



E-ISSN: 2278-4136
P-ISSN: 2349-8234
JPP 2018; 7(4): 2604-2605
Received: 19-05-2018
Accepted: 22-06-2018

TSSK Patro

Acharya N.G. Ranga
Agricultural University,
Agricultural Research Station,
Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh,
India

A Meena

Acharya N.G. Ranga
Agricultural University,
Agricultural Research Station,
Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh,
India

M Divya

Acharya N.G. Ranga
Agricultural University,
Agricultural Research Station,
Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh,
India

N Anuradha

Acharya N.G. Ranga
Agricultural University,
Agricultural Research Station,
Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh,
India

Identification of resistant sources of Proso millet varieties against *Rhizoctonia solani* Kuhn. Inciting banded blight (BB) disease

TSSK Patro, A Meena, M Divya and N Anuradha

Abstract

A total of 8 proso millet varieties including check were evaluated for resistance to banded blight at Agricultural Research Station, Vizianagaram during *kharij*, 2017-18. The experiment was conducted under field condition. The screening revealed that none of the test lines or varieties was immune, highly resistant or resistant. All the varieties were susceptible. However, the disease ranged from 64.00% (TNPm 247) to 94.67% (Co 5 (local check)). The disease intensity was less in TNPm 247 (64.00) followed by GPUP 21 (68.00) and was highest in TNAU 151 (81.33) followed by GPUP (76.00).

Keywords: proso millet, screening, banded blight, resistant, susceptible

Introduction

Small millet crops belonging to Poaceae have a long history of cultivation of more than 5000 years and grown in many states (Gowda *et al.* 2006) [2] due to their unique adaptation properties for poor degraded lands and ability to tolerate abiotic stress besides being high quality fodder crops and high nutritive value. In India, the antiquity of proso millet (*Panicum milliaceum* L.) is not clear. The crop is cultivated in sporadic patches from the Himalayas in the north and to Tamil Nadu in the south (Nagaraja *et al.* 2007) [3]. It is grown in Madhya Pradesh, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra, Bihar, Uttar Pradesh and Uttarakhand (Sinha and Upadhyay 1997) [11]. Incidentally, proso millet is known to be affected by several diseases.

As it is a low value crop doesn't offer much scope for additional cash inputs like fungicides and chemical methods of control are generally not advisable, hence growing resistant varieties is the best option. Very little efforts have been made to identify the resistant sources of foxtail millet against banded leaf blight disease. So an attempt was made to identify the sheath blight resistant lines.

Material and Methods

Eight entries of proso millet initial advanced varieties were evaluated at Vizianagaram falling under different agro climatic situations. These entries were evaluated in two rows of 3 m length sown at 22.5 × 10 cm spacing in infector row method using Co 5 as a susceptible check so as to ensure the availability of sufficient inoculum during *kharij* 2017-18. Banded blight (BB) was recorded by using 0 to 9 scale (Anon, 1996) [1].

Table 1: Standard Evaluation System (SES) scale for sheath blight disease

Score	Description	Reaction
0	No incidence	No disease/HR
1	Vertical spread of the lesions up to 20% of plant height	R
3	Vertical spread of the lesions up to 21-30% of plant height	MR
5	Vertical spread of the lesions up to 31-45% of plant height	MS
7	Vertical spread of the lesions up to 46-65% of plant height	S
9	Vertical spread of the lesions up to 66-100% of plant height	HS

Data was taken and expressed in percentage. The Percent Disease Index (PDI) was calculated by using the following formula:

$$PDI = \frac{\text{Sum of all disease ratings}}{\text{Total no. of ratings} \times \text{Maximum disease grade}} \times 100$$

Correspondence**TSSK Patro**

Acharya N.G. Ranga
Agricultural University,
Agricultural Research Station,
Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh,
India

Results and Discussion

Eight entries were evaluated during *kharif* 2017-18 in Proso millet initial advanced variety trial (PIAVT). The screening revealed that none of the test lines or varieties was immune, highly resistant or resistant. All the varieties were susceptible. However, the disease ranged from 64.00% (TNPm 247) to 94.67% (Co 5 (local check)). The disease intensity was less in TNPm 247 (64.00) followed by GPUP 21 (68.00) and was highest in TNAU 151 (81.33) followed by GPUP (76.00) (Table 2).

