

# Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



**E-ISSN:** 2278-4136 **P-ISSN:** 2349-8234 JPP 2018; SP1: 537-541

## Anurag Prajapati

Department of Soil Science & Agricultural Chemistry, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University Rajiv Gandhi South Campus, Barkachha, Mirzapur, U.P. India

### Triyugi Nath

Department of Soil Science & Agricultural Chemistry, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University Rajiv Gandhi South Campus, Barkachha, Mirzapur, U.P. India

### Anil Kumar Patel

Department of Soil Science & Agricultural Chemistry, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University Rajiv Gandhi South Campus, Barkachha, Mirzapur, U.P. India

# Vivek Kumar Singh

Department of Agronomy (Agroforestry), Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University Rajiv Gandhi South Campus, Barkachha, Mirzapur, U.P. India

### Saurabh KumarPandey

Department of Statistics, RashtriyaKishan Post Graduate College, Shamli, Uttar Pradesh, India

### Correspondence

Anurag Prajapati Department of Soil Science & Agricultural Chemistry, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University Rajiv Gandhi South Campus, Barkachha, Mirzapur-231001, U.P. India

# Growth attributes response of different doses of nitrogen on rice varieties in Vindhya region of Uttar Pradesh

# Anurag Prajapati, TriyugiNath, Anil Kumar Patel, Vivek Kumar Singh and Saurabh Kumar Pandey

### Abstract

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is one of the most important staple food crops belonging to the grass family (poaceae). It is the most consumed cereal after wheat, and has the second largest area under production following maize crop worldwide. Water requirement is the most thrust area in rice. Owing this, a field experiment was conducted in Vindhyan soil during the 2016 in Kharifseason at Agricultural Research Farm, Rajeev Gandhi South Campus, Barkachha Mirzapur. It is a campus of Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi. The experiment consisted 5 doses of nitrogen N<sub>0</sub>, N<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>3</sub> and N<sub>4</sub> (0, 60, 80, 100, 120 kg ha <sup>1</sup>) and selected 4 varieties of rice V<sub>1</sub>, V<sub>2</sub>, V<sub>3</sub>, and V<sub>4</sub> (HUR-2-1, HUR-1-5, HUR-917, HUR-3022) laid out in a Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replications. In the experiment nitrogen treatment were used at three growth stages (vegetative growth stage at 40 DAT, reproductive stage at 70 DAT, maturity stage at harvesting), among three application of nitrogen, <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> of the total nitrogen applied at time of 23 DAT and remaining doses of nitrogen applied at reproductive stage (flowering stages) and maturity stage(grain filling stage), in these nitrogen application recorded significant growth on N4 dose of nitrogen and  $N_2\&N_3$  doses of nitrogen no significance effect on rice growth and rice variety and  $V_1$  given significant growth performance. Among the treatments and varieties, significant differences were observed in vegetative growth of rice at 70 day after transplanting (DAT) with maximum plant height (95 cm), at harvesting (109 cm) and number of tillers (19/hill) was recorded in HUBR-2-1& HUR-105 at N4 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> while minimum plant height (65cm) and number of tillers (13/hill) was recorded in V<sub>3</sub> at N<sub>4</sub>.(A. Das., M. K. Singh) The number of leaves (86 hill<sup>-1</sup>) and leaf area index (38) were recorded maximum in HUBR-2-1 at N4. Same trend were fallowed for root length and root volume. So this research result indicates that HUR-2-1 gives better vegetative growth at N<sub>4</sub> dose of nitrogen treatment in Vindhyan region of Uttar Pradesh. In controlled plot rice plant ware stunted growth and unable to multiplication of tillers and panicle initiation (Choudhary, R. L. Kumar).

