

Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; SP1: 2972-2974

**Dr. SS Chavan** Sau KSK College of Agriculture, Beed, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

### Dr. DA Shinde

Sau KSK College of Agriculture, Beed, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

AM Kadam

Sau KSK College of Agriculture, Beed, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

# Screening of soybean genotypes against Colletotrichum truncatum

# Dr. SS Chavan, Dr. DA Shinde and AM Kadam

#### Abstract

Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merill) is one of the most important oilseed-cum leguminous crop gaining importance during recent years due to its short duration, drought resistance, high yielding ability and nutritive value. It is the world's foremost provider of protein and oil. In Maharashtra, the area production and productivity of soybean were 39.76 lakh hectare, 46.16 lakh metric tonnes and 808 kg/ha, respectively (Anonymous, 2016). Soybean growing major states in the country are Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, etc.Among the fungal diseases infecting soybean crop, anthracnose/pod blight caused by *Colletotrichumtruncatum* is one of the most important and destructive disease.

#### Keywords: Soybean, Genotypes, Colletotrichum

# Introduction

Soybean plant health is a critical component of profitable soybean production. *Colletotrichumtruncatum*, is the most common species recorded on soybean (Lenne, 1992) and the crop soybean is susceptible to *C. truncatum* at all stages of development particularly from bloom to pod fill.

# **Material and Methods**

Forty soybean genotypes obtained from soybean Research station, VNMKV, Parbhani were screened against *C. truncatum* under screen house condition and under field condition. To carryout the screen work, under screen house condition, 80 earthen pots were taken and five seeds of each cultivar were sown in each pot. Later two seedlings per pot were maintained for screening purpose and seedlings were inoculated with the spore suspension ( $10^5$  condition) after 20 days of sowing. After inoculation the plants were watered regularly and covered with polythene bags during evening hours to create high humidity. Observations were recorded on tenth days after inoculation by applying 0 to 9 scale given by Mayee and Datar (1986). Accordingly, the genotypes were grouped as immune, resistant moderately resistant, moderately susceptible, susceptible and high susceptible.

To carry out the screening work under field condition, each test entry was planted into two rows of 30 cm row to row and 5 cm plant to plant spacing. The experiment was non replicated. The observation on percent pod infection (PPI) were recorded at 15 days before harvesting of the crop and test entries of soybean were graded and categorized as : immune (0% PI), highly resistant (L 1% PI), resistant (2-5 % PI), moderately resistant (6-25 PI), susceptible (25-50% PI) and highly susceptible (>75% PI).

# **Results and Discussion**

Results of Table No. 1 revealed at under artificial epiphyotics and controlled conditions of the screen house, all the 40 soybean entries exhibited different reactions against, *C. truncatum*. Sixteen test entries *viz.*, MACS-1201, VLS-76, JS-9752, MACS-1336, MAUS-2, PS-1477, SL-794, MACS-1140, MAUS-158, VLS-75, PS-1476, BAUS-40, Dsb-20, MACS-1311, MAUS-71 and SL-871 were found moderately resistant with mean percent disease intensity in the range of 12.20 to 23.10 per cent. However, five test entries *viz.*, NRC-86, PS-1466, DS-27-11, DS-12-13, NRC-85 found moderately susceptible with mean per cent disease intensity in the range of 26.70 to 29.10 per cent. Fifteen test entries *viz.*, Dsb-18, SL-799, KBS-8, RKS-63, MACS-1039, KS-103, KDS-344, AMS-243, CSB-08-08, JS (SH)-2003-8, MAUS-449, JS-20-29, MAUS-453, AMS-MB-5-19 and JS-93-05 were found susceptible with mean per cent disease intensity in the range of 51.20 to 54.25 per cent and four test entries *viz.*, SL-778, Bragg, AMS-MB-5-18, and JS-335 were found highly susceptible with per cent disease

Correspondence Dr. SS Chavan Sau KSK College of Agriculture, Beed, VNMKV, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India intensity in the range of 75.14 to 77.70 per cent. None was found highly resistant or immune to the disease.

Further the results of Table No. 2 indicated that, of the 40 cultivars/varieties, genotypes, germplasm lines screened, none of the genotypes was found immune or highly resistant to the disease. However, sixteen genotypes *viz.*, MACS-1201, VLS-76, JS-9752, MACS-1336, MAUS-2, PS-1477, SL-794, MACS-1140, SL-871, MAUS-158, VLS-75, PS-1476, BAUS-40, Dsb-20, MACS-1311 and MAUS-71 were found moderately resistant (6-25% PI). Twenty one genotypes *viz.*, Dsb-18, NRC-86, PS-1466, SL-799, KBS-8, RKS-63, MACS-1039, KS-103, KDS-344, AMS-243, CSB-08-08, JS (SH)-2003-8, MAUS-449, JS-20-29, MAUS-453, AMS-MB-5-19, JS-93-05, DS-27-11, NRC-85, DS-12-13 and JS-335 were

found susceptible (26-50% PI) and three genotypes SL-778, Bragg, AMS-MB-5-18 were found highly susceptible (>51% PI).

