of factors including feasibility of commercial aonla cultivation in marginal lands, availability of improved varieties and huge possibilities for the value-addition of fruits have enabled rapid coverage of vast area under aonla cultivation in many parts of Uttar Pradesh, Haryana, Rajasthan, Maharashtra, Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu states of India (Pathak, 2001)<sup>[13]</sup>. India ranks first in the world in area and production of aonla crop. In India, Uttar Pradesh is known as home land of aonla cultivation, particularly in the districts of Pratapgarh, Varanasi, Azamgarh, Sultanpur, Raibareli etc. (Bajpai, 1963 and Ram, 1983)<sup>[1, 14]</sup>. Pratapgarh has been declared as aonla fruit belt and agri-export zone.

The aonla fruits are fleshy, yellowish green in colour having six vague perpendicular furrows enclosing seeds. Nutritional, commercial and medicinal significance of aonla fruit makes it popular all over world (Goyal *et al.*, 2007)<sup>[7]</sup>. The aonla is an excellent source of ascorbic acid (300-900 mg/100 g), amino acid and minerals along with phytochemicals such as polyphenols, tannins, emblicol, linoleic acid, corilagin, phyllemblin and rutin (Ghorai and Sethi, 1996; Jain and Khurdiya, 2004; Murthy and Joshi, 2007; Baliga and Dsouza, 2011)<sup>[6, 8, 11, 2]</sup>.

The plant growth regulators play a vital role for improving the growth and development of plant, fruit set, control of fruit drops, fruit maturation, fruit quality including of physiological and nutritional disorders has been well established in number of tropical, sub-tropical and temperate fruit crops (Singh and Singh, 1976; Bhati and Yadav, 2003 and Singh *et al.*, 2007) <sup>[22, 3, 21]</sup>. However, it has been studied that the physiological, biochemical and biological activities in plant system are highly influenced due to interaction of micro nutrients. Among the foliar application of different level of growth regulators, viz. GA<sub>3</sub>, 2, 4-D, NAA have been found more effective in improving flowering,

fruit set, fruit size, fruit yield and fruit quality in number of fruit crops. Similar studies on foliar application of growth regulators have earlier been undertaken to find out their effect on fruit set, fruit size, fruit drop, fruit maturation, fruit yield, and fruit quality of aonla (Ram *et al.*, 1977; Shymal and Chonkar, 1984; Singh *et al.*, 2001; Divya and Prasad, 2006 and Singh *et al.*, 2009)<sup>[15, 16, 20, 5, 19]</sup>.

Mineral nutrients are involved in flowering and fruiting process, pollen germination, cell division and metabolism of carbohydrates. They effect water relation in plant and are involved in translocation of sugars. The effect of mineral nutrients on yield, quality and shelf-life of fruits has been established in different crops but there are only few references for aonla (Panwar *et al.*, 1995 and Singh, 2002)<sup>[12, 18]</sup>.

# **Material and Methods**

Thirty nine well established healthy and uniform trees of aonla cv. NA-7 were selected for the purpose of experimentation. The recommended dose of manure and fertilizer and other orchard management practices were adopted during the course of investigation. Aqueous foliar spray of each treatment was done on single selected tree and replicated thrice.

# **Details of treatments**

| Treatments      |   | Treatments combination                    |
|-----------------|---|---|
| To              | : | Control (water spray)                     |
| $T_1$           | : | GA <sub>3</sub> (25 ppm)                  |
| $T_2$           | : | GA <sub>3</sub> (50 ppm)                  |
| T3              | : | NAA (25 ppm)                              |
| $T_4$           | : | NAA (50 ppm)                              |
| T5              | : | Borax (0.50%)                             |
| $T_6$           | : | Borax (0.75%)                             |
| <b>T</b> 7      | : | ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.50%)                 |
| $T_8$           | : | ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.75%)                 |
| <b>T</b> 9      | : | GA <sub>3</sub> (25 ppm) + NAA (25 ppm)   |
| T <sub>10</sub> | : | GA <sub>3</sub> (50 ppm) + NAA (50 ppm)   |
| T11             | : | Borax (0.50%) + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.50%) |
| T12             | : | Borax (0.75%) + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.75%) |