Keywords: Rice, HUR-2-1, HUR-105, HUR-917, HUR-3022, and Growth attribute, Nitrogen, LGR, RGR

# Introduction

Rice (Oryza sativa) is one of the world's most important staple foods. Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is one of the major staple food grains for more than 50% of the world population providing major sources of the food energy. If is grown in 144 country across the word on an area of 60 million hectare with annual production of 141.14 million tonnes. Rice belongs to the genus Oryza. Rice required high amount of nitrogenous fertilizers for their growth and development (tillering, chlorophyll content, height, panicles initiation flowering and grain filling). In this experiment all selected varieties developed by Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi UP. The highly scented HUR105 is an erect, semi dwarf (100-110 cm in height) variety with 125-130 days to maturity and a sturdy plant stature, which makes it tolerant of lodging. In addition, it has intermediate Amylose content and an alkali spreading value with high head rice recovery. Because of it medium maturity (130 days), it is a suitable crop for a rice-wheat rotation. (R.P. Singh and Chaitali Sen). Rice grown under high management requires large amounts of nitrogen (n) One crop consumes approximately 20-25 kg of nitrogen for every ton of yield, making nitrogen the single most important rice nutrient. Nitrogen's fundamental importance as a primary nutrient element is augmented by the fact that many improved rice varieties cultivated around the world have been bred to show a marked response to the application of nitrogenous fertilizers. Nitrogen increases the vigor and enhances the growth of the rice plant. (Kaushal Kumar and S.K Prasad). When absorbed during the vegetative phase, nitrogen: helps synthesize the chlorophyll necessary for photosynthesis (as evidenced by a marked "greening" of the leaves), promotes rapid leaf, stem, and root growth (as evidenced by an increase in the height, size, and number of tillers, as well as an increase in the size of leaves), speeds growth,

thus enabling seedlings to grow fast enough to avoid many seedling blights when absorbed during the reproductive and ripening phases, nitrogen, promotes development of the panicle (as evidenced by an increase in the number of spikelets), stimulates nutrient absorption and assimilation (as evidenced by an increase in size and number of filled grains increases the protein content of the grains, thus improving the quality of the crop. Nitrogen deficiency in rice can be recognized by: yellowish, color of the leaves, particularly of younger leaves, small size of plants, low number of tillers, straightness, stiffness or upper leaves. Excessive application of this nutrient tends to cause yield reduction through the plant lodging, heavy mutual shading of leaves, or the damage by insect pests.

# **Methods and Material**

The field experiment was carried out with 5 doses of nitrogen (0, 60, 80, 100, 120.) and 4 varieties of rice (HUR-2-1, HUR-1-5, HUR-917, HUR-3022) laid out in a Factorial Randomized Block Design with three replications ( $R_1$ ,  $R_2$ &  $R_3$ ). Total numbers of plots were 60. In the experiment nitrogen treatment used at three times (vegetative growth stage, reproductive stage, maturity stage), among three application of nitrogen, ½ of the total nitrogen applied at time of 23 DAT and remaining doses of nitrogen applied at reproductive stage (flowering stages 70 DAT) and maturity stage (grain filling stage) at Rajiv Gandhi South Campus, Barkachha, Mirzapur, campus of Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi Uttar Pradesh during kharif season 2016. In this experiment used recommended dose of Phosphorus, Potash

and Zinc sulphate also. In data observation the different growth parameter such as plant height, number of tillers, number of leaves, leaf area index, root length and root volume were recorded at three times such as 40 DAS, 70 DAS & at the time of harvesting as manually. The LAI was calculated as square cm of leaf area per unit land area divided by square cm of unit land area (Pattuswamy *et al.*, 1976). During the experiment maximum temperature was 38.2 °C and minimum temperature was 21 °C. The average relative humidity was recorded 33.3 to 87%. The average annual rainfall is about 1073mm and out of which about 90 percent is received by south-west monsoon. Total number of days taken from day after transplanting to different phenophases of rice was recorded visually as to know the effect of various treatments on the phenophasic duration.

**Linear Growth Rate (LGR):** Linear growth rate was calculated by using following formula:

Linear Growth Rate (LGR) =  $L_2$ - $L_1$  /  $t_2$ - $t_1$ 

 $L_1 \mbox{ and } L_2$  are the length of plant height at time  $t_1 \mbox{ and } t_2$  respectively.

**Crop Growth Rate (CGR):** Crop growth rate represented total dry matter productivity of the community per unit land area over a certain time span. Theorop growth rate calculated by the using following formula:

Crop Growth Rate =  $W_2$ - $W_1 / t_1$ - $t_2 X_1/L$ 

 $W_1$  and  $W_2$  are plant dry weight of biomass at time  $t_1$  and  $t_2,\,L$  is land area.

