

# Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; 7(3): 2511-2515 Received: 04-03-2018 Accepted: 05-04-2018

#### Ginoya AV

M. Sc. (Agri.) Student, College of Agriculture, JAU, Junagadh, Gujarat, India

#### Patel JB

Associate Professor, Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, JAU, Junagadh, Gujarat, India

#### Delvadiya IR

M. Sc. (Agri.) Student, College of Agriculture, JAU, Junagadh, Gujarat, India

#### Jethva AS

Assiatant Research Scientist, Vegetable Research Station, JAU, Junagadh, Gujarat, India

# Effect of bulb size and plant spacing on seed quality parameters of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. GJWO 3

# Ginoya AV, Patel JB, Delvadiya IR and Jethva AS

#### Abstract

The present investigation was conducted in the laboratory of Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh during 2016-17 with an aim to study the effect of bulb size  $[B_1 (25 \pm 5 \text{ g}, \text{small size}), B_2 (50 \pm 5 \text{ g}, \text{medium size}) \text{ and } B_3 (75 \pm 5 \text{ g}, \text{medium size})$ g, large size)] and plant spacing [S1 (30 cm x 30 cm), S2 (30 cm x 40 cm), S3 (45 cm x 30 cm), S4 (45 cm x 40 cm), S<sub>5</sub> (60 cm x 30 cm) and S<sub>6</sub> (60 cm x 40 cm)] on seed parameters of onion cv. Gujarat Junagadh White Onion 3 (GJWO 3). The characters viz., germination percentage, root length (cm), shoot length (cm), root fresh weight (mg), shoot fresh weight (mg), root dry weight (mg), shoot dry weight (mg), vigour index - I (length) and vigour index - II (mass) were recorded from the seeds harvested from different combinations of treatments evaluated in field and analyzed as per the Completely Randomized Design (Factorial). The results showed that significantly the maximum germination percentage, root length, shoot length, root fresh weight, shoot fresh weight, root dry weight, shoot dry weight, vigour index I (length) and vigour index II (mass) were recorded in the seeds harvested from bulb size of 75  $\pm$  5 g (B<sub>3</sub>). The next best treatment with respect to all these traits was bulb size of 50  $\pm$  5 g (B<sub>2</sub>). Seeds harvested from the bulbs space planted at 60 cm x 40 cm (S<sub>6</sub>) recorded significantly the highest germination percentage, root length, shoot length, root fresh weight, shoot fresh weight, root dry weight, shoot dry weight, vigour index I (length) and vigour index II (mass). The next best plant spacing with respect to all these seed parameters was bulbs planted at  $(S_5)$  60 cm x 30 cm. The treatment combination B<sub>3</sub> x S<sub>6</sub> (bulb size 75  $\pm$  5 g planted at the spacing of 60 cm x 40 cm) noted the maximum germination percentage, root length, shoot length, root fresh weight, shoot fresh weight, root dry weight, vigour index I (length) and vigour index II (mass).

Keywords: Bulb size, onion, spacing

#### Introduction

Onion is considered to be the second most important vegetable crop grown in the world after tomato. The name "Onia" is probably ranked to a city built by Onia in 1703 BC near the Gulf of Suez (Dawar *et al.*, 2007)<sup>[8]</sup>. The history of onion can be traced back to at least 5000 years. Onion is different from the other edible species of *Alliums* for its single bulb and is usually propagated by true botanical seed. It is popularly used both in immature and mature bulb stage as a vegetable and spices. Onion is liked for its flavour and pungency which is due to the presence of a volatile oil 'allylpropyl disulphide'- organic compound rich in sulphur. Onion contains several anti-cancerous agents which have shown to prevent cancer in animals. The beneficial compound called '*quercetin*' present in onion is a powerful antioxidant.

