

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; 7(2): 2923-2927 Received: 21-01-2018 Accepted: 22-02-2018

Preeti Singh

Department of Plant Physiology, Institute of Agricultural Sciences Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Varsha Singh

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Neeraj Singh

Department of Agricultural statistics, college of Agriculture, NDUA&T, Kumarganj, Faizabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

Vijai Pandurangam

Department of Plant Physiology, Institute of Agricultural Sciences Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

JP Shahi

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Correspondence Preeti Singh Department of Plant Physiology, Institute of Agricultural Sciences Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India

Ameliorating effect of seed priming by salicylic acid on biochemical traits in *Rabi* maize (*Zea Mays* L.) genotypes under normal and delayed sowing

Preeti Singh, Varsha Singh, Neeraj Singh, Vijai Pandurangam and JP Shahi

Abstract

A field experiment was carried out during the winter (*Rabi*) season (2013-14) at Agricultural Research Farm, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi to study the ameliorating effect of seed priming by salicylic acid on biochemical traits in maize genotypes i.e., HUZM-185 and HUZM-80-1 under normal and delayed sowing. The experiment was laid out in split plot design comprising eight treatment combinations in three replications. Seeds were primed with salicylic acid (SA) @ 20 μ g mL⁻¹ and 40 μ g mL⁻¹ along with hydro priming (distilled water) for overnight and non-primed seeds as control before both sowings i.e., normal and delayed sowing. Observations were recorded at 20, 40 and 60 days after sowing for total chlorophyll, total soluble sugar, protein and proline contents in leaves. It was found that for the studied biochemical traits, genotype HUZM-185 primed with 20 μ g mL⁻¹ salicylic acid significantly increased in delayed sowing as compared to normal sowing. This finding suggests that maize genotypes were found to differ in their ability to respond to delayed sowing under influence of seed priming with salicylic acid.

Keywords: delayed sowing, maize, priming, salicylic acid

Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.), an important monocotyledonous cereal crop belongs to the tribe Tripsaceae (Maydeae) of family Poaceae and often regarded as "queen of cereal". In India, maize is the 3^{rd} most important food crops after rice and wheat. Its importance lies in the fact that it is not only used for human food and animal feed but at the same time it is also widely used for corn starch industry, corn oil production, baby corn etc. *Rabi* maize is grown on an area of 1.49 Mha with a production of 6.40 MT and its productivity is 4,288 kg ha⁻¹ (ISOPOM, 2013-14)^[8]. Sowing of *rabi* maize crop at right time is essential for growth, development and better grain yield and it is also required that the *rabi* maize crop exhibit low temperature tolerance as vegetative growth is very sensitive to low temperature. Seed priming has been shown to improve seed performance under sub-optimal temperature conditions (Lin and Sung, 2001)^[9].

Salicylic acid (SA) is a phytohormone of phenolic nature. It is ubiquitous in plants generating a considerable impact on plant growth, development, mineral uptake and transport, photosynthesis, and transpiration. Salicylic acid and other salicylates are known to affect various physiological and biochemical activities of plants and may play a key role in regulating their growth and productivity (Arberg, 1981) ^[2]. Salicylic acid has been found to play a key role in the regulation of plant growth, development, interaction with other organisms and in the responses to environmental stresses (Raskin, 1992a, b; Yalpani *et al.*, 1994; Senaratna *et al.*, 2000) ^[14, 15, 21, 18]. Salicylic acid pre treatment helped to improve emergence, seedling growth and biochemical parameters, but salicylic acid was relatively more effective at sub optimum (15⁰C) than at optimum temperature (Bedi and Dhingra, 2007) ^[17]. Keeping this in view, the present investigation was carried out to study the mitigating effect of salicylic acid on some of the biochemical traits in maize genotypes i.e., HUZM-185 and HUZM-80-1 under normal and delayed sowing.

Materials and Methods

A field experiment was carried out during the winter (*Rabi*) seasons of 2013-14 at Agricultural Research Farm, Banaras Hindu University, and Varanasi in the north-eastern plain zone. The experiment was laid out in split plot design comprising eight treatment combinations [V1T1-Control; (HUZM-185 + non-primed seed), V1T2- hydro primed (HUZM-185 + distilled water), V1T3-(HUZM-185 + 20 μ g mL⁻¹ SA), V1T4- (HUZM-185 + 40 μ g mL⁻¹ SA), V2T1-

Control; (HUZM-80-1 + non-primed seed), V2T2- hydro primed (HUZM-80-1 + distilled water), V2T3-(HUZM-80-1 + 20 μ g mL⁻¹ SA), V2T4- (HUZM-80-1 + 40 μ g mL⁻¹ SA)] and replicated thrice. The observations were recorded in uppermost fully expanded leaf at 20, 40 and 60 days after sowing for total chlorophyll content, total soluble sugar content, protein content and proline content under both normal sowing (1st fortnight of november) and delayed sowing (1st fortnight of december).

