

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; 7(3): 1626-1629 Received: 07-03-2018 Accepted: 09-04-2018

Neeta Tripathi

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, N.D. University of Agriculture and Technology Kumarganj, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

OP Verma

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, N.D. University of Agriculture and Technology Kumarganj, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

PK Singh

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, N.D. University of Agriculture and Technology Kumarganj, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Priyanka Rajpoot

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, N.D. University of Agriculture and Technology Kumarganj, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Correspondence Neeta Tripathi Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, N.D. University of Agriculture and Technology Kumarganj, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh. India

Studies on correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and its components in rice (Oryza sativa L.) under salt affected soil

Neeta Tripathi, OP Verma, PK Singh and Priyanka Rajpoot

Abstract

The present investigation was under taken with the objectives to find out associations among different characters and to analyze direct and indirect effects of different characters on grain yield. The experiment was conducted during Kharif 2015 at Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar, Kumarganj, Faizabad. The experimental materials of rice for this investigation comprised of 20 genotypes as lines (female) and three testers (male) viz., NDR 359, Narendra Usar 2009 and Narendra Usar 3. Each of three testers was crossed with 20 lines during kharif, 2014. The experiment was based on evaluation of a line \times tester set of 60 hybrids (F₁s) along with their 20 parents and check varieties viz., CSR 43 and IR 28, for thirteen characters viz., days to 50 % flowering, plant height (cm), flag leaf area, (cm²), panicle bearing tillers per plant, panicle length (cm), spike lets per panicle, grains per panicle, spikelet fertility (%), biological yield per plant (g), harvest index (%), L:B ratio, 1000-grains wt. (g) and grain yield per plant (g) under salt affected soil in randomized block design with three replications under salt affected soil. Grain yield per plant showed positive and significant correlation with flag leaf area, panicle bearing tillers per plant, panicle length, spike lets per panicle, grains per panicle, spikelet fertility, biological yield per plant, harvest index and 1000-grains wt. emerge as most important associates of grain yield in rice. Path analysis identified biological yield and harvest-index as most important direct yield contributing traits. Grains per panicle, spike lets per panicle, panicle bearing tillers per plant, spikelet fertility, harvest index, flag leaf area, 1000 grain weight, panicle length and plant height exhibited high and positive indirect effect on grain yield via biological yield per plant. Similarly, considerable positive indirect effects on grain yield per plant were exerted by panicle bearing tillers per plant, grains per panicle and spike lets per panicle via harvest-index. Hence, selection based on these characters would be more effective to meet higher grain yield. The residual effect under path analysis was very low and negligible

Keywords: Correlation, path coefficient analysis, salt affected soil, Rice (Oryza sativa L.)

Introduction

Rice, *Oryza sativa* L. (2n=24) is a cereal foodstuff which forms an important part of the diet of more than three billion people around the world. It is the principal staple food for more than half of the world's population. It is grown under diverse agro-climatic conditions and over wide geographical range. Drought and salinity are major constraints on crop production and food security and adversely impact the socioeconomic fabric of many developing countries. Water scarcity, declining water quality for irrigation and soil salinity are problems which are becoming more acute. It is estimated that 20% of all cultivated land and nearly half of irrigated land is affected by salt, greatly reducing the yield of crops to well below their genetic potential. There is limited evidence at present that new strategies to enhance crop yield stability on salt affected soil, based on soils remediation are feasible. Salinity-stress effects on crop grown are manifested by impairment of photosynthetic capacity. High amounts of sodium in the soil solution impair cell metabolism and photosynthesis by imposing an osmotic stress on cell water relations and by increasing the toxicity of sodium in the cytosol.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted during *kharif*, 2015 at the Research Farm of Genetics & Plant Breeding, N.D. University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Faizabad. The experimental materials of rice for this investigation comprised of 20 genotypes as lines (female) and three testers (male) NDR 359, Narendra Usar 2009 and Narendra Usar 3. Each of three testers was crossed with 20 lines during *kharif* 2014. Thus, total number of 60 hybrids (F_1) were obtained. The total set of eighty five genotypes were grown during *kharif* in 2015 and evaluated along with their parents and two check varieties (CSR 43 and IR28) in Randomized complete block design with three replications, with the spacing of 20 cm row to

row and 15 cm plant to plant. Observations were recorded on randomly selected five plants from each entry in each replication. The data were recorded on days to 50% flowering, plant height (cm), flag leaf area, (cm²), panicle bearing tillers per plant, panicle length (cm), spike lets per panicle, grains per panicle, spikelet fertility (%), biological yield per plant (g), harvest index (%), L:B ratio, 1000-grains wt. (g) and grain yield per plant (g). Recommended cultural practices were adopted to raise good crop.

