

# Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry

Available online at www.phytojournal.com



E-ISSN: 2278-4136 P-ISSN: 2349-8234 JPP 2018; SP1: 2477-2480

#### Ashish S Jondhale

Department of Agricultural Botany, College of Agriculture, DR. B.S.K.K.V., Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

#### SG Bhave

Department of Agricultural Botany, College of Agriculture, DR. B.S.K.K.V., Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

#### SR Jogi

Department of Agricultural Botany, College of Agriculture, DR. B.S.K.K.V., Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

Correspondence Ashish S Jondhale Department of Agricultural Botany, College of Agriculture, DR. B.S.K.K.V., Dapoli, Maharashtra, India

# Genetic evaluation of quantitative traits of rice (*oryza sativa* l.) by generation mean analysis

# Ashish S Jondhale, SG Bhave, and SR Jogi

#### Abstract

Information on the inheritance of polygenic traits is worthful for planning and executing a breeding strategy leading to their genetic improvement. In order to study mode of gene action in rice for traits related to yield [days to 50 per cent flowering, plant height (cm), number of tillers per plant, length of panicle (cm), grain yield per plant (g), straw yield per plant (g) and harvest index (%)], two varieties of rice (Karjat 2 and Munga) were investigated. Direct and reciprocal crosses have been done between parents Karjat 2 and Munga and back crosses at the Experimental Farm of Agricultural Research Station, Karjat and Plant Biotechnology Centre, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, during kharif 2013 to kharif 2014. The additive x dominance model was significant for the traits viz;, plant height, number of tillers per plant, grain yield per plant and harvest index in cross II, while days to 50 per cent flowering in both crosses. The joint scaling test indicated that non allelic gene interactions additive x additive and dominant x dominant were more prominent and played significant role in inheritance of the several traits studied. The additive x dominant interaction were significant but had lesser preponderance for governing the traits and the duplicate type of epistasis was found for days to 50 per cent flowering, grain yield per plant and straw yield per plant (both crosses) and number of tillers per plant in cross I. More than one major gene group appeared to be involved for the expression of plant height while the remaining traits showed the presence of at least one major group of genes controlling their inheritance.

Keywords: Generation Mean analysis, Gene effect, Quantitative Traits, Oryza sativa.

#### Introduction

Food production is one of the most important issues that currently engaged human society. Main human food is derived from cereal plants which are member of Poaceae family so that wheat and rice are supplying more than 40% human food requirement. At the current rate of population growth in India, estimated rice production should be around 135 to 140 million tonnes by 2020. After wheat, rice is the most important cereal in the world and provides food for more than half of people living on the Earth. More than 80% daily calorie and 75% required protein is obtained from rice in Asia. So it is critical to produce high yield cultivars with high quality by inbreeding methods. Yield is complex character and various morphological and physiological characters contribute to grain yield (Luo et al., 2011). Rice varieties with increased yield potential in adverse conditions as well as in normal conditions have to be developed, in order to sustain the rice production across wide range of environments and over the years. In this regard we need primary information about genetic structure of different traits to attain high yield and quality. Knowing about genetic structure of each trait, such as inheritance method and gene mode of action are necessary because this information make us able to design breeding strategies. The most commonly used mating designs Diallel and Line x Tester provide estimates of additive and dominance/non-additive components of gene effect in relation to whole population studied. However, partitioning of genetic variance into its all the probable components i.e., additive, dominance and all types of epistasis with regard to individual cross is of immense value in formulating an effective and sound breeding programme. Among the common approaches followed to understand the nature of gene effect, generation mean analysis using first degree statistics is an accurate one, and gives detail account of gene effects and quality of the genes carried by the parents. Therefore quantitative genetic method such as generation mean analysis is used.Generation Mean Analysis, aimed at estimation of allelic gene actions and non allelic gene interactions by using the six parameter model. The Mather's 1949 individual scaling tests (Scale A, B, C and D) and Cavalli's joint scaling test were used to detect the presence or absence of the epistatic gene interactions i.e. non allelic gene interactions.