Onion is a biennial crop for the purpose of seed production. In one season, bulbs are produced from seed and in the second season, bulbs are replanted to produce seeds. Demand of onion seed is increasing due to increase in onion consumption. To sustain onion production, it is very difficult to increase yield horizontally, but there exists a great scope to do the same vertically that could be achieved by using good quality seed. Bulb size and plant spacing are considered important factors reflected in seed parameters of onion seed. Size of mother bulbs influenced the yield and quality of seeds (Abedin *et al.*, 1999) <sup>[2]</sup>. Asaduzzaman *et al.* (2012b) <sup>[3]</sup> reported that wider intra-row spacing had a significant effect on seed germination percentage. Therefore, the present study was conducted to investigate the effects of bulb size and plant spacing on different seed parameters of onion.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The present study was conducted in the laboratory of Department of Seed Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh during 2016-17. There were different three bulb size [B<sub>1</sub> ( $25 \pm 5$  g, small size), B<sub>2</sub> ( $50 \pm 5$  g, medium size)

and  $B_3 (75 + 5 \text{ g}, \text{ large size})$ ] and six plant spacing [S<sub>1</sub> (30 cm x 30 cm), S<sub>2</sub> (30 cm x 40 cm), S<sub>3</sub> (45 cm x 30 cm), S<sub>4</sub> (45 cm x 40 cm), S<sub>5</sub> (60 cm x 30 cm) and S<sub>6</sub> (60 cm x 40 cm)] were evaluated in the field experiment following Randomized Block Design (Factorial) using cv. Gujarat Junagadh White Onion 3 (GJWO 3). The seed harvested from each of the treatment combinations were brought to the laboratory to study their effects on seed quality parameters viz., germination percentage, root length (cm), shoot length (cm), root fresh weight (g), shoot fresh weight (g), root dry weight (mg), shoot dry weight (mg), Vigour Index I (length) and Vigour Index II (mass) and were analyzed by Completely Randomized Design (Factorial) in three repetitions. Analysis of variance for Completely Randomized Design (Factorial) was computed as per the method of Cochran and Cox (1957) <sup>[7]</sup>. Vigour index in terms of length and mass were determined as per formulae given by Abdul-Baki and Anderson (1973)<sup>[1]</sup>.

# **Results and Discussion**

The effect of bulb size and spacing on all seed quality parameters were found significant, but the interaction effect of bulb size and spacing were found non-significant for all seed quality parameters of onion after harvesting.

# Germination percentage

Significantly the maximum germination in per cent (90.00%) was recorded in seeds harvested from bulb size  $75 \pm 5$  g (B<sub>3</sub>) over other bulb size, whereas significantly the minimum germination percentage (87.77%) was recorded in seeds harvested from 25 + 5 g bulb (B<sub>1</sub>) (Table 1). Seed harvested from bulb size  $50 \pm 5$  g (B<sub>2</sub>) was remained at par with both bulb size  $(B_1 \text{ and } B_3)$  with germination of 88.22 per cent. Increase in germination percentage might be due to high food reserves present in the large bulb which, in turn, might supply nutrient to the seeds. The results are in accordance to the findings Asaduzzaman et al. (2012b) [3], Asaduzzaman et al. (2015)<sup>[5]</sup>, Mollah et al. (2015)<sup>[14]</sup> and Debashis et al. (2016) <sup>[9]</sup>. The seeds harvested from plant spacing 60 cm x 30 cm (S<sub>5</sub>) recorded significantly the highest germination percentage (90.11%) and it was remained at par with seeds harvested from plant spacing 60 cm x 40 cm (S<sub>6</sub>) (89.78%), 45 cm x 40 cm (S<sub>4</sub>) (89.44%), 45 cm x 30 cm (S<sub>3</sub>) (89.00%) and 30 cm x 40 cm ( $S_2$ ) (88.00%) (Table 1). This might be due to the fact that the wider spacing supplied more food materials to the growing seeds compared to the closest spacing resulted in to bold seeds and, in turn, high germination. These results concur with earlier reports of Asaduzzaman et al. (2012a)<sup>[4]</sup>, Asaduzzaman et al. (2012b) <sup>[3]</sup>, Elhag and Osman (2013) <sup>[11]</sup>, Asaduzzaman et al. (2015)<sup>[5]</sup> and El-Damarany et al. (2015) <sup>[10]</sup> and Haile et al. (2017) <sup>[12]</sup>. The seeds harvested from treatment combination  $B_3 \times S_6$  followed by  $B_3 \times S_5$  and  $B_3 \times S_4$ noted higher germination percentage, while the minimum germination percentage was noted in seed harvested from B<sub>1</sub> x  $S_1$  treatment combination (85.00%). The results are similar to the results reported earlier by Asaduzzaman et al. (2012b) <sup>[3]</sup>, Asaduzzaman et al. (2015) <sup>[5]</sup> and Haile et al. (2017) <sup>[11]</sup> in onion.