Treatment		Plant Height cm			Number of tillers	
Nitrogen Level	Growth stage (40 DAT)	Reproductive stage (70 DAT)	Maturity stage (Harvesting)	Growth stage (40 DAT)	Reproductive stage (70 DAT)	Maturity stage (Harvesting)
N0	56.61	65.89	90.1	13.0	15.9	12.24
N1	58.43	69.41	97.9	14.1	18.3	11.32
N2	64.12	75.74	104.8	17.4	16.4	15.07
N3	65.43	75.80	108.6	16.0	19.2	13.72
N4	65.56	77.42	109.9	18.4	20.5	17.10
SEm (±)	3.53	4.06	7.7	1.1	0.8	1.92
CD(P=0.05)	10.09	11.63	22.0	4.2	5.4	5.49
			Variety			
V1	64.26	76.99	100.6	15.9	16.7	15.6
V2	68.24	74.29	107.4	16.7	17.6	12.7
V3	60.37	70.66	102.3	18.3	18.2	19.6
V4	64.92	74.68	92.5	16.2	17.2	15.3
SEm (±)	3.94	4.54	8.6	1.3	0.9	2.1
CD(P=0.05)	9.28	8.02	16.6	3.6	2.7	6.1

Table 2: Effect of doses of nitrogen on different varieties of rice

Treatment	Numb	er of leaves	Leaf a	area Index	Root lengtl	n (cm)	Root Volum	e(mm <sup>3</sup> )
Nitrogen	Growth	Reproductive	Growth	Reproductive	Growth	Reproductive	Growth	Reproductive
Level	stage(40 DAT)	stage(70 DAT)						
$N_0$	48.85	78.17	35.54	30.79	11.73	11.69	12.08	22.47
$N_1$	51.72	80.45	34.79	32.09	14.61	13.09	13.48	20.18
N <sub>2</sub>	54.33	79.79	33.69	31.99	12.69	12.86	12.57	19.16
N <sub>3</sub>	58.81	83.83	38.11	33.37	13.58	13.65	15.85	23.47
$N_4$	59.24	82.06	37.69	34.92	15.60	13.13	14.97	23.53
SEm (±)	6.73	2.05	1.00	1.43	1.28	0.92	1.27	1.49
CD(P=0.05)	11.25	5.85	2.87	4.99	3.67	2.64	3.63	4.27
				Variety				
$V_1$	55.96	85.03	37.23	34.59	14.88	12.97	16.68	25.75
V <sub>2</sub>	54.78	82.70	38.10	33.37	13.07	13.18	14.46	24.00
V <sub>3</sub>	55.36	81.81	33.43	31.48	12.42	12.61	12.42	21.58
$V_4$	53.84	79.69	32.70	30.48	10.81	10.58	11.61	20.32
SEm (±)	7.52	2.29	1.12	1.60	1.44	1.03	1.42	1.67
CD(P=0.05)	3.52	6.54	6.21	4.57	4.11	2.95	5.32	4.77

 $N_0=0$  Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $N_1=60$  Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $N_2=80$  Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $N_3=100$  Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $N_4=120$  Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>,  $V_1=HUR-2-1$ ,  $V_2=HUR-105$ ,  $V_3=HUR-917$ ,  $V_4=HUR-3022$ . Avg= Average, DAT= Days After Transplanting.