Inheritance studies are important both from theoretical and practical point of view. Attempts have been made by different workers to find out the relationship between different genes

governing different characters in rice and their inheritance pattern to the progeny. They also tried to find out interrelationship among genes (or linkage). Yields can be improved by eliminating undesirable genes/ traits linked with desirable genes/ trait by breaking the linkages. And there is lot of scope for increment in yield by gene manipulation. This is possible only when the genetic construction of plant is understood. As such it is emphasized that the knowledge of inheritance of various characters in rice is a pre-requisite as in other crop plants. Thus, the present study was carried out to estimate different kinds of gene effects in the inheritance of yield and its related traits through generation mean analysis.

### **Materials and Methods**

The mean value was computed for all the six generations over all replications for each cross. The generation mean analysis was carried out following the methodology of Hayman (1958) using six generations and estimated the gene effects viz., m (mean), d (additive main effect), h (dominance main effect), i (additive x additive interaction effect), j (additive x dominance interaction effect) and 1 (dominance x dominance interaction effect). Experiment was carried out at the Experimental Farm of Agricultural Research Station, Karjat and Plant Biotechnology Centre, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, from kharif 2013 to kharif 2014. Two rice cultivars (Karjat 2 and Munga) were selected for hybridization. These cultivars were selected because they had different levels of traits which affect yield. In order to recognition, genetic study and hereditability levels of cultivars, two crosses between white and red rice cultivars were made during kharif 2013. F1s were evaluated during Rabi 2013-14 and F2 seeds of each cross were obtained. The  $BC_1$  and  $BC_2$  crosses were effected during Rabi 2013-14. The parents, F1s, F2s, BC1s and BC2s were evaluated during kharif 2014. The seed of six generations viz., Parents (P1 and P2), F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub>, BC<sub>1</sub> and BC<sub>2</sub> of each cross were sown for raised the nursery in open field condition. The seedlings of 21 days old were transplanted in randomized block design replicated thrice. A spacing of 20 cm between rows and 15 cm between plants was adopted in the main field at experimental farm, Agricultural Research Station, Karjat during kharif 2014 for evaluation. Recommended package of practices were followed to establish the crop. Data collecting including days to 50 per cent flowering, plant height, number of tillers plant<sup>-1</sup>, panicle length, grain yield plant<sup>-1</sup>, straw yield plant<sup>-1</sup>, and harvest index, was done based on single plant. In quantitative experiments and also study on yield components for parents and first generation, 10 plants from each replication were selected, in addition, 50 and 80 plants were selected for first and second back crossing and second generation, respectively. In order to genetic analysis, simple analysis of variance was used for all traits in both crossing. Mean of observations and standard error was calculated. The scaling tests A, B, C and D were computed and their variances were calculated to test the adequacy of the additive-dominance model in each case using formulae given by Mather (1949). When the interactions found present then the six-parameter model given by Hayman (1958) and Jinks and Jones (1958) were utilized for the estimation. The joint scaling test devised by Cavalli (1952) was used for testing goodness of fit on the basis of chi square test. The significance of the test indicated the presence of the epistatic gene action.

# **Results and Discussion**

Mean performance of the six populations, *i.e.*, P1, P2, F1, F2,

 $BC_1$  and  $BC_2$  for all traits studied in the cross I (Karjat 2 x Munga) and cross II (Munga x Karjat 2) is presented in the Table 1. The estimated mean effect (m) parameter, which reflects the contribution due to the overall mean plus the locus effects and interaction of the fixed loci, were found to be highly significant for all the traits in the two crosses.