#### Root length (cm)

The results indicated that seeds harvested from different bulb size planting exerted significant effect on root length. Significantly the maximum root length was recorded in the seeds harvested from bulb size  $75 \pm 5$  g (2.13 cm) (B<sub>3</sub>), whereas significantly the minimum root length was recorded in seeds harvested from bulb size  $25 \pm 5$  g (B<sub>1</sub>) (1.75 cm)

(Table 1). High food reserves present in the large bulb might supply nutrient properly to the seeds, which perhaps cause highest weight of 1000 seed and, in turn, high germination and longer root length. The results are in accordance with the findings of Badawi et al. (2010) [6] reported for root length in onion. The seeds harvested from plant spacing 60 cm x 40 cm  $(S_6)$  recorded significantly the maximum root length (2.14) cm), whereas significantly the minimum root length (1.63 cm) was reported in seeds harvested from plant spaced at 30 cm x 30 cm  $(S_1)$ . This might be due to the fact that the wider spacing supplied more food materials to the growing seeds compared to the closest spacing resulted in to bold seeds and, in turn, longer root length. The results are in accordance with the findings of Teshome et al. (2015) <sup>[15]</sup> reported for root length in onion. However, relatively the higher root length was produced by the seeds harvested from treatment combination  $B_3 \times S_6$  followed by  $B_3 \times S_5$  and  $B_3 \times S_4$  while it was noted the minimum in treatment combination  $B_1 \ge S_1$ followed by  $B_2 \times S_1$  and  $B_1 \times S_2$ .

 Table 1: Effect of bulb size and spacing on germination percentage, root length (cm), shoot length (cm) and root fresh weight (mg) in onion

	Germination	Root length	Shoot length	Root fresh				
Treatment	percentage	(cm)	(cm)	weight (mg)				
Bulb size								
$B_1 = 25 \pm 5 g$	87.77	1.75	4.96	7.21				
$B_2 = 50 \pm 5 g$	88.22	1.84	5.19	7.51				
$B_3 = 75 \pm 5 g$	90.00	2.13	5.51	7.82				
S. Em. ±	0.64	0.02	0.05	0.07				
C.D. at 5%	1.83	0.05	0.14	0.21				
	SI	pacing						
$S_1 = 30 \text{ cm x } 30 \text{ cm}$	85.67	1.63	4.95	7.11				
$S_2 = 30 \text{ cm x } 40 \text{ cm}$	88.00	1.73	5.04	7.25				
$S_3 = 45 \text{ cm x } 30 \text{ cm}$	89.00	1.91	5.15	7.43				
$S_4 = 45 \text{ cm x } 40 \text{ cm}$	89.44	2.00	5.27	7.59				
$S_5 = 60 \text{ cm x } 30 \text{ cm}$	90.11	2.05	5.39	7.73				
$S_6 = 60 \text{ cm x } 40 \text{ cm}$	89.78	2.14	5.53	7.98				
S. Em.±	0.90	0.03	0.07	0.10				
C.D. at 5%	2.28	0.08	0.20	0.30				
	Bulb siz	ze x spacing						
$B_1 \ge S_1$	85.00	1.55	4.76	6.73				
$B_1 \ge S_2$	87.33	1.59	4.82	6.85				
$B_1 x S_3$	88.33	1.78	4.91	7.14				
$B_1 x S_4$	88.67	1.81	4.99	7.32				
$B_1 x S_5$	89.00	1.83	5.10	7.50				
$B_1 x S_6$	88.33	1.96	5.23	7.73				
$B_2 \ge S_1$	85.67	1.57	4.93	7.19				
$B_2 \ge S_2$	88.00	1.62	5.01	7.28				
$B_2 x S_3$	88.33	1.80	5.12	7.39				
$B_2 x S_4$	89.00	1.91	5.25	7.58				
$B_2 x S_5$	89.33	2.00	5.32	7.66				
$B_2 x S_6$	89.00	2.11	5.52	7.98				
$B_3 \ge S_1$	86.33	1.78	5.17	7.42				
B <sub>3</sub> x S <sub>2</sub>	88.67	1.96	5.30	7.64				
$B_3 x S_3$	90.33	2.13	5.42	7.75				
$B_3 x S_4$	90.67	2.27	5.57	7.88				
$B_3 \ge S_5$	92.00	2.32	5.75	8.02				
B <sub>3</sub> x S <sub>6</sub>	92.00	2.35	5.85	8.22				
Mean	88.67	1.91	5.22	7.52				
S. Em.±	1.56	0.05	0.12	0.18				
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS				
C.V. %	3.05	4.40	4.09	4.18				

