

# Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; 7(3): 1513-1515 Received: 28-03-2018 Accepted: 30-04-2018

#### **BR Bhite**

All India Coordinated Research Project on Fruits, Department of Horticulture, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra, India

#### **PS** Pawar

All India Coordinated Research Project on Fruits, Department of Horticulture, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra, India

#### SD Magar

All India Coordinated Research Project on Fruits, Department of Horticulture, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra, India

Correspondence BR Bhite All India Coordinated Research

An India Coordinated Research Project on Fruits, Department of Horticulture, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra, India

# Standardization of stage wise requirement of nutrients in acid lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* Swingle)

# **BR Bhite, PS Pawar and SD Magar**

#### Abstract

A field experiment on standardization of stage wise requirement of nutrients in acid lime was carried out at All India Coordinated Research Project on Fruits, Department of Horticulture, Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, and Rahuri during 2011-12 to 2014-15. The pooled result of investigation revealed that, the effect of stagewise application of nutrients on growth, yield and fruit quality of acid lime were significant. The maximum plant height (3.38 m), canopy volume ( $30.30 \text{ m}^3$ ), average fruit weight (46.77 g), number of fruits (1116 fruits / tree) and yield (40.99 kg / tree and 11.35 t/ha) with higher benefit: cost ratio (1.45) were recorded in the treatment T<sub>2</sub> i.e. 30 % N in stage II and III (March-April and May-June), 20 % N in stage - IV (July-Aug) and 10 % N in stage – V and VI (Sept- Oct and Nov Dec.), while 40 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in stage - II (March-April), 35 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in stage - III (May-June) and 25 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in stage - IV (July-Aug) and 10 % K<sub>2</sub>O in stage - II and III (Mar – April and May-June), 30 % K<sub>2</sub>O in stage - V and VI (Sept-Oct. and Nov-Dec.). Similarly, significantly the maximum juice content (48.04 %), TSS (8.00 <sup>0</sup>Brix), acidity (6.83 %), ascorbic acid (26.13 mg / 100 ml juice) with minimum number of seeds/fruit (7.17), weight of seeds / fruit (0.66 g) and rind thickness (1.12 mm) were also recorded in the same treatment. Hence, split application of nutrient dose is recommended in acid lime for higher yield of quality fruits in medium deep soils

Keywords: TSS, acidity, ascorbic acid, benefit: cost ratio

#### Introduction

There are four commercially important species of lime namely, Citrus aurantifolia (Acid lime), Citrus latifolia (Tahiti lime), Citrus limonia (Rangpur lime) and Citurs limettoides (Sweet lime). Acid lime (Citrus aurantifolia Swingle) is a shrubby tree with many thorns and is the member of family Rutaceae. In India, it is cultivated in Andhra Pradesh, Gujrat, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Assam and Chhattisgarh. Acid lime is an important source of vitamin 'C' (Ascorbic acid) for human nutrition. Amount of juice, TSS, titrable acid and vitamin C are the determining factors of quality of acid lime fruits, which may vary according to bearing sides of the trees (Nurbhanej et al., 2016)<sup>[6]</sup>. Nutrient refers to all those compounds which are required by the plant as a source of body building material and for the energy, without which, it will not be able to complete its life cycle. The fruit tree nutrition is concerned with the provision of plant with nutrients as well as nutrient uptake and their distribution in the plant. Plant nutrient management can influence flowering, fruit set, fruit size, vegetative growth and other plant characteristics. There is a need to chalk out nutrition programme by keeping in mind growth as well as phonological cycles of the plant because every shift of growth in association with phonological and growth cycle needs special attention to decide fertilization programme (Yaseen and Ahmed, 2010) <sup>[13]</sup>. Fruit yield and quality are greatly influenced by N & K supplies in tropical soils because these nutrients are subjected to losses in the environment. Nitrogen is the most important among all the nutrients for tree growth and productivity. It's availability in adequate amount during critical stage of fruit initiation and development is necessary to support optimum yield of good quality fruit (Syvertsen and Smith, 1996)<sup>[12]</sup>. However, when it is supplied in excess to what is required, it will encourage excessive vegetative tree growth (Schumann et al. 2003) [11] or will lead to nitrate leaching and contamination of surface water tables (Alva et al. 2006) [1]. The high nitrogen tree demands during the main critical phases of flowering and fruiting process determine tree yield and productivity according to that, fruit set, fruit persistent number and initial fruit size were take place during this period of time. Phosphorous is the essential elements that absorbed as phosphate and play role in the group's photosynthesis, activities regulations and boosts the plant growth (Gholami, 2001)<sup>[4]</sup>. The effect of phosphorous (P) fertilization on fruit quality to be less clear, even though a decrease in soluble solid