Results and Discussion

Mean sum of square due to treatments were significant for all the characters showed presence of variability in the study materials.

Grain yield or economic yield, in almost all the crops, is the complex character which manifests from multiplicative interactions of several other characters that are termed as yield components. The genetic architecture of grain yield in rice as well as other crops is based on the balance or overall net effect produced by various yield components directly or indirectly by interacting with one another. Therefore, selection for yield per se alone would not matter much as such unless accompanied by the selection for various component characters responsible for conditioning it. Thus, identification of important components and information about their association with yield and with each other are very useful for developing efficient breeding strategy for evolving high yielding varieties/hybrids. The correlation coefficient is the measure of degree of symmetrical association between two variables or characters which helps us in understanding the nature and magnitude of association among yield and vield components.

In the present investigation, phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients were computed among thirteen characters (Table 2). Grain yield per plant showed positive and significant correlation with flag leaf area, panicle bearing tillers per plant, panicle length, spike lets per panicle, grains per panicle, spikelet fertility, biological yield per plant, harvest index and 1000-grains wt. at phenotypic and genotypic levels. Therefore, these characters emerged as most important associates of grain yield in rice. The strong positive association of grain yield with the characters mentioned above has also being reported in rice by earlier workers (Ramkrishnan *et al.* 2006, Nandan *et al.* 2010; Akhtar *et al.* 2011; Bhadur *et al.* 2011; Rangare *et al.* 2012; Krishnamurthy and Kumar, 2012) ^{[10, 9, 1, 7, 3, 11, 6].}

Days to 50% flowering showed significant and positive association with plant height and negative significant association with 1000 grain weight. Flag leaf area exhibited highly significant and positive association with Panicle bearing tillers per plant, panicle length, spike lets per panicle, grains per panicle, spikelet fertility, biological yield per plant and grain yield per plant. Panicle bearing tillers per plant exhibited highly significant and positive correlation with panicle length, spike lets per panicle, grains per panicle, spikelet fertility, biological yield per plant, harvest index, 1000 grain weight and grain yield per plant. Panicle length revealed significant and positive correlation with spike lets per panicle, grains per panicle, spikelet fertility, biological yield per plant, L: B ratio and grain yield per plant. Spike lets per panicle revealed significant and positive correlation with grains per panicle, spikelet fertility, biological yield per plant, harvest index, 1000 grain weight and grain yield per plant. Grains per panicle revealed significant and positive correlation with spikelet fertility, biological yield per plant,

harvest index, 1000 grain weight and grain yield per plant. Biological yield reported significant and positive correlation with harvest-index, 1000 grain weight and grain yield per plant. Harvest index exhibited significant and positive correlation with 1000 grain weight and grain yield per plant. L: B ratio showed negative significant association with 1000 grain weight. The association of yield with different morphological and physiological traits has also been reported in rice by Bhadur *et al.* (2011) ^[3], Rangare *et al.* (2012) ^[11] and Krishnamurthy and Kumar (2012) ^[6].

In the present study, majority of significant estimates of correlations between yield and yield components were positive in nature. Thus, selection practiced for improving these traits individually or simultaneously would bring improvement in other due to correlated response. This suggested that selection would be quite efficient in improving yield and yield components.

In the present investigation, phenotypic and genotypic direct and indirect effect of 13 characters on grain yield per plant in rice (Oryza sativa L.) under salt affected soil were presented in Table 3. Path coefficient analysis is a tool to partition the observed correlation coefficient into direct and indirect effects of yield components on grain yield. Path analysis provides clearer picture of character associations for formulating efficient selection strategy. Path coefficient analysis differs from simple correlation in that it points out the causes and their relative importance, whereas, the later measures simply the mutual association ignoring the causation. The concept of path coefficient was developed by Wright (1921) ^[12] and technique was first used for plant selection by Dewey and Lu (1959)^[4]. Path analysis has emerged as a powerful and widely used technique for understanding the direct and indirect contributions of different characters to economic vield in crop plants so that the relative importance of various yield contributing characters can be assessed.