Treatment	Pla	ant Hei	ght (cn	n) 40 D	AT	Pla	ant Hei	ght (cn	n) 70 D	AT	Pl	ant Heig	ght (cm)	at Harv	est
N X V	V1	$\mathbf{V}_2$	V3	$V_4$	Av g	$\mathbf{V}_1$	$\mathbf{V}_2$	<b>V</b> 3	$V_4$	Av g	$\mathbf{V}_1$	$\mathbf{V}_2$	<b>V</b> 3	$V_4$	Avg
$N_0$	53. 8	58. 9	57. 3	56. 4	56. 6	68. 0	69. 2	71. 1	71. 3	69. 9	85.3	92.4	98.2	84.5	90.1
<b>N</b> 1	66. 7	62. 2	60. 1	64. 7	63. 4	74. 1	73. 9	71. 8	77. 9	74. 4	94.9	99.1	101. 5	96.0	97.9
N2	67. 1	64. 3	55. 6	69. 4	64. 1	79. 0	74. 8	69. 6	79. 6	75. 7	106. 7	100. 8	109. 9	101. 7	104. 8
<b>N</b> 3	67. 1	59. 7	63. 4	71. 4	65. 4	79. 0	78. 2	71. 0	75. 0	75. 8	106. 7	105. 8	114. 1	107. 6	108. 6
<b>N</b> 4	66. 5	66. 0	65. 4	62. 6	65. 2	85. 0	75. 4	79. 8	69. 6	77. 4	104. 3	113. 8	112. 8	72.7	100. 9
Mean	64. 3	62. 2	60. 4	64. 9	62. 9	77. 0	74. 3	72. 7	74. 7	74. 7	99.6	102. 4	107. 3	92.5	100. 5
SEm (±)			1.76					2.03					3.8		
CD (P=0.05)	5.04						5.81				11.0				
S X L			SIG					SIG			SIG				

 Table 3: Interaction effect of Nitrogen doses and varieties of rice

Table 4: Interaction effect of Nitrogen doses and varieties of rice

Treatment		No. of	tillers 4	40 DAT			No. of	tillers 7	70 DAT	1	Ν	lo. of ti	illers at	Harve	st
N X V	$\mathbf{V}_1$	$\mathbf{V}_2$	<b>V</b> 3	$V_4$	Av g	$\mathbf{V}_1$	$\mathbf{V}_2$	<b>V</b> 3	$V_4$	Av g	$\mathbf{V}_1$	$\mathbf{V}_2$	<b>V</b> 3	$V_4$	Av g
N <sub>0</sub>	12.	12.	13.	14.	13.	13.	18.	11.	13.	14.	10.	19.	19.	14.	15.
190	4	2	5	0	0	3	3	9	4	2	9	2	3	2	9
$N_1$	17.	16.	12.	17.	16.	16.	18.	13.	16.	16.	19.	20.	16.	17.	18.
181	9	1	9	3	1	8	8	6	2	3	5	0	6	1	3
N.	16.	17.	13.	15.	15.	15.	17.	12.	14.	15.	16.	16.	16.	16.	16.
$N_2$	0	1	3	1	4	7	5	7	3	1	6	2	4	2	4
N	16.	17.	12.	17.	16.	15.	12.	11.	15.	13.	16.	15.	16.	20.	17.
<b>N</b> 3	0	2	9	8	0	7	3	8	1	7	6	3	3	4	2
N.	17.	21.	19.	16.	18.	16.	16.	18.	17.	17.	19.	17.	22.	18.	19.
$N_4$	2	0	0	5	4	6	4	1	3	1	9	3	5	2	5
Mean	15.	16.	14.	16.	15.	15.	16.	13.	15.	15.	16.	17.	18.	17.	17.
Mean	9	7	3	2	8	6	7	6	3	3	7	6	2	2	4
SEm (±)	0.6					0.96				0.41					
CD	1.6					2.74				1.40					
(P=0.05)					2.74				1.49						
S X L			SIG					SIG					SIG		