# Shoot length (cm)

Significantly the maximum shoot length (5.51 cm) was recorded in the seeds harvested from bulb size  $75 \pm 5$  g (B<sub>3</sub>), whereas significantly the minimum shoot length (4.96 cm) was recorded in seeds harvested from bulb size  $25 \pm 5$  g (B<sub>1</sub>) (Table 1). The results are in accordance with the findings of Badawi *et al.* (2010) <sup>[6]</sup> reported for shoot length in onion.

The seeds harvested from plant spacing 60 cm x 40 cm ( $S_6$ ) recorded significantly the maximum shoot length (5.53 cm) and it was at par with 60 cm x 30 cm (S<sub>5</sub>) (5.39 cm), while significantly the minimum shoot length (4.95 cm) was reported in seeds harvested from plant spaced at 30 cm x 30 cm  $(S_1)$  and it was at par with 30 cm x 40 cm  $(S_2)$  (5.04 cm) and 45 cm x 30 cm  $(S_3)$  (5.15 cm). This might be due to the fact that the wider spacing supplied more food materials to the growing seeds compared to the closest spacing resulted in to bold seeds and, in turn, longer shoot length. The results are in accordance with the findings of Teshome et al. (2015) [15] reported for shoot length in onion. However, relatively the maximum shoot length was produced by the seeds harvested from treatment combination  $B_3 \times S_6$  (5.85 cm) followed by  $B_3$ x S<sub>5</sub> and B<sub>3</sub> x S<sub>4</sub>, while it was noted the minimum in treatment combination  $B_1 \ge S_1$  (4.76 cm) followed by  $B_1 \ge S_2$  and  $B_1 \ge S_2$ **S**<sub>3.</sub>

# Root fresh weight (mg)

Significantly the maximum root fresh weight was recorded in the seeds harvested from bulb size  $75 \pm 5$  g (7.82 mg) (B<sub>3</sub>), whereas significantly the minimum root fresh weight was recorded in seeds harvested from bulb size  $25 \pm 5$  g (B<sub>1</sub>) (7.21 mg) (Table 1). The seeds harvested from plant spaced at 60  $cm x 40 cm (S_6)$  recorded significantly the maximum root fresh weight (7.98 mg) and it was at par with 60 cm x 30 cm  $(S_5)$  (7.73 mg), while significantly the minimum root fresh weight (7.11 mg) was reported in seeds harvested from plant spaced at 30 cm x 30 cm  $(S_1)$  and it was at par with 30 cm x 40 cm  $(S_2)$  (7.25 mg). This might be due to the fact that the wider spacing supplied more food materials to the growing seeds compared to the closest spacing resulted in to bold seeds and, in turn, longer root length and ultimately higher root fresh weight. However, relatively the maximum root fresh weight was produced by the seeds harvested from treatment combination  $B_3 \times S_6$ , (8.22 mg) followed by  $B_3 \times S_5$ and B<sub>2</sub> x S<sub>6</sub>, while it was noted the minimum in treatment combination  $B_1 \ge S_1$  (6.73 mg) followed by  $B_1 \ge S_2$  and  $B_1 \ge S_2$ **S**<sub>3.</sub>