A result of individual scaling tests i.e., A, B, C and D scaling tests is presented in Table 2 and the estimated values of the various types of gene effects viz., m, d, h, i, j and l are presented in Table 3. Results of main effects and interaction effects governing quantitative traits are discussed below each trait wise. The significance of scaling test and joint scaling test revealed that additive dominance model was inadequate for both crosses for days to 50 per cent flowering. Both of the scaling tests were in complete agreement indicating that epistasis was operative in the material under study for this trait. For this character m, d, and h values were significant but'd' value having negative sign in both crosses. Thus the negative sign'd' indicating that additive was operative towards the parent that flowers not earlier than the parent. Also results indicated the importance of both additive and non additive gene action besides epistasis in the trait inheritance. The results of the present investigation are in complete agreement with the earlier results obtained by Vanaja (1998), Sobita Devi et al. (2006) and Nayak et al. (2007). The presence of significant scaling test for plant height was confirmed by joint scaling test indicated the presence of nonallelic gene interactions and the additive dominance model need to be further extended for estimation of epistatic effects of gene action. The higher magnitude of additive gene action controlling plant height were observe in both crosses. Thus, these results are in conformity with reports of Roy and Panwar (1993), Sobita Devi et al. (2006). Similarly, the dominant gene action observed significant and additive gene action found non-significant in cross I which indicated the number of tillers per plant were governed by the dominant gene action and additive gene action were absent in cross I. In cross I both 'h' and 'l' components had significant and opposite signs which indicated presence of duplicate type of epistasis. These results were in accordance with Roy and Panwar (1993). The results obtained for significance of scaling and joint scaling tests for panicle length indicated the presence of epistatic gene interaction for panicle length inheritance. The estimates of six parameter model indicated the significance for mean, additive gene action and dominant gene action and higher magnitude of dominant gene action. The positive sign of dominant gene action indicated the dominance towards the parents with lower panicle length and positive and higher 'h' indicated dominance towards the higher panicle length parent while, the absence of significance in 'd' and 'h' were also recorded in Cross I indicating non significant role of additive and dominant gene action. These results are in complete agreement with the results reported by Roy and Panwar (1993), Hasib et al. (2002) and Murugan and Ganesan (2006). On the basis of significant individual scaling test and joint scaling test for grain yield per plant, it was observed that the additive and dominant gene action was significant for both crosses, indicating the preponderance of 'd' and 'h' type of gene action. Among epistatic gene action for grain yield per plant, additive x additive and dominance x dominance type of gene interaction was recorded in both crosses while additive x dominant type of interaction were significant in cross II. The higher magnitude of dominant x dominant type of interaction was observed in cross I. The duplicate epistasis for both crosses was envisaged. The duplicate epistasis for this traits also envisaged by Nayak et al. (2007) and Roy and Senapati (2011). Significant scaling test and joint scaling test were recorded in both crosses which indicated the presence of epistatic interaction for straw yield per plant. It was observed that the additive and dominant gene action was significant for cross I indicating the preponderance of 'd' and 'h' type of gene action. Significance of scale D indicate additive x additive (i) type of gene action. These results are in conformity with the results obtained by Divya et al. (2014). Among epistatic gene action for straw yield per plant, additive x additive and dominance x dominance type of gene interaction was recorded in both crosses. The higher magnitude of dominance x dominance type of gene interaction was observed in both crosses. The values of dominance (h) and dominance x dominance (l) interaction were in opposite direction for straw yield per plant indicated the interaction was fit into duplicate epistasis model. Devika

(2002), Singh et al. (2007) and Divya et al. (2014) were also in agreement with the above results. The results obtained for significant of scaling and joint scaling test for harvest index indicated the presence of epistatic gene interaction. The estimate of six parameter model indicated the significance for mean, additive gene action and dominant gene action and showed higher magnitude of dominance gene action. The positive sign of dominant gene action indicated the dominance towards the parent. The positive and higher 'h' indicated dominance towards the higher harvest index of parent while the 'l' type was observed non-significant in both crosses. The harvest index was predominantly governed by additive x dominance interaction effect indicated that inter allelic interaction was present in this trait. The results of the present investigation are in complete agreement with the earlier result obtained by Chauhan (1998) and Kiani et al. (2013).

Table 1: Estimates of generation means and standard errors of two crosses for yield traits in rice

Generation	Days to 50% flowering		Plant he	ight (cm)	Number of tillers per plant		
	Cross I	Cross II	Cross I	Cross II	Cross I	Cross II	
P1	104.400 <u>+</u> 1.026	86.300 <u>+</u> 0.987	101.467 <u>+</u> 1.101	131.533 <u>+</u> 1.517	30.933 <u>+</u> 1.549	22.300 <u>+</u> 1.157	
P2	86.300 <u>+</u> 0.987	104.400 <u>+</u> 1.026	131.533 <u>+</u> 1.517	101.467 <u>+</u> 1.101	22.300 <u>+</u> 1.157	30.933 <u>+</u> 1.549	
F1	99.733 <u>+</u> 1.399	98.733 <u>+</u> 2.121	129.533 <u>+</u> 2.083	100.067 <u>+</u> 2.271	22.733 <u>+</u> 1.429	33.667 <u>+</u> 1.802	
F <sub>2</sub>	97.903 <u>+</u> 0.884	98.867 <u>+</u> 0.679	118.007 <u>+</u> 2.558	115.853 <u>+</u> 2.204	14.043 <u>+</u> 0.668	15.667 <u>+</u> 0.860	
BC1	100.167 <u>+</u> 1.226	98.133 <u>+</u> 1.272	109.533 <u>+</u> 8.601	117.100 <u>+</u> 2.834	29.100 <u>+</u> 4.812	20.667 <u>+</u> 1.925	
BC <sub>2</sub>	98.000 <u>+</u> 1.486	101.400 <u>+</u> 1.322	120.100 <u>+</u> 7.465	112.033 <u>+</u> 3.552	24.167 <u>+</u> 1.848	28.633 <u>+</u> 2.322	