In the present study, the path coefficient analysis was carried out using correlation coefficients between thirteen characters. The high positive direct effects on grain yield per plant were exerted by biological yield per plant and harvest-index at both the levels genotypic and phenotypic (Table 3). Thus, harvestindex and biological yield per plant emerged as most important direct yield components on which emphasis should be given during simultaneous selection aimed at improving grain yield in rice. These characters have also been identified as major direct contributors towards grain yield by Akhtar *et al.* 2011; Rangare *et al.* 2012; Krishnamurthy and Kumar, 2012 and Kumar and Verma 2015 ^[1, 7, 6, 11, 6, 8]. The direct effects of remaining characters were too low to be considered important.

In phenotypic path coefficient analysis, high order positive indirect effects on grain yield per plant were exerted by grains per panicle, spike lets per panicle, panicle bearing tillers per plant, spikelet fertility, harvest index, flag leaf area, 1000 grain weight, panicle length and plant height *via* biological yield per plant. Similarly, considerable positive indirect effects on grain yield per plant were exerted by panicle bearing tillers per plant, grains per panicle and spike lets per panicle *via* harvest-index. In contrast high order negative indirect effects on grain yield per plant were recorded by L: B ratio *via* biological yield per plant.

At genotypic level, high order positive indirect effects on grain yield per plant *via* biological yield per plant were exerted by grains per panicle, followed by spike lets per panicle, panicle bearing tillers per plant, spikelet fertility, harvest index, flag leaf area, 1000 grain weight and panicle length and plant height. Similarly, considerable positive indirect effects on grain yield per plant via spike lets per panicle were exerted by grains per panicle, biological yield per plant and panicle bearing tillers per plant. Panicle bearing tillers per plant, grains per panicle and spike lets per panicle showed considerable positive indirect effects on grain yield per plant via harvest-index. In contrast, high order negative indirect effects on grain yield per plant via grains per panicle were recorded by spike lets per panicle, biological yield per plant, panicle bearing tillers per plant, spikelet fertility and harvest index while L:B ratio exerted considerable negative indirect effects on grain yield per plant via biological yield per plant. Zahid et al. (2006) [13], Kishore et al. (2007) [5] and Babar et al. (2009)^[2] have also identified biological yield and harvest-index as important direct and indirect yield contributing characters. The indirect effects of remaining characters were too low to be considered important.

In the present study, path analysis identified grain yield per plant followed by biological yield per plant and harvest-index as most important direct as well as indirect yield contributing traits or components which merit due consideration at time of devising selection strategy aimed at developing high yielding varieties/hybrids in rice. In contrary to most of the previous reports in rice, comparatively small proportion of direct and indirect effects of different characters attained high order values in the present study. Majority of the estimates of direct and indirect effects were too low to be considered of any consequence. This may be attributed to presence of very high genetic variability and diversity in the rice genotypes. The existence of different character combinations in diverse rice genotypes might have led to different types of character association in different lines. Thus, presence of several contrasting types of character associations or interrelationships might have resulted into cancellation of contrasting associations by each other ultimately leading to lowering of the net impact or effect.

	Sources of variation							
Characters	Replications	Treatments	Error					
d. f.	2	82	164					
Days to 50% flowering	0.01	92.67**	1.00					
Plant height (cm)	0.82	136.27**	3.83					
Flag leaf area (cm ²)	0.83	20.29**	1.10					
Panicle bearing tillers per plant	0.10	11.01**	0.74					
Panicle length (cm)	0.77	11.08**	0.75					
Spike lets per panicle	44.97	1380.94**	26.11					
Grains per panicle	8.30	1177.00**	19.81					
Spikelet fertility (%)	5.74	28.29**	2.21					
Biological yield per plant (g)	0.21	167.42**	2.00					
Harvest-index (%)	0.09	10.80**	1.76					
L:B ratio	0.04	1.22**	0.08					
1000-grains weight (g)	0.37	6.19**	0.44					
Grains yield per plant (g)	0.09	34.71**	0.95					

 Table 1: Analysis of variance for randomized block design for 13

 characters in rice under salt affected soil

*, ** Significant at 5% and 1% probability levels, respectively.