# Shoot fresh weight (mg)

Significantly the maximum shoot fresh weight (22.55 mg) was recorded in the seeds harvested from bulb size  $75 \pm 5$  g (B<sub>3</sub>), whereas significantly the minimum shoot fresh weight

(21.72 mg) was recorded in seeds harvested from bulb size 25  $\pm$  5 g (B<sub>1</sub>) (Table 2). The seeds harvested from plant spaced at 60 cm x 40 cm (S<sub>6</sub>) recorded significantly the maximum shoot fresh weight (22.57 mg) and it was at par with 60 cm x 30 cm (S<sub>5</sub>) (22.36 mg) and 45 cm x 40 cm (S<sub>4</sub>) (22.19 mg). This might be due to the fact that the wider spacing supplied more food materials to the growing seeds compared to the closest spacing resulted in to bold seeds and, in turn, longer shoot length and ultimately higher shoot fresh weight. However, comparatively the maximum shoot fresh weight was produced by the seeds harvested from treatment combination B<sub>3</sub> x S<sub>6</sub>, (23.08 mg) followed by B<sub>3</sub> x S<sub>5</sub> and B<sub>3</sub> x S<sub>4</sub>, while it was noted the minimum in treatment combination B<sub>1</sub> x S<sub>1</sub> (21.45 mg) followed by B<sub>1</sub> x S<sub>2</sub> and B<sub>1</sub> x S<sub>3</sub>.

# Root dry weight (mg)

Significantly the maximum root dry weight (0.35 mg) was recorded in the seeds harvested from bulb size  $75 \pm 5$  g (B<sub>3</sub>), whereas significantly the minimum root length (0.32 mg) was recorded in seeds harvested from bulb size  $25 \pm 5$  g (B<sub>1</sub>) and it was at par with the seeds harvested from bulb size  $50 \pm 5$  g (B<sub>2</sub>) (0.33 mg) (Table 2). The results are in accordance with the findings of Badawi et al. (2010) [6] reported for root dry weight in onion. The seeds harvested from plant spaced at 60  $cm \ge 40 cm (S_6)$  recorded significantly the maximum root dry weight (0.35 mg) and it was at par with 60 cm x 30 cm ( $S_5$ ), 45 cm x 40 cm ( $S_4$ ) and 45 cm x 30 cm ( $S_3$ ) with root dry weight of 0.34, 0.34 and 0.33 mg, respectively. Similarly, significantly the minimum root dry weight (0.32 mg) was reported in seeds harvested from plant spaced at 30 cm x 30  $cm (S_1)$  and 30 cm x 40 cm (S<sub>2</sub>) and it was at par with 45 cm x 40 cm ( $S_4$ ) and 45 cm x 30 cm ( $S_3$ ) with root dry weight of 0.34 and 0.33 mg, respectively. This might be due to the fact that wider spacing supplied more food materials to the growing seeds compared to the closest spacing resulted in to bold seeds and, in turn, longer root length and higher root dry weight. The results are in accordance with the findings of Teshome et al. (2015) <sup>[15]</sup> reported for root dry weight in onion. However, relatively the higher root dry weight (0.36 mg) was produced by the seeds harvested from treatment combination  $B_3 \times S_6$  and  $B_3 \times S_5$ , while it was noted the minimum (0.30 mg) in treatment combination  $B_1 \times S_1$  and  $B_1$ x S<sub>2</sub>.