Table 5: Interaction effect of Nitrogen doses and varieties of rice

Treatment	Number of leaves 40 DAT					Nu	mber	of leave	es 70 D.	AT	Leaf area Index 40 DAT				
N X V	$\mathbf{V}_1$	$\mathbf{V}_2$	<b>V</b> <sub>3</sub>	$V_4$	Av g	$\mathbf{V}_1$	$V_2$	<b>V</b> <sub>3</sub>	$V_4$	Av g	$\mathbf{V}_1$	$\mathbf{V}_2$	<b>V</b> <sub>3</sub>	$V_4$	Av g
No	48.	49.	48.	48.	48.	77.	75.	80.	79.	78.	37.	38.	39.	38.	38.
140	8	4	4	8	8	2	5	1	9	2	9	3	4	6	5
$N_1$	51.	51.	52.	51.	51.	78.	80.	80.	83.	80.	35.	40.	40.	38.	38.
111	9	8	1	1	7	0	1	8	0	5	8	4	5	3	8
N	60.	55.	56.	53.	56.	84.	81.	83.	81.	82.	37.	36.	40.	40.	38.
$N_2$	2	1	1	9	3	4	4	8	4	8	3	8	2	4	7
N	60.	59.	59.	56.	58.	84.	84.	86.	80.	83.	37.	36.	39.	38.	38.
<b>N</b> <sub>3</sub>	2	4	2	4	8	4	4	5	0	8	3	9	5	7	1
N	58.	58.	60.	59.	59.	81.	82.	82.	74.	80.	37.	38.	37.	37.	37.
$N_4$	8	2	9	0	2	1	1	9	2	1	8	1	4	4	7
Mean	56.	54.	55.	53.	55.	81.	80.	82.	79.	81.	37.	38.	39.	38.	38.
Iviean	0	8	4	8	0	0	7	8	7	1	2	1	4	7	4
SEm (±)	3.37					1.02					0.50				
CD (P=0.05)	9.63						2.93			1.44					
S X L		SIG						SIG			SIG				

Treatment	L	eaf are	a Index	<b>x 70 D</b> A	۸T	R	oot leng	gth(cm	) <b>40 D</b> A	AT	R	oot len	gth(cm	) 70 DA	Υ
N X V	$\mathbf{V}_1$	$\mathbf{V}_2$	<b>V</b> 3	$V_4$	Av g	$\mathbf{V}_1$	$V_2$	<b>V</b> 3	$V_4$	Av g	$\mathbf{V}_1$	$\mathbf{V}_2$	<b>V</b> 3	$V_4$	Av g
N <sub>0</sub>	31.	33.	31.	30.	31.	14.	12.	12.	12.	12.	11.	11.	11.	12.	11.
10	9	9	1	3	8	0	3	6	0	7	4	8	6	0	7
$N_1$	32.	35.	30.	31.	32.	16.	13.	13.	13.	14.	12.	13.	12.	13.	13.
111	2	1	0	1	1	9	9	8	9	6	3	9	3	9	1
$N_2$	33.	30.	31.	31.	32.	12.	13.	12.	12.	12.	14.	14.	13.	14.	13.
182	6	8	7	9	0	8	0	5	4	7	2	0	1	2	9
N <sub>3</sub>	33.	35.	32.	31.	33.	12.	12.	11.	13.	12.	14.	12.	13.	15.	13.
1N3	6	5	9	4	4	8	9	3	3	6	2	3	1	0	7
$N_4$	31.	31.	31.	32.	31.	12.	13.	11.	12.	12.	12.	14.	12.	12.	13.
194	7	5	8	7	9	8	3	9	4	6	8	0	9	8	1
Mean	32.	33.	31.	31.	32.	13.	13.	12.	12.	13.	13.	13.	12.	13.	13.
Mean	6	4	5	5	2	9	1	4	8	0	0	2	6	6	1
SEm (±)	0.71						0.64						0.46		
CD	2.05				1.84				1.22						
(P=0.05)									1.32						
S X L			SIG					SIG					SIG		

**Table 6:** Interaction effect of Nitrogen doses and varieties of rice

Table 7: Relative growth rate (RGR) of rice cultivars at different doses of nitrogen

Treatment	Relative Growth Rate(cm/day)									
Nitrogen Level	Growth stage (40 DAT)	Reproductive stage (70 DAT)	Maturity stage (Harvesting)							
NO	55.95	33.44	20.49							
N0 N1	62.59	34.77	31.88							
N2	63.27	35.99	25.97							
N3	64.54	39.00	32.04							
N4	64.28	35.47	27.56							
SEm (±)	3.44	3.01	9.23							
CD(P=0.05)	9.84	8.61	26.40							
		Variety								
V1	63.40	36.97	27.03							
V2	61.43	32.35	23.10							
V3	59.61	34.03	31.29							
V4	64.05	39.59	28.92							
SEm (±)	3.85	3.37	10.32							
CD(P=0.05)	11.00	9.63	29.52							