content and total acidity was associated with potassium supply, which was more pronounced during heavy crop years (Anderson, 1966) <sup>[3]</sup>. Potassium is the second nutrient, which needed in large amount. However, tree potassium requirement are similar to those for N. It will increase fruit size, yield, vitamin C content and fruit quality (Ritenour *et al.* 2002) <sup>[12]</sup>. Potassium rates increase fruit size and total juice acidity while decrease the total soluble solids content of juice because of increased peel thickness (Quaggio *et al*; 2002) <sup>[9]</sup>.

In acid lime, very less work was carried out on stage wise requirement of nutrients for quantitative and qualitative production. Therefore, attention should be given for to establishing nutrient management strategies to improve growers profitability. Hence, the present investigation was planned with an objective to standardize the stage wise requirement of nutrients in acid lime.

#### **Materials and Methods**

A field experiment was carried out during 2011-12 to 2014-15 on the research farm of All India Coordinated Research Project on Fruits, Department of Horticulture, MPKV., Rahuri on light to medium soil in randomized block design with four treatments replicated five times having two plant unit with recommended RDF. Observations on growth, yield and quality parameters like juice content, TSS (Hand Refractometer), titrable acidity and ascorbic acid (AOAC. 2005)<sup>[2]</sup> were recorded at harvest. All data were subjected to statistical analysis by the method of Panse and Sukhatme (1995)<sup>[7]</sup>.

		Percent RDF to be supplied through soil application																
Treatment	Stage - I				Stage - II (March-April)		Stage - III		Stage - IV		Stage -V		Stage -VI					
1	(Jall-Peb)				(Watch-Apin) (Way-Julie) (July-Aug)			(Sept-Oct)		(100-Dcc)								
	N	P2 O5	K <sub>2</sub> O	N	P2O5	K <sub>2</sub> O	N	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> O	N	$P_2O_5$	K <sub>2</sub> O	N	$P_2O_5$	K <sub>2</sub> O	N	$P_2O_5$	K <sub>2</sub> O
$T_1$	0	0	0	40	50	0	40	50	0	20	0	50	0	0	25	0	0	25
$T_2$	0	0	0	30	40	10	30	35	10	20	25	30	10	0	25	10	0	25
T3	0	0	0	30	40	0	30	35	0	40	25	30	0	0	35	0	0	35
T <sub>4</sub> Control: 600:300:600 g NPK+15 kg FYM + 15 kg Neem Cake/ plant / year																		

# **Results and Discussion**

The pooled data (2011-12 to 2014-15) presented in Table 1 revealed that, stage wise application of nutrients have significant effect on plant height, canopy volume, average fruit weight, number of fruits / tree and yield of acid lime. Significantly the maximum plant height (3.38 m), canopy volume (30.30 m<sup>3</sup>), average fruit weight (46.77 g), number of fruits (1116 fruits / tree) and yield (40.99 kg / tree and 11.35 t/ha) were recorded in treatment T<sub>2</sub> i. e. 30 % N in stage - II and III (March-April and May- June), 20 % N in stage - IV (July-Aug) with 10 % N in stage - V and VI (Sept - Oct and Nov - Dec.) while 40 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in stage - II (March-April), 35 % P2O5 in stage - III (May-June) and 25 % P2O5 in stage -IV (July-Aug) and 10 % K<sub>2</sub>O in stage -II and III (Mar –April and May- June ), 30 % K<sub>2</sub>O in stage - IV (July-Aug) and 25 % K<sub>2</sub>O in stage - V and VI (Sept-Oct. and Nov-Dec) followed by treatment T<sub>1</sub> i. e. 40 % N in stage - II and III (March -April and May- June), 20 % N in stage - IV (July-Aug) and 50 % P2O5 in stage - II and III (March- April and May- June) and 50 % K<sub>2</sub>O in stage - IV (July- Aug) and 25 % K<sub>2</sub>O in stage -V and VI (Sept - Oct and Nov-Dec). The minimum plant height (2.87 m), canopy volume (23.91 m<sup>3</sup>), average fruit weight (35.43 g), number of fruits (1026 fruits / tree) and yield (28.16 kg / tree and 7.79 t/ha) were recorded in treatment T<sub>4</sub> i.e. control: 600:300:600 g NPK + 15 kg FYM + 15 kg Neem cake / plant / year. This result is in conformity with the findings of Ritenour et al., (2002) [10]. Fruit yield of acid lime was largely regulated by nitrogen (N) supply because it affects photosynthesis and carbohydrates production, specific leaf weight and carbon allocation to tree