These results obtained on screening of soybean varieties, genotypes against anthracnose disease are somewhat in similarity to those reported earlier by several workers (Verma and Upadhyay, 1973; Bowers, 1984; Rahman and Fakira, 1985; Amusa *et al.*, 1994; Singh, 1993; Khati *et al.*, 2007).

Thus soybean genotypes, germplasm line, varieties found moderately resistant against anthracnose/pod blight could further be exploited either for breeding disease resistant varieties of soybean or encouraged for the commercial cultivation on large scale.

 Table 1: Reactions of soybean genotypes, germplasm lines, cultivars and varieties against C. truncatum under screen house conditions (Kharif 2012)

Name of cultivars	Mean PDI (%)	Varietal Reaction	Name of cultivars	Mean PDI (%)	Varietal Reaction
SL-778	75.14	HS	SL-871	21.22	MR
MACS-1201	16.20	MR	KS-103	52.70	S
VLS-76	19.10	MR	KDS-344	52.10	S
JS-9752	12.25	MR	MAUS-158	24.17	MR
Dsb-18	52.70	S	VLS-75	20.25	MR
NRC-86	28.50	MS	AMS-243	52.10	S
PS-1466	27.80	MS	CSB-08-08	52.15	S
MACS-1336	17.10	MR	JS(SH)-2003-8	51.90	S
SL-799	54.10	S	PS-1476	22.70	MR
Bragg	75.80	HS	DS-12-13	29.10	MS
KBS-8	51.70	S	BAUS-40	19.20	MR
AMS-MB-5-18	75.85	HS	Dsb-20	23.10	MR
MAUS-2	12.20	MR	NRC-85	28.70	MS
PS-1477	21.20	MR	MAUS-449	51.60	S
SL-794	20.80	MR	MACS-1311	18.10	MR
JS-335	77.70	HS	JS-20-29	52.32	S
RKS-63	51.80	S	MAUS-453	51.20	S
DS-27-11	26.70	MS	AMS-MB-5-19	52.20	S
MACS-1039	52.20	S	JS-93-05	54.25	S
MACS-1140	18.25	MR	MAUS-71	22.10	MR

**HS:** Highly susceptible; **S:** Susceptible

MR: Moderately resistant MS: Moderately susceptible

Table 2: Reactions of soybean genotypes, germplasm lines, cultivars varieties against C. truncatum under field conditions (Kharif 2012)

Sr.No.	Reactions	PPI	Genotypes		
1	Immune	0	None		
2	Highly Resistant	<1	None		
3	Resistant	(2-5%)	None		
4	Moderately Resistant	(6- 25%)	MACS-1201, VLS-76, JS-9752, MACS-1336, MAUS-2,PS-1477,SL-794,MACS-1140,SL-871,MAUS- 158,VLS-75,PS-1476,BAUS-40,Dsb-20,MACS-1311,MAUS-71		
5	Susceptible	(26- 50%)	Dsb-18, NRC-86, PS-1466, SL-799, KBS-8,RKS-63,MACS-1039, KS-103, KDS-344, AMS-243, CSB- 08-08,JS(SH)-2003-8,MAUS-449,JS-20-29,MAUS-453,AMS-MB-5-19,JS-93-05,JS-335,DS-27- 11,NRC-85,DS-12-13		
6	Highly Susceptible	>51%	SL-778, Bragg, AMS-MB-5-18		
	* PPI-Per cent pod infection				

# Reference

- 1. Amusa NA, Ikotun T, Osikanlu YK. Screening cowpea and soybean cultivars for resistance to anthracnose and brown blotch disease using phytotoxic metabolites. African Crop Sci. J. 1994; 2(2):221-224.
- Anonymous. Economic survey of Maharashtra, Directorate of Economics and Statistics, planning department, government of Maharashtra, Mumbai, 2016-17, 113-114
- 3. Bowers GR. Resistance to anthracnose. Soybean Genet. Newsletter. 1984; 11:150-151.
- 4. Khati P, Hooda KS, Shukla SK. Screening of soybean genotypes against frogeye leaf spot. Indian Phyto path, 2007; 60(1):121-122.
- Lenne JM. Colletotrichum disease of legumes. In: Colletotrichum: biology, pathology and control. (Eds. J. Bailey and M.J. Jeger), Red Wood Press Ltd. Melksham, U.K. 1992, 134-136.
- 6. Mayee CD, Datar VV. Phytopathometry. Tech. Bull-1 Marathwada Agric. Univ., Parbhani. 1986, 66.
- 7. Rahaman MH, Fakira GA. Reaction of some soybean cultivars to the anthracnose. Bangladesh J Pl. Path. 1985;

1:45-50.

- 8. Singh DP. Relative susceptibility of soybean cultivars to Shigh DP. Relative susceptionity of soybean cultivars to pod blight caused by *C. truncatum* (Schw.). Agril. Sci. Dig., Kernal. 1993; 13(2):90-92.
   Verma ML, Upadhyay AR. Studies on incidence of anthracnose of soybean in a fertility inoculum varietal with D. L. in 102, 79(7) 224, 220
- trial. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. 1973; 78(5):234-239.