 Table 2: Effect of bulb size and spacing on shoot fresh weight (mg), root dry weight (mg), shoot dry weight (mg), Vigour Index – 1 (length) and Vigour Index - II (mass) in onion

vigour index in (mass) in onion								
Treatment	Shoot fresh weight (mg)	Root dry weight (mg)	Shoot dry weight (mg)	Vigour index - I (length)	Vigour index - II (mass)			
Bulb size								
$B_1 = 25 \pm 5 g$	21.72	0.32	0.96	590.14	112.51			
$B_2 = 50 \pm 5 g$	22.04	0.33	0.98	620.39	115.54			
$B_3 = 75 \pm 5 g$	22.55	0.35	1.00	689.10	121.18			
S. Em. ±	0.13	0.003	0.01	6.97	1.34			
C.D. at 5%	0.36	0.01	0.02	19.99	3.84			
Spacing								
$S_1 = 30 \text{ cm x } 30 \text{ cm}$	21.70	0.32	0.96	564.27	109.37			
$S_2 = 30 \text{ cm x } 40 \text{ cm}$	21.82	0.32	0.97	595.76	113.37			
$S_3 = 45 \text{ cm x } 30 \text{ cm}$	22.00	0.33	0.97	628.29	116.08			
$S_4 = 45 \text{ cm x } 40 \text{ cm}$	22.19	0.34	0.98	650.52	117.96			
$S_5 = 60 \text{ cm x } 30 \text{ cm}$	22.36	0.34	0.99	671.18	120.15			
$S_6 = 60 \text{ cm x } 40 \text{ cm}$	22.57	0.35	1.00	689.24	121.54			
S. Em.±	0.18	0.01	0.01	9.86	1.90			
C.D. at 5%	0.52	0.02	0.03	28.27	5.44			
Bulb size x spacing								
$B_1 \ge S_1$	21.45	0.30	0.95	535.94	106.08			

$B_1 \ge S_2$	21.52	0.30	0.95	559.78	109.76
B <sub>1</sub> x S <sub>3</sub>	21.63	0.32	0.96	590.87	112.58
$B_1 \ge S_4$	21.72	0.32	0.96	602.94	113.88
B1 x S5	21.90	0.33	0.97	616.53	115.91
B1 x S6	22.11	0.34	0.98	634.78	116.88
$B_2 \ge S_1$	21.68	0.32	0.96	556.72	109.64
$B_2 \ge S_2$	21.75	0.32	0.96	583.73	113.21
B <sub>2</sub> x S <sub>3</sub>	21.92	0.33	0.97	611.57	114.69
B <sub>2</sub> x S <sub>4</sub>	22.13	0.34	0.98	637.65	117.07
$B_2 \ge S_5$	22.24	0.34	0.99	654.13	118.37
B2 x S6	22.51	0.35	1.00	678.56	120.22
B <sub>3</sub> x S <sub>1</sub>	21.96	0.33	0.97	600.14	112.40
B3 x S2	22.18	0.34	0.98	643.77	117.13
B <sub>3</sub> x S <sub>3</sub>	22.46	0.34	1.00	682.43	120.97
B3 x S4	22.72	0.35	1.01	710.99	122.94
B <sub>3</sub> x S <sub>5</sub>	22.93	0.36	1.02	742.88	126.17
B3 x S6	23.08	0.36	1.02	754.38	127.51
Mean	22.11	0.33	0.98	633.21	116.41
S. Em.±	0.31	0.01	0.02	17.07	3.28
C.D. at 5%	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
C.V. %	2.45	4.52	2.87	4.67	4.89