Data obtained from various observations were analyzed as per the standard analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedure for split plot design given by Gomez and Gomez (1984)^[7].

Biochemical traits analysis

Total chlorophyll content was determined according to method of Arnon (1949)^[3] and expressed as (mg g⁻¹ fresh weight). The amount of total chlorophyll was calculated as under;

Total Chlorophyll (mg g⁻¹ fresh weight)

$$= [20.2(A.645) = 8.02(A.663)] \times V/1000 \times W$$

Where,

A = Absorbance of chlorophyll extract at the specified wavelength

V = Final volume of the 80% acetone chlorophyll extract

W = Fresh weight in grams of the tissue extracted

Total soluble sugar was determined by the method of Yemm and Willis (1954) ^[25] and expressed as mg g⁻¹ fresh weight. Total protein content was determined by the protocol of Lowry *et al.* (1951) ^[10] and expressed as mg g⁻¹ fresh weight. Total proline content was determined by the method of Bates *et al.* (1973) ^[4] and expressed as mg g⁻¹ fresh weight.

Results and Discussion

During winter season, maize crop is grown in the month of December in north India plains and is exposed to cold temperature at vegetative stage in the month of January. Salicylic acid (SA) plays a regulatory role in plant metabolism and physiology and also plays a great role in plant response to abiotic stress factors of various natures, i.e., temperature, salinity, drought, and heavy metal etc. (Pal *et al.*, 2013) ^[12]. Salicylic acid can improve tolerance to these abiotic stresses by seed priming (Farooq *et al.*, 2009; Carvalho *et al.*, 2011; Sayyari *et al.*, 2013; Farzin Pouramir-Dashtmian *et al.*, 2014) ^[6, 5].

Under delayed sown condition, due to effect of low temperature, total chlorophyll content [Table 1] of leaves declines due to degradation of chlorophyll molecules. Present investigation showed significant increment among treatments and genotype HUZM-185 primed with salicylic acid @ 20 μ g mL⁻¹ were performed better at 20, 40 and 60 DAS under delayed sown as compared to normal sown condition; such results are previously reported by Ahmad *et al.* (2015) that priming strategies improved leaf Chl a and b contents. Zhou *et al.* (1999) ^[23] reported that photosynthetic pigments were increased in corn with SA application. Moreover, Khan *et al.* (2003) ^[16] showed that SA increased photosynthetic rate in corn and soybean.

Total soluble sugar play a complex essential role in plant metabolism as substrates in biosynthetic processes and energy production as well as in a sugar sensing and signaling systems. Soluble sugars may function as a typical osmoprotectant, stabilizing cellular membranes and maintaining turgor pressure (Khan et al., 2012)^[16]. In the present research study, there occurred accumulation of total soluble sugar content [Table 2] under low temperature stress in both genotypes of maize. Salicylic acid primed seeds by 20 ug mL⁻¹ increased the soluble sugar accumulation in HUZM-185 under delayed sown as compared to normal sown condition. It is likely that SA seed soaking triggered the low temperature tolerance mechanism in HUZM-185 through enhanced sugar accumulation in delayed sown as compare to normal sown conditions. Dashtmian et al. (2014) reported that soluble sugar content of rice seedling leaves considerably increased as temperature decreased and seed priming with SA enhanced the responses.

In present investigation, salicylic acid seed treatment caused highest accumulation of leaf protein content [Table 3] in HUZM-185 with 20 μ g mL⁻¹ SA under delayed sown as compare to normal sown condition. The higher soluble proteins accumulation by HUZM-185 under low temperature stress indicates the better adaptability of this inbred line under low temperature stress. The SA was highly effective to increase the soluble protein content of maize leaves. Dashtmian *et al.* (2014) reported that chilling stress (8°C) reduced the protein content (35%) in untreated seeds while the reduction of 6% in protein content was observed when the rice seeds were primed with SA 50 mg L⁻¹. Therefore, seed priming with SA solution was able to mitigate (29%) the negative effect of chilling stress on protein content of seedling leaves.