Generation	Length of panicle		Grain yield per plant		Straw yield per plant		Harvest index per plant	
	Cross I	Cross II	Cross I	Cross II	Cross I	Cross II	Cross I	Cross II
P1	30.800 <u>+</u> 0.508	29.333 <u>+</u> 0.721	84.300 <u>+</u> 2.086	41.733 <u>+</u> 2.586	92.900 <u>+</u> 1.566	61.500 <u>+</u> 2.748	47.536 <u>+</u> 0.538	40.255 <u>+</u> 1.088
P2	29.333 <u>+</u> 0.721	30.800 <u>+</u> 0.508	41.733 <u>+</u> 2.586	84.300 <u>+</u> 2.086	61.500 <u>+</u> 2.748	92.900 <u>+</u> 1.566	40.255 <u>+</u> 1.088	47.536 <u>+</u> 0.538
$\mathbf{F}_1$	29.267 <u>+</u> 1.303	30.133 <u>+</u> 1.067	47.300 <u>+</u> 2.337	84.267 <u>+</u> 2.876	55.800 <u>+</u> 3.082	89.767 <u>+</u> 5.833	45.937 <u>+</u> 1.679	48.585 <u>+</u> 1.454
$\mathbf{F}_2$	27.643 <u>+</u> 0.469	27.280 <u>+</u> 0.368	40.428 <u>+</u> 2.281	42.713 <u>+</u> 2.790	58.598 <u>+</u> 2.922	57.647 <u>+</u> 2.922	39.858 <u>+</u> 0.656	40.417 <u>+</u> 0.674
BC1	28.100 <u>+</u> 1.035	28.233 <u>+</u> 0.878	73.850 <u>+</u> 19.634	72.867 <u>+</u> 6.349	78.917 <u>+</u> 17.651	87.283 <u>+</u> 5.907	44.042 <u>+</u> 2.997	45.122 <u>+</u> 1.510
BC <sub>2</sub>	28.167 <u>+</u> 1.154	29.900 <u>+</u> 0.735	60.783 <u>+</u> 9.097	59.200 <u>+</u> 8.148	77.533 <u>+</u> 8.662	98.667 <u>+</u> 8.468	42.659 <u>+</u> 2.429	36.933 <u>+</u> 3.687

\*- Significant at 5% level, \*\* - Significant at 1% level. [Cross-I - Karjat 2 x Munga] [Cross-II - Munga x Karjat 2]