Patro *et al.*, (2015)^[8] screened 18 proso millet genotypes and reported resistant to moderately resistant genotypes in DhPrMv 2164 (29.23%) and DhPrMv 2769 (28.90%). Patro *et al.*, (2017)^[10] screened eleven varieties and reported that minimum disease severity (64.00%) was recorded in TNAU 145 whereas it was 90.67 % in check. Patro *et al* (2014)^[7] and Nagaraja *et al* (2016)^[4] reported that all the small millet crops were found infected with *R. solani*, whereas in the screening of little millet LAVT 19 and LAVT 14 were found as resistant genotypes. Similar research was also done in other small millet crops by Negeraja *et al.*, 2016^[4], Patro *et al.*, 2013^[6] and Patro *et al.*, 2016^[9]. These genotypes would be of immense value to the breeders involved in developing high yielding resistant genotypes of little millet.

Table 2: Reaction of Proso millet varieties to banded blight.

S. No.	Entry	Vizianagaram
1	TNPm 238	74.67
2	GPUP 25	76.00
3	TNPm 244	73.33
4	TNPm 247	64.00
5	TNAU 164 (Check)	74.67
6	GPUP 21 (Check)	68.00
7	TNAU 151 (Check)	81.33
8	CO 5	94.67
	Mean	75.89
	CD (5%)	9.18
	CV	8.55

References

1. Anonymous. Standard evaluation system for rice. International Rice Testing programme. International Rice Research Institute Report, Philippines, 1996.
2. Gowda KTK, Gowda J, Ashok EG, Nagaraja A, Jagadish PS, Sashidhar VR *et al.* Technology for increasing finger millet and other small millets production in India. Project Coordinating Cell, ICAR, University of Agricultural Sciences, Gandhi Krishi Vigyan Kendra Campus, Bangalore, 2006, 41.
3. Nagaraja A, Kumar J, Jain AK, Narasimhudu Y, Raghuchander T, Kumar B *et al.* Compendium of small millets diseases. Project Coordination Cell, All India Coordinated Small Millets Improvement Project, University of Agricultural Sciences, Gandhi Krishi Vigyan Kendra Campus, Bangalore, 2007, 80.
4. Nagaraja A, Bijendra Kumar Jain AK, Patro TSSK, Nageswar Rao TG. Diseases of small millets. Diseases of field crops and their management. Indian Phytopathological Society. New Delhi, 2016, 295-371.
5. Neeraja B, Patro TSSK, Rani YS, Triveni U, Geethanjali K. Studies on three forms of blast (leaf, neck and finger) in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* Gaertn.) incited by *Magnaporthe grisea* [Hebert]. Barr. *In vivo*. 6th International Conference Plant, Pathogens and People. New Delhi, India. 2016; 269:23-27.

6. Patro TSSK, Anuradha N, Madhuri J, Suma Y, Soujanya A. Identification of resistant sources for blast disease in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* Gaertn.). Varietal Improvement of Small Millets. National seminar on. Recent Advances of Varietal Improvement in Small Millets, 2013, 5-6.
7. Patro TSSK, Neeraja B, Rani SY, Keerthi S, Jyothisna S. Banded blight – An emerging malady in small millets. National conference on emerging challenges and opportunities in biotic and abiotic stress management. Society for scientific development in agriculture and technology, Meerut, India. 2014, 120.
8. Patro TSSK, Neeraja B, Sandhya Rani Y, Jyothisna S. Studies on proso millet (*Panicum miliaceum* L.) genotypes against emerging malady banded sheath blight caused by *Rhizoctonia solani*. Khun under *in vivo*. Progressive Research-An International Journal. 2015; 10(4):1916-1918.
9. Patro TSSK, Neeraja B, Sandhya Rani Y, Jyothisna S, Keerthi S, Bansal A. Reaction of elite finger millet varieties against blast disease incited by *Magnaporthe grisea* *in vivo*. 2016; 11(2):209-212.
10. Patro TSSK, Neeraja B, Sandhya Rani Y, Jyothisna S. Evaluation of proso millet (*Panicum miliaceum* L.) genotypes against emerging malady banded sheath blight caused by *Rhizoctonia solani*. Khun under *in vivo*. Progressive Research-An International Journal. 2017; 12(1):103-104.
11. Sinha AP, Upadhyay JP. Millet ke rog. (In Hindi), Directorate of Publication, GB Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. 1997, 263 145, 180p.