Characters	5	ys to 0% vering	Plant height (cm)	Flag leaf area (cm ²)	panicle bearing tillers per plant	Panicle length (cm)	Spike lets per panicle	Grains per panicle	Spikelet fertility (%)	Biological yield per plant (g)	Harvest index (%)	L:B ratio	1000-grain weight (g)	Grain yield per plant(g)
Days to 50%	G	1.000	0.518	-0.124	0.026	-0.096	0.102	0.066	-0.047	0.037	0.052	0.157	-0.249	0.040
flowering	Р	1.000	0.491**	-0.123	0.028	-0.090	0.100	0.065	-0.035	0.033	0.021	0.135	-0.228*	0.031
Plant height	G		1.000	0.199	0.222	-0.045	0.166	0.161	0.117	0.121	0.183	-0.221	0.263	0.143
(cm)	Р		1.000	0.179	0.197	-0.042	0.152	0.148	0.106	0.115	0.131	-0.206	0.209*	0.132
Flag leaf area	G			1.000	0.458	0.318	0.436	0.468	0.431	0.374	0.268	-0.080	0.119	0.382
(cm ²)	Р			1.000	0.385**	0.256*	0.399**	0.427**	0.336**	0.339**	0.195	-0.046	0.099	0.339**
Panicle bearing	G				1.000	0.472	0.818	0.855	0.666	0.827	0.658	0.006	0.386	0.872
tillers per plant	Р				1.000	0.375**	0.734**	0.764**	0.542**	0.770**	0.557**	0.000	0.318**	0.808**
Panicle length	G					1.000	0.309	0.381	0.547	0.287	0.247	0.413	0.008	0.316
(cm)	Р					1.000	0.262*	0.333**	0.461**	0.262*	0.186	0.328**	-0.002	0.282**
Spike lets per	G						1.000	0.990	0.563	0.848	0.563	-0.019	0.327	0.874
panicle	Р						1.000	0.976**	0.493**	0.822**	0.458**	-0.022	0.297**	0.834**
Grains per	G							1.000	0.673	0.881	0.591	-0.028	0.335	0.909
panicle	Р							1.000	0.639**	0.858**	0.474**	-0.021	0.298**	0.869**
Spikelet fertility	G								1.000	0.690	0.422	-0.039	0.217	0.700
(%)	Р								1.000	0.620**	0.291**	-0.033	0.184	0.614**
Biological yield	G									1.000	0.429	-0.133	0.348	0.983
per plant (g)	Р									1.000	0.414**	-0.126	0.308**	0.976**
Harvest-index	G										1.000	-0.017	0.394	0.587
(%)	Р										1.000	-0.051	0.281*	0.594**
L:B ratio	G											1.000	-0.345	-0.115
L.D Taulo	Р								_			1.000	-0.283*	-0.114
1000- grain	G								_				1.000	0.395
weight (g)	Р												1.000	0.342**
Grain yield per	G													1.000
plant (g)	Р													1.000

Table 2: Estimate of phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients between 13characters in rice (Oryza sativa L.) under salt affected soil

*, ** Significant at 5% and 1% probability levels, respectively

Table 3. Estimate of phenotypic and genotypic direct and indirect effect of 13 characters on grain yield per plant in rice (Oryza sativa L.) under
salt affected soil