# **Preparation of solution**

| S. No. | Chemical            | Rate of chemical | Strength of solution |
|--------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 1.     | GA <sub>3</sub>     | 25 mg/litre      | 25 ppm               |
| 2.     | GA <sub>3</sub>     | 50 mg/litre      | 50 ppm               |
| 3.     | NAA                 | 25 mg/litre      | 25 ppm               |
| 4.     | NAA                 | 50 mg/litre      | 50 ppm               |
| 5.     | Borax               | 5 g/litre        | 0.5 per cent         |
| 6.     | Borax               | 7.5 g/litre      | 0.75 per cent        |
| 7.     | ZnSO <sub>4</sub>   | 5 g/litre        | 0.5 per cent         |
| 8.     | ZnSO <sub>4</sub>   | 7.5 g/litre      | 0.75 percent         |
| 9.     | $GA_3 + NAA$        | 50 mg/litre      | 50 ppm               |
| 10.    | $GA_3 + NAA$        | 100 mg/litre     | 100 ppm              |
| 11.    | $Borax + ZnSO_4$    | 1.0 g/litre      | 1.0 per cent         |
| 12.    | $Borax + ZnSO_4 \\$ | 1.5 g/litre      | 1.5 per cent         |

# Methods of spraying

The foliar application of PGRs and mineral nutrients were applied once after flowering time and second after fruit set and applied during the 15 May and 15 July 2016 and 2017. Each tree was sprayed with 10 litres of solution which was found adequate to drench entire foliage and spraying was done in the afternoon from 3.00 PM and 5.00 PM by using Pneumatic foot sprayer fitted with nozzle. High legged stool was used for top of the tree and it was ensured that all sides of tree branches was drench completely.

# **Result and Discussion**

The significant variations have been recorded with respect to pulp weight under the different treatments. The maximum pulp weight was observed in  $T_{10}$  {GA<sub>3</sub> (50 ppm) + NAA (50 ppm)} followed by spray of GA<sub>3</sub> (25 ppm) + NAA (25 ppm)  $(T_9)$  and the minimum was in control. The maximum pulp: stone ratio was recorded in treatment  $T_{10}$  {GA<sub>3</sub> (50 ppm) + NAA (50 ppm)} followed by spray of  $GA_3$  (25 ppm) + NAA (25 ppm) (T<sub>9</sub>) and the lowest in control (T<sub>0</sub>). The increase in pulp: stone ratio might be due to the acceleration in biochemical activities and accumulation of metabolites in plant parts, which is probably due to synergistic effect of micro-nutrients and plant growth regulators on conversion and translocation of total sugars and minerals during the process of fruit development and fruit maturation. Similar observations were recorded by Yadav et al. (2010)<sup>[23]</sup>, Mishra et al. (2017)<sup>[10]</sup> and Kumar et al. (2017)<sup>[9]</sup>.

An apprasial of data recorded on per cent of juice clearly indicate that there was significant increase in juice content of fruits due to different treatments over control. The maximum juice content was recorded in  $T_{10}$  {GA<sub>3</sub> (50 ppm) + NAA (50 ppm)} followed by spray of GA<sub>3</sub> (25 ppm) + NAA (25 ppm) (T<sub>9</sub>) and the minimum juice content was noted in control during both the years and pooled analysis.

A perusal of observations recorded on chemical composition of aonla fruit, determining its quality, due to effect of micronutrients and plant growth regulators clearly indicate that there was slight improvement in quality of fruits by combined application of ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, Borax, GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA. The highest TSS content was observed due to foliar application of GA<sub>3</sub> (50 ppm) + NAA (50 ppm) ( $T_{10}$ ) followed by spray of GA<sub>3</sub> (25 ppm) + NAA (25 ppm) ( $T_9$ ), while lowest TSS content was recorded in control during both the years and in pooled analysis. The increase in TSS content of aonla fruit might be due to the higher levels of water soluble compounds viz. sugars, vitamins and minerals, which were translocated and accumulated in mature fruit due to chemical changes taking place during the fruit maturation stage. Similar observations in accordance to present finding have been reported by Singh and Singh (2015)<sup>[17]</sup>, Bhati et al. (2016), Kumar et al. (2017) <sup>[9]</sup> and Mishra *et al.* (2017)<sup>[10]</sup>.