The present study indicated that proline [Table 4] synthesis and accumulation could be induced under low temperature and seed priming with SA solution could intensify it under delayed as compare to normal sowing conditions. Genotype HUZM-185 primed with 20 μ g mL⁻¹ SA performed better among other treatments under delayed sowing as compared to normal sowing condition. Nowak et al. (2010) [11] mentioned that accumulation of proline in plant cells is a protective mechanism in which plants use to protect themselves against abiotic stresses like low temperature. They also reported that proline acts as a signal/regulatory compound affecting several physiological and biochemical processes in plants under normal and stress conditions. Aghaee et al. (2011)^[1] demonstrated that with a decrease in temperature, proline concentration increased. Similarly, Yadegari et al. (2007) [20] revealed that proline concentration in leaves of soybean seedling increased as temperature decreased. Moreover, Salicylic acid treatment at 20 µg mL⁻¹ showed highest significant effect in both genotypes under delayed sown as compared to normal sown conditions.

It was concluded that seed priming by 20 µg mL⁻¹ of salicylic acid have positive significant effect on biochemical traits viz., total chlorophyll content, total soluble sugar content, protein content and proline content in both genotypes HUZM-185 and HUZM-80-1 at all stages studied under normal and delayed sown conditions. Nevertheless, this aspect also requires further in depth investigation.

Table 1: Effect of salicylic acid (SA) on total chlorophyll content (mg g ⁻¹ fr	resh weight) in rabi maize (Zea mays L.) leaves under normal and
delayed sown conditions du	uring 2013-2014

		Days after sowing										
Genotypes	Treatments		20			40		60				
		Normal	Delayed	Mean	Normal	Delayed	Mean	Normal	Delayed	Mean		
	T1 (Non-primed)	1.8	1.7(-5.37)	1.7	1.9	1.9(-1.76)	1.9	2.2	2.2(-1.26)	2.2		
111/20 4 105	T2 (Hydro)	2.0	2.0(-1.34)	1.8	2.1	2.1(-1.10)	2.0	2.4	2.3(-0.80)	2.3		
HUZM-185	T3 (20 µg mL ⁻¹ SA)	2.4	2.5(+3.64)	2.1	2.5	2.6(+4.28)	2.3	3.1	3.2(+4.50)	2.8		
	T4 (40 µg mL ⁻¹ SA)	2.0	2.0(+2.87)	1.8	2.2	2.3(+3.10)	2.1	2.5	2.6(+3.34)	2.4		
Mean		2.04	2.04		2.18	2.21		2.53	2.58			
	T1 (Non-primed)	1.7	1.4(-16.83)	1.6	1.8	1.5(-14.43)	1.7	2.1	1.9(-6.36)	2.1		
	T2 (Hydro)	1.7	1.6(-3.91)	1.8	1.9	1.9(-2.04)	2.0	2.3	2.2(-1.76)	2.3		
HUZIVI 80-1	T3 (20 µg mL ⁻¹ SA)	1.9	1.9(+3.39)	2.2	2.2	2.3(+3.67)	2.4	2.6	2.7(+4.03)	2.9		
	T4 (40 µg mL ⁻¹ SA)	1.7	1.7(+1.75)	1.9	2.0	2.1(+2.90)	2.2	2.4	2.4(+3.32)	2.5		
Mean		1.74	1.68		1.98	1.94		2.32	2.32			
(Grand Mean	1.89	1.86		2.08	2.07		2.43	2.45			
	Particulars	SEm±	CD at 5%		SEm±	CD at 5%		SEm±	CD at 5%			
	Sowing	0.01	0.03		0.003	0.01		0.002	0.01			
	Genotype	0.01	0.03		0.003	0.01		0.002	0.01			
Sow	ing × Genotype	0.06	0.21		0.05	0.19		0.05	0.16			
Treatment		0.01	0.03		0.01	0.02		0.003	0.01			
Sowi	Sowing \times Treatment 0.01 0.04			0.01	0.01 0.03		0.01	0.01				
Genot	ype × Treatment	0.01	0.04		0.01	0.03		0.01	0.01			
Sowing ×	Genotype \times Treatment	0.02	0.06		0.01	0.04		0.01	0.02			

Values in parentheses indicate per cent increase or decrease under delayed sowing over normal sowing