plants. Although optimal N availability results in green foliage color and increased crop yields, excess N can lead to luxury consumption by the tree, negative impacts on fruit size and composition and recorded commercial value for harvested products (Mattos *et al.*, 2005)<sup>[5]</sup>.

The data in respect to juice content, TSS, acidity and ascorbic acid were presented in Table 2 revealed that, stage wise application of nutrients have significant effect on juice content, TSS, ascorbic acid and acidity with minimum number of seeds / fruit, weight of seeds / fruit and rind thickness. Significantly the maximum juice content (48.04 %), TSS (8.00 °Brix), acidity (6.83 %) and ascorbic acid (26.13 mg /100 ml juice) with minimum number of seeds (7.17 seeds / fruit), weight of seeds / fruit (0.66 g) and rind thickness (1.12 mm) were recorded in Treatment T<sub>2</sub> i. e. 30 % N in stage - II & III (March- April and May - June), 20 % N in stage - IV (July- Aug) and 10 % N in stage - V and VI (Sept-Oct and Nov- Dec.) while 40 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in stage - II (March-April), 35 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in stage - III (May-June) and 25 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in stage - IV (July- Aug) with 10 % K<sub>2</sub>O in stage - II and III (March-April and May- June), 30 % K<sub>2</sub>O in stage - IV (July-Aug) and 25 % K<sub>2</sub>O in stage - V and VI (Sep- Oct and Nov- Dec) as compared to all other treatments. Quaggio et al. (2006) <sup>[9]</sup> reported that, nitrogen rates decreased fruit mass which resulted in increased TSS and juice content of fruits. Nitrogen promoted an accentuated increase in yield of soluble solids per area due to either increased fruit yield or improved fruit characteristics such as juice content and TSS in Valencia sweet orange.

Table 1: Effect of stage wise application of nutrients on growth and yield in acid lime (Pooled mean 2011-12 to 2014-15)

Treatment	Plant height (m)	Canopy volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Av. Fruit weight (g)	Number of fruits/tree	Yield(kg/ tree)	Yield(t/ha)
T <sub>1</sub>	3.24	26.20	42.40	1089	36.37	10.07
$T_2$	3.38	30.30	46.77	1116	40.99	11.35
T <sub>3</sub>	3.13	24.80	38.10	1043	30.87	8.54
$T_4$	2.87	23.91	35.43	1026	28.16	7.79
S. E.±	0.06	0.82	0.97	6.51	0.96	0.26
C. D. at 5 %	0.18	2.34	2.75	18.46	1.90	0.52

Table 2: Effect of stage wise application of nutrients on quality parameters in acid lime (Pooled mean 2011-12 to 2014-15).

Treatment	Juice	TSS	Acidity	Ascorbic acid	Number of seeds /	Weight of seeds/fruit	Rind thickness
Treatment	(%)	(°Brix)	(%)	(mg/100ml juice)	fruit	( <b>g</b> )	( <b>mm</b> )
T1	46.67	7.69	6.62	24.53	8.52	0.77	1.24
T <sub>2</sub>	48.04	8.00	6.83	26.13	7.17	0.66	1.12
T <sub>3</sub>	45.51	7.40	6.71	22.70	9.20	0.80	1.24
T4	44.59	7.20	6.34	22.48	9.30	0.91	1.32
S. E.±	0.19	0.08	0.12	0.11	0.32	0.03	0.04
C. D. at 5 %	0.40	0.23	0.41	0.23	0.91	0.08	0.14

#### Economics

The economics of stage wise application of nutrients on benefit: cost ratio is shown in Table 3. The application of 30 % N in stage - II & III (March-April and May-June), 20 % N in stage IV (July- August) and 10 % N in stage - V & VI (Sept- Oct and Nov-Dec) while 40 %  $P_2O_5$  in stage - II (March-April), 35 %  $P_2O_5$  in stage - III (May- June) and 25

%  $P_2O_5$  in stage –IV (July-August ) and 10 %  $K_2O$  in stage - II & III (March-April and May-June), 30 %  $K_2O$  in stage - IV (July - Aug) and 25 %  $K_2O$  in stage - V & VI (Sept - Oct and Nov- Dec) was found superior for growth, yield and fruit quality over other treatments and recorded the higher benefit: cost ratio (1.45).