During the fruit maturation and harvesting stage, the concentration of acidity in aonla fruits was also affected by changes in sugars and other compounds due to increasing levels of nutrients in plant parts, which might have shown beneficial role in improving the quality of fruit by reducing the acidity per cent. It is clear from the results that the per cent acidity was significantly reduced due to foliar spray of GA<sub>3</sub> (50 ppm) + NAA (50 ppm) (T<sub>10</sub>) followed by spray of GA<sub>3</sub> (25 ppm) + NAA (25 ppm) (T<sub>9</sub>), while the maximum acidity was recorded in control (T<sub>0</sub>) during both the years and in pooled analysis. The present observations are in conformity with the findings of Singh and Singh (2015) <sup>[17]</sup> and Bhati *et al.* (2016).

Vitamin-'C' (Ascorbic acid) is a major active chemical constituent of aonla fruit, responsible for its medicinal properties. The vitamin-'C' content of aonla fruits is significantly affected by variable genotype, nutritional status of plant and soil and environmental temperature. The foliar application of micro-nutrients and plant growth regulators after fruit set have been found to play beneficial role in improving the ascorbic acid content of fruits. The observations recorded in present investigation clearly exhibit that the vitamin-'C' (Ascorbic acid) content in aonla fruits was influenced by foliar application of different treatments.

The maximum vitamin 'C' content (675mg/100g pulp) was obtained with the combined spray of GA<sub>3</sub> (50 ppm) + NAA (50 ppm) (T<sub>10</sub>) followed by spray of GA<sub>3</sub> (25 ppm) + NAA (25 ppm) (T<sub>9</sub>), while, the lowest content of vitamin-'C' was found in control (water spray) during both the years and in pooled analysis. The results are in conformity with the observations recorded by Bhati and Yadav (2003) <sup>[4]</sup> with NAA in ber and Mishra *et al.* (2017) <sup>[10]</sup> with ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, CuSO<sub>4</sub> and Borax in aonla. It is evident from the results that vitamin-'C' content in fruits might be improved with the application of micro-nutrients and plant growth regulators.

A similar pattern as for total soluble solids was recorded with respect to reducing, non-reducing and total sugars of fruit due to different treatments. The maximum reducing, non-reducing and total sugars were recorded in treatment of GA<sub>3</sub> (50 ppm) + NAA (50 ppm) (T<sub>10</sub>) followed by spray of GA<sub>3</sub> (25 ppm) + NAA (25 ppm) (T<sub>9</sub>) as compared with other treatments. However, the lowest reducing, non-reducing and total sugars were obtained in control during both the years and in pooled analysis. The increase in sugar fraction by the foliar spray of zinc, copper, GA<sub>3</sub> and NAA might be due to their stimulatory effect on increasing the photosynthetic efficiency and metabolic activity in plant system, which would be helpful in translocation and accumulation of chemical metabolites in matured fruits. Similar observations have also been reported by Singh and Singh (2015) <sup>[17]</sup> Bhati *et al.* (2016) and Mishra *et al.* (2017) <sup>[10]</sup>.

| Table 1: Effect of foliar application of P | GRs and mineral nutrients on physic | cal and biochemical parameters |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|  |                                     |                                |

