

# Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; 7(4): 2408-2411 Received: 04-05-2018 Accepted: 08-06-2018

#### P Bindu Priya Begional Agricultu

Regional Agricultural Research Station, Palem, PJTSAU, Telangana, India

### MV Nagesh Kumar

Seed Research and Technology Centre, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, Telangana, India

V Gouri Shankar College of Agriculture, PJTSAU, Rajendranagar, Telangana, India

**G Anuradha** Regional Agricultural Research Station, Palem, PJTSAU, Telangana, India

### G Seshu

Regional Agricultural Research Station, Palem, PJTSAU, Telangana. India

### M Venkata Ramana

Regional Agricultural Research Station, Palem, PJTSAU, Telangana, India

Correspondence P Bindu Priya Regional Agricultural Research Station, Palem, PJTSAU, Telangana, India

# Studies on estimation of heterotic effects on seed yield and its component traits in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.)

# P Bindu Priya, MV Nagesh Kumar, V Gouri Shankar, G Anuradha, G Seshu and M Venkata Ramana

### Abstract

Thirty castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) hybrids developed by crossing three pistillate lines and ten monoecious lines in line x tester mating design were evaluated along with parents for seed yield and component traits and the data was analyzed for heterosis. The analysis of variance showed that mean square due to parents, crosses and parents vs. crosses were found significant for all the characters, indicating the presence of sufficient amount of genetic diversity in the material. The hybrids viz., DPC-9 × Haritha, M-574 × SKI-215, DPC-9 × PCS-106 and DPC-9 × JC-12 were highly heterotic for seed yield and its contributing characters. Number of crosses exhibiting significant relative heterosis, heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis for seed yield were 29, 19 and 4, respectively. Number of effective spikes per plant, primary spike length, 100 seed weight and number of nodes were important yield contributing characters.

Keywords: castor, heterosis, heterobeltiosis, standard heterosis, seed yield

## Introduction

Castor (*Ricinus communis* L., 2n = 2x = 20) is one of the most important non-edible oilseed crops widely cultivated in the arid and semi-arid regions of the world. It is cultivated to an extent of 8.3 lakh ha in India with an average productivity of 1713 kg/ha (Annual Report, 2017-18, IIOR-ICAR). India is the largest producer of castor seed with major area and production mainly contributed by Gujarat, Rajasthan, Telangana, Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Orissa and Karnataka states. It is majorly cultivated as rainfed crop in South India and as irrigated crop in North Indian states. Castor seed contains oil to an extent of 47 to 55 per cent. It is a highly proclaimed oil as it contains the very unique ricinoleic acid and such exquisite chemical and physical properties are responsible for the widespread industrial applications of castor oil. It is a farmer friendly crop because of its low cultivation costs and lack of menace due to wild boars and birds.

Being a highly cross pollinated crop, exploitation of heterosis through hybrid breeding programmes has played a remarkable role in augmenting the productivity and thus production levels in castor. The development of pistillate mechanism has paved way for successful commercial exploitation of hybrid vigour. It is very important to identify best pistillate and monoecious lines and their best cross combinations in order to tap the heterotic component in castor. The present study aims at identifying the best cross compatible combinations through determining their extent of heterosis.

## **Materials and Methods**

Three elite pistillate lines (DPC-9, M-574 and PPL-18) were crossed with ten diverse male parents (PCS-202, PCS-225, PCS-124, SKI215, PCS-223, JC-12, PCS-136, PCS-106, PCS-171 and DCS-78) during *Rabi*, 2016-17. The resultant thirty hybrids were evaluated along with their parents and standard check, PCH-111 in a randomized block design, replicated thrice at Regional Agricultural Research Station, Palem during *Kharif*, 2017-18. The site of experimentation falls under semi-arid climate and comes under the ambit of Southern Telangana Zone on  $16^{0}35^{1}$  latitude,  $78^{0}1^{1}$  longitude and altitude of 642 m above mean sea level. Each entry was sown in four rows with a row length of 6 m. Ten plants were randomly selected from each entry and replication on which observations were recorded on nine economically important traits viz., days to fifty per cent flowering, days to maturity, number of nodes up to primary spike, plant height (cm), primary spike length (cm), number of spikes per plant, 100 seed weight (g), seed yield per plant (g) and oil content (per cent). Days to fifty per cent flowering and days to maturity were recorded on plot basis. A standard package of

practices was followed for raising the crop. The analysis of variance for each trait was calculated as per Panse and Sukhatme (1978) <sup>[12]</sup>. The superiority of hybrids was estimated over better parents as heterobeltiosis and as standard heterosis over check PCH-111 according to the method of Fonseca and Patterson (1968) <sup>[3]</sup>.