Table 8: Crop growth rate (CGR) of rice cultivars at different doses of nitrogen

Treatment		Crop Growth Rate(CGR) (gm <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> )								
Nitrogen	Growth stage (40	Reproductive stage (70	Maturity stage							
Level	DAT)	DAT)	(Harvesting)							
N0	6.83	17.84	37.48							
N1	6.25	17.87	37.50							
N2	7.10	18.94	39.13							
N3	7.22	19.61	40.18							
N4	6.82	18.54	39.67							
SEm (±)	1.45	4.21	5.69							
CD(P=0.05)	4.15	12.04	16.29							
		Variety								
V1	6.39	18.34	38.19							
V2	7.28	18.46	38.42							
V3	6.69	18.06	37.82							
V4	7.01	19.38	40.74							
SEm (±)	1.62	4.70	6.37							
CD(P=0.05)	4.64	13.46	18.21							

# **Result and Discussion**

Days taken to attain different phenophases of rice cultivars at different days of transplanting have been depicted in table 1. From table 1 it was revealed that days taken to attain maximum plant height 30 days after transplanting, 70 days after transplanting and at the time of harvesting of  $N_3$ &  $N_4$  doses of nitrogen given significant effect on plant height and

remaining doses have no significant effect. Among two doses  $N_3 \& N_4$ ,  $N_4$  dose is superior. Among the varieties variety for  $(V_1)$  HUR-2-1 the days taken to attain maximum plant height (68.76 cm) and remaining varieties  $(V_2, V_3 \text{ and } V_4)$  are at par. The interaction effect recorded  $V_1$  and  $N_4$  is the superior in case of plant height (table 3). From table 1 it was revealed that days taken to attain maximum number of tillers(17 hill<sup>-1</sup>) 30

days after transplanting (18 hill<sup>-1</sup>), 70 days after transplanting (20 hill<sup>-1</sup>) and at the time of harvesting (17 hill<sup>-1</sup>) of  $N_3\& N_4$ doses of nitrogen given significant effect on number of tillers and remaining doses have no significant effect. Among two doses N<sub>3</sub> & N<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>4</sub> dose is superior. Among the varieties variety for (V1) HUR-2-1 the days taken to attain maximum number of tillers (18 hill-1) and remaining varieties (V2, V3 and  $V_4$ ) are at par. The interaction effect recorded  $V_1$  and  $N_4$ is the superior in case of number of tillers (table 4). From table 2 it was revealed that days taken to attain maximum number of leaves 30 days after transplanting (59 hill-1), 70 days after transplanting (82 hill-1) of N<sub>3</sub>& N<sub>4</sub> doses of nitrogen given significant effect on number of leaves and remaining doses have no significant effect. Among two doses N<sub>3</sub> & N<sub>4</sub>.  $N_4$  dose is superior. Among the varieties, variety for  $(V_1)$ HUR-2-1 the days taken to attain maximum number of leaves 30 DAT 59 hill-1 and 70 DAT recorded highest leaves (82hill-<sup>1</sup>) and remaining varieties ( $V_2$ ,  $V_3$  and  $V_4$ ) are at par. The interaction effect recorded V1 and N4 is the superior in case of number of leaves (table 5). From table 2 it was revealed that days taken to attain maximum leaf area index at 30 days after transplanting (37 hill<sup>-1</sup>), 70 days after transplanting (34 hill<sup>-1</sup>) of N<sub>3</sub>& N<sub>4</sub> doses of nitrogen given significant effect on leaf area index and remaining doses have no significant effect. Among two doses N<sub>3</sub> & N<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>4</sub> dose is superior. Among the varieties, variety for  $(V_1)$  HUR-2-1 the days taken to attain maximum leaf area index at 30 DAT recorded (34 hill-1)and 70 DAT recorded highest leaf area index (33 hill-1) and remaining varieties  $(V_2, V_3 \text{ and } V_4)$  are at par. The interaction effect recorded V1 and N4 is the superior in case of leaf area index (table 5). From table 2 it was revealed that days taken to attain maximum root length at 30 days after transplanting (13 hill<sup>-1</sup>), 70 days after transplanting (15 hill<sup>-1</sup>) of N<sub>3</sub>& N<sub>4</sub> doses of nitrogen given significant effect on root length and remaining doses have no significant effect. Among two doses N<sub>3</sub> & N<sub>4</sub> N<sub>4</sub> dose is superior. Among the varieties, variety for  $(V_1)$  HUR-2-1 the days taken to attain maximum root length at 30 DAT recorded (16hill-1) and 70 DAT recorded highest root length (25 hill<sup>-1</sup>) and remaining varieties (V<sub>2</sub>, V<sub>3</sub> and V<sub>4</sub>) are at par. The interaction effect recorded V1 and N4 is the superior in case of root length (table 6). From table 2 it was revealed that days taken to attain maximum root volume at 30 days after transplanting (14 hill<sup>-1</sup>), 70 days after transplanting (23 hill<sup>-1</sup>) of N<sub>3</sub>& N<sub>4</sub> doses of nitrogen given significant effect on root volume and remaining doses have no significant effect. Among two doses N<sub>3</sub> & N<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>4</sub> dose is superior. Among the varieties, variety for (V<sub>1</sub>) HUR-2-1 the days taken to attain maximum root volume at 30 DAT recorded (16 hill-1) and 70 DAT recorded highest root volume (25 hill<sup>-1</sup>) and remaining varieties (V<sub>2</sub>, V<sub>3</sub> and V<sub>4</sub>) are at par. The interaction effect recorded  $V_1$  and  $N_4$  is the superior in case of root volume (table 6). The relative growth rate and crop growth rate recorded also same trends (Table: 7. and 8.)