| Treatments            |   |       | Specific gravity |        |       | Fruit juice content (%) |        |       | Pulp weight of fruit |        |  |
|-----------------------|---|-------|------------------|--------|-------|-------------------------|--------|-------|----------------------|--------|--|
| Treatments            |   | 2016  | 2017             | Pooled | 2016  | 2017                    | Pooled | 2016  | 2017                 | Pooled |  |
| T <sub>0</sub>        | Control (water spray)                     | 1.03  | 1.05             | 1.04   | 43.14 | 44.64                   | 43.89  | 22.62 | 23.68                | 23.15  |  |
| $T_1$                 | GA <sub>3</sub> (25 ppm)                  | 1.12  | 1.14             | 1.13   | 48.65 | 49.25                   | 48.95  | 34.79 | 35.58                | 35.19  |  |
| $T_2$                 | GA3 (50 ppm)                              | 1.14  | 1.16             | 1.15   | 49.57 | 50.07                   | 49.82  | 36.46 | 37.63                | 37.05  |  |
| <b>T</b> <sub>3</sub> | NAA (25 ppm)                              | 1.10  | 1.12             | 1.11   | 47.64 | 48.94                   | 48.29  | 30.92 | 31.82                | 31.37  |  |
| T <sub>4</sub>        | NAA (50 ppm)                              | 1.11  | 1.13             | 1.12   | 48.28 | 48.97                   | 48.63  | 31.84 | 33.61                | 32.73  |  |
| T <sub>5</sub>        | Borax (0.50%)                             | 1.08  | 1.10             | 1.09   | 45.76 | 47.10                   | 46.43  | 27.32 | 28.96                | 28.14  |  |
| T <sub>6</sub>        | Borax (0.75%)                             | 1.09  | 1.11             | 1.10   | 46.34 | 47.46                   | 46.90  | 28.77 | 30.04                | 29.41  |  |
| <b>T</b> <sub>7</sub> | ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.50%)                 | 1.05  | 1.07             | 1.06   | 44.18 | 45.53                   | 44.86  | 24.12 | 25.17                | 24.65  |  |
| T <sub>8</sub>        | ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.75%)                 | 1.06  | 1.09             | 1.08   | 44.26 | 45.88                   | 45.07  | 25.99 | 27.75                | 26.87  |  |
| T <sub>9</sub>        | GA <sub>3</sub> (25 ppm) + NAA (25 ppm)   | 1.16  | 1.17             | 1.17   | 51.24 | 52.33                   | 51.79  | 40.77 | 41.64                | 41.21  |  |
| T <sub>10</sub>       | GA <sub>3</sub> (50 ppm) + NAA (50 ppm)   | 1.17  | 1.19             | 1.18   | 51.56 | 52.77                   | 52.17  | 41.42 | 43.32                | 42.37  |  |
| T <sub>11</sub>       | Borax (0.50%) + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.50%) | 1.14  | 1.15             | 1.15   | 50.29 | 50.13                   | 50.21  | 37.84 | 39.52                | 38.68  |  |
| T <sub>12</sub>       | Borax (0.75%) + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.75%) | 1.15  | 1.16             | 1.16   | 50.72 | 51.22                   | 50.97  | 39.45 | 40.58                | 40.02  |  |
|                       | C.D. (P=0.05)                             | 0.006 | 0.007            | 0.006  | 0.171 | 0.163                   | 0.164  | 0.395 | 0.396                | 0.395  |  |
|                       | SEm±                                      | 0.002 | 0.002            | 0.002  | 0.058 | 0.055                   | 0.056  | 0.134 | 0.135                | 0.134  |  |

Table 2: Effect of foliar application of PGRs and mineral nutrients on physical and biochemical parameters

| Treatments |   | Fruit | pulp sto | one ratio | Fruit | stone w | eight (g) | Total Soluble solids (°B) |       |        |  |
|------------|---|-------|----------|-----------|-------|---------|-----------|---------------------------|-------|--------|--|
|            | Treatments                                |       | 2017     | Pooled    | 2016  | 2017    | Pooled    | 2016                      | 2017  | Pooled |  |
| $T_0$      | Control (water spray)                     | 13.71 | 14.10    | 13.91     | 1.65  | 1.68    | 1.67      | 11.08                     | 10.92 | 11.00  |  |
| $T_1$      | GA <sub>3</sub> (25 ppm)                  | 16.73 | 16.86    | 16.80     | 2.08  | 2.11    | 2.10      | 12.97                     | 11.46 | 12.22  |  |
| $T_2$      | GA <sub>3</sub> (50 ppm)                  | 17.28 | 17.67    | 17.48     | 2.11  | 2.13    | 2.12      | 13.20                     | 11.55 | 12.38  |  |
| $T_3$      | NAA (25 ppm)                              | 15.78 | 16.23    | 16.01     | 1.96  | 1.96    | 1.96      | 12.45                     | 11.96 | 12.21  |  |
| $T_4$      | NAA (50 ppm)                              | 16.08 | 16.24    | 16.16     | 1.98  | 2.07    | 2.03      | 12.70                     | 11.50 | 12.10  |  |
| $T_5$      | Borax (0.50%)                             | 14.53 | 15.16    | 14.85     | 1.88  | 1.91    | 1.90      | 11.64                     | 11.82 | 11.73  |  |
| $T_6$      | Borax (0.75%)                             | 15.06 | 15.48    | 15.27     | 1.91  | 1.94    | 1.93      | 11.79                     | 12.95 | 12.37  |  |
| $T_7$      | ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.50%)                 | 14.11 | 14.63    | 14.37     | 1.71  | 1.72    | 1.72      | 11.22                     | 13.94 | 12.58  |  |
| $T_8$      | ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.75%)                 | 14.05 | 14.84    | 14.45     | 1.85  | 1.87    | 1.86      | 11.30                     | 12.62 | 11.96  |  |
| $T_9$      | GA <sub>3</sub> (25 ppm) + NAA (25 ppm)   | 18.62 | 18.84    | 18.73     | 2.19  | 2.21    | 2.20      | 14.25                     | 13.46 | 13.86  |  |
| $T_{10}$   | GA <sub>3</sub> (50 ppm) + NAA (50 ppm)   | 18.74 | 19.34    | 19.04     | 2.21  | 2.24    | 2.23      | 14.40                     | 14.60 | 14.50  |  |
| $T_{11}$   | Borax (0.50%) + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.50%) | 17.68 | 18.47    | 18.08     | 2.14  | 2.14    | 2.14      | 13.75                     | 13.50 | 13.63  |  |
| $T_{12}$   | Borax (0.75%) + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.75%) | 18.26 | 18.61    | 18.44     | 2.16  | 2.18    | 2.17      | 13.80                     | 13.70 | 13.75  |  |
|            | C.D. (P=0.05)                             | 0.111 | 0.109    | 0.110     | 0.011 | 0.012   | 0.011     | 0.073                     | 0.074 | 0.074  |  |
|            | SEm±                                      | 0.038 | 0.037    | 0.037     | 0.004 | 0.004   | 0.004     | 0.025                     | 0.025 | 0.025  |  |