## **Results and Discussion**

The results obtained under the present investigation are presented in Tables 1 to 5. The analysis of variance revealed that the mean square values due to genotypes, parents and crosses were significant indicating differences among themselves for all the characters studied (Table 1). Results revealed heterotic effects over mid parent (relative heterosis), better parent (heterobeltiosis) and over the check hybrid (standard heterosis) for various characters thus emphasizing that the magnitude and extent of various heterotic effects varied with cross combinations and characters. Number of hybrids showing heterosis in positive direction and range of heterosis exhibited in different cross combinations is reported in Table 3.

Top four hybrids with respect to mean seed yield along with their values of relative heterosis, heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis over check PCH-111 as well as for component traits are given in Tables 2 to 4. Number of crosses exhibiting

significant relative heterosis, heterobeltiosis and standard heterosis for seed yield were 29, 19 and 4, respectively (Table 5). The results indicated that the crosses DPC-9  $\times$  Haritha, M-574 × SKI-215, DPC-9 × PCS-106 and DPC-9 × JC-12 were found to be the top four promising hybrids. Whitehouse et al. (1958) <sup>[22]</sup> and Grafius (1959) <sup>[6]</sup> previously suggested that there cannot be any gene system special for seed yield per se, as yield is an end product of multiplicative interaction of several yield components. The high yielding hybrids manifested significant and desirable heterosis over standard check PCH-111 for the important yield contributing characters viz., number of spikes per plant, primary spike length, 100 seed weight and number of nodes. This emphasizes that high degree of standard heterosis for seed yield per plant might be due to the desirable heterosis observed for their important component traits. In all top four hybrids one parent is the male line and other one is the pistillate line. Hence, these hybrids should be further evaluated before commercial use.

High association of heterosis between seed yield components and seed yield per plant in castor have also been earlier reported by Joshi *et al.* (2001) <sup>[9]</sup>, Venkata Ramana *et al.* (2005) <sup>[20]</sup>, Patel and Pathak (2006) <sup>[13]</sup>, Chaudhuri *et al.* (2011), Singh *et al.* (2013) <sup>[18]</sup>, Sapovadiya *et al.* (2015) <sup>[17]</sup> and Patel *et al.* (2016) <sup>[14]</sup>.

Source	df	Days to 50 per cent flowering	Days to maturity	No. of nodes up to primary spike	Plant height (cm)	Primary spike length (cm)	No. of spikes/ plant	100 Seed weight (g)	Seed yield/ plant (g)	Oil Content (%)
Replicates	2	0.30	2.43	0.03	1.83	0.60	0.14	0.78	158.30*	2.33
Genotypes	42	68.01**	23.68**	5.97**	422.96**	150.99**	1.45**	25.44**	889.78**	4.91**
Parents	12	12.79**	25.86**	8.06**	181.14**	35.39**	1.42**	19.42**	831.08**	2.06*
Parents (Line)	2	4.78	3.11	2.33**	49.67	5.79	0.52*	2.33	33.78	0.22
Parents (Testers)	9	15.99**	24.43**	9.43**	222.10**	38.61**	1.42**	17.17**	910.73**	2.67**
Parents (L vs T)	1	0.01	84.27**	7.20**	75.49*	65.58*	3.24**	73.88**	1708.89**	0.22
Parents vs Crosses	1	1371.95**	35.27**	3.73**	1873.37**	2246.73**	1.79**	89.08**	9315.83**	10.77**
Crosses	29	45.90**	22.38**	5.18**	473.00**	126.57**	1.44**	25.74**	623.51**	5.89**
Line Effect	2	101.48	1.23	11.69*	785.35	259.72	1.57	2.01	1296.21**	7.01*
Tester Effect	9	41.26	64.77**	8.76*	820.17*	88.46	3.31**	76.97**	1535.33**	14.72**
Line * Tester Eff.	18	42.05**	3.53	2.67**	264.71**	130.82**	0.50**	2.75*	92.85*	1.35
Error	84	2.72	2.64	0.38	16.44	11.71	0.16	1.53	45.81	0.89
Total	128	24.10	9.54	2.21	149.60	57.24	0.58	9.36	324.49	2.23