 Table 2: Effect of salicylic acid (SA) on total soluble sugar content (mg g⁻¹ fresh weight) in *rabi* maize (Zea mays L.) leaves under normal and delayed sown conditions during 2013-2014

		Days after sowing										
Genotypes	Treatments		20			40		60				
		Normal	Delayed	Mean	Normal	Delayed	Mean	Normal	Delayed	Mean		
	T1 (Non-primed)	26.0	24.8(-4.69)	23.5	31.0	30.3(-2.15)	30.0	39.3	38.7(-1.59)	37.1		
1117714 105	T2 (Hydro)	28.7	28.0(-2.33)	27.2	35.7	35.0(-1.87)	33.7	45.2	45.0(+0.54)	42.0		
HUZM-185	T3 (20 µg mL ⁻¹ SA)	34.8	36.3(+4.88)	31.1	45.9	48.0(+4.60)	40.9	59.1	63.1(+6.78)	57.6		
	T4 (40 µg mL ⁻¹ SA)	31.6	32.2(+2.10)	28.8	40.0	41.0(+2.50)	36.5	52.0	53.4(+2.76)	48.5		
	Mean	30.2	30.3		38.1	38.6		48.9	50.1			
HUZM 80-1	T1 (Non-primed)	21.0	19.0(-9.52)	21.9	29.0	26.7(-8.05)	28.5	35.0	33.0(-5.71)	35.8		
	T2 (Hydro)	25.7	24.7(-3.93)	26.4	31.7	30.7(-3.16)	32.8	38.7	38.0(-1.72)	41.5		
	T3 (20 µg mL ⁻¹ SA)	27.3	28.3(+3.46)	32.3	36.0	37.3(+3.70)	42.7	56.0	59.0(+5.36)	61.1		
	T4 (40 µg mL ⁻¹ SA)	26.0	26.3(+1.28)	29.3	33.0	33.7(+2.02)	37.3	45.0	46.2(+2.72)	49.8		
	Mean	25.2	24.6		32.4	32.1		43.7	44.1			
(Grand Mean	27.6	27.5		35.3	35.3		46.3	47.1			
	Particulars	SEm±	CD at 5%		SEm±	CD at 5%		SEm±	CD at 5%			
	Sowing	0.2	NS		0.1	NS		0.4	NS			
	Genotype	0.2	0.6		0.1	0.5		0.4	1.3			
Sowing × Genotype		0.6	NS		0.7	NS		1.1	NS			
Treatment		0.2	0.6		0.4	1.1		0.4	1.2			
Sowi	$ng \times Treatment$	0.3	0.8		0.5	1.5		0.6	1.7			
Genot	ype × Treatment	0.3	0.8		0.5	1.5		0.6	1.7			
Sowing $\times 0$	Genotype × Treatment	0.4	NS		0.7	NS		0.8	NS			

Values in parentheses indicate per cent increase or decrease under delayed sowing over normal sowing

Table 3: Effect of salicylic acid (SA) on protein content (mg g⁻¹ fresh weight) in *rabi* maize (Zea mays L.) leaves under normal and delayed sown conditions during 2013-2014

		Days after sowing										
Genotypes	Treatments	20				40		60				
		Normal	Delayed	Mean	Normal	Delayed	Mean	Normal	Delayed	Mean		
	T1 (Non-primed)	9.1	8.3(-8.76)	7.8	11.4	10.7(-6.43)	10.1	13.4	12.7(-5.48)	12.1		
LUIZM 195	T2 (Hydro)	10.8	10.2(-5.43)	9.2	12.9	12.3(-4.64)	11.3	16.2	16.0(-1.35)	13.9		
HUZM-185	T3 (20 µg mL ⁻¹ SA)	15.0	16.3(+9.20)	12.3	18.7	20.5(+9.82)	15.7	26.5	29.3(+10.7)	20.7		
	T4 (40 µg mL ⁻¹ SA)	11.5	12.3(+6.94)	10.4	14.0	15.1(+7.62)	12.4	19.7	21.3(+8.12)	16.0		
Mean		11.6	11.8		14.3	14.6		19.0	19.8			
	T1 (Non-primed)	6.5	5.7(-12.80)	7.0	8.7	7.9(-9.20)	9.3	10.7	10.0(-6.54)	11.3		
HUZM 80-1	T2 (Hydro)	7.6	7.0(-8.41)	8.6	9.7	9.0(-7.25)	10.7	11.6	11.3(-2.30)	13.7		
	T3 (20 µg mL ⁻¹ SA)	9.7	10.3(+6.51)	13.3	12.8	13.7(+7.29)	17.1	14.8	16.0(+8.11)	22.7		
	T4 (40 µg mL ⁻¹ SA)	9.3	9.7(+4.32)	11.0	10.8	11.2(+4.33)	13.2	12.3	13.0(+5.69)	17.2		