| Table 3: Effect of foliar application o | FPGRs and mineral nutrients or | n physical and biochemica | l parameters |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
|   |                                |                           |              |

|                | Treatments                              |      | Acidity | %      | Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g pulp) |        |        | Reducing sugar (%) |      |        |
|----------------|---|------|---------|--------|-------------------------------|--------|--------|--------------------|------|--------|
|                |   |      | 2017    | Pooled | 2016                          | 2017   | Pooled | 2016               | 2017 | Pooled |
| $T_0$          | Control (water spray)                   | 1.84 | 1.87    | 1.86   | 556.88                        | 558.34 | 557.61 | 3.66               | 3.71 | 3.69   |
| $T_1$          | GA <sub>3</sub> (25 ppm)                | 1.67 | 1.70    | 1.69   | 561.77                        | 562.43 | 562.10 | 4.47               | 4.56 | 4.52   |
| $T_2$          | GA <sub>3</sub> (50 ppm)                | 1.63 | 1.66    | 1.65   | 563.44                        | 564.22 | 563.83 | 4.52               | 4.58 | 4.55   |
| $T_3$          | NAA (25 ppm)                            | 1.74 | 1.76    | 1.75   | 560.23                        | 561.56 | 560.90 | 4.33               | 4.43 | 4.38   |
| $T_4$          | NAA (50 ppm)                            | 1.69 | 1.71    | 1.70   | 560.55                        | 562.13 | 561.34 | 4.41               | 4.49 | 4.45   |
| $T_5$          | Borax (0.50%)                           | 1.76 | 1.79    | 1.78   | 559.12                        | 560.14 | 559.63 | 4.12               | 4.18 | 4.15   |
| $T_6$          | Borax (0.75%)                           | 1.75 | 1.78    | 1.77   | 559.20                        | 561.32 | 560.26 | 4.24               | 4.29 | 4.27   |
| $T_7$          | ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.50%)               | 1.80 | 1.84    | 1.82   | 557.35                        | 559.17 | 558.26 | 3.81               | 3.88 | 3.85   |
| $T_8$          | ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.75%)               | 1.77 | 1.81    | 1.79   | 558.14                        | 559.66 | 558.90 | 3.96               | 4.05 | 4.01   |
| T <sub>9</sub> | GA <sub>3</sub> (25 ppm) + NAA (25 ppm) | 1.52 | 1.56    | 1.54   | 569.22                        | 570.18 | 569.70 | 4.76               | 4.81 | 4.79   |