Table 3: Economics on effect of different treatments in acid lime (2014-15).

Treatment	Total Expenditure (Rs/ha)	Yield (t/ha) Pooled mean	Gross monetary return (Rs/ha)	Net Profit (Rs/ha)	B: C ratio
$T_1$	1,66,617=50	10.07	2,21,540=00	54,922=50	1.32
T <sub>2</sub>	1,71,310=83	11.35	2,49,700=00	78,389=17	1.45
T <sub>3</sub>	1,61,007=50	8.54	1,87,880=00	26,872=50	1.16
$T_4$	1,57,844=77	7.79	1,71,380=00	13,535=23	1.08

# Conclusion

Split application of nutrient dose is recommended in acid lime for higher yield of quality fruits in medium deep soils.

- March 30 % N (180 g N) + 40 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (120 g P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) + 10 % K<sub>2</sub>O (60 g K<sub>2</sub>O)
- May 30 % N (180 g N) + 35 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (105 g P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) + 10 % K<sub>2</sub>O (60 g K<sub>2</sub>O)
- July 20 % N (120 g N) + 25 % P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (75 g P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) + 30 % K<sub>2</sub>O (180 g K<sub>2</sub>O)
- September 10 % N (60 g N) + 25 % K<sub>2</sub>O (150 g K<sub>2</sub>O)
- November 10 % N (60 g N) + 25 %  $K_2O$  (150 g  $K_2O$ )

# References

- Alva AK, Paramecium S, Fares A, Obreza, TA, Schumann AW. Nitrogen best management practices for citrus tree II. Nitrogen fate, transport and components of N budget. Scientia Horticulture. 2006; 109:223-233.
- AOAC. Official method of analysis, 1th Ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, DC. 2005, 113.
- 3. Anderson CA. Effects of phosphate fertilizers on yield and quality of 'Valencia' orange, Proceedings of Florida State Horticulture Society. 1966; 79:36-40.
- 4. Gholami AK. Mycorrhiza sustainable agriculture (translated). Shahroud University Publication. 2001, 212.
- 5. Mattos Jr. D, Quaggio JA, Cantarella H. Nitrogen and potassium fertilization impacts fruit yield and quality of citrus. Better Crop. 2005; 89(2):17-19.
- Nurbhanej KH, Parel NJ, Thakkar AM, Gadhvavi AV. Effect of integrated nutrient management (INM) on growth, yield and quality of acid lime (*Citurs aurantifolia* Swingle). International Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2016; 8(51)2360-2363.
- 7. Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical methods for agricultural workers. ICAR, New Delhi, 1995.
- 8. Quaggio JA, Mattos D, Jr Cantarella H, Almeida ELE, Cardoso SAB. Lemon yield and fruit quality affected by

NPK fertilization. Sciences Horticulture. 2002; 96:151-162.

- 9. Quaggio JA, Mattos D Jr, Cantarella H. Fruit yield and quality of sweet orange affected by nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium fertilization in tropical soils. Fruits. 2006; 61:293-302.
- Ritenour MA, Wardowski WF, Tucker DP. Effects of water and nutrients on the post- harvest quality and shelf life of citrus. Citrus Research and Education Center, Lake Alfred, Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Science, University of Florida, 2002.
- 11. Schumann AW, Fares A, Alva AK, Paramasivam S. Response of 'Hamlin' orange to fertilizer source, annual rate and irrigated area. Proceeding of Florida State Horticulture Society. 2003; 116:256-260.
- 12. Syvertsen JP, Smith ML. Nitrogen uptake efficiency and leaching losses from lysimetric grown citrus trees fertilized at three nitrogen rates. Journal of American Society of Horticulture Science. 1996; 121:57-62.
- Yaseen M, Ahmed M. Nutrition management in citrus: effect of multinutritions foliar feeding on the yield of kinnow at different locations. Pakistan Journal of Botany. 2010; 42(3):1863-1870.