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Characters	s	Days to 50% flowering	Plant height (cm)	Flag leaf area (cm ²)	panicle bearing tillers per plant	Panicle length (cm)	Spike lets per panicle	Grains per panicle	Spikelet fertility (%)	Biological yield per plant (g)	Harvest index (%)	L:B ratio	1000-grain weight (g)	Grain yield per plant(g)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Days to 50%	G	-0.013	0.005	0.001	-0.001	-0.002	0.015	-0.011	0.000	0.035	0.011	0.001	0.000	0.040
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	flowering	Р	-0.006	0.003	0.001	0.000	-0.001	-0.005	0.005	0.001	0.029	0.005	0.001	-0.002	0.031
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Plant height	G	-0.007	0.009	-0.002	-0.005	-0.001	0.025	-0.028	0.001	0.112	0.040	-0.001	0.000	0.143
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	(cm)	Р	-0.003	0.006	-0.002	0.000	0.000	-0.007	0.011	-0.002	0.100	0.029	-0.002	0.002	0.132
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	lag leaf area	G	0.002	0.002	-0.009	-0.011	0.008	0.065	-0.081	0.003	0.347	0.058	0.000	0.000	0.382
bearing tillers per plant P 0.000 0.001 -0.004 -0.002 0.003 -0.036 0.058 -0.009 0.669 0.125 0.000 0.002 Panicle length (cm) G 0.001 0.000 -0.003 -0.011 0.024 0.046 -0.066 0.004 0.267 0.053 0.002 0.000 Spike lets per panicle G -0.001 0.002 -0.004 -0.019 0.007 0.149 -0.171 0.004 0.787 0.121 0.000 0.000 Spike lets per panicle G -0.001 0.001 -0.004 -0.022 0.002 -0.049 0.074 -0.008 0.715 0.102 0.000 0.002 Grains per panicle G -0.001 0.001 -0.002 0.002 0.003 -0.048 0.076 -0.010 0.746 0.106 0.000 0.002 Grains per panicle G 0.001 0.004 -0.002 0.003 -0.048 0.076 -0.010 0.746	(cm^2)	Р	0.001	0.001	-0.011	-0.001	0.002	-0.019	0.033	-0.005	0.295	0.043	0.000	0.001	0.339
perplant P 0.000 0.001 -0.004 -0.002 0.003 -0.036 0.058 -0.009 0.669 0.125 0.000 0.002 Panicle length (cm) G 0.001 0.000 -0.003 -0.011 0.024 0.046 -0.066 0.004 0.267 0.053 0.002 0.000 Spike lets per panicle G -0.001 0.002 -0.004 -0.019 0.007 0.149 -0.171 0.004 0.787 0.121 0.000 0.002 Grains per panicle G -0.001 0.001 -0.004 -0.020 0.002 -0.049 0.074 -0.008 0.715 0.102 0.000 0.002 Grains per panicle G -0.001 0.001 -0.002 0.002 0.003 -0.048 0.076 -0.010 0.746 0.106 0.000 0.002 Grains per panicle G 0.001 0.004 -0.002 0.003 -0.048 0.076 -0.010 0.746 0.106	Panicle	G	0.000	0.002	-0.004	-0.024	0.011	0.122	-0.148	0.004	0.767	0.142	0.000	0.000	0.872
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	U	Р	0.000	0.001	-0.004	-0.002	0.003	-0.036	0.058	-0.009	0.669	0.125	0.000	0.002	0.808
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	anicle length	G	0.001	0.000	-0.003	-0.011	0.024	0.046	-0.066	0.004	0.267	0.053	0.002	0.000	0.316
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	(cm)	Р	0.001	0.000	-0.003	-0.001	0.008	-0.013	0.025	-0.007	0.228	0.042	0.003	0.000	0.282
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	pike lets per	G	-0.001	0.002	-0.004	-0.019	0.007	0.149	-0.171	0.004	0.787	0.121	0.000	0.000	0.874
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	panicle	Р	-0.001	0.001	-0.004	-0.002	0.002	-0.049	0.074	-0.008	0.715	0.102	0.000	0.002	0.834
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Grains per	G	-0.001	0.001	-0.004	-0.020	0.009	0.147	-0.173	0.004	0.818	0.127	0.000	0.000	0.909
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	panicle	Р	0.000	0.001	-0.005	-0.002	0.003	-0.048	0.076	-0.010	0.746	0.106	0.000	0.002	0.869
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Spikelet	G	0.001	0.001	-0.004	-0.016	0.013	0.084	-0.117	0.006	0.640	0.091	0.000	0.000	0.700
yield per plant P 0.000 0.001 -0.002 0.002 -0.002 0.002 -0.001 0.002	ertility (%)	Р	0.000	0.001	-0.004	-0.001	0.004	-0.024	0.049	-0.016	0.539	0.065	0.000	0.001	0.614
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Biological	G	0.000	0.001	-0.004	-0.020	0.007	0.126	-0.153	0.004	0.928	0.093	-0.001	0.000	0.983
	1 1	Р	0.000	0.001	-0.004	-0.002	0.002	-0.040	0.065	-0.010	0.870	0.093	-0.001	0.002	0.976
(%) P 0.000 0.001 -0.002 -0.001 0.001 -0.022 0.036 -0.005 0.360 0.224 0.000 0.002	arvest-index	G	-0.001	0.002	-0.003	-0.016	0.006	0.084	-0.102	0.003	0.398	0.216	0.000	0.000	0.587
	(%)	Р	0.000	0.001	-0.002	-0.001	0.001	-0.022	0.036	-0.005	0.360	0.224	0.000	0.002	0.594
L:B ratio G -0.002 -0.002 0.001 0.000 0.010 -0.003 0.005 0.000 -0.123 -0.004 0.004 0.000 ·	L:B ratio	G	-0.002	-0.002	0.001	0.000	0.010	-0.003	0.005	0.000	-0.123	-0.004	0.004	0.000	-0.115
L.B ratio P -0.001 -0.001 0.000 0.000 0.003 0.001 -0.002 0.001 -0.110 -0.011 0.008 -0.002	L.D Tatio	Р	-0.001	-0.001	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.001	-0.002	0.001	-0.110	-0.011	0.008	-0.002	-0.114
1000-grain G 0.003 0.002 -0.001 -0.009 0.000 0.049 -0.058 0.001 0.323 0.085 -0.001 0.001	1000-grain	G	0.003	0.002	-0.001	-0.009	0.000	0.049	-0.058	0.001	0.323	0.085	-0.001	0.001	0.395
weight (g) P 0.001 0.001 -0.001 -0.001 0.000 -0.014 0.023 -0.003 0.268 0.063 -0.002 0.007	weight (g)	Р	0.001	0.001	-0.001	-0.001	0.000	-0.014	0.023	-0.003	0.268	0.063	-0.002	0.007	0.342