| $T_{10}$        | GA <sub>3</sub> (50 ppm) + NAA (50 ppm)   | 1.49  | 1.54  | 1.52  | 572.30 | 573.66 | 572.98 | 4.84  | 4.89  | 4.87  |
|-----------------|---|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| T11             | Borax (0.50%) + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.50%) | 1.59  | 1.64  | 1.62  | 564.11 | 564.98 | 564.55 | 4.63  | 4.69  | 4.66  |
| T <sub>12</sub> | Borax (0.75%) + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.75%) | 1.55  | 1.59  | 1.57  | 566.33 | 567.11 | 566.72 | 4.71  | 4.77  | 4.74  |
|                 | C.D. (P=0.05)                             | 0.007 | 0.006 | 0.007 | 0.288  | 0.278  | 0.280  | 0.024 | 0.022 | 0.015 |
|                 | SEm±                                      | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.098  | 0.095  | 0.097  | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0.005 |

Table 4: Effect of foliar application of PGRs and mineral nutrients on physical and biochemical parameters

| Treatments            |   | Non   | -reducing su | Total sugars (%) |       |       |        |
|-----------------------|---|-------|--------------|------------------|-------|-------|--------|
|                       | Treatments                                | 2016  | 2017         | Pooled           | 2016  | 2017  | Pooled |
| T <sub>0</sub>        | Control (water spray)                     | 3.22  | 3.28         | 3.25             | 6.88  | 6.99  | 6.94   |
| <b>T</b> <sub>1</sub> | GA <sub>3</sub> (25 ppm)                  | 3.41  | 3.47         | 3.44             | 7.88  | 8.03  | 7.96   |
| <b>T</b> <sub>2</sub> | GA <sub>3</sub> (50 ppm)                  | 3.44  | 3.49         | 3.47             | 7.96  | 8.07  | 8.02   |
| T <sub>3</sub>        | NAA (25 ppm)                              | 3.38  | 3.45         | 3.42             | 7.71  | 7.88  | 7.80   |
| $T_4$                 | NAA (50 ppm)                              | 3.39  | 3.46         | 3.43             | 7.80  | 7.95  | 7.88   |
| T <sub>5</sub>        | Borax (0.50%)                             | 3.31  | 3.36         | 3.34             | 7.43  | 7.54  | 7.49   |
| T <sub>6</sub>        | Borax (0.75%)                             | 3.35  | 3.39         | 3.37             | 7.59  | 7.68  | 7.64   |
| <b>T</b> 7            | ZnSO4 (0.50%)                             | 3.25  | 3.31         | 3.28             | 7.06  | 7.19  | 7.13   |
| T <sub>8</sub>        | ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.75%)                 | 3.28  | 3.34         | 3.31             | 7.24  | 7.39  | 7.32   |
| <b>T</b> 9            | GA <sub>3</sub> (25 ppm) + NAA (25 ppm)   | 3.51  | 3.57         | 3.54             | 8.30  | 8.42  | 8.36   |
| $T_{10}$              | GA <sub>3</sub> (50 ppm) + NAA (50 ppm)   | 3.54  | 3.61         | 3.58             | 8.35  | 8.46  | 8.41   |
| T11                   | Borax (0.50%) + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.50%) | 3.47  | 3.52         | 3.50             | 8.10  | 8.21  | 8.16   |
| T <sub>12</sub>       | Borax (0.75%) + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.75%) | 3.50  | 3.55         | 3.53             | 8.21  | 8.32  | 8.27   |
|                       | C.D. (P=0.05)                             | 0.008 | 0.008        | 0.007            | 0.28  | 0.028 | 0.028  |
|                       | SEm±                                      | 0.003 | 0.003        | 0.003            | 0.010 | 0.009 | 0.010  |