## Shoot dry weight (mg)

Significantly the maximum shoot dry weight (1.00 mg) was recorded in the seeds harvested from bulb size  $75 \pm 5$  g (B<sub>3</sub>), whereas significantly the minimum root length (0.32 mg) was recorded in seeds harvested from bulb size  $25 \pm 5$  g (B<sub>1</sub>) and it was at par with the seeds harvested from bulb size  $50 \pm 5$  g (B<sub>2</sub>) (0.33 mg) (Table 2). High food reserves present in the large bulb might supply nutrient properly to the seeds, which perhaps cause the highest weight of 1000 seed and, in turn, longer shoot length and ultimately high shoot dry weight. An examination of data (Table 2) showed that seeds harvested from different plant spacing exerted significant effect on shoot dry weight. The seeds harvested from plant spaced at 60  $cm x 40 cm (S_6)$  recorded significantly the maximum shoot dry weight (1.00 mg) and it was at par with 60 cm x 30 cm  $(S_5)$ , 45 cm x 40 cm  $(S_4)$  and 45 cm x 30 cm  $(S_3)$  with shoot dry weight of 0.99, 0.98 and 0.97 mg, respectively. The results are in accordance with the findings of Teshome et al. (2015) <sup>[15]</sup> reported for shoot dry weight in onion. However, relatively the higher shoot dry weight (1.02 mg) was produced by the seeds harvested from treatment combination  $B_3 \times S_6$ and  $B_3 \times S5$ , while it was noted the minimum (0.95 mg) in treatment combination  $B_1 \times S_1$  and  $B_1 \times S_2$ .

# Vigour index -I (length)

Significantly the maximum vigour index – I (length) (689.10) was recorded in the seeds harvested from bulb size  $75 \pm 5$  g (B<sub>3</sub>), whereas significantly the minimum vigour index – I (length) (590.14) was recorded in seeds harvested from bulb size 25 + 5 g (B<sub>1</sub>) (Table 2). The results are in accordance with the findings of Shaikh et al. (2002) reported for vigour index in onion. The seeds harvested from plant spaced at 60  $cm x 40 cm (S_6)$  recorded significantly the maximum vigour index -1 (length) (689.24) and it was at par with 60 cm x 30 cm ( $S_5$ ) (671.18). Significantly the minimum vigour index – I (length) (564.27) was reported in seeds harvested from plant spaced at 30 cm x 30 cm  $(S_1)$  (Table 4). The results are in accordance with the findings of Kumar et al. (2015) [13] and Teshome et al. (2015) <sup>[15]</sup> reported for vigour index - I (length) in onion. However, relatively the higher vigour index - I (length) (754.38) was produced by the seeds harvested from treatment combination  $B_3 \times S_6$ , while it was noted the minimum (535.94) in by treatment combination  $B_1 \times S_1$ .

# Vigour index - II (mass)

Significantly the maximum vigour index – II (mass) (121.18) was recorded in the seeds harvested from bulb size 75 + 5 g  $(B_3)$ , whereas significantly the minimum vigour index – II (mass) (112.51) was recorded in seeds harvested from bulb size B1 (Table 2). The results are in accordance with the findings of Shaikh et al. (2002) reported for vigour index in onion. The seeds harvested from plant spaced at 60 cm x 40 cm (S<sub>6</sub>) recorded significantly the maximum vigour index – II (mass) (121.54) and it was at par with 60 cm x 30 cm ( $S_5$ ) (120.15) and 45 cm x 40 cm  $(S_4)$  (117.96) (Table 4). Significantly the minimum vigour index – II (mass) (109.37) was reported in seeds harvested from plant spaced at 30 cm x 30 cm ( $S_1$ ) and it was at par with 30 cm x 40 cm ( $S_2$ ) (113.37). The results are in accordance with the findings of Kumar et al. (2015)<sup>[13]</sup> and Teshome et al. (2015)<sup>[15]</sup> reported for vigour index - II (mass) in onion. However, relatively the higher vigour index - II (mass) (127.51) was produced by the seeds harvested from treatment combination  $B_3 \times S_6$ , while it was noted the minimum (106.08) in treatment combination  $B_1$ x S<sub>1</sub>.