**Table 2:** Scaling tests for yield traits in two crosses of rice.

Parameter		Cross I		Cross II			
	Α	3.800*	<u>+</u>	1.734	-11.233**	<u>+</u>	1.996
Dava to 500/ flowering	В	-9.967**	<u>+</u>	1.980	0.333	<u>+</u>	2.045
Days to 50% nowering	С	-1.447	±	2.730	-7.300*	<u>+</u>	3.023
	D	-2.360	±	1.510	-1.800	<u>+</u>	1.318
	Α	11.933	<u>+</u>	10.024	-2.600	<u>+</u>	3.632
Plant height (am)	В	20.867*	<u>+</u>	8.747	-22.533**	<u>+</u>	4.353
Flant height (cm)	С	20.040**	±	6.471	-30.280**	<u>+</u>	5.827
	D	6.380	±	7.208	2.573	<u>+</u>	3.655
	Α	-4.533	<u>+</u>	5.688	14.633**	<u>+</u>	2.544
Number of tillors nor plant	В	-3.300	+	2.383	7.333*	+	3.011
Number of thers per plant	С	42.527**	+	2.519	57.900**	<u>+</u>	3.086
	D	-25.180**	+	3.074	-17.967**	<u>+</u>	2.005
	Α	3.867**	<u>+</u>	1.443	3.000*	<u>+</u>	1.257
Longth of panielo	В	2.267	<u>+</u>	1.586	1.133	<u>+</u>	1.089
Length of paincie	С	8.093**	<u>+</u>	1.924	11.280**	<u>+</u>	1.581
	D	-0.980	<u>+</u>	1.046	-3.573**	<u>+</u>	0.786
	Α	-16.100	<u>+</u>	22.743	-19.733*	<u>+</u>	7.664
Crain yield ner plant	В	-32.533**	<u>+</u>	10.695	50.167**	+	9.630
Gram yield per plant	С	58.920**	<u>+</u>	6.222	123.713**	+	7.499
	D	-53.777**	<u>+</u>	12.768	-46.640**	<u>+</u>	6.779
	Α	-9.133	<u>+</u>	20.479	-23.300**	<u>+</u>	7.770
Strow wold nor plant	В	-37.767**	<u>+</u>	10.282	-14.667	<u>+</u>	10.381
Straw yield per plant	С	31.607**	<u>+</u>	7.845	103.347**	<u>+</u>	9.707
	D	-39.253**	<u>+</u>	11.843	-70.657**	<u>+</u>	6.849
	Α	5.389	<u>+</u>	3.607	-1.405	+	2.035
Harvost index nor plant	В	0.874	+	3.033	22.255**	+	4.351
marvest muex per plant	С	20.231**	+	2.558	23.292**	+	2.394
	D	-6.984**	+	2.352	-1.221	+	2.429

\*- Significant at 5% level, \*\* - Significant at 1% level.

	М	D	Н	Ι	J	L	Type of epistasis				
Days to 50% flowering											
Cross I	97.903** <u>+</u> 0.510	2.167 <u>+</u> 1.112	9.103** <u>+</u> 3.152	4.720 <u>+</u> 3.019	-13.767** <u>+</u> 2.371	-10.887* <u>+</u> 5.220	Duplicate				
Cross II	98.867** <u>+</u> 0.392	-3.267** <u>+</u> 1.060	6.983* <u>+</u> 2.936	3.600 <u>+</u> 2.637	11.567** <u>+</u> 2.273	-14.500** <u>+</u> 5.206	Duplicate				
			Р	lant height (cm)							
Cross I	118.007** <u>+</u> 1.477	-10.567 <u>+</u> 6.575	0.273 <u>+</u> 14.477	-12.760 <u>+</u> 14.417	8.933 <u>+</u> 13.195	45.560 <u>+</u> 27.085	Complementary				
Cross II	115.853** <u>+</u> 1.272	5.067 <u>+</u> 2.623	-21.580** <u>+</u> 7.446	-5.147 <u>+</u> 7.310	-19.933** <u>+</u> 5.357	-19.987 <u>+</u> 12.003	Complementary				
Number of tillers per plant											
Cross I	14.043** <u>+</u> 0.385	4.933 <u>+</u> 2.976	46.477** <u>+</u> 6.228	50.360** <u>+</u> 6.148	1.233 <u>+</u> 6.056	-58.193** <u>+</u> 12.167	Duplicate				
Cross II	15.667** <u>+</u> 0.497	-7.967** <u>+</u> 1.741	42.983** <u>+</u> 4.180	35.933** <u>+</u> 4.009	-7.300* <u>+</u> 3.657	-13.967 <u>+</u> 7.618	Complementary				
Length of panicle											
Cross I	27.643** <u>+</u> 0.271	-0.067 <u>+</u> 0.895	1.160 <u>+</u> 2.238	1.960 <u>+</u> 2.093	-1.600 <u>+</u> 1.861	4.173 <u>+</u> 4.064	Complementary				
Cross II	27.280** <u>+</u> 0.213	-1.667* <u>+</u> 0.661	7.213** <u>+</u> 1.707	7.147** <u>+</u> 1.572	-1.867 <u>+</u> 1.417	-3.013 <u>+</u> 3.081	Complementary				
Grain yield per plant											
Cross I	40.428** <u>+</u> 1.317	13.067 <u>+</u> 12.493	91.837** <u>+</u> 25.589	107.553** <u>+</u> 25.536	-16.433 <u>+</u> 25.060	-156.187** <u>+</u> 50.359	Duplicate				
Cross II	42.713** <u>+</u> 1.611	13.667* <u>+</u> 5.964	114.530** <u>+</u> 13.693	93.280** <u>+</u> 13.558	69.900** <u>+</u> 12.081	-62.847* <u>+</u> 25.007	Duplicate				
Straw yield per plant											
Cross I	58.598** <u>+</u> 1.687	1.383 <u>+</u> 11.352	57.107* <u>+</u> 23.769	78.507** <u>+</u> 23.685	-28.633 <u>+</u> 22.777	-125.407** <u>+</u> 46.079	Duplicate				
Cross II	57.647** <u>+</u> 1.687	-11.383 <u>+</u> 5.961	153.880** <u>+</u> 14.136	141.313** <u>+</u> 13.699	8.633 <u>+</u> 12.061	-179.280** <u>+</u> 25.744	Duplicate				
Harvest index per plant											
Cross I	39.858** <u>+</u> 0.379	1.383 <u>+</u> 2.227	16.009** <u>+</u> 4.816	13.968** <u>+</u> 4.705	-4.515 <u>+</u> 4.509	-7.705 <u>+</u> 9.268	Complementary				
Cross II	40.417** <u>+</u> 0.389	8.189** <u>+</u> 2.300	7.131 <u>+</u> 4.942	2.442 <u>+</u> 4.857	23.660** <u>+</u> 4.654	18.409 <u>+</u> 9.508	Complementary				