Table 1: Analysis of variance for seed yield and yield components in castor

\* Significant at 5% level, \*\* Significant at 1% level

Table 2: Heterosis for seed yield, days to 50% flowering and days to maturity in Castor

S No	Hybrid Nome	Food wield non plant (g)	Seed yield per plant (g)			Days to 50% flowering			Days to maturity		
5. INO.	nybriu Name	Seed yield per plant (g)	RH	HB	SH	RH	HB	SH	RH         HB           1.58         -0.77           4.26**         0.00	SH	
1	DPC-9 × Haritha	148.3	48.33**	30.12**	23.96**	2.16	1.72	-4.84	1.58	-0.77	-1.15
2	M-574 × SKI-215	142.0	41.53**	23.84**	18.66**	14.75**	8.53**	12.9**	4.26**	0.00	3.46*
3	$DPC-9 \times PCS-106$	131.7	28.87**	11.27*	10.03*	9.79**	7.5*	4.03	2.34	-1.13	0.77
4	$DPC-9 \times JC-12$	130.7	29.16**	12.32*	9.19*	15.79**	8.33**	15.32**	5.93**	0.36	6.54**

\* Significant at 5% level, \*\* Significant at 1% level

Table 3: Heterosis for number of nodes upto primary spike, plant height and primary spike length in Castor

C No	Hybrid Nome	No. of nod	es upto prim	ary spike		Plant heigh	t	Primary spike length			
5. INO.	nybriu Name	RH	HB	SH	RH	HB	SH	RH	HB	SH	
1	DPC-9 × Haritha	6.00	6.00	-0.63	32.64**	24.74**	0.62	26.77**	25.70**	-1.89	
2	M-574 × SKI-215	-12.39**	-24.15**	-2.81	-5.24	-16.16**	-22.58**	-2.26	-8.42	-19.60**	
3	$DPC-9 \times PCS-106$	-10.31*	-15.59**	-10.31*	21.42**	13.89**	-7.61	7.70	0.52	-11.00*	
4	DPC-9 × JC-12	-16.05**	-30.65**	-0.31	11.63**	-2.20	-7.61	10.40*	3.96	-9.68*	

\* Significant at 5% level, \*\* Significant at 1% level

Table 4:	Heterosis	for number	of spike	per plant	100 seed	weight an	d oil d	content in	Castor
Table 4.	1100010313	101 number	or spike	per plant,	100 seeu	weight an	u on y	content m	Castor

S. No	Hybrid Nome	No. of spikes per plant			10	00 seed weig	ht	Oil content (%)		
5. INO.	nybriu Name	RH	HB	SH	RH	HB	SH	RH	HB	SH
1	DPC-9 × Haritha	12.41	-2.53	13.24	31.34**	27.54**	8.64*	6.75**	6.00**	4.89**
2	M-574 × SKI-215	3.03	-8.11	0.00	16.22**	8.86*	6.17	5.99**	5.61**	3.77*
3	$DPC-9 \times PCS-106$	-9.02	-16.55*	-14.71*	10.83**	-1.14	7.41	1.80	0.35	0.77
4	$DPC-9 \times JC-12$	23.21**	18.97*	1.47	16.13**	4.65	11.11**	4.86**	4.29*	1.75

\* Significant at 5% level, \*\* Significant at 1% level

 Table 5: Range of relative heterosis (RH), heterobeltiosis (HB) and standard heterosis (SH) and number of hybrids showing significant heterosis in desirable direction in Castor

S. No.	Character		No of hybrids significant for				
5. NO.	Character	RH	HB	SH	RH	HB	SH
1	Days to 50% flowering	2.16 to 51.69**	1.72 to 47.93**	-4.84** to 44.35**	0	0	0
2	Days to maturity	2.31 to 5.93**	-4.87 to 3.86*	-3.46* to 6.54**	0	3	3
3	No. of nodes upto primary spike	-16.05** to 46.15**	-30.65 to 39.71**	-13.75** to 48.44**	9	13	4
4	Plant height	-9.85* to 54.70**	-18.51** to 52.49**	-37.30** to 12.43**	1	4	17
5	Primary spike length	-2.26 to 55.96**	-8.42 to 52.35**	-21.83** to 15.07**	22	18	3
6	No. of spikes per plant	-33.65** to 23.21**	-39.13** to 18.97**	-48.53** to 13.24	1	1	0
7	100 seed weight	-6.38 to 34.88**	-14.29** to 33.85**	-18.52** to 14.81**	18	7	7
8	Seed yield per plant	8.54 to 48.33**	-1.43 to 30.12**	-25.63** to 23.96**	29	19	4
9	Oil content	-2.45 to 6.75**	-4.38** to 6.00**	-5.73** to 4.89**	8	4	2