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Mean	8.3	8.2		10.5	10.5		12.4	12.6	
Grand Mean	9.9	10.0		12.4	12.6		15.7	16.2	
Particulars	SEm±	CD at 5%		SEm±	CD at 5%		SEm±	CD at 5	%
Sowing	0.2	NS		0.2	NS		0.3	NS	
Genotype	0.2	0.6		0.2	0.7		0.3	1.2	
Sowing \times Genotype	0.4	NS		0.5	NS		0.6	NS	
Treatment	0.2	0.7		0.2	0.5		0.2	0.7	
Sowing × Treatment	0.4	1.0		0.3	0.7		0.3	1.0	
Genotype × Treatment	0.4	1.0		0.3	0.7		0.3	1.0	
Sowing \times Genotype \times Treatment	0.5	NS		0.4	NS		0.5	NS	

Values in parentheses indicate per cent increase or decrease under delayed sowing over normal sowing

Table 4: Effect of salicylic acid (SA) on proline content (µg g-1 fresh weight) in rabi maize (Zea mays L.) leaves under normal and delayed
sown conditions during 2013-2014

		Days after sowing											
Genotypes	Treatments		20			40		60					
		Normal	Delayed	Mean	Normal	Delayed	Mean	Normal	Delayed	Mean			
	T1 (Non-primed)	55.60	54.67(-1.68)	50.82	68.47	67.67(-1.17)	63.48	87.83	87.00(-0.95)	83.18			
	T2 (Hydro)	63.09	62.33(-1.20)	58.74	75.67	75.33(-0.44)	71.55	99.56	99.33(-0.22)	93.63			
HUZM-185	T3 (20 µg mL ⁻¹ SA)	93.96	119.20(+26.86)	84.85	101.62	123.67(+21.70)	95.06	127.69	151.67(+18.77)	117.51			
	T4 (40 µg mL ⁻¹ SA)	78.10	93.15(+19.28)	68.68	92.18	107.33(+16.44)	82.46	112.07	128.33(+14.51)	102.87			
	Mean	72.69	82.34		84.48	93.50		106.79	116.58				
	T1 (Non-primed)	46.03	44.33(-3.70)	49.50	58.50	57.33(-1.99)	62.50	78.53	77.67(-1.10)	82.33			
	T2 (Hydro)	54.40	53.33(-1.96)	57.83	67.43	66.67(-1.14)	71.00	87.70	87.00(-0.80)	93.17			
LUIZM 80 1	T3 (20 µg mL ⁻¹ SA)	75.73	91.69(+21.07)	105.44	88.50	102.75(+16.10)	113.21	107.33	124.36(+15.86)	138.01			
HUZM 80-1	T4 (40 µg mL ⁻¹ SA)	59.26	68.71(+15.95)	80.93	72.74	82.45(+13.34)	94.89	93.67	106.11(+13.28)	117.22			
	Mean	58.86	64.52		71.79	77.30		91.81	98.78				
G	rand Mean	65.77	73.43		78.14	85.40		99.30	107.68				
I	Particulars	SEm±	CD at 5%		SEm±	CD at 5%		SEm±	CD at 5%				
	Sowing	0.56	1.94		0.83	2.86	2.86		3.19				
	Genotype	0.56	1.94		0.83	2.86		0.92	3.19				
Sowi	ng × Genotype	5.34	18.49		4.78	NS		5.37	NS				
	Freatment	0.60	1.76		0.97	2.83		0.97	2.84				
Sowii	ng × Treatment	0.85	2.48		1.37	4.00		1.37	4.01				
Genoty	pe × Treatment	0.85	2.48		1.37	4.00		1.37	4.01				
Sowing $\times C$	Benotype × Treatment	1.20	3.51		1.94	NS	NS		NS				

Values in parentheses indicate per cent increase or decrease under delayed sowing over normal sowing

Acknowledgments

The Financial support received from Department of Science and Technology (INSPIRE-DST Fellowship) during Ph.D of first author is highly acknowledged.