#### Conclusion

The final conclusion that can be reached from the present study that the bulb size 75  $\pm$  5 g (B<sub>3</sub>) irrespective of plant spacing noted significantly the highest values for different seed quality parameters after the harvest of seeds of onion. Similarly, irrespective of bulb size, bulbs planted at the spacing of 60 cm x 40 cm ( $S_6$ ) also produced significantly the highest values for seed quality parameters after the harvest of seeds of onion. Among the different combinations of bulb size and plant spacing, bulb size 75 + 5 g planted at the spacing of 60 cm x 40 cm ( $B_3$  x  $S_6$ ) was found a best suited, as it having highest different seed quality parameters after the harvest of seeds of onion. Therefore, looking to the different seed parameters of onion seed after harvesting, it is suggested that for getting the higher onion seed yield of good quality, large size onion bulbs of Gujarat Junagadh White Onion 3 (GJWO 3) could be planted at the spacing of 45 cm x 30-40 cm.

#### References

 Abdul-Baki A, Anderson JD. Vigor determination in soybean seed by multiple criteria. Crop Sci. 1973; 13(6):630-633.

- 2. Abedin MJ, Rahim MA, Islam KS, Haider MA. Effect of planting date and bulb size on the yield and quality of onion seed. Bangladesh J Seed Sci. Tech, 1999; 3(1, 2):25-28.
- 3. Asaduzzaman M, Hasan MM, Hasan MM, Moniruzzaman M. Quality seed production of onion (*Allium cepa* L.): An integrated approach of bulb size and plant spacing. J Agric. Res. 2012b; 50(1):119-128.
- 4. Asaduzzaman M, Hasan MM, Hasan MM, Moniruzzaman M, Howlader MHK. Effect of bulb size and spacing on seed production of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Bangladesh J Agril. Res, 2012a; 37(3):405-414.
- 5. Asaduzzaman M, Robbani M, Ali M, Hasan MM, Begum M, Hasan MM *et al.* Mother bulb weight and plant density influence on seed yield and yield attributes of onion. Int. J Veg. Sci, 2015; 21(1):98-108.
- 6. Badawi MA, Seadh SE, EL-Emery MI, Shalaby AEM. Onion seed yield and its quality as influenced by storage methods, mother bulb size and harvesting time. J Plant Prod. 2010; 1(2):239-249.
- 7. Cochran WG, Cox GM. Experimental Designs. 2nd Edition, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1957, 615.
- Dawar NM, Wazir FK, Dawar M, Dawar SH. Effect of planting density on growth and yield of onion varieties under climatic conditions of Peshawar. Sarhad J Agric. 2007; 23(4):911-917.
- 9. Debashis M, Santra P, Maity TK, Basu AK. Quality seed production of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cv. Sukhsagar as influenced by bulb size and date of planting. Agri. Res. Tech. 2016; 2(3):1-6.
- El-Damarany AM, El-Shaikh KAA, Obiadalla-Ali HA, Abdel-Kader MM. Effect of mother bulb size and planting space on seed production of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) cultivar Giza 6 Mohassan. J Agril. Vet. Sci. 2015; 8(2):187-200.
- Elhag AZ, Osman HM. Effect of plant spacing on onion (*Allium cepa* L.) seed quality. Universal J Appl. Sci, 2013; 1(2):52-55.
- 12. Haile A, Tesfaye B, Worku W. Seed yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) as affected by bulb size and intra-row spacing. African J Agric. Res. 2017; 12(12):987-996.
- Kumar S, Tomar BS, Jain SK, Singh N, Prasad R, Munshi AD. Effect of planting time and density on plant growth, seed yield and quality attributes in onion (*Allium cepa*) cv. Pusa Riddhi. Indian J Agril. Sci, 2015; 85(12):1578–1585.
- Mollah MRA, Ali MA, Ahmad M, Hassan MK, Alam MJ. Effect of bulb size on the yield and quality of true seeds of onion. European J Biotech Biosci, 2015; 3(7):23-27.
- 15. Teshome H, Woldeselassie A, Simon T. Seed yield and quality of onion (*Allium cepa* var. Cepa) seed as influence by bulb treatment and spacing patterns at Larena, Southern Ethiopia. J Nat. Sci. Res, 2015; 5(9):82-86.