# References

- 1. Bajpai PN. Studies on bearing habbit of aonla (*Emblica* officinalis Gaertn.) Ph.D. thesis, Agra University, Agra, 1963.
- 2. Baliga MS, Dsouza JJ. Amla (*Emblica officinalis* Garten), a wonder berry in the treatment and prevention of cancer. European Journal of Cancer Prevention. 2011; 20:225-239.
- 3. Bhati BS, Yadav PK. Effect of foliar application of urea and NAA on the yield parameters of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Gola. Haryana J Hort. Sci. 2003; 3(1-2):148-149.
- 4. Bhati BS, Yadav PK. Effect of foliar application of urea and NAA on the yield parameters of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cv. Gola. Haryana J Hort. Sci. 2003; 3(1-2):148-149.
- 5. Divya, Prasad. Effect of plant growth substances on fruit bud dormancy fruit development and yield of aonla (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) cv. Francis M.Sc. thesis submitted at NDUAT, Kumarganj-Faizabad (U.P.), 2006.
- 6. Ghorai K, Sethi V. Varietal suitability of Amla ('Desi' and 'Banarasi') fruits for storage and preservation. Indian Food Packer. 1996; 50:11-18.
- 7. Goyal RK, Kingsly ARP, Kumar P, Walia H. Physical and mechanical properties of Aonla fruits. Journal of Food Engineering. 2007; 82:595-599.
- Jain SK, Khurdiya DS. Vitamin C enrichment of fruit juice based Ready-to-Serve beverage through blending of *Indian gooseberry (Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) juice. Plant Foods for Human Nutrition. 2004; 59:63-64.
- 9. Kumar Ajay, Tripathi VK, Dubey Vishal, Katiyar NK, Tiwari Prakash. Influence of foliar application of calcium, zinc and boron on fruit drop, yield and quality attributes of aonla (*Emblica officinalis*) cv. NA-7. Research on Crops. 2017; 18(1):91-97.
- Mishra, Shivanand Mohan, Ram D, Pandey Ankit, Meena, Ashok Kumar. Effect of Foliar Feeding of Micronutrients on Physico-Chemical Attributes of Aonla (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn) cv. Na-7 Under High Density Planting. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2017; 6(5):1951-1957.

- Murthy ZVP, Joshi D. Fluidized bed drying of Aonla (*Emblica officinalis*). Drying Technology. 2007; 25:883-889.
- Panwar RD, Saini RS, Kaushik RA, Yamdagni R. Effect of micro-nutrient on fruit retention, yield and quality of aonla cv. Banarsi under rainfed condition. Haryana J Hort. Sci. 1995; 22(1-2):250-251.
- 13. Pathak RK. Aonla in Handbook of Horticulture. ICAR, New Delhi. 2001, 115-118.
- Ram S. Aonla (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) uses, botany and ultivation, Directrate of experimental station, Res. Bull., No. 110, GBPUA & T., Pantnagar, 1983.
- Ram S, Dwivedi TS, Bist LD. Internal fruit necrosis in aonla (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) Prog. Hort. 1977; 8(3):5-12.
- 16. Shymal MM, Chonkar VS. Effect of plant growth regulators on physio-chemical compositions of aonla fruits. South Indian Hort. 1984; 32(3):156-159.
- 17. Singh, Anshuman, Singh HK. Application of Plant growth regulators to improve fruit yield and quality in *Indian gooseberry (Emblica officinalis* Gaernt.). Journal of Agri Search. 2015; 2(1):20-23.
- 18. Singh DM. Studies on foliar feeding of nutrient on yield and quality of aonla fruits. M.Sc. (Ag.) Hort, Thesis, NDUAT, Kumarganj, Faizabad, U.P, 2002.
- 19. Singh DM, Singh HK, Vishwanath, Pratap Bhanu. Effect of foliar feeding of nutrients on yield and quality of aonla fruits (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) Annals of Horticulture. 2009; 2(1):95-97.
- 20. Singh HK, Srivastava AK, Dwivedi R, Kumar P. Effect of foliar application on internal fruit necrosis of aonla (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) cv. Francis. Progressive Horticulture. 2001; 33(1):80-83.
- 21. Singh JK, Prasad J, Singh HK. Effect of micro-nutrient and plant growth regulators on fruit yield and physicochemial charateristics of aonla fruits cv. Narendra aonla-10. Indian J Hort. 2007; 64(2):216-218.
- Singh UR, Singh N. Effect of plant growth regulators on fruit drop, size and quality of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana*) cv. Banarsi Karaka. Haryana J Hort. Sci. 1976; 5(1-2):1-8.

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

23. Yadav Subhash, Shukla HS, Ram RA. Studies on foliar application of NAA, GA<sub>3</sub>, boric acid, and Ca (NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> on fruit retention, growth, yield and quality of aonla (*Emblica officinalis* Gaertn.) cv. Banarasi. Horticultural Journal. 2010; 23(2):64-67.