Residual = 0.056, Bold figures indicate direct effect

Reference

- Akhtar N, Nazir MF, Rabnawaz A, Mahmood T, Safdar, ME *et al.* Estimation of heritability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in fine grain rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences. 2011; 21(4):660-664.
- Babar M, Khan AA, Arif A, Zafar Y, Arif M. Path analysis of some leaf and panicle traits affecting grain yield in double haploid lines of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). J Agric. Res. 2009; 45(4):245-252.
- 3. Bhadur D, Reddy DL, Ramesha MS. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield and yield contributing traits in rice hybrids and their parental lines. Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding. 2011; 2(1):112-116.
- 4. Dewey DR, Lu KH. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. Agron. J. 1959; 51:515-518.
- Kishore NS, Ansari NA, Babu VR, Rani NS, Rao LV, Subba R. Correlation and path analysis in aromatic and non-aromatic rice genotypes. Agricultural Sci. Digest, 2007, 27(2).
- Krishnamurthy HT, Kumar HDM. Correlation and path coefficient studies of some physiological traits among indigenous aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa* L) cultivars. Agricultural & Biological Research. 2012; 28(2):120-127.
- Kumar Y, Singh BN, Verma OP, Tripathi S, Dwivedi, DK. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in scented rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under sodicity, Environment and Ecology. 2011; 29(3B):1550-1556.
- Kumar A, Verma OP. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in certain quantitative traits in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under saline-alkaline condition. Res. Envi. Life Sci. 2015; 8(3):443-446.
- 9. Nandan Sweta R, Singh SK. Character Association and Path Analysis in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Genotypes.

World Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2010; 6(2):201-206.

- Ramakrishnan SH, Kumar ACR, Sarvanan S, Malini N. Association analysis of some yield traits in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). J of Applied Sci. Res. 2006; 2(7):402-404.
- Rangare NR, Krupakar A, Ravichandra K, Shukla AK, Mishra AK. Estimation of characters association and direct and indirect effects of yield contributing traits on grain yield in exotic and Indian rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) germplasm. International J of Agri. Sci. 2012; 2(1):54-61.
- 12. Wright S. Correlation and causation. J Agric. Res. 1921; 203:557-585.
- 13. Zahid MA, Akhter M, Sabar M, Zaheen M, Tahir A. Correlation and path analysis studies of yield and economic traits in Basmati rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), Asian Journal of Plant Sciences. 2006; 5(4):643-645.