Table 3: Estimates of gene effects for yield traits in two crosses of rice.

\*- Significant at 5% level, \*\* - Significant at 1% level. [Cross-I - Karjat 2 x Munga] [Cross-II - Munga x Karjat 2]

# References

- 1. Cavalli LL. An analysis of linkage in quantitative genetics. In: Quantitative inheritance. Eds: C. R. Rieve and C. H. Waddington, HMSO, London. 1952, 135-144.
- 2. Chauhan JS. Inheritance of grain weight, size and shape in rainfed rice (*Oryza sativa*). Indian J. Agril. Sci., 1998; 68(1):9-12.
- 3. Devika R. Genetic analysis of bacterial blight (*Xanthonlonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae Ishiyama*) resistance and yield in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Ph. D. Thesis., Kerala Agricultural University, 2002.
- 4. Divya B, Biswas A, Robin S, Rabindran R, John Joel A. Gene interactions and genetics of blast resistance and yield attributes in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). J. of Genet. 2014; 93(2):415-424.
- Hasib KM, Ganguli PK, Kole PC. Genetic analysis of some quantitative characters in aromatic rice involving induced mutants. Tropical Argil. Res. and Extn. 2002; 5(1 & 2):1-6.
- Hayman BI. The separation of epistasis from additive and dominance variation in generation means. Heredity. 1958; 12:371-390.
- Kiani SH, Kazemitabar SK, Babaeian Jelodar NA, Ranjbar GA. Genetic evaluation of quantitative traits of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) using generation mean analysis. Int. J. Agric. Crop Sci. 2013; 5(19):2329-2336.
- Luo LJ, Li ZK, Mei HW, Shu QY, Tabien R, Zhong DB. *et al.* Overdominant epistatic loci are the primary genetic basis of inbreeding depression and heterosis in rice. II. Grain yield components. Genetics. 2001; 158:1755-1771.
- Murugan S, Ganesan J. Generation mean analysis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) crosses utilizing 'WA' cytosterile lines. Plant Archives 2006; 6(1):165-167.
- Nayak AR, Genetic architecture of quality characters in scented rice. Agriculture Science Digest. 2007; 27(2):103-106.
- Roy A, Panwar DVS. Nature of gene interaction in the inheritance of quantative characters in rice. Ann. Agric. Res. 1993; 14(3):286-291.
- 12. Roy SK, Senapati BK. Estimation of genetic components for grain yield and quality traits of rice.

*Oryza*, 2011; 48(1):22-30.

- 13. Singh M, Kumar K, Singh RP. Study of coefficient of variation, heritability and genetic advance in hybrid rice. *Oryza*, 2007; 44(1):160-162.
- 14. Sobita Devi L, Raina FH, Manish K, Pandey K, Kole CR. Genetic parameters of variation for yield and its components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Crop Res. 2006; 32:69-71.
- 15. Vanaja T. Genetic analysis of high yielding rice varieties of diverse origin. *Ph. D. Thesis.*, Kerala Agricultural University, 1998.