# Conclusion

In the case of varieties of rice HUR-2-1 recorded the highest vegetative growth parameter such as plant height, number of tillers, number of leaves, leaf area index, root volume and root length and in the case of nitrogen  $N_4On$  the basis of above findings the performance of rice variety and doses of nitrogen and there interaction given the significant effect.

# References

1. Das A, Singh MK, Mishra J, Yadav D, Sadhukhan R. Crop geometrical effect on growth and yield under direct

seeded hybrid rice cultivars. An International Quarterly Journal of Life Sciences. 2017; 12(1):265-268.

- Choudhary RL, Kumar D. Performance of rice hybrids grown by the system of rice intensification with plant growth promoting rhyzobacteria. Indian Journal of Agriculture Science. 80(10):917-20.
- Kaushal Kumar, Prasad SK. Growth and yield potential of rice as influenced by cultivars and zinc management. Indian Journal of Agriculture and Allied Sciences. 2017, 3(1). ISSN 2395-1109.
- 4. Priyanka K, Jaiswal HK, Waza SA, Sravan T. SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics. 2015; 47(2)185-190.
- Mahesh Rao, Grithlahre S, Prashant Bisen, Pallavi NK, Singh, PK Singh. Genetic of marker assisted backcross progenies of the cross HUR-105 X Swarna-SUB1, IJAEB. 2016; 9(4):499-505.
- Mukesh Kumar Singh, Ravi Singh, Prakash Singh. Identification of good combiners in early maturing x high yielding cultivars of *indica* rice. Bangladesh J. Bot. 2013; 42(2):247-255.
- Singh RP, Chaitali Sen. HUR-105(Maviya Sugandhit Dhan 105), a new, high yielding, scented, drought tolerant rice variety for cultivation in eastern India. Genetic Resources. International Rice Research Notes. 2012, (-0117-4185).
- Meena RK, Neupane MP, SP Singh. Effect of phosphorus levels and bio-organic sources on growth and yield of rice. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2015; 11(2):286-289.
- Rajan Chaudhary, Ashish Singh SR, Mishra AK, Singh, AN Mishra. Study of phasic development and growth attributes of rice cultivars at variable weather condition. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2017; 6(2):1610-1619.
- 10. Saumya Awasthi JP, Lal. Improving of high yielding rice variety HUR-105 by introgression of  $qDTY_{2.3}$  and qDTY 3.2 from the source Birsa Gora, Int. J Rec. 2014; 2(4):24-30.
- 11. Srivastava AK, Jiswal HK. Grain characteristics and cooking quality of Indigenous Aromatic and Non-Aromatic Genotype of Rice, IJSRR. 2013; 2(1):36-41.
- Singh SK, Ravi Sahu, Singh DK, Prudhvi Raj Vennela. Int. J Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2017; 6(7):2631-2640.