\* Significant at 5% level, \*\* Significant at 1% level

### References

- 1. Barad YM, Pathak AR and Patel BN. Heterosis for yield and yield attributing traits in castor, *Ricinus communis* L. Journal of Oilseeds Research. 2009; 26(2):102-104.
- 2. Chaudhari KN, Chaudhary RF, Patel DK, Ashish Kanwal, Patel HN, Pathak HC and Prajapari BH. Heterosis for seed yield and yield components over environments in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2011; 2(3):372-376.
- 3. Fonseca S and Patterson FL. Hybrid vigour in a seven parent diallel cross in common winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Crop Science. 1968; 8 (1):85-95.
- Gita R. Chaudhari, Chaudhary JH, Shivangini A. Gupta, Shital R. Patel and Sejal K. Parmar. Heterosis for Seed Yield and its Contributing Attributes in Castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6(4):2088-2092.
- 5. Golakhia PR, Madaria RB, Kavani RH and Mehta DR. Gene effects, heterosis and inbreeding depression in castor, *Ricinus communis* L. Journal of Oilseeds Research. 2004; 21(2):270-273.
- 6. Grafius JE. Components of yield in oats; a geometrical interpretation. Agronomy Journal. 1956; 48:419-423.
- Hooks JA, Williams JH and Gardner CO. Estimates of heterosis from a diallel cross of inbred lines of castors, *Ricinus communis* L. Crop Science. 1971; 11:651-655.
- 8. Jalu RK, Patel JB, Paneliya MR and Rathwa HK. Heterosis for Yield and its Components in Castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience. 2017; 5(4):274-289
- 9. Joshi HL, Mehta DR and Jadon BS. Heterosis of yield and yield components in castor hybrids. Journal of Oilseeds Research. 2001; 18 (2):164-169.
- Makani AY, Patel MP, Patel PS, Patel PC and Pandya MM. Heterosis for seed yield, its components and wilt resistance. International Journal of Agricultural Science and Research. 2015; 5(6):313-320.
- 11. Okoh JO, Ojo AA, Vange T. Combining ability and heterosis of oil content in six accessions of castor at Makurdi. Nature and Science, 2007; 5(4):18-23.

- Panse VG and Sukhatme PV 1985. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi. 87-89.
- Patel JB and Pathak HC. Heterosis for seed yield per plant and its components in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). Journal of Oilseeds Research. 2006; 23 (1): 93-95.
- Patel KP, Patel JA, Patel JR and Patel DK. Genetic studies for the exploitation of hybrid vigour for seed yield and component characters in Castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). International Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2016; 8 (51):2278-2286.
- 15. Patel PC, Amit Dadheech, Dave PB and Makani AY. Heterosis and combining ability for yield and yield component characters in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). Green Farming. 2015; **6**(5):970-973.
- 16. Punewar AA, Patil AS, Nandanwar HR, Patel SM and Patel BN. Genetic dissection of heterosis and combining ability in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) with line × tester analysis. Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences. 2017; 5(1):77-86.
- Sapovadiya MH, Dobariya KL, Babariya CA, Mungra KS and Vavdiya PA. Heterosis for seed yield and its components over environments in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2015; 6(4):1118-1123.
- 18. Singh AP, Mehta DR and Desale CS. Heterosis and inbreeding depression for seed yield and its component traits in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2013; 4(2):1180-1183.
- 19. Sumit G. Gajbhe, Sakhare SB and Rathi SR. Exploitation of Heterosis for Yield and its Components in Castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6(12):2843-2852.
- Venkata Ramana P, Lavanya C, Ratnasree P. Combining ability and heterosis studies under rainfed conditions in Castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding. 2005; 65(4):325-326.
- 21. Virani HP, Dhedhi KK and Dhaduk HL. Evaluation of heterosis and inbreeding depression for seed yield and its components in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.).

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

International Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2014; 10(1):154-157.

22. Whitehouse RNH, Thomson JB and Riberio DV. Studies on breeding of self pollinated cereals II. Use of diallel cross analysis in yield prediction. Euphytica. 1958; 7:147-169.