References

- Aghaee, A., Moradi, F., Zare-Maivan, H., Zarinkamar, F., Irandoost, H.P. and Sharifi, P. Physiological responses of two rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes to chilling stress at seedling stage. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2011, 10:7617-7621.
- Arberg B. Plant Growth Regulators XLI. Mono Substituted Benzoic Acid. Swedish Journal of Agricultural Research. 1981; 11:93-105.
- Arnon DI. Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts and polyphenol oxidase in Beta vulgaris. - Plant Physiol. 1949; 24:1-15.
- Bates LS, Waldren RP, Teare ID. Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. Plant Soil. 1973; 39:205-207.
- Carvalho L, Ferguson C, Spears BM, Gunn IDM, Bennion H, Kirika A. et al. Water quality of Loch Leven: responses to enrichment, restoration and climate change. Hydrobiologia. 2011, doi: 10.1007/s10750-011-0923-x.
- 6. Farooq M, Wahid A, Kobayashi N, Fujita D, Basra SMA. Plant drought stress: effects, mechanisms and management. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 2009, 29: 185–212.

- 7. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research, (2nd ed. 1984), John Willey and Sons Inc., New York, USA. 1976, 230-241.
- ISOPOM. Annual report of Integrated Scheme of Oilseeds Pulses Oil Palm and Maize. Agricoop.nic.in. 2013-2014.
- 9. Lin JM, Sung JM. Pre-sowing treatments for improving emergence of bitter gourd seedlings under optimal and sub-optimal temperatures. Seed Science and Technology 2001; 29(1):39-50.
- Lowry OH, Rosebrough NJ, Farr AL, Randall RJ. Protein measurement with the Folin-Phenol reagents. J Biol. Chem. 1951; 193:265-275.
- 11. Nowak HB, Matraszek R, Szymanska M. Selenium modifies the effect of short term chilling stress on cucumber plants. Biological Trace Element Research. 2010, 138:307-315.
- Pal S, Haeffelin M, Batchvarova E. Exploring a geophysical process-based attribution technique for the determination of the atmospheric boundary layer depth using aerosol lidar and near-surface meteorological measurements. J Geophys. Res. Atmos. 2013; 118. doi:10.1002/jgrd.50710.
- 13. Pouramir F, Khajeh-Hosseini M, Esfahani M. Improving chilling tolerance of rice seedling by seed priming with salicylic acid. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 2014; 18:1-12.

- 14. Raskin I. Role of salicylic acid in plants. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant. Mol. Biol. 1992a; 43:439-463.
- 15. Raskin I. Salicylate, a new plant hormone. Plant Physiol. 1992b; 99:799-803.
- 16. Samiullah Khan, Asghari Bano, Jalal-ud-din, Aliraza Gurmani. Abscisic acid and salicylic acid seed treatment as potent inducer of drought tolerance in wheat (*Triticum aestivium* L.). Pak. J Bot. 2012; 44:43-49.
- 17. Seema Bedi, Madhu Dhingra. Emergence and seedling establishment in maize under low temperature stress and its amelioration with salicylic acid. Indian Journal of Plant Physiology. 2007; 12(4):383-387. 26 ref.
- Senaratna T, Touchell D, Bunn E, Dixon K. Acetyl salicylic acid (Aspirin) and salicylic acid induce multiple stress tolerance in bean and tomato plants. - Plant Growth Regul. 2000; 30:157-161.
- 19. Singh B, Usha K. Salicylic acid induced physiological and biochemical changes in wheat seedlings under water stress. Plant Growth Regul. 39: 137-141.
- 20. Yadegari LZ, Heidari R, Carapetian J. The influence of cold acclimation on proline, malondfialdehyde (MDA), total protein and pigments contents in soybean (*Glycine max* L.) seedling. Journal of Biological Science. 2007; 7:1141-1436.
- Yalpani N, Enyedi AJ, Leon J and Raskin I. Ultraviolet light and ozone stimulates accumulation of salicylic acid, pathogenrelated proteins and virus resistance in tobacco. Planta. 1994; 193:372-376.
- 22. Yemma E, Willis A. The estimation of carbohydrates in plants extract by anthrone. Biochemistry Journal. 1954; 57:508-514.
- 23. Zhou A, Webb G, Zhu X, Stainer DF. Proteolytic processing in the secretory pathway. Biol Chem. 1999; 